1972

2

PAPIERSTOFF

Papier-Werke

PART IV

Section —2-C (ii)

STATUTORY ORDERS ISSUED BY THE STATE GOVERNMENT UNDER THE CENTRAL ACTS AND STATE ACTS.

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Notification No. SWL 1 LPF 78.

Bangalore, dated 14th February 1978.

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 13 of the Employees Provident Fund and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (Central Act) 19 of 1952), the Government of Karnataka hereby appoints Sri S. N. Srinivas Rao as an Inspector for the whole State of Karnataka for the purpose of the said Act in respect of establishments/industries falling under the State sphere.

By Order and in the name of the President of India

V. V. MASTHIHOLIMATH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Dept.

2563—S.O. 580

Notification No. SWL 49 LWA 76.

Bangalore, dated 10/15th February 1978.

In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (j) of sub-section (2) of Section 7 read with Section 13 of the payment of Wages Act, 1936 (Central Act 4 of 1936) as amended by the payment of Wages (Mysore Amendment) Act, 1952 (Mysore Act of 1952), the Government of Karnataka hereby approves the United Breweries Employee's Credit Co-operative Society Limited, 24, Grant Road, Bangalore-1, as a Co-operative Society, payment to which may be made by the management of M/s. United Breweries Limited, 24, Grant Road, Bangalore-560001 by deduction from the wages of such of the employed persons as are members of the said Co-operative Society subject to the condition that the deduction is effected with the written consent of the employed persons.

By Order and in the name of the President of India,

B. MAHAMOHAN,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

2573—S. O. 581

FINANCE SECRETARIAT

Notification No. FD 3 CPT 77.

Bangalore, dated 14/16th February 1978.

In exercise of the powers conferred by section 29 of the Karnataka Tax on Professions, Trades, Callings and Employment Act, 1976 (Karnataka Act 35 of 1976), the Government of Karnataka hereby exempts foreign Technicians employed in the State from payment of profession tax for a period of two years from the date of their joining duty, provided their appointments are approved by the Government of India for the purpose of exemption from payment of Income Tax for the said period.

By Order and in the name of the President of India,

L. S. JAGIRDAR,
Under Secretary to Government,
Finance Department.

2577—S.O. 582

Notification No. FD 19 CUS 77.

Bangalore, dated 16/17th February 1978.

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (5) of section 8 of the Central Sales Tax Act, 1956, (Central Act 74 of 1956) the Government of Karnataka, being satisfied that it is necessary so to do in public interest, hereby directs that no tax under the said Act shall be payable in respect of the sales in the course of inter-State Trade or Commerce, of articles manufactured within the State of Karnataka by Rehabilitation Units or centres for leprosy patients.

By Order and in the name of the President of India,

L. S. JAGIRDAR,
Under Secretary to Government,
Finance Department.

2588—S.O. 583

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

ORDER No. SWL 79 LLD 78.

Bangalore, dated 20th February 1978

"Whereas, the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of Mysore Stoneware Pipes and Potteries Ltd., Chikkabanavara, Bangalore North on the points noted below :

Now, Therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Additional Industrial Tribunal at Bangalore.

POINTS OF DISPUTE.

I (A) Are the workmen of Mysore Stoneware pipes and Potteries Ltd., Bangalore, justified in demanding from their management the following :—

(a) Wage Scales :—

(i) Maistry and turners	150-12-210-15-255
(ii) Asst. Maistry, Pipeline operator Fitters, masons, Carpenters, Diremen, Finishers, Moulders, Loading and Rub Mill operators Brick Mouiders and Drivers.	130-10-180-12-216
(iii) Screening, Loading, Unloading, Stockyard, Pipecarriers, Brick sections GP Mines workmen,	120-8-140-10-200
(iv) Sweepers Office boys.	100-5-120-6-150
(v) Head watchmen.	150-12-210-15-255
(vi) Watchmen.	120-8-140-10-200

Staff :—

(i) Supervisors.	250-25-350-30-440
(ii) Assistant Supervisors.	200-20-300-25-400
(iii) Clerks.	175-15-250-20-350

(b) The employees should be given the weightage as follows :—

3 years and below.	...	Rs. 20
10 years and below.	...	Rs. 45
10 years and above.	...	Rs. 60

(c) The fitment after giving the weightage should be to the next nearer higher stage.

(d) All employees be given Rs. 250 as Dearness Allowance every moth.

(e) Piece rate employees in Glazing, Moulding, Finishing, etc be given piece rate at double the present rate.

(f) All Daily rated employees be coverted into monthly rated employees and their scales of pay fixed after giving the weightage as above.

(g) Minimum wage of the lowest category of workmen be Rs. 350 p. m.

2. Ten days Casual leave and 30 days of Privilege leave per year.

3. Two pairs of Uniforms and two pairs of shoes to made workers. Two sarees and blouses and two pairs of chappals to females per annum.

4. Heat allowance of 50 ps. with half litre of milk per day to workers in kiln, loading and unloading and such other workspots affected by heat.

5. One raincoat, a cap and a torch to watchmen per annum.

6. Rs. 75 House Rent Allowance to Head Office Staff and Rs. 25 House rent allowance to other employees per month.

(B) If not, to what other relief (s) the workmen are entitled?

II. (a) Are the workmen of Mysore Stone Ware Pipes and Potteries, Bangalore justified in going on strike with effect from 8th November 1977?

(b) If justified, are they entitled for strike period Wages?

By Order and in the name of the President of India,

B. MANAMOHAN,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Dept.

6597—S. O. 584

EDUCATION AND YOUTH SERVICES SECRETARIAT

Notification No. ED 29 CEN 76.

Bangalore, dated 16th February 1978.

The draft of the following Scheme for the administration of "The Vijaya Bank Endowment Scholarship Fund", which the Government of Karnataka propose to settle in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section(1) of Section 5 of the Charitable Endowment Act, 1890 (Central Act, VI of 1890) is hereby published as required by the rules 3 and 4 of the Charitable Endowment (Karnataka) Rules, 1964, for the information of persons likely to be affected thereby, and notice is hereby given that the said Draft Scheme will be taken into consideration on or after 30th March 1978.

Any objection or suggestion which may be received from any person with respect to the aforesaid Draft Scheme by the State Government before the date specified above, will be considered by the Government. Objections or suggestions, if any, may be addressed to the Commissioner for Education and Secretary to the Government of Karnataka, Education and Youth Services Department, Karnataka Government Secretariat, Vidhana Soudha, Bangalore-1.

The Draft Scheme for the Administration of Rs. 16,200 Donated by the Chairman, Vijaya Bank Limited, Administrative Office, No. 2, Residency Road, Bangalore-25.

1. The endowment of Rs. 16,200 donated by the Chairman, Vijaya Bank Limited, Bangalore-25, shall be known as "The Vijaya Bank Endowment Scholarship Fund".

2. The Principal, Government College, Mangalore, for the time being, shall be the Administrator of the Fund with the Committee consisting of the follwing members :

(i) A representative of the Vijaya Bank, nominated by the Chairman, Vijaya Bank Limited, Bangalore ;

(ii) Two senior members of the Teaching Staff of the Government College, Mangalore.

3. The amount of the Fund shall be invested in "6½ per cent Loan 2004".

4. From and out of the income accruing from the Fund a scholarship shall be awarded annually to the student of the Final Year B.A. or B.Com. course, who secures the highest marks in the II Year University Examinations and possesses good conduct and character.

5. In the event of more than one candidate becoming eligible for the award, the Scholarship shall be awarded to the candidate coming from the lower income group.

6. All interest on the said Endowment that may not be required or utilised for the said purpose shall be accumulated and such accumulation shall from time to time be added to the corpus of the Fund.

7. The amount of the said Fund may be invested or re-invested in Government Securities.

8. The investment, management and dispensation of the Fund shall be at the discretion of the Government.

The Scheme shall come into force from the date of its publication in the Official Gazette.

By Order and in the name of the President of India,

V. S. NAIDU,
Desk Officer,
Education and Youth Services Deptt.

2609—S.O. 607

RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND CO-OPERATION SECRETARIAT

Notification No. RDC 18 MMD 77 (i).

Bangalore, dated 16th February 1978.

Whereas it is now proposed to regulate the marketing of Ragi, Paddy, Rice, Groundnut, Groundnut Seeds, Horsegram, Jowar, Maize, Tur, Avare, Save, Tamarind, Tamarind Seeds, Guava, Grapes, Tomato, Sapota, Potato, Carrot, Cabbage, Beetroot, Beans, Cattle, Buffaloes, Sheep, Goats and Poultry in Malur Taluka of Kolar District.

And whereas the Karnataka State Agricultural Marketing Board, Bangalore has been consulted on the said proposal.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 3 of the Karnataka Agricultural Produce Marketing (Regulation) Act, 1966 (Karnataka Act 27 of 1966), the Government of Karnataka hereby declares its intention of regulating the marketing of Ragi, Paddy, Rice, Groundnut, Groundnut Seeds, Horsegram, Jowar, Maize, Tur, Avare, Save, Tamarind, Tamarind Seeds, Guava, Grapes, Tomato, Sapota, Potato, Carrot, Cabbage, Beetroot, Beans, Cattle, Buffaloes, Sheep, Goats and Poultry in Malur Taluka of Kolar District.

Any objections or suggestions which may be received from any person within 32 days from the date of publication of this Notification in the *Karnataka Gazette* will be considered by the State Government.

Objections and suggestions may be addressed to the Commissioner, Special Economic Programmes and *Ex-officio* Secretary to Government, Rural Development and Co-operation Department, Vidhana Soudha, Bangalore-560 001.

By Order and in the name of the President of India,

G. S. JOSHI,
Under Secretary to Government,
Rural Devt and Co-operation Deptt.

2588—S. O. 603

GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT.

Labour Dispute:—Industrial dispute between the Workmen and the Management of Malaprabha Co-operative Sugar Factory M. K. Hubli, District Belgaum.

Award of the Presiding Officer, Additional Industrial Tribunal, Bangalore published.

Read the following papers:

(1) Letter No. AIT/469/77-78, dated 27th January 1978 from Sri Syed Nazir Ahamed, Presiding Officer, Additional, Industrial Tribunal, Bangalore.

BEFORE THE ADDITIONAL INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, BANGALORE.

Dated 30th November 1977

PRESENT :

Sri Nazir Ahmed, B.A., B.L.,

Presiding Officer.

A.I. D. No. 13 of 1975.

First Party :—Workmen of Malaprabha Co-operative Sugar Factory M. K. Hubli, District Belgaum, represented by the President, Malaprabha Sakkare Karkhane Karmikara Sangha, M.K. Hubli, District Belgaum.

Vs.

Second Party :—The Management of Malaprabha Co-operative Sugar Factory, M. K. Hubli, District, Belgaum, by its Chairman.

Appearances.

For I Party :—by Sri K. Ramadasan, Vice-President, Karnataka Sugar Workers' Federation, Bangalore.

For II Party :—by Sri B. C. Naik, Advocate, Bangalore.

AWARD

The Government of Karnataka by their Order No. SWL 248 (2) LLD 75 dated 3rd December 1975 have referred this dispute between the aforesaid parties for adjudication under Section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Dispute Act. The Points of Disputes are the following :—

Points of Dispute

"If the management justified in not paying the same rates of wages to both categories of workmen, *i.e.*, Filed Mukadums and Field Assistants, as recommended by the Wage Board ?

If not to what relief are the workmen entitled ?"

"Is the management justified in not giving the benefit of the pay scales and allowance recommended by the Second Wage Board with effect from 1st November 1971 to 30th October 1972 to the daily-rated non-permanent workmen?

If not, to what relief the workmen are entitled?"

The parties put in appearance and have filed their statements.

The claim statement of the I-Party is to the following effect. Second Party factory went into production from 8th November 1971. It has got a bright future. The II-Party had agreed to implement

the recommendations of Second Central Wage Board for Sugar Factory with effect from 1st November 1971.. This had been done at a joint meeting of employees and the Management which took place on 20th March 1972. But the implementation had not been done correctly. The Second Central Wage Board for Sugar Industries as also the First Wage Board had evolved a scheme classifying and designating the employees with reference to their duty and function and fitted them into grades and monthly scales of basic wages. The workmen with identical nature of duty are to be given the same designation and fitted into the same scale of basic wages. The functions and duties performed by the Field Assistants and the Field Mukadums are identical. The Field Mukadums work independently of the Field Assistants. The Field Assistants are classified as clerical workers and their basic wages is 137½-157-EB-5-202 whereas the Field Mukadums are classified as manual workers and put on the wage scale of 118-2-136-3-151. They are further devided into monthly rated and daily rated ones. This decision is made with the object of denying the Field Mukadums the same rate of wages and it is not justified. It is prayed that the Field Mukadums to be designated as Field Assistants and given a wage scale similar to them with effect from 1st November 1971. In respect of those persons categorised as daily rated non-permanent workmen the implementation has been made from 1st November 1972 instead of 1st November 1971; these workmen are regular seasional employes of the II-Party. They are entitled to the benefits of wage scales and allowances with effect from 1st November 1971.

The statement of the II-Party is the following effect. The factory is running at a loss. The management had agreed to implement the recommendation subject to the availability of financial resources. Production had started in the factory from 22nd January 1971. The Field Assistants are technicaly qualified persons who had undergone training in agricultural Schools for a period ranging from 1 to 2 years. They do supervisory work supervising the work of Field Mukadams and guiding the latter in the technique of Sugar Cane plantation, use of fertiliser and harvesting. The Field Mukadams are not technically trained persons. Their responsibility is less. They fit into the category 'Cane Development Kamadar' mentioned in the Wage Board recommendations and the prescribed wage scale is given to them. There is no mention of Field Assistants also in the Board recommendations but keeping in view the nature of the duties performed by them a higher scale is made available to them. The demand of Field Mukadums that they should be given the scale same as that for the Field Assistants is neither reasonable nor tenable.

The daily rate of wage is calculated on the basis of monthly rate. There is no monetary loss to the worker. There is no bar in the wage board recommendation against having daily rated workers.

The factory was a new one. It had commenced production from 22nd January 1971.. The benefits were given to the daily rated workers from 1st November 1972 in view of the financial stringency and also for the reason that the production in the year 1970-71 was only 11,470 quintals of Sugar. The demand of the worker is belated and they are deemed to have waived the same. The claim is also time-barred. The workers should have approached the state level Tripartite Machinery, which is constituted under the Wage Board Recommendation.

The case was set for evidence and it underwent a number of adjournament as the parties pleaded that a settlement was in the offing. Ultimately a settlement is reported by the parties. I have gone through the terms of settlement. The demands of the workers as set out in the reference has been quite handsomly met with by the management. A common scale of wages is available to the two categories of workers. The benefits to daily rated workers is also made available from 1st November 1971. I therefore pass an award in terms of the settlement which has been reported to me. The Settlement Deed shall be the part and parcel of this Award.

Syed Nazir Ahmed
Presiding Officer
Additional Industrial Tribunal.
Bangalore.

"Copy"

Sd/-
Manager,
Industrial Tribunal,
Bangalore.

SYED NAZIR AHMED,
Presiding Officer,
Additional Industrial Tribunal, Bangalore.

MEMORANDUM OF SETTLEMENT
BETWEEN
WORKMEN OF SHRI MALAPRABHA CO-OP. SUGAR FACTORY LTD., M. K. HUBLI
AND
THE MANAGEMENT OF SHRI MALAPRABHA CO-OP. SUGAR FACTORY LTD., M. K. HUBLI
DATED 26-10-1977

REGARDING INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE ON THE FILE OF THE ADDITIONAL INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, BANGALORE AS A. I. D. 13/75

Memorandum of Settlement

Between :

I Party :—Workmen of Shri Malaprabha Co-op. Sugar Factory Ltd., M. K. Hubli.
Represented by the Malaprabha Sahakari Sakkare Karkhane Karmikara Sangha, M. K. Hubli,

and

II Party :—The Management of Shri Malaprabha Co-op. Sugar Factory Ltd., M. K. Hubli.

Short Recital of the Case

The Government of Karnataka in its Order No. SWL 248 (2) LLD 75, dated 3rd December 1975 has referred the following industrial dispute between the workmen and the Management of Shri Malaprabha Co-op. Sugar Factory Ltd., M. K. Hubli to the Addltional Industrial Tribunal, Bangalore, for adjudication which is on the file of the Tribunal as AID. 13/75 in which the points of disputes are as follows :

Points of Disputes

(i) Is the Management justified in not paying the same rates of wages to both the categories of workmen i. e. Field Mukadams and Field Assistants as recommended by the Wage Board ?

If not, to what relief the workmen are entitled ?

(ii) Is the Management justified in not giving the benefit of the pay scales and allowance recommended by the Second Central Wage Board with effect from 1st November 1971 to 31st October 1972 to the daily rated non-permanent workmen ?

If not, to what relief the workman are entitled ?

2 When the matter came up for enquiry before the Additional Industrial Tribunal both the parties to the dispute submitted to the Tribunal that they would like to settle the matter out of Court and pleaded for time which was being granted from time to time.

3 The matter under dispute came up for discussion before both the parties from time to time in connection with a general fitment of workmen into grades and scales of workmen as per the Second Central Wage Board for Sugar Industry which forms the subject matter of another settlement, and finally on the 26th October 1977 the following agreement is reached in respect of issues referred to under these proceedings.

Terms of settlement

4 Dispute No. I

The wage scales of both the Fieldmen and the Field Mukadams were discussed and it was agreed between the workmen and the Management that :

(a) The wage scales of Fieldmen should be upgraded from the scale of Rs. 137-4-157-EB-5-202 to Rs. 185-7-220-EB-8-292.

(b) The wage scales of Field Mukadams whether daily rated or monthly rated should be the same as that of the Fieldmen i. e. Rs. 185-7-220-EB-8-292.

(c) The Fieldmen and the Field Mukadams should be given the above scale of wages with effect from 1st November 1976, and

(d) The Fieldmen and the Field Mukadams, who have joined duty in the year 1969 should have their wages fixed at two stages higher in the revised scale as weightage on 1st November 1976 i. e. Rs. 199 and such of them who joined duty in the years 1970 and 1971 should have their wages fixed at one stage higher in the revised scale as weightage on 1st November 1976 i. e. Rs. 192 and the rest at the initial stage in the revised scale on 1st November 1976.

5. Dispute No. 2 :—

The workmen and the Management agree that the daily rated non-permanant workmen should get the benefit of the pay scales and allowances recommended by the Second Central Wage Board for the period from 1st November 1971 to 31st October 1972 i. e. their basic wage will be fixed at Rs. 110 plus allowances for the period they worked during the period from 1st November 1971 to 31st October 1972. It is further agreed between the parties that as a result of general revision of fitment of workers as per the recommendations of the Second Central Wage Board, a heavy financial burden has been placed on the Management, the daily rated non-permanent workers who have worked during the period from 1st November 1971 to 31st October 1972 shall be paid only 50 per cent of the arrears of wages that would accrue to them under this agreement. These arrears shall be paid in three instalments on 31st October 1977, 31st December 1977 and 31st March 1978.

6 Both the parties to the dispute agree that this settlement should be filed before the Additional Industrial Tribunal with a request that an award may be passed on the lines agreed to in this settlement.

Signed by the parties to the proceedings on the 26th day of October 1977 at M. K. Hubli.

For Workers

1 A. C. J. Dasen Solomen
President,

2 S. S. Savadatti
Vice President

For Management

1 S. V. Pati
Vice Chairman

2 A. S. Shetti
Managing Director

8 M. B. More
General Secretary.
4 S. B. Banasi
Director
5 R. S. Patil
Director

3 G. M. Topannavar
Director
4 F. D Patil
Director
5 G. G. Yamakanamardi
Director
6 S. R. Harijan
Director
7 C. S. Bikkannavar
Director
8 M. B. Patil
Director
9 V. C. Hattiholi
Director
10. G. S. Hebbalkar
Director
11 B. D. Halasagi
Director

Witnesses :
1 B. D. Angadi
Parishwad
2 H. B. Jaketi of
Tigadi

"COPY."

.....
Presiding Officer,
Additional Industrial Tribunal
Bangalore

Order No. SWL 369 LLA 77

Bangalore, dated the 16th February 1978.

WHEREAS by State Government Order No. SWL 248 (2) LLD 75, dated 3rd December 1975, the Industrial dispute between the workmen and the management of Malaprabha Co-operative Sugar Factory, M. K. Hubli District Belgaum, was referred to the Additional Industrial Tribunal, Bangalore for adjudication.

AND WHEREAS the Presiding Officer, Additional Industrial Tribunal, Bangalore has submitted to the State Government its award in respect of the said Industrial Dispute.

NOW, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka are pleased to direct the publication of the said award as above, in the *Karnataka Gazette.*

By Order and in the name of the President of India,
B. MANAMOHAN,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

EDUCATION AND YOUTH SERVICES SECRETARIAT

Notification No. ED 79 TMU 77,
Bangalore, dated 19th December 1977:

The Government of Karnataka is the opinion that the ancient monument specified in the enclosed Schedule should be declared as a protected monument under sub-section (1) of Section 4 of the Karnataka Ancient and Historical Monuments and Archaeological Sites and Remains Act, 1961 (Karnataka Act 7 of 1962) and notice is hereby given that the said ancient monument shall be declared as a protected monument on or after 17th February 1978.

Any objections to the declaration of the said monument to be a protected monument from any person interested in the said ancient monument received by the State Government before the date specified above will be considered by the Government. Objections in this behalf may be addressed to the Commissioner for Education and Secretary to Government, Education and Youth Services Department Vidhana Soudha, Bangalore-1

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

SALOME FRANCIS,
Under Secretary to Government,
Education and Youth Services Department.

2274—S.O.—610

DISTRICT: S. KANARA
TALUK : SULLIA.

SKETCH

VILLAGE: BALPA
NO: 10

PLAN OF TRISULINI TEMPLE AT. BALPA



DIRECTOR OF ARCHAEOLOGY & MUSEUMS
IN KARNATAKA, MYSORE.

Scale : 1"—One Chain

ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂಬ್ರ ಸ.ಪಿ. ಹಂಬ್ರ	ರೆಸಲ್	ಖಾತೆ ನಂಬರು ಮತ್ತು ಪೆಸರು	ಮೂಲ ಗೇಣಿ ದಾರನ ಪೆಸರು	ಕದಿಂ.ಯ	ತುಮ್ಕಿ ಹೊಸ	ಪರ ಹಕ್ಕು
68.4	ಪೊರಂಬೊಕು	... ...	...	...	...	ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನ
68-10	ಹೊ.ಗೇಣ ಥಾಗಾಯು	64 ಬಳ್ಪ ದುರ್ಗಾದೇವಿ	...	...	ಯಿಲ್ಲ	...
68-2.A	ನ. I	74 ಮೋರಾಜಿ ಅನಂತ ರಾವ್ ವಗ್ಗೆರಿ	...		ಉಂಟು	
66-1.A	ಪುಂಜ	...	...	...		A.W.
67	ಪುಂಜ	... ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನ ಕೆರೆ	...			A.W.

.....

Revenue Inspector,
Panja, S. Kanara.

"Copy"

.....

30-7-77

Assistant Engineer,
Directorate of Archaeology & Museums,
Mysore-4

SCHEDULE

District	Taluk	Locality	Name of monument	Revenue plot to be included under protection	Area	Boundaries	Owner	Remarks
South Kanara	Sullia	Kanji	Durga-Prameswara (Trisulini)	S.No. 68/10 & 68/4 of Balappa village	0-65 Acres 0-45 Acres	East : 66-1A West : 67 North : 66-1A South : 68-2A	Sri M. K. Vishnu-Murthy	

Notification No. ED 20 TMU 76.

Bangalore, dated 3rd February 1978.

The Government of Karnataka is of the opinion that the ancient monument specified in the schedule should be declared as a protected monument under sub-section (1) of Section 4 of the Karnataka Ancient and Historical Monuments and Archaeological Sites and Remains Act, 1961 (Karnataka Act 7 of 1962) and notice is hereby given that the said ancient monument shall be declared as a protected monument on or after 3rd May 1978.

Any objections to the declaration of the said monument to be a protected monument from any person interested in the said ancient monument received by the State Government before the last aforesaid above will be considered by the Government. Objections in this behalf may be addressed to the Commissioner for Education and Secretary to Government Education and Youth Services Department, Vidhana Soudha, Bangalore-1.

By Order and in the name of the President of India,

R. SUNDARAMMA,

Desk Officer (Tech. Education),

Education and Youth Services Department.

2505—S.O. 611

SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	District	Taluk	Locality	Name of the monument	Revenue plot not to be included under protected.
1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	Bangalore	Channapatna	Kudlur	Ramadevaru Seethadevi	Plot No.S. No. 1

Area	Boundaries	Ownership	Remarks
7	8	9	10
140 x 60 yards	West: PWD Road East: Kaveva River South: S No. 1 field North: Laxmi Devi Temple field	Muzrai Temple Archak	Rs. 5,150 has been sanctioned by the Endowment Commissioner for repairs to Sri Rama Devaru Temple of Kudulur village. The villagers have contributed Rs.5,150 Entire amount of Rs. 10,300 has been credited to the Asst. Engineer, PWD, Channapatna but the work is not taken till today.

RAMADEVARU TEMPLE
KUDALUR



Social Welfare and Labour Secretariat

Order No. SWL 314 (II) LLE 77

Bangalore, dated 23rd January 1978.

Whereas the Industrial Disputes specified in the Annexures I & II appended to this order are pending before the Labour Court at Hassan for adjudication ;

And whereas the Government of Karnataka considers that a more expeditious adjudication of the said Industrial Disputes will be facilitated if the said Industrial disputes are transferred to the Labour Court at Mysore.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section 33-B of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby withdraws the proceedings pending before the Labour Court at Hassan relating to the said Industrial Disputes and transfer the said proceedings to the Labour Court at Mysore.

By Order and in the name of the President of India,

M. Honnagange Gowda,
Under Secretary to Government,
S. W. & Labour Department.

ANNEXURE TABLE I

Sl. No.	G.O. No. & Date	Registered Industrial Dispute No.	Name of the Parties	
1	2	3	4	
1	G.O. No. SWL 210 LLD 77 dt. 14-1-77	R.I.D. 2/77	Sri P. Rama & three others C/o Vs. The General Secretary Ideal Jawa Employees Association 1567, Sayyaji Rao Road, Mysore-1	The Managing Director, Ideal Jawa (India) Pvt. Ltd., Mysore

2	G.O. No. SWL 186(II) LLD 77 dt. 18-4-77	R.I.D. 3/77	Sri Shankaranarayana Et. Supplier Canteen, K. R. Mill, Mysore	The Management of K.R. Mills Ltd. Canteen, Mysore
3	G.O. No. SWL 295 LLD 77 dt. 28-5-77	R.I.D. 4/77	Sri V. K. Damodachar, Lorry Driver C/o Sri H. C. Nanjundaswamy, Lorry Owner & Proprietor of Flour Mill, D. No. 1063. 30, Main Road, Vidyaranya Puram, Mysore-4	The Management of Sri H. C. Nanjundaswamy Lorry Owner & Proprietor of Flour Mill, D.O. No. 1063.30 Main Road, Vidyaranyapuram, Mysore-4
4	G.O. No. SWL 302 LLD 77 dt. 14-6-77	R.I.D. 5/77	Sri C. Prabhakar, Worker in Sunanda Aromatic Industries, K. R. S. Road, Nettagalli, PO Mysore-6	The Management of Sunanda Aromatic Industries, K. S. Road, Mettagalli PO Mysore-6
5	G.O. No. SWL 233 LLD 77 dt. 15-6-77	R.I.D.	Sri P. Doreswamy, Furnace Operator Tot General Secretary, Mysore District Industrial Workmen Union, Kumbasakoppal Mysore-2	The Management of Trust Well Foundries Pvt. Ltd. Mettagalli Village, K.R.S Mysore
6	G.O. No. SWL 304 LLD 77 dt. 25-6-77	R.I.D. 7/77	Sri R. Nayaraj & other Workers C/o by its Secretary, Sunanda Aromatic Industries Employees Union, No. 41 Saibaba Fuel Depot. Ist Main Road, Kumbarakoppal, Mysore	M/s. Sunanda Aromatic Industries Mysore K.R.S. Road, Mettagalli Post

1	2	3	4	
7	G.O. No. SWL 389 LLD 77 dt. 27-8-77	R.I.D. 9/77	Sri Vishnu Rao D. Bidakar, Door No. 1083, Subbaraya Naidu Street, Irrigegud, Mysore-10	Management of M/s Linegowda Detective & Security Chambers (P) Ltd. Mysugar' Jayachamaraj-endra Road Bangalore-2
8	G.O. No. SWL 91 (8) LLE 7 dt. 6-6-77	7R.I.D. 10/77	K. Ridhakrishna Rao, Driver Mangalore Ganesh Beedi Works Vinaba Road, Mysore	The Management of Mangalore Ganesh Beedi Works, Mysore
	do	R.I.D. 11/77	Sri Madappa, Conductor, KSRTC Mysore Division, Mysore.	The Management of KSRTC Mysore Division Mysore.
10	do	R.I.D. 12/77	Sri Srinivasa, Secretary, Mysore District Copp. Employees Union, Mysore.	The Managment of Kasaba Large Sized Co-operative Society Ltd., K. R. Nagar, Mysore.
11	do	R.I.D. 13/77	Sri Jayaprakash.	The Management of Jaratha Bazar Nehru Circle, Mysore.
12	do	R.I.D. 14/77	Sri Rangappa & 34 others C/o KSRTC Mysore.	The Management of KSRTC Mysore Division, Mysore.
13	do	R.I.D. 15/77	Smt. Krishnamma & 3 others C/o Mysore District General Employees Union, Laxmi Buildings opp. Town Hall Mysore.	N. P. Shet Shet Durbar Agarbathi Factory Bharat Building, Madhavarao Circle, Mysore-4.

14	do	R.I.D. 16/77	Sri Raja & 8 others.	The Management of Govt. Silk Weaving Factory, Mysore-4.
15	do	R.I.D. 17/77	Sri Lavarappa (T.No. 1281 Ideal Jawa) 2317, VII Cross, Kailasapura Nandi Mohalla, Mysore.,	The Management of Ideal Jawa (India) Pvt. Ltd., Industrial Estate, Mysore.
16	do	R.I.D. 18/77	Sri K. V. Shivanna, Driver, Mallikarjuna Transport & motor Services represented by the Secretary, Mysore Dn. Motor Workmen Assn. Chamarajpet.	Y. M. Mahadevappa, Mallikarjuna Transport & Motor Service, Yelandur.
17	do	R.I.D. 19/77	Venkatesha, Represented by the General Secretary. The Mysore Division Employees General Trade Union, 1867, Sayyajirao road, Mysore.	The Proprietor, Ganesh Motor Service Dhanavantri Road, Mysore.
18	do	R.I.D. 21/77	Smt. Doddamma. Represented by the President, Mysore Division, General Labour Union, Mysore.	The Management of Srinivasa Industries Thilak Nagar, Mysore-7.
19	do	R.I.D. 22/77	Smt. Thayamma, Represented by the President, Mysore Division General Labour Union, Mysore.	do

1	2		3	
20	do	R.I.D. 23/77	Smt. R. Gayathri Rai C/o T. S. Venkatesh Typist, National Institute of Engineering, Mysore-4.	The Managhment of Neo Bombay Fabrics K.R. Circle, Mysore.
21	do	R.I.D. 24/77	Sri L. Marivappa, 225/1 Old Bandkere, K. R. Mohalla, Mysore and another	The Management of G.R. Printing Press, C. 23. 24, Industrial Estate, Yadawagiri, Mysore Town.
22	do	R.I.D. 25/77	Sri Sannappa Naika C/o Chowda Naika Workers of Shanthinatha Rice Mill, Hampapura K. R. Nagar Taluk.	The Management of Shanthinatha Rice Mill Hampapura K.R. Nagar Taluk.
23	do	R.I.D. 26/77	Sri M. Chikkaiah and 4 others, C/o Sri Beregowda, Sandalwood Oil Factory, Quarters No. 50/4, Mysore South, Mysore.	The Management of Spells & Snells Liners Corpn. Mercara Road, Hinkal, Mysoie Taluk.
24	G. O. No. SWL 91(8) LLE 77 dt. 6-5-77.	R.I.D. 27/77	Sri Shankaranaryana No. 4818/1 Pensioners Block, Rajendra Nagar, Mysore.	The Management of K. R. Mills Ltd., Mysore.
25	do	R.I.D. 28/77	Smt. Alamelamma, Represented by the President Mysore Division, General Labour Union, Clock Tower Square, Mysore.	The Management of Sunanda Agarbathi Factory, Mysore-1.

26	do	R.I.D. 29/77	Sri Ankaiah & 6 others, represented by the Mysore Division, General Labour Union, Clock Tower Square, Mysore.	The Management of M/s. Krishnamurthy and sons, Mining Contractor, C/o Shaw Wallace C. Ltd., Mysore South, Mysore.
27	do	R.I.D. 30/77	Sri Dharmalingamme & 8 others represented by the secretary Mysore District Employees Union, Lakshmi Building Opp. Town Hall Mysore.	The Management of Mysore Match Industries Lalitha Mahal, Mysore-2.
28	do	R.I.D. 31/77	Smt. Boramma Ex. Workman Govt. Silk Filatures Ramakrishnappa's House, near State Bank Nanjangud Road, T. Narasipur.	The Management of Silk Filatures T. Narasipura.
29	do	R.I.D. 33/77	Vasantha S/o Sampath Ramachandriah Panjanahally Veeranapur Post. Gundlupet Taluk Mysore Dist.	The Management of Primary Coop. Land Development Bank Ltd. Gundalpet.
	do	R.I.D. 34/77	K. Abdul Rahiman by the Secretary Mysore Dist. Coop. Employee's Union, C/o Narayana Nivas Hinkal Post Mysore-5.	The Management of the Mysore Dist Co.op. Central Bank Ltd. Nehru Circle, Mysore.
31	do	R.I.D. 36/77	S. Mahadevappa, Conductor, Manjunatha, Motor Service, Nanjangud Mysore Dist.	The Management of Manjunatha Motor Service, Nanjangud.

		2		3
32	do	R.I.D. 37/77	Nanjundasetty Ex. Workman, Mallikarjuna Motor Service, Mysore.	The Management of Mallikarjuna Motor Service No. 3, K. Lingaiah Colony. Torio-hald Road, Nazarabad Mysore-10.
33	do	R.I.D. 38/77	Varadaraja Iyer S/o Sri Ramaswamy Iyer Residing at Door No. 39 Gare Maoappa's house, Vokkalageri, Nanjangud:	The Management of Balasubramanyna Vilas Hotel Adjacent to Dalavai School Building Bazar Street, Nanjangud.
34	do	R.I.D. 40/77	S. Parameswara Workmen Lakshmi Talkies, Chamaraja Double Road, Mysore-4.	The Manag ment of Lakshmi Talkies Mysore-4.
35	do	R.I.D. 41/77	N. Nataraj, B Sc., C/o Sri V. Venkata-ubbaiah. Door No. 957/3 (K4) 6th cross, Sundakeri, K K Mohalla Mysore-4.	Sunanda Aromatic Industries, K R S Road, Metagalli, P O. Mysore-6.
36	do	R.I.D. 42/77	Mohammed Fazlur Rahiman represented by the President Mysore and Mandya Dist. Workmen, General Union, Mysore.	Proprietor, Maruthy Motor Service 1392, Church Road, Mysore.
37	do	R.I.D. 43/77	Nazeer Ahmed & Ahemed C/o Sri Madhava Rao, 1995, Akbar Road, Mysore.	Vasantha Industries Belvet Village near Kesare, Mysore Taluk

38	do	R.I.D. 44/77	R. Muthu, represented by the Secretary, Mysore Dist Co-op. Employees Union 325 II Cross, Ramanuja Road, Mysore	The President, Primary Land Development Bank Ltd Chamarajanagar.
39	do	R.I.D. 45/67	Narasingachari. R/o The President Mysore Dist. PWD Workers & General Labour Union, Hunsur.	The Executive Engineer, PWD Suvarnavathi Project, Attigulagura Post, Chamarajnagar Taluk Mysore Dist.
40	do	R.I.D. 46/77	A. Viswanatha Setty C/o S R. Sastry Member, INTUC Clock Tower Square, Mysore.	The Management Janatha Bazar, Mysore.
41	do	R.I.D. 47/77	K. Krishnaiah C/o The General Secretary KSRTC Karmika Sangha Mysore.	The Deputy General Manager & Divisional Controller, K S R T C Mysore Division, Mysore.
42	do	R.I.D. 48/77	Sri Ananda & 13 others C/o Mysore Coffee Processing Co-operative Society's Employees Union, Mysore-2.	The Management of Mysore Coffee Processing Co-operative Society Ltd., Mysore-2
43	do	R.I.D. 49/77	Sri George, Lorry Driver, Chamundi Spun Concrete Pipe Works (P) Ltd., Hinkal Mysore.	The Director, Chamundi Spun Concrete Pipe Works (P) Ltd. Hinkal Mysore.

1	2	3	4	5
44	do	R.I.D. 50/77	Sri N. Nanjaiah C/o The Secretary, Mysore Dist. Co-operative Employees Union 3/5, Ramanuja Road II Cross, Mysore-4	The Management of Taluk Agriculture Produce Co-operative Society Ltd, T. Narasipura.
45	do	R.I.D. 51/77	M. Madhu Driver, ATS Residing at D No. 15, Opp. Kaniyara Ramamandira Rajendra Nagar Mysore.7	The Proprietor, Arakere Transport Service 8th Cross, H. B. No. 12, Saraswathi Puram, Mysore.
46	do	R.I.D. 53/77	Sri Ramu. No 1539, Old Post Office Road Store Street, Hunsur, Mysore Dist	The Manager, Shivaji Talkies, Hunsur.
47.	SWL 91 (8) LLE 77 Dt. 6-6-77	R.I.D. 54/77	Smt. Girijamma c/o The Mysore Coffee Processing Co-operative Society Ltd. Employees Limited Mysore.	The Management of Mysore Coffee Processing Co-operative Society Ltd. Mysore
48.	do	55/77	K C. Karumbaiah, Sri Narayana Nivas Hilikatel, P. O. Mysore-5	The Secretary Mysore District Co-op. Central Bank Limited. Ashoka Road, Mysore-1
49.		57/77	K. Nagaraj. 486 Devamba Agrahara K. R. Mohalla, Mysore	The Management of Krishnarajendra Mills Ltd. Mysore

50.			58/77	K. Narsimharetty c/o The President, Janatha Bigar Workers Assn. Mysore.	The Chief Executive Officer, Janatha Bigar, Mysore
51.	"	"	59/77	R. Siddalingappa c/o The General Secy. K S R T C Karmika Sangha No. 1461/1 Akbar R1 Thilak-nagar. Mysore-1	The Deputy General Manager, & Divisional Controller KSRTC Mysore Dn. Mysore
52.			60/77	Abdul Wahab. The General Secretary Vs. K S R T C Karmikara Sangha, Mysore	The Deputy General Manager & Divisional Controller, K S R T C Mysore Dn. Mysore
53.		"	61/77	Sri H. V, Srinivasamurthy c/o	"
54.		"	62/77	The President, Mysore Dn. General Labour Union, Mysore	Sri N. Nagaraj pps G.P. A. Haidar, Ex. Maharaja of Mysore
55.		"	63/77	K. Gundappa represented by K S R T C Karmika, Sangha, Mysore Division Mysore	The Deputy General Manager & Divisional Coutroller, K S R T C Mysore Dn. Mysore
56.	G.O. No SWL 454 LLD 77 Dt. 14-7-77	R.I.D.	64/77	Rudra c/o The General Secy Mysore, Jilla Kaigarika Karmikara Sangha. Kumbara Koppal. Mysore	The Management of Trust Well Foundaries Pvt. Ltd. K.R.S. Road Metagalli Post Mysore-6
57.	G O No. SWL 91(1) LLE 77, Dt. 11-8-77	R.I.D.	65/77	B. H. Laxman Pai, No. 416 Out House Devamba Agrahara, Mysore	The Management of Jyothy Home Industries Vidyaverdhaka Singh Building Seshadri Iyer Road, Mysore

1	2	3	4	5
58.	"	69/77	S. P. Surendranatha, M L. Jain Boarding House, Chandragupta Road, Mysore	M/s. Gayathri Talkies, Chamaraja Double Rd. Mysore
59.		70/77	Karegaiah D. No. 203, I Ward Harija Street, Hollegal	The Management of Govt. Drainage. Kamagere Kollegal Taluk Mysore Dist.
60.		71/77	Narayana Mondalay D.No. 3, 11Cross, Rama Mandir Rajendranagar, Mysore	The Management of M/s. Falcon Tyres Limited. K.R. Road, Matgalli, Mysore.

TABLE II

Sl. No.	Application	Name of Parties
1	2	3	4
		MYSORE DISTRICT	
1	L.C.H. 28/77	Sri H. Shankar Prasad, D. No. 4709, Rajaput Block, 11C r o s s, N. R. Mohalla, Mysore.	The Administrator, Municipal Fair Price Depot, Nazarabad, Mysore.
	31/77	M/s. Ideal Jawa (India) Private Limited Mysore.	Shabeer D.No. 1059 10th Cross, Narayana Shastry Road, K. R. Mohalla Mysore-6.

	32/77	Shri T. Shamanna, 1377 B. B. Laya, K. R. Mohalla Mysore-4.	1. J. Srichand, 2. Arjundas both are Managing Partners. Managing Partner, Universal Distributers, 2932 Mysore-1. 2. Harilal, Managing Partner, Universal Distributor A/c. Gangaram and Co. Mysore.
4	33/77	Ideal Jawa (Pvt) Limited Mysore	V. Narayana Rao, D. No. 3218 Basaveswara Road, Old Wood Yard, K. R. Mohalla Mysore.
	34/77	M/s. Ideal Jawa (Pvt) Ltd., Yadavageri, Mysore-2.	Lakshman Road, D. No. 1381, Mosque Road, Nazirbad, Mysore.
	35/77	M/s. Ideal Jawa (Pvt) Ltd., Mysore.	Raziq Ahmed, 3035, Ram Mutt Street, Mysore.
7	36/77	Ideal Jawa (Pvt) Ltd., Mysore.	Abdul Jabbar D. No. 1039, 9th Cross Sunnadakeri Narayana Shastry Road, Mysore-4.
8	37/77	Ideal Jawa (Pvt) Ltd., Mysore.	C. Madan Mohan, D. No. 2265/11, 6th Cross Paduvarahally, Mysore.
	38/77	Chama C/o Secretary, Mysore City Municipal Labour Union Laxmi Building Opp: Town Hall Mysore.	The Commissioner, Mysore City Municipality, Mysore.
10	39/77	Chikka Chama C/o Secretary, Mysore, City Municipality Labour Union, Laxmi Buildings Opp: Town Hall, Mysore.	The Commissioner, Mysore City Municipality, Mysore.
11	40/77	Chikka Sidda C/o Secretary, Mysore City Municipal Labour Union, Lakshmi Buildings Opp: Town Hall, Mysore.	The Commissioner, Mysore City, Municipality, Mysore.

1	2	3	4
12	,, 41/77	Dodda Muttali C/o Secretary, Mysore City Municipal Labour Union Laxmi Building Opp: Town Hall, Mysore.	The Commissioner, Mysore City, Municipality, Mysore.
13	42/77	Kala C/o Secretary, Mysore City Municipal Labour Union, Laxmi Buildings, Opp: Town Hall Mysore	The Commissioner, Mysore City, Municipality, Mysore.
14	43/77	Siddaiah C/o Secretary, Mysore City Municipal Labour Union, Laxmi Buildings, Opp: Town Hall Mysore.	The Commissioner, Mysore City, Municipality, Mysore.
15.	L. C. H. 44/77	K. Madaiah C/o Secretary, Mysore City Municipal Labour Union Laxmi Buildings, Opp Town Hall, Mysore.	Commissioner, Mysore City Municipality Mysore.
16.	do 45/77	Ganga C o Secretary, Mysore City Municipal Labour Union, Laxmi Buildings, Opp: Town Hall, Mysore.	do
17.	do 46/77	Chikka Naga C/o Secretary, Mysore City Municipal Labour Union, Laxmi Buildings, Opp: Town Hall, Mysore.	do
18.	do 47/77	Sujeeva C/o Secretary, Mysore City Municipal Labour Union, Laxmi Buildings, Opp: Town Hall, Mysore.	do
19.	do 48/77	Amaragowda C/o Secretary, Mysore City Municipal Labour Union, Laxmi Buildings, Opp: Town Hall, Mysore.	do
20.	do 49/77	Doddaraigi C/o Secretary, Mysore City Municipal Labour Union, Laxmi Buildings, Opp: Town Hall, Mysore	do

21.	do	50/77	Kempalinga C/o Secretary	do	do
22.	do	51/77	N. Mada C/o Secretary	do	do
23.	do	52/77	Basavaiah C/o Secretary	do	do
24.	do	53/77	Mancha C/o Secretary	do	do
25.	do	54/77	T. Ramu T. No 239, residing at 2601 Pukshi Road, Mandi Mohalla, Mysore.		do
26.	do	55/77	Ranga C/o Secretary, Mysore City Municipal Labour Union, Laxmi Buildings, Opp: Town Hall, Mysore.		do
27.	do	56/77	N. Ganga C/o Secretary	do	do
28.	do	57/77	Chenna C/o Secretary	do	do
29.	do	58/77	K. S. Srikantaiah by the Secretary. The Mysore Co-op Employees Union 325, 2nd Cross, Ramanuja Road, Mysore.		The Honorary Secretary, K. R. Mills Co-operative Society Ltd., Krishnarajendra Mills Mysore-3.
30.	do	59/77	S. Viswanatha Rao, B. A., G. D. C., No 1063 94 1st Main, 7th Cross, Vidyaranyapuram, Mysore-8.		The Secretary, The Mysore Dist Co-operative Central Bank Ltd., Ashoka Road, Sehru Circle, Mysore-1.
31.	do	60/77	Sri Hussain Khan S/o Abdulla Khan Door No 565 Kantagiri, Nasarabad, Mysore.		M/s Boraiah, Basavaiah & Sons, P B No. 24, Tilak Nagar, Mysore.
32.	do	61/77	Sri M. N. Rajashekaraiah, S/o H. Nanjappa, aged 7 years No. 756, B. B. Gardens, Mysore.		1. Shanthivarma Jain, Proprietor Padma Talkies, Planter, Kunnikathi Post. S. Vynad, Kerala State. 2. Sri Raghu Chandra Ajri Adinath Enterprises C/o Padma Talkies, Mysore.

1	2	3	4
33.	LCH	62/77 P. S. Dase, T. No 451, residing at 7/91 IV Main Road. Yadavagiri, Mysore-2.	The Management of Ideal Jawa (India) Pvt. Ltd. Yadavagiri, Mysore-2
34.		63/77 B. V. Sathyanarayana, B A., B.L.. Advocate. Kailas Vihar Building, V. V. Market, Circle, Mysore.	The Honrary Secretary, Sri Krishna Rajendra Cooperative Bank Ltd.. V. V. Market Circle, Mysore.
35.		64/77. G. J. Devaraja Setty, represented by the Secretary, Dist. Transport and General Labour Union, Saklashpur post	The Depot Manager. KSRTC, Saklashpur, Hassan Dn.. Hassan.
36.	,,	65/77 Basavasetty, represented by the Secretary, District Transport and General Labour Union, Saklashpur Post, Hassan District.	The Deputy General Manager and the Divisional Controller, Karnataka State Road Transport Corporation, Hassan Division, Hassan.
37.		66/77 M/s. Ideal Jawa (India) Private Limited Mysore-2	Sri Singaroyal Token No. 1717, employed in the machine Shop of the applicant company and residing at D No. 4081, VIII cross Gandhinagar, Mysore.
38.		67/77 C. R. Rajasingh, and 13 others, represented by B. Srikantappa, member of the Managing Committee of registered Trade Union, by and Sri Krishnarajendra Mills, Karmikara Sangha and for the purpose of service of notice having the address B. Srikantappa, B A., B L, Advocate, Dhanvanthri Road, Mysore City.	The Management of Sri. K. R Mills, Ltd. Bangalore Road, Mysore-3.

39.		68/77	Sri C. Natarj, through the Secretary, Mysore Dist. Coop. Employees Union. No. 325, Ramanuja Road, IInd Cross, Mysore-4	The Management by the Secretary, Taluk Agricultural Produce Coop. Marketing Societies, Ltd., K. R. Nagar.
40.		69/77	Sri M. J. Subbaiah C/o Sri Jajappa Designer D. No. 1560. 6th Main Road, Servajanika Hostel, Rd. Vidyaranya Puram, Mysore-4	The Management of Govt. Silk Weaving Factory, Mysore.
41.		70/77	Sri T. Venkataramanaiah, Workmen c/o The KSRTC Employees Union. Mysore represented by Sri A. G. Kamath, Chief Vice-President.	The Management of KSRTC Mysore Division, Mysore.
42.	,,	71/77	Sri K. Narasimha Setty, c/o Janatha Bazar, Workmen Association, Mysore	The Chief Executive Officer, Janatha Bazar, Mysore.
43.		72/77	Sri A. Viswanath c/o Sri S R. Sastry, Member INTUC, Clock Tower Square, Mysore.	The Management of Janatha Bazar, Mysore.
44.		73/77	Sri S Hombaiah, represented by the President, Janatha Bazar workers Assn. Clock Tower Square, Mysore.	The Chief Executive Officer, Janatha Bazar, Nehru Circle, Mysore-4.
45.	L.C.H.	74/77	Sri M. Y. Rane C/o Walmaker Chawl Londa, age 41 years Londa, Belgaum District.	The Wisco Ltd., Formerly called as the Western India Motor Co. Ltd., by its Wood Manager, South Region No. 15. 5th Main Yadavagiri P.B. No. 58, Mysore-2
46.	do	75/77	Sri M.R. Gurumurthy & 9 others 6. Andra Devanga Building, Gandhinagar, Bangalore-9.	The General Manager, The Mandya National Paper Mills Ltd., Belagola-6.

1	2		3	4
47.	do	76/77	1. Sri M.C. Veerappa S/o Channaveeraiah, Aged about 60 years, Asst. Manager, Sachidananda Soda Factory, Mysore, residing at Kamadenu Trimurthy Circle, Mahathmagandhi Road, Bangalore. 2. Ramakrishna Mudaliyar S/o Sri B. Palani Mudaliyar aged about 65 years, Machine Man and Mastri Sachidananda Soda Factory, Mysore, residing at No. 1618, Sampinakeri 1st Cross, Mandi Mohalla, Mysore.	1. Smt. Shakuntalamma W/o Late Sri Ramaswamy Mudaliyar aged about 45 years residing at 1124 pstairs of Sachidananda Soda Factor Premises, Banki Navab Street Mandimohalla Mysore. 2. Smt. Jayalakshmma & Jayamma, IInd wife of late Sri N. Ramaswamy Modaliyar, aged about 28 years, residing at 1424 Upstairs of the Sachidananda Soda Factory premises Banki avab Street, Mandimohalla, Mysore. 3. Sri G. R. Sheshadri Advocate and receiver of the Properties if deceased Sri Ramaswamy Mudaliyar appointed by the Court by the Principal Civil Judge Mysore in O. S. 10/71 Whose address is at Geeta Road, Chamarajamohalla Mysore.
48.	do	77/77	Sri A. E. Ganesh S/ Erappa Major No. 953, A Ramanuja Street, 1st Main, Chamarajamohalla Mysore.	The Mysore Coffee Processing Co-op Society Ltd. Vanivilas Mohalla, Mysore-2 represented by its Secretary.
49.	do	78/77	M/s Sunanda Aromatic Industries, K.R.S. Road, Mettagalli, Mysore-3.	1. Sri S. Krishna Rao 39th East Cross Ashok Road Mysore-1. 2. Sri G. Chandrashekar, D.No. 936 Vth Cross, Ashoka Road, Mysore-1.

50.	do	79/77	Ideal Jawa (India) Pvt. Ltd. a Private Ltd., liability Company with registered Office in Yadavagiri, Mysore-8.	Sri M. Narasimha. Token No. 2307, employed in the security department of the applicant Industrial Establishment and residing at C/o Smt. Hanumakka New Bambo Bazar, Municipal Quarters, Mysore.
51.	do	80/77	do	Sri G. Das, Token No. 371 (Workmen).
52.	do	81/77	do	Mohammad Hafiez Token No. 1306. employed in the Welding Shop of the applicants Industrial Establishment and R/o D.No. 1137, IInd Cross, Mandi Mohalla. Mysore.
53.	do	82/77	do	Sri Basavalingaiah, Token No. 654. Degressing Shop of the applicant Industrial Establishment and R/o at D.No. 11, Sakaballi, Lalithadripura, Mysore.

M. HONNAGANGE GOWDA,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

2440—S.O. 612

GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA

SOCIL WELFER AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Labour Dispute : Industrial dispute between the workman and the management of Karnataka State Road Transport Corporation, Hassan Division, Hassan.

Award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Hassan Published.

Read the following papers :—

(1) Letter No. 11/78 dated 3rd January 1978 from Shri M. S. Vasudeva Rao, Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Hassan.

BEFORE THE LABOUR COURT : HASSAN

Dated this 20th day of December, 1977.

Present :—Shri M. S. Vasudeva Rao, B. Sc., B. L.,
Presiding Officer.

R. I. D. No. 19/76.

(This dispute was originally referred to the Labour Court, Mangalore vide No. SWL 79 LLD 75 dated 10th June 1975 by the Government. The Government of Karnataka transferred the dispute to this Court as per G. O. No. SDL 120 (iii) LCE 76, dated 3rd August 1976 for disposal).

I Party :—Jaffer Sheriff,
by the General Secretary,
K. S. R. T. C. Employees Union,
Hassan.

V/s.

II Party :—Dy. General Manager and
Divisional Controller,
K. S. R. T. C., Hassan.

AWARD

This is a reference made by the Government of Karnataka, Under section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act, The reference was originally made to the Labour Court Mangalore. Subsequently, the Government, as per G. O. No SDL 120 (iii) LCE 76, dated 3rd August 1976 transferred the said reference to this Court.

2. The points of dispute referred for adjudication is as follows.—

"Is the management of Karnataka State Road Transport Corporation, Hassan Division justified in suspending Sri. Jaffer, Sheriff, Driver. for 7 days without pay and allowance vide their order No. MST HSN. TR. DFI/256/72/5365 dated 8/ 9th May 1972 as a measure of punishment ? If not, to what relief is the worker entitled to ?".

3. The I Party has filed his claim statement. The II Party has filed its counter statement. Two witnesses are examined on the side of the I Party. One witness is examined on the side of the II Party.

4. J. F. Sheriff (hereinafter referred to as the workman) has been working as a bus driver under the II Party-management. A domestic enquiry was held against the workman and he was suspended for a few days without pay and allowance, as a result of the said domestic enquiry Ex. M. 4 is the chargesheet framed and served on the workman in the domestic enquiry. The charge levelled against the workman as mentioned in the charge-sheet-Ex. M. 4 reads as follows:—

"That on 8th December 1971, while you were on duty in vehicle No MYF-6273, playing on route No. 6A/7B, you had caused deliberate break-down of the vehicle due to starter failure and gear box sound.

II. That the above break-down is due to your rash and negligent driving".

5. As any one could understand, the charge as mentioned in Ex. M. 4, is that the workman himself had caused the break-down of the vehicle due to starter failure and gear box sound, and that the said troubles appeared in the vehicle due to his rash and negligent driving. It was sought to be contended by the learned Advocate for the II Party that the real charge against the workman was that he stopped the vehicle without any cause whatever, and that the language employed in Ex. M. 4 in framing the charge is not happily worded. Whether the charge is happily worded or not, the charge as suggested by the learned Advocate for the II Party cannot be read from the language of the charge as found in Ex. M-4. By no stretch of imagination it can be said that the charge against the workman as per Ex. M-4 was that he had deliberately stopped the bus without the vehicle having any trouble whatever. In my view the specific charge against the workman was that there was break-down of the bus on 8-12-1971 due to starter failure and gear box sound, and that this trouble developed in the vehicle due to the rash and negligent driving of the vehicle No. MYF 6273 by the workman. We have to see whether this charge was in any way substantiated in the domestic enquiry.

6. So far as the Jurisdiction of an Industrial Tribunal with respect to any action take by the employer against the employee after holding a domestic enquiry is concerned the law is summed up by the Kerala High Court in the decision reported in 1977, Vol. 1 L.J., 420 at page 422 as follows:—

"The principles governing the limits and the scope of the exercise of the Industrial Tribunal's jurisdiction in respect of industrial disputes arising from orders passed by the employers terminating the services of their employees have been laid down by the Supreme Court in the case of Ritz Theatre (Private) Limited. (1962-II L. L. J. 498). The guideline given by Gajendragadkar, J., in that

c.cision could be briefly stated as follows:— Where the em loye serves he rel vant ch rg or charges on h.. employe and rder a proper an fair enquiry, it is open to him to c upon the rep rt sub tte to hi by the Enquiry Officer and to di-miss t e employe conce n d. If the enquiry has been properly held, t e order of dismissal passed against the employee as a result of such an enquiry can be chal rged it is shown that the conclusions reached at h departmental enquiry were perverse or the imposed dismissal is vindictive or malafide, and against all fair labour practice. In such an enquiry before the Tribunal, it is not open to the Tribunal to sit in appeal over the findings recorded at the domestic enquiry. When a proper enquiry has been held, it would be open to the enquiry officer holding the domestic enquiry to deal with the matter on the merits, bonafide, and come to his own conclusion. Where it appears that the departmental enquiry held by the employer is not fair in the sense that proper charge had not been served on the employee or proper or full opportunity had not been given to the employee to meet the charge, or the enquiry has been affected by other grave irregularities vitiating it then the position would be that the Tribunal would be entitled to deal with the merits of the dispute as to the dismissal of the employee for itself. The same result follows if no enquiry has been held at all. In regard to cases in which no enquiry was held are the enquiry held by the employer was found to be not fair, it is, however open to the employer to adduce additional evidence and satisfy the Tribunal that the dismissal of the employee concerned is justified And in such a case, the Tribunal would give opportunity to the employer to lead such evidence, would give an opportunity to the employee to meet that evidence and deal with the dispute between the parties in the light of this whole of the evidence thus adduced before it. In such a case it cannot be urged that whereve the employer seeks to lead additional evidence before the Tribunal in support of the dismissal of his employee, it must necessarily follow that he has given up his stand based on the previous departmental enquiry and the Tribunal is entitled to examine the dispute on the merits for itself. The above principles have been reiterated and emphasised by the subsequent decisions of the Supreme Court".

7. In the circumstances of his case, the only point which we have to consider is whether the finding recorded by the enquiry officer is in any way perverse or not. I have already stated the

nature of the charge which the workman was asked to meet and defend. On behalf of the managment, the enquiry officer recorded the statement of only the reporting official and on behalf of the workman, only the statement of the workman has been recorded. The entire matter, so far as the management is concerned, depended on the statement of the reporting officials be ng called in the proceedings of the domestic enquiry. It is seen from the statement of this reporting officer that he deposed before the enquiry officer, that the workman had reported that there was the break-down of the vehicle due to starter failure and gear box sound but when the vehicle was checked up in detail it was found that it had no defect whatever, and that the vehicle was brought in the same condition in which it was at the place where it was stopped and then it was sent on line. According to the statement of the reporting official, the vehicle had no trouble at all. Whether that is so the further case of the management that the vehicle had developed some trouble like starter failure and gear box sound due to the rash and negligent driving of the vehicle by the workman is not at all in issue. Suffice it to say that the evidence of the reporting official himself established beyond reasonable doubt that the charge levelled against the workman was baseless and untrue. There was no other evidence on the basis of which the enquiry officer could come to the conclusion that the vehicle developed the troubles mentioned in the charge due to the rash and negligent driving of the vehicle by the workman. It is therefore, seen that it is a case where there was absolutely no evidence to substantiate the charge framed against the workman. The enquiry officer has also not held that the workman was guilty of some other delinquency than the one mentioned in the charge. What the enquiry officer has found is that the charge levelled against the workman has been substantiated in the domestic enquiry. It is needless to say that such a finding, though in a domestic enquiry is recorded without any evidence whatever to base such a finding is anything but a perverse finding. I am clearly of the opinion that the finding in the domestic enquiry that the workman is guilty of the charge levelled against him is perverse and hence this Court has to set-aside the said finding.

8 It was contended by the learned Advocate for the M party that apart from what is found in the domestic enquiry, this Court has to find out on the evidence adduced before it, as to whether the management was or was not justified in listing the punishment which it has done. Even in this Court, in the counter statements filed by the M ry. it is stated "It is stated that the petitioner, while on duty on 8th December 1971 had caused the break-down of the vehicle MYF. 6273 due to starter failure and gear-box, which was caused by him on account of his rash and negligent driving. That the petitioner caused the break-down of the vehicle deliberately causing inconvenience to the travelling public", It is thus seen that

even before this Court the II party has reiterated the very charge it had levelled against the workman while conducting the domestic enquiry Even before this Court, it is not the case of the II party that the workman had deliverately stopped the bus without the vehicle having any trouble, and thus caused inconvenience to the travelling public Hence, the question this court finding the workman guilty of something which is not even brought to his notice even in this Court, does not at all arise for consideration. If any such conclusion is reached by this Court it would be in violation of all the principles of natural justice, because the workman had absolutely no opportunity to have his say with respect to any such charge. Even otherwise, there is absolutely no evidence on the side of the II Party to prove any such charge as is now sought to be canvassed or in support of the original charge. M.W. 1 H. N. Srinivasa Murthy has absolutely no personal knowledge about any fact in this case and he has simply referred to Exs. M1 to M-6. He is working in Karnataka State Road Transport Corporation Hassan as Assistant Law Officer since 1976. His evidence is practically of no use.

9. W.W. 1.-H. P. Rangappa claims that he was travelling in the bus in question on the relevant date.-W W 2-Mohammad Jafer Sheriff is the workman. W. W. 2 has stated that he had to stop the bus after it travelled a distance of two furlongs from Biccod because the lighting system failed and that when he switched on the lights of the bus due to short-circuit the connecting wires burnt and smoke began to come. W. W. 1 has also stated that when the driver switched on the lights, the lights did not come but instead smoke began to come out and that the passengers of the bus began to make galata, and that some passengers and the conductor went from that place to send a phone message. Ex. M-2 is the reply submitted by the workman to the offence memo-Ex. M 1. It is stated in Ex. M-2 that there was a loud and odd sound that he was not quite sure whether it was due to any defect in the centre joint or gear-box, and that he had to stop the vehicle because of this sound. In his evidence before the court W.W 2 has stated that except trouble in the lighting system of the bus, the bus had no other trouble. It is no doubt true that the workman has made inconsistent statements about the reasons for stopping the vehicle But from this inconsistent statement, we cannot hold him guilty of any dereliction of duty or any misconduct when the II party itself is not sure as to the charge that has to be levelled against the workman. Even in this Court, the management has not produced any evidence to show that the vehicle developed any trouble owing to the rash and negligent driving of the vehicle by the workman. No sustenance can be drawn for this charge even from the evidence of W.W 1 and W.W 2 or from the inconsistent statement made by the workman To sum up, I may say that in this Court also the management has not established that the punishment imposed on the workman in question is justified.

When that is so, the reference has to be accepted and it must be held that the II party was not justified in suspending the workman for seven days without pay and allowance. The workman is entitled to pay and allowance for this period of seven days.

10. In the result, the Reference is accepted. It is held that the management of Karnataka State Road Transport Corporation, Hassan Division was not justified in suspending Sri Jaffer Sheriff, Driver without pay and allowance for seven days or for any other period as a measure of punishment. Jaffer Sheriff is entitled to get his pay and allowance for this period of 7 days. In the circumstances of this case, I direct the parties to bear their own costs.

Dictated to the stenographer, transcribed by him and after correction, pronounced by me in open Court on this 20th day of December, 1977.

M. S. VASUDEVA RAO,
Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Hassan.

List of Exhibits for management :-

I.D C. 19/76.

ExM-1	Copy of the offence Memo	W.W 2 11-8-77
ExM-2	Explanation submitted by the worker	W.W. 2 ,,
ExM-3	Show cause notice Dated 3/18-3-72	W W 2 ,,
ExM-4	Charge sheet copy	W.W. 2 ,,
ExM-5	Proceding of the enquiry officer	W.W. 2 ,,
ExM-6	Findings of the Enquiry Officer	M W 1 6-12-77

M. S. VASUDEVA RAO,
Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Hassan.

ORDER No. SWL 9 LLA 78. BANGALORE, DATED 27TH JANUARY 1978.

Whereas by State Government Order No. SWL 79 LLD 75 dated 10th June 1975 read with Government Order No. SWL 120 (iii) LLE 76 dated 3rd August 1976 the Industrial dispute between the workman and the management of Karnataka State Road Transport Corporation, Hassan Division, Hassan was referred to the Labour Court, Hassan for adjudication;

And Whereas the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Hassan has submitted to the State Government its award in respect of the said Industrial Dispute.

Now, Therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947) the Government of Karnataka are pleased to direct the publication of the said award as above, in the *Karnataka Gazette*.

By Order and in the name of the President of India,

M. HONNAGANGE GOWDA
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

2412—S.O. 614

GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Labour Dispute.— Industrial dispute between the workmen and the management of Karnataka State Road Transport Corporation, K.H. Road, Bangalore.

Award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Hubli published.

Read the following papers.—

(1) Letter No. LCH/1821/7 78 dated 2nd January 1978 from Shri P. L. Kumaraswamy, Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Hubli.

BEFORE THE LABOUR COURT AT HUBLI

Ref No 234/77

Present: Sri P.L. Kumaraswamy, B SC., B L,

Presiding Officer.

Date 19th December 1977

Claimant. Sri L. R Patil, C/o The President, Belgaum Mazdoor Sabha 16 8 3 Ramling Khan, Belgaum.

(By Sri Ram Mahapte Advocate)

Respondent. The General Manager, K.S.R.T.C., K. H. Road, Bangalore.

(By Sri S. M Hanasi Advocate, Hubli)

G.O. No. cWL 880 LLD 74 DATED 19TH NOVEMBER 1974.

JUDGEMENT AND AWARD

1. This case which was originally pending on the file of the Labour Court Bangalore where the parties had filed their pleadings has now been transferred to this Court The point for adjudication in this case is whether the Respondent is justified in terminating the services of the claimant w.e.f 16th December 1971 and whether he is entitled to any reliefs.

2 The claimant has contended that since February 1970 he was working in Kolar Division of the Respondent Corporation and he sought for transfer from that division to some place either in Belgaum Hubli or Bijapur Division and his request of transfer was not complied with. He complains that during his service in Kolar he was harassed by his co-workers by allowing his cycle tube to be punctured and not giving him required co-operation and also assaulted by his co-workers and therefore he applied for leave and came away. He claims that he has been issued a notice calling for explanation for unauthorised absence to which he has sent a reply and ultimately he has been terminated which according to the claimant is unjustified and illegal. He contends that the order of termination is made by way of punishment for alleged misconduct and that no chargesheet

has been issued and no enquiry has been held. He also contends that the authority has not taken into consideration his representation and no personal opportunity had been given to him to report for duty. He, therefore, prays that he should be reinstated with back wages and continuity of service.

3. The Respondent contends that the claimant who was working in KSRTC, Kolar division had sought for transfer in March 1970 on the ground that the climate in the Mysore site did not suit him. He contends that the claimant had not completed the period of probation. Therefore his request could not be complied with as a matter of right and because his stay in Kolar was undesirable during the period of probation. They also contend that the claimant did not evince interest to qualify himself during the period of probation and was not inclined to carry out work entrusted to him and has absented himself from duty. They contend that the claimant has not given satisfactory explanation for his unauthorised absence. They further contend that since the claimant did not prove himself to be fit and suitable during the period of probation, his services were not regularised or confirmed and therefore he has no right or status to maintain this case and hence he is not entitled for reinstatement or other benefits claimed. They denied the plea of ill treatment on the ground that he was a Mysorean. They contended that his services were terminated because he had not completed the period of probation satisfactorily.

4. From the several documents exhibited by consent by both parties and the evidence of the claimant and one Junior Assistant of the Respondent many of the facts are undisputed and these facts are narrated below.

The claimant was appointed as per Ex. M-1 along with one R. R. Kattimani, both the persons appointed under this order are persons coming from Belgaum District. They are appointed to a common Kolar division. The allegation in the written statement of the Respondent that the claimant was registered in the Hubli office and since there was no possibility of his getting appointment in Hubli division and when the Kolar Division was wanted, the consent of the claimant was obtained and therefore he was appointed to that division, is not seriously disputed. The order shows that his appointment will be on probation for 2 years. The date is 2-4-1970. This also stipulates that if he is unsuitable for job during the period of probation and if he does not improve inspite of being cautioned he could be discharged with notice. The claimant has worked in Kolar division for a little period of one year after his appointment. Thereafter he has been giving representations like Ex W-1, W-10 M4, M-5 and M-2 seeking for transfer on several grounds mentioned therein. He was not given a favourable enquiry of this request. But he has been informed by several communications issued that the transfer is not possible at present. There was an incident on 5-8-1971 wherein one Kattimani R. K. appears to have thrown a opened paint box on the cla-

mant in the presence of Divisional Works Superintendent. Therefore the claimant applied for leave from 6-5-1971 and up to 12-5-1971 and left that place and came over to Belgaum. Thereafter he has never turned up at all. After he proceeded on leave he got a notice issued through an Advocate Ex. M-1 to which a reply as per Ex W-3 has been issued In regard to the misconduct committed by Kati an enquiry was ordered as per Ex. M-2 and the enquiry Officer was appointed. Ex M-3 is chargesheet issued to the said R R Katti. This R. R. Katti gave his explanation Ex. M 13 and tendered resignation to post. The appointment of the enquiry Officer and issue of chargesheet and furnishing of explanation has been done on 5-5 1971 which is the day of occurrence. The claimant was issued a show cause notice Ex-W-2 Ex W 4 is duplication which was issued by inadvertant with Respondent. The claimant has given explanation to Ex. W-2 wherein he has contended that the stand he has taken in Ex. W-6 holds good Ex. W 5 which is a copy of Ex. M-8 is the reply to Ex W-4. Thereafter the Respondent issued Ex. W-6 giving him a one more chance to report for duty within 7 days from the date of receipt Admittedly the claimant did not report to duty. Thereafter the Management passed an order Ex W-7 terminating his services. The claimant preferred an appeal as per Ex. M-10. This appeal appears to have not disposed within 6 months. Hence after the claimant got a notice issued to the General Manager claiming for damages. This notice is Ex W-11 Thereafter the suit had also been filed before the Munsiff Belgaum as per plaint Ex W-8 claiming damages. Any way there is no material on record to show that the claimant got a decree at the hands of the Munsiff The General Manager has decided the appeal as per Ex. W-9 and has refused to interfere with the order passed by the Divisional Controller Thereafter a notice as per Ex. W-10 has been issued and thereafter the matter has now before the Court as the request made in Ex. M-11 was not considered by the Respondent.

5. In this case except calling for explanation no enquiry has been held as contemplated under rules. The contention of the claimant is that the representation given by the claimant has not been taken into consideration as no force at all. The notice was issued to call upon him to explain for his unauthorised absence. It must be remembered that his absence was during the period of probation because by the time he went on leave viz 5-5-1971 the period of probation has not been completed as could be seen from the documents marked. The Management has done The Management has done its best by issuing a notice to R R. Katti who had assaulted the claimant on the very day of the occurance and the said Katti has tendered resignation on the very day itself The explanation given by Katti shows that he has made some allegations against the claimant also. Any way that enquiry was not proceeded with because his resignation was accepted. In such circumstances, it

is fu la to contend th t the Management did not provided suitable opportunity to claimeh to continue in service. As I gather from records exhibitted in this case, the claimant appears to have invented this cause as a refuse for be unauthorised absences. Except through the notices sent through his Advocate he had not sought for the leave subsequent to 13 5-1971 H has not made it clear t the Court that he had leave to his credit. He has not even told the Court the leave was sanctioned to him atleast upto 13-5-1971. The request in the legal notice not issued on 7-5 1971 is to force the Management to give him a transfer and also to force the Management to grant him leave with pay or half pay or without pay till such time his transfer is effected. The Respondent has contended that the post held by the claimant is a divisional post which can not be transferred. The claimant has not shown any rule which shows that the incumbent of this post could be transferre under any rule and that to during the probation. He has not pointed any rule that he will get him right to get the leave sanctioned for indefinite period with or without allowance. If the request claimed by the claimant is to be considered it would mean that for indefinite period the Management has to keep quite a dd to go on sanctioning leave even without allowance and thereby hampering the work which the claimant was required to do. When there is no right given to him of getting the leave sanctioned and when there is no rule that he should be transferred as of right, his claim is unreasonable. I feel that the petitent relating to them assault by Kittu is only made use of to force the Management to give him transfer

6. It is not correct to contend that no reasonable opportunity has been given to the claimant to report for duty. As already indicate earlier he has been specifically directed to report for duty within 2 weeks of the receipt of Ex W-6. Admittedly he has not reported for himself for duty within the period mentioned in Ex. W-6 Hence an opportunity had been given to him to report for duty and the claiment has not availed of this opportunity.

7. The learned representative for the claimant contends that if it is a discharge simpliciter, he has no case because the Management can effect a discharge during the period of probation. His argument is that in effect it is a termination for alleged misconduct and it casts stigma on him and therefore he contends that since the enquiry is not held, the termination order must be set aside. It is fairly conceded that no enquiry has been held except calling for an explanation. The learned counsel for the Respondent submitted that in view of the explanation most of the facts were appeared on the face of the records and therefore there is no need to hold an enquiry. Even the submission of the Respondent's counsel is correct because most of the facts were known to both the parties.

8. I feel that there is no merit in the contention that this discharge is given by way of punishment for a alleged misconduct.

Ex W-7 no doubt has got two portions one relating to misconduct for unauthorised absence and the other for its conclusion that the claimant is not willing to continue in service. When the claimant has not taken advantage of the offer made in Ex. W-6, the only inference to be drawn is that he has decided to continue in service. The earlier portion of Ex. W-7 no doubt states that he claimant has committed misconduct under Rule VII 8 (a) read with XI (1) of MSRTC standing orders. If only the latter portion of Ex. W 7 was there, the argument of the learned representative of the claimant could have been accepted. But I find in the latter portion that they have come to the conclusion that the claimant has no desire to continue in service because he has not given satisfactory explanation. I can not agree with the representative of the claimant that the correspondence exchanged between the parties clearly show the reasons for absenting and which was according to him for reasons beyond his control. I can not agree with this contention at all. When the Management has taken steps against the person who committed assault on the claimant, the claimant should have reported himself for duty. If the Management had not taken action he should have taken protection from the Police and even after words if his plea was found miserable, then perhaps there would have some justification for staying in Belgaum. He can not assume that the conditions were not favourable to him. As already pointed his assistant has tendered resignation. Therefore any obstacle he had, had already been removed. In such circumstances when he absented from duty it can not be said that it is for reasons beyond his control and that the explanation given by him as to be accepted.

9. The unauthorised absence is during the period of probation. The appointment order Ex M-1 gives a right to the Management to terminate his services if he does not improve inspite of being cautioned in Ex. W-5 he has been cautioned but there has been no improvement since he did not turn up for duty. Therefore the very service condition Ex. M-1 clearly gives authority to the Management to terminate the services of the claimant without notice. Therefore the termination of the claimant would be justifiable. He can not now contend that his order is unjustifiable. He cannot rely of the order of the Appellate authority as per Ex. W-9, that some words have been used or not probably by inadvertance. Even this order has two portions. One relating to his unauthorised absence amounts relating to the claimant not availing opportunity given him to report and to show good progress. Therefore the portion that relates to unauthorised absence could be ignored. But the other portion will certainly prevail. That show that the order made by the Respondent is only by way of discharge and not by way of punishment.

10. This position has also been made clear in a decision of our High Court in W P. No. 4835 and 4855 of 1970 where a similar question has been involved and where His Lordship has held that the

termination does not amount to termination by why of punishment. Applying the ratio in that case I feel that the claimant has no case to contend that his termination is bad in law.

11. Therefore My finding on the 1st point of dispute refferred to me is that the Management is justified in terminating the services of the claimant.

12. In view of this finding, the claimant is not entitled to any relief claimed by him. No costs.

(Dictated to the Stenographer, transcribed and typed by him and corrected by me).

Dandeli camp,
19-12-1977

P. L. KUMARASWAMY,
Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Hubli.

List of witnesses examined on behalf of Claimant.

1) WW-1 claimant,

List of documents marked on his behalf.

1) Ex. W-1 Application of the claimant for transfer.
2) Ex. W-2 Show cause notice to claimant.
3) Ex. W-3 Reply to the claimant's advocate.
4) Ex. W-4 Show cause notice.
5) Ex. W-5 Application of olaimants.
6) Ex. W-6 Letter of Respondent to claimant.
7) Ex. W-7 Order of termination.
8) Ex. W-8 Copy of Plaint filed before Munsiff Court.
9) Ex. W-9 Order of Appellate authority.
10) Ex. Application of claimant.

List of witness examined on behalf of Respondent.

1) Ex. MW-1 Junior Assistant.

List of documents marked on behalf of Respondent.

1) Ex. M-1 Appointment Order.
2) Ex. M-2 Appointment of enquiry Officer order.
3) Ex. M-3 Charge sheet,
4) Ex. M-4 Application of claimant to Respondent.
5) Ex. M-5 Request Transfer order.
6) Ex. M-6 Notice sent by claimant to Respondent.
7) Ex. M-7 Application by the claimant to Respondent.
8) Ex. M-8 Reply to show cause notice.
9) Ex. M-9 Appllcation of claimant to Respondent.
10) Ex. M-10 Appeal Memo.
11) Ex. M-11 Lawyers notice issued to Respondent.
12) Ex. M-12 Application of claimant to Respondent.
13) Ex. M-13 Explanation submitted by Katti,

.....

Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Hubli.

ORDER NO. SWL 3 LLA 78, BANGALORE,
DATED THE 19 TH JANUARY 1978.

Whereas by State Government Order No. SWL 880 LLD 74 dated 19th November 1974 read with Government Order No. SWL 322/LLE 76 dated 27th January 1977 the Industrial dispute between the workmen and the management of Karnataka State Road Transport Corporation, K.H. Road, Bangalore was referred to the Labour Court, Hubli for adjudication;

And whereas the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Hubli has submitted to the State Government its award in respect of the said Industrial Dispute;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powres conferred by Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka are pleased to direct the publication of the said award as above, in the *Karnataka Gazette*

By order and in the name of the President of India,

M. HONNAGANGE GOWDA,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Dept.

2414—S.O. 615

HOME SECRETARIAT

Notification No. HD 40 TMA 78.
Bangalore, dated 18th February 1978.

In exercise of the powers conferred by Rule 316 of the Karnataka Motor Vehicles Rules 1963, the Government of Karnataka hereby exempts the Vehicles belonging to M/s. Bharat Earth Movers Ltd., Bangalore described in the Schedule below from the provisions of Rule 200 and 202 of the said Rules for being transported from the Factory at Kolar Gold Fields upto State Border of Belgaum District via Bangarpet, Kolar, Bangalore, Tumkur, Chitradurga, Hubli Belgaum and Nippani subject to the following conditions:

1. Permission is valid for the portion of the route from the factory at KGF, Bangarpet, Kolar, Bangalore, Tumkur, Chitradurga Hubli, Belgaum and Nippani on National Highway No. 4 upto Karnataka State Border.

2. The speed of the vehicles should not exceed 16 Km. per hour on roads and 8 Kms. per hour on bridges and culverts.

3. The Bharat 25 Ton dumpers will have to be run by the BEML at their own risk and should be driven between 6 a.m. to 6 p.m.

4. No damages should be caused to the pedestrains, other vehicles moving on roads, bridges and culverts.

5. Government shall not be responsible for any damages that may be sustained by the vehicles or its contents or occupants consequent upon the movement of the vehicles.

6. The strengthening of weak culverts and bridges if any, required for passing the machine, shall be done at the cost of the party and damages caused to roads, bridges and culverts should be made good by the BEML as per assessment of the Executive Engineers of the concerned Public Works Department Divisions/National Highways..

7. The local Executive Engineers of Public Works Department Kolar and Executive Engineers of concerned National Highways Divisions of Bangalore, Tumkur, Chitradurga, Dharwar, Belgaum shall be informed in advance the date of the movement of the Bharat Dumpers on the road.

8. As the existing bridges across Tunghadra River near Harihar is weak, the vehicle should be moved over the new bridge by using Shimoga-Harihar Road and the old bridge should not be used.

9. The red flags must be exhibited at either extremities-length and breadthwise.

10. The vehicles should come to a stop at the approaches of all culverts and bridges and then proceed at a speed not exceeding 16 Km. per hour over the structures. The vehicles must be brought to a stop when there are vehicles from the rear and front while crossing bridges and culverts.

11. Empty vehicles should be transported on the route indicated above and they should not carry any load over and above their self weight.

SCHEDULE

Bharat Haulpak Trucks Rear Dump Model 25

BEML No.	*Chassis No.*	*Engine No.*	*Transmission No.*
184	LCF 11185 CFA 13D	25112244	21308
186	11187	25112527	21536
187	11188	25112515	21547
188	11189	25112246	21541
189	11190	25112242	21302
190	11191	25112240	21340

By Order and in the name of the President of India,

K.P. RAMAKRISHNA,
Under Secretary to Government,
Home Department.

2592—S.O. 616

REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Notification No. RDN 56 TRM 77 (A)

Bangalore, dated 10th/18th February 1978

WHEREAS there is failure of crops in the villages specified in column (2) of the schedule below, owing to inadequate rainfall and the average cropwise yield per acre is less than Twenty five per cent in the said villages;

AND WHEREAS the Government of Karnataka consider it necessary to remit the whole of the land revenue payable for the year 1976-77 in respect of lands in the said villages;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by item (ii) of sub-section (1) of Section 194 of the Karnataka Land Revenue Act, 1964 (Karnataka Act 12 of 1964), read with Rule 147-C(1) of the Karnataka Land Revenue Rules 1966, the Government of Karnataka, hereby remits the whole of the land revenue of Rs. 1,11,282-53 payable for the year 1976-77 in respect of the lands in 69 villages specified in column (2) of the Schedule below situated in Somwarpet Taluk of Kodagu District.

SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Villages	Land revenue
	Sunticoppa Hobli	Rs. Ps.
1	Andagove	3129-02
2	Kanbylu	1037-62
3	Heroor	291-45
4	7th Hosakote	1959-02
5	Nakur-Sirangala	1295-96
	Somwarpet Hobli	
6	Nerugalale	1202-42
7	Yelkànur-Hosalli	667-47
8	Negale-Karkalli	539-11
9	Ganggoor	1396-13
10	Gonimaroor	4108-40
	Sanivarasanthe Hobli	
11	Doddamalthe	1237-44
12	Chennapura	1028-06
13	Kugoor	946-90
14	Chikkara	365-92
15	Mylathpura	1391-20

1	2	3
		Rs. Ps.
16	Ankanahalli	1324-52
17	Mensa	1442-33
18	Sidigalale	1359-83
19	Chowdenahalli	1009-78
20	Nidtha	1437-57
21	Hithlukeri	1002-71
22	Gopalapura	1803-80
23	Mullur	1768-27
24	Alur-Siddapur	1524-52
25	Doddakangal	2124-56
26	Malambi	1543-04
27	Horonalli	2112-90
	Kodlipet Hobli	
28	Doddakodli	2197-10
29	Kirikodli	1571-98
30	Kelakodli	1097-39
31	Kattepura	652-74
32	Kassur	650-17
33	Janaradhanalli	1177-52
34	Neergunda	1940-45
35	Agalli	385-67
36	Doddabandara	1242-09
37	Kudlur	1731-25
38	Kerekeri	996-00
39	Honnekodi	905-14
40	Koragallu	948-71
41	Niluvagilu	2709-53
42	Babaloor	1745-09
43	Madre	2230-04
44	Bedagotta	1659-72
45	Shivaralli	2784-41
46	Doddabillaha	2187-01
47	Uruguthi	1923-89
48	Bellaralli	1227-81
49	Hulse	1187-47
50	Mudravalli	1235-52
51	Managalli	1009-18
52	Hebbulse	1530-98
53	Kittur	1204-05
54	Nalkalgodu	828-52
55	Avarpdalu	1699-13
	Kushalnagar Hobli	Rs. Ps.
56	Nanjarajapattana	1798.89
57	Rangasamudra	899-72

1	2	3
58.	Rasoolpura	1208-55
59	Basavanahalli	1992-98
60	Bychanahalli	1278-28
61	Kudige	6198-33
62	Hulse	877-45
63	Kudloor	1804-56
64	Mullusoge	2408-44
65	Hebbale	2064-08
66	Thorenoor	3718-73
67	Maroor	2683-99
68	Sirangala	2635-91
69	Manajoor	2003-31
	Grand Total	1,11,282-52

By Order and in the name of the President of India,

T. L. KRISHNAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

2605—S. O. 618

RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND CO-OPERATION SECRETARIAT

Notification No. RDC 18 MMD 77 (ii)

Bangalore, dated 16th February 1978

'Whereas it is now proposed to regulate the marketing of Ragi, Paddy, Rice, Groundnut, Groundnut Seeds, Horsegram, Jowar, Maize, Tur, Avare, Save, Tamarind, Tamarind Seeds, Guava, Grapes, Tomato, Sapota, Potato, Carrot, Cabbage, Beetroot, Beans, Cattle Buffaloes, Sheep, Goats and Poultry in Kolar Taluka of Kolar District.

And whereas the Karnataka State Agricultural Marketing Board, Bangalore has been consulted on the said proposal.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 3 of the Karnataka Agricultural Produce Marketing (Regulation) Act, 1966 (Karnataka Act 27 of 1966), the Government of Karnataka hereby declares its intention of regulating the Marketing of Ragi, Paddy, Rice, Groundnut, Groundnut Seeds Horsegram, Jowar, Maize, Tur, Avare, Save, Tamarind, Tamarind Seeds, Guava, Grapes, Tomato, Sapota, Potato, Carrot, Cabbage, Beetroot, Beans, Cattle, Buffaloes, Sheep, Goats and Poultry in Kolar Taluka of Kolar District.

Any objections or suggestions which may be received from any person within 32 days from the date of publication of this Notification in *Karnataka Gazette* will be considered by the State Government

Objections and suggestions may be addressed to the Commissioner, Special Economic Programme and Ex-officio Secretary to Government, Rural Development and Cooperation Department, Vidhana Soudha, Bangalore-1.

By Order and in the name of the President of India,

G. S. JOSHI,
Under Secretary to Government,
Rural Development and Coopn. Deptt.

590—S. O. 619

COMMERCE AND INDUSTRIES SECRETARIAT

Notification No. CI 39 EMI 77
Bangalore, dated 20th February 1978.

In pursuance of sub-section (1) of Section 5 of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957, the Government of Karnataka hereby sanctions a Mining Lease in favour of M/s. Mysore Minerals Limited for a period of 20 (Twenty) years for Kyanite and Sillimanite over an area of 740 acres (299.47 hectares) of land in Devachala village, Sullia Taluk, South Kanara District, as per sketch furnished by the Director of Mines and Geology, Bangalore.

The grant of the above Mining Lease is subject to the terms and conditions appended hereunto.

Terms and Conditions

(a) Mining Lease should be in respect of Kyanite and Sillimanite only. If other Minerals are found in association with Kyanite and Sillimanite they should be brought to the notice of Government and if the lessee desires to mine these minerals along with Kyanite and Sillimanite he/they should do so only after the consent of Government is obtained in writing;

(b) Royalty at the rates prescribed in the Second Schedule to the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957 as amended from time to time or the dead rent at the following rate in respect of each mineral per hectare per annum, whichever is greater, shall be charged provided that dead rent shall not be payable for the first year of the lease.

1st Year of the lease.	...	Nil
2nd to 5th year of the lease	...	Rs. 12.50
6th to 10th year of the lease	...	Rs. 25.00
11th year and onwards of the lease	...	Rs. 37.50

(c) Surface rent at the rate of Rs. 2.50 per hectare per annum on the actual area used by the lessee for mining purposes shall be charged;

(d) If beryl or any other 'Substance Prescribed' under Section 3 of the Atomic Energy Act, No. XXIX of 1948 is found to occur in the property under the lease, the lessee shall make available such mineral to the Government of India;

(e) The lease shall be subject to the provision of Rules in chapter IV of the Mineral Concession Rules, 1960;

(f) The lease shall also be subject to the rules issued under section 18 of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act 1957.

(g) The lessee shall pay to the Director of Mines and Geology in Karnataka, Bangalore, necessary security deposit for due observance of the terms and conditions of the lease in accordance with Rules 32 of lease ie issued to him;

(h) The lessee shall submit confidentially from time to time or when required, progress reports to the Director of Mines and Geology along with analysis and representative samples of the ores collected during the mining operations;

(i) The lessee shall be governed by all conditions that may be incorporated in the lease deed to be executed;

(j) The lessee shall abide by the Rules contained in the Karnataka State Forest Manual, if the leased area covers any forest land.

(k) The total area held by the lessee under mining leases including the present shall not exceed 10.00 sq. kilometers;

(l) Government shall have the right of purchasing the ore at current market rates;

(m) The lease would be determined if the lessee failes to commence effective mining operations within one year from the date of execution of lease deed.

(n) The area mentioned above is subject to verification after actual survey;

(o) Local and other cesses as prevalent in South Kanara District.

(p) The lessee should make adequate arrangements for preserving and maintaining geological balance of the area to the satisfaction of the Forest Department.

By Order and in the name of the President of India,

A. LAKSHMANA RAO,
Under Secretary to Government,
Commerce, and Industries Department.

2611—S. O. 620

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Order No. SWL 62 LLD 78.

Bangalore, dated 18/20th February 1978.

WHEREAS the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workmen and the management of Jyothi Home Industries D. No. 2128, Behind Water Works, K. B. Road, Kolar, on the points noted below.

AND, WHEREAS the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication.

NOW, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Bangalore.

Points of Dispute

I. Are the management of Jyothi Home Industries, Kolar Depot, Kolár, justified in terminating Sri Fazaluddin and Syed Fiyazuddin from w. e. f. 25th September 1977?

II. If not, to what relief are the said employees entitled?"

By Order and in the name of the President of India,

B. MANAMOHAN,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Dept.

2612—S.O. 621

Order No: SWL 870 LLD 77

Bangalore, dated 24th February 1978.

Whereas, the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the Management of Indian Remedies (Pvt) Ltd, A. 191, Peenya Estate, Tumkur Road, Bangalore on the points noted below.

And, whereas, the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication.

Now, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Mangalore.

Points of Dispute

I. Is the Management of M/s. Indian Remedies (P) Ltd. 191A Peenya Estate, Tumkur Road, Bangalore-562140 justified in terminating the service of Sri Shet Sitaram, professional Service Representative, stationed at Mangalore with effect from 16th August 1976 ?

II. If not, what reliefs, Sri Shet Sitaram is entitled to ?

By Order and in the name of the President of India,

B. MANAMOHAN,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

2621—S. O. 622

REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Notification No. RDN 38 TRM 77

Bangalore, dated 25th January/9th February 1978.

WHEREAS, there is failure of crops in the villages specified in column (2) of the schedule below, owing to inadequate rainfall and the average cropwise yield per acre is less than 25% in the said villages ;

AND WHEREAS, the Government of Karnataka consider it necessary to remit the whole of the land revenue payable for the year 1976-77 in respect of the lands in the said villages ;

NOW, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by item (ii) of sub-section (1) of section 194 of the Karnataka Land Revenue Act, 1964 (Karnataka Act 12 of 1964), read with rule 147-C(i) of the Karnataka Land Revenue Rules 1966, the Government of Karnataka hereby remits the whole of the land revenue of Rs. 1,927-87 payable for the year 1976-77 in respect of the lands in 6 villages specified in column (2) of the schedule below situated in Sidlaghatta taluk of Kolar district.

SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Village	Land Revenue
	Kasaba Hobli	Rs.-Ps.
1	Patrahalli ...	124-05
	Jangamakote Hobli	
2	Ankathalli ...	280-67
3	Mithnahalli ...	202-37
4	Venkatapura ...	311-39
5	Basavupatna ...	433-31
6	Baluvanahalli ...	576-08
	Grand Total :	Rs. 1,927-87

By Order and in the name of the President of India,

T. L. KRISHNAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

2554—S. O. 623

REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Notification No. RDN 62 TRM 77

Bangalore, dated 6/20th February 1978.

Whereas there is failure of crops in the villages specified in cloumn (2) of the Schedule below, owing to inadequate rainfall and the average cropwise yield per acre is less than twenty-five per cent in the said villages;

And whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it necessary to remit the whole of the land revenue payable for the year 1976-77 in respect of lands in the said villages;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by item (ii) of sub-section (1) of Section 194 of the Karnataka Land Revenue Act, 1964 (Karnataka Act 12 of 1964), read with Rule 147-C(i) of the Karnataka Land Revenue Rules, 1966, the Government of Karnataka, hereby remits the whole of the land revenue of Rs. 8,594-17 payable for the year 1976-77 in respect of the lands in 8 villages specified in column (2) of the Schedule below situated in Mundgod Taluk of Uttara Kannada District.

SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Village	Land Revenue
1	2	3
	Mundgod Hobli	
		Rs. Ps.
1	Ugginakeri ...	735-71
2	Yarebail ...	699-70
3	Nandikatta ...	4,091-63
4	Andalgi ...	457-05
5	Hulihonda ...	1,050-61
6	Kalkeri ...	706-67
	Total ...	7,741-37
	Pala Hobli	
7	Chippageri ...	9-31
8	Attangi ...	843-49
	Total ...	852-80
	Grand Total ...	8,594-17

By Order and in the name of the President of India,

T. L. KRISHNAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

2607—S. O. 624

FINANCE SECRETARIAT

Notification No. FD 32 CAX 77.

Bangglore, dated 13th February 1978.

The draft of the following rules further to amend the Karnataka Agricultural Income Tax Rules, 1957 which the Government of Karnataka proposes to make in exercise of the powers conferred by section 63 (2) (k) of the Karnataka Agricultural Income Tax Act, 1957 (Karnataka Act Number 22 of 1957) is hereby published as required by sub-section (1) of Section 63 for the information of all persons likely to be affected thereby and notice is hereby given that the said draft will be taken into consideration on or after 1st March 1978.

Any objection or suggestion which may be received from any person with respect to the said draft by the State Government will be considered by the Government. Objections or suggestions may be addressed to the Financial Commissioner and Secretary to Government Finance Department, post Bag No. 5342, Vidhana Soudha, Bangalore-1.

1. Title and commencement :—(1) These rules may be called the Karnataka Agricultural Income Tax (I-Amendment) Rules 1978.

(2) They shall come into force at once.

2. Amendment of rule 32 :—In sub-rule (2) of rule 32 of the Karnataka Agricultural Income Tax Rules, 1957, after the words "the 1st of June of the relevant financial year for which permission to compound Agricultural Income Tax is required", the words "or within such further period as the Government may by notification extend" shall be inserted.

2. Amendment of rule 32 :—To sub-rule (2) of rule 32 of the Karnataka Agricultual Income Tax Rules, 1957, the following proviso shall be added, namely :—

"Provided that the Government, if satisfied that circumstances do exist for doing so, may, by Notification extend the period for filing of such application by such period as may be specified therein."

By Order and in the name of the President of India,

L. S. JAGIRDAR,
Under Secretary to Government,
Finance Department.

2560—S. O 625

Printed by the Director of Printing, Sty. & Publications, at the Govt. Press, B'lore.

PART IV

Section—2-C(ii)

STATUTORY ORDERS ISSUED BY THE STATE GOVERNMENT UNDER THE CENTRAL ACTS AND STATE ACTS.

FINANCE SECRETARIAT

Notification No. FD 2 BFC 78

Bangalore dated 20th February 1978.

Whereas, the Karnataka State Financial Corporation have, on the advice of the Industrial Development Bank of India, decided to issue Bonds in the form of Promissory notes for 192.50 lakhs to augment their resources on the following terms :

Issue Price	...	98.85 per cent.
Rate of interest	...	6¼ per cent per annum
Period	...	10 years ;

2. Now, therefore, the Government of Karnataka, in pursuance of sub-section (1) of Section-7 of the State Financial Corporations Act, 1951 (LXIII of 1951) hereby—

(a) guarantees the repayment of principal of Rs. 192.50 lakhs and payment of interest ; and

(b) fixes 6¼ per cent per annum as the rate of interest, on the recommendation of the Board of Directors of the Karnataka State Financial Corporation and also on the advice of the Industrial Development Bank of India.

By Order and in the name of the President of India.

A. SENGUPTA,
Deputy Secretary to Government,
Finance Department

2608—S. O. 627

GOVERNOR'S SECRETARIAT

Notification No. GS 67 BUM 77

Bangalore, dated 27th/28th February 1978.

In exercise of the powers vested in him under sub-section (1) of Section 14 of the Karnataka State Universities Act 1976, the Chancellor is pleased to appoint Shri P. G. Puranik, IAS, as Registrar, Bangalore University, with immediate effect and until further orders, vice, Dr. A. Sheriff.

By Order,
S. SOMANATH,
Under Secretary to Governor.

2624—S. O. 628

REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Notification No. RD 538 GHR 77.

Bangalore, dated 7/18th February 1978.

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-clause (iii) of clause (a) of Section 3 of the Karnataka Public Premises (Eviction of unauthorised occupants) Act, 1974 (Karnataka Act No. 32 of 1974) and in supersession of notification No. S.O. 2965 (RD 154 GHR 75) dated 4th September 1975: the Government of Karnataka hereby appoints the officers specified below of the Visveswaraya Iron and Steel Ltd., Bhadravathi to be the Competent Officers for the purpose of the said Act and direct that the said officers shall exercise the powers conferred and perform the duties imposed on the Competent Officers by or under the said Act in respect of the Public Premises belonging to the Visveswaraya Iron and Steel Ltd., Bhadravathi, *viz*,

1. The Chief Administrative Officer,
2. The General Manager,
3. Town Administrator,
4. The Divisional Manager (Labour Welfare),
5. The Deputy Divisional Manager (Legal).

By Order and in the name of the President of India,

V. S. BASALINGAPPA,
Under Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

2591—S. O. 629

Notification No. RDN 40 TRM 77

Bangalore, dated 3rd/9th February 1978

Whereas there is failure of crops in the villages specified in column (2) of the schedule below, owing to inadequate rainfall and the average cropwise yield per acre is less than 25% in the said villages;

And whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it necessary to remit the whole of the land revenue payable for the year 1976-77 in respect of lands in the said villages;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by item (ii) of sub-section (1) of Section 194 of the Karnataka Land Revenue Act 1964, (Karnataka Act 12 of 1964) read with Rule 147-C(i) of the Karnataka Land Revenue Rules 1966, the Government of Karnataka, hereby remits the whole of the land of Rs. 9,571-10 payable for the year 1976-77 in respect of the lands in 41 villages specified in column (2) of the schedule below situated in Gudibanda taluk of Kolar district.

SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Villages	Land Revenue
1	2	3
	Kasaba Hobli.	Rs. Ps.
1	Gudibanda ...	1,249-78
2	Gerumaladahally ...	108-26
3	Yalakalarallahally ...	451-06
4	Brahmanahally ...	297-24
5	Pulovamakalahally ...	216-90
6	Cholathimmanahally ...	123-47
7	Kanganthimmanahally ...	426-17
8	Somalapura ...	94-68
9	Aligodrahally ...	80-96
10	Hale Gudibanda ...	281-83
11	Machavalahally ...	156-21
12	Michanabandahally ...	167-42
13	Ullodu ...	352-80
14	Idrahally ...	852-33
15	Vabasaidra ...	443-82
16	Bathalahally ...	214-17
17	Myakalehally ...	121-28
18	Kondaralahally ...	113-85
19	Kantharalahally ...	329-05
20	Kalaiganahally ...	196-06
21	Pallaiganahally ...	96-02
22	Ochalahally ...	94-03
23	Thangadikunte ...	108-14
24	Vabasandra Aupnehally ...	130-69
25	Egenahally ...	292-18
26	Chadennarahally ...	319-12
27	Gyadaralahally ...	155-74
28	Nuligumba ...	626-68
29	Vaddaranaharavehally ...	59-56
30	Sanjeevarayanahally ...	77-43
31	Kadenahallydine ...	131-69
	Somenahally Hobli.	
32	Gajjilarallahally ...	292-75
33	Gangarahally ...	166-53
34	Payayalachinappanahally ...	109-13
35	Giddappanahally ...	138-11
36	Gaddanavagradine ...	76-33
37	Thimmanahally ...	228-73

1	2	3	4
38	Kalkipapanadine	...	22-66
39	Hosayarrahally	...	9-15
40	Uppakuntahally	...	110-53
41	Kurubarahosahally	...	48 56
		Grand Total	... 9,571-10

By Order and in the name of the President of India,

T. L. KRISHNAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

2555—S. O. 630

Notification No. RDN 43 TRM 77.

Bangalore, dated 3rd/9th February, 1978

Whereas, there is failure of crops in the villages specified in column (2) of the schedule below owing to inadequate rainfall and the average cropwise yield per acre is less than 25 per cent in the said villages ;

And whereas, the Government of Karnataka consider it necessary to remit the whole of the land revenue payable for the year 1976-77 in respect of the lands in the said villages ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by item (ii) of sub-section (1) of section 194 of the Karnataka Land Revenue Act 1964, (Karnataka Act 12 of 1964), read with Rule 147-C (i) of the Karnataka Land Revenue Rules 1966), the Government of Karnataka hereby remits the whole of the land revenue of Rs. 23,540 03 payable for the year 1976-77 in respect of the lands in 36 villages specified in column (2) of the schedule below situated in Mulbagal taluk of Kolar district :

SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Villages	Land Revenue
1	2	3
	Avani Hobli	
		Rs. Ps.
1	Banahalli	... 504-75
2	Kothamangala	... 1,330-47
3	Bellamballi	... 338-28

1	2		3
4	Ngasandra	...	703-44
5	T. Kurubarahalli	...	416-75
6	Bandahalli	...	589-28
7	Chickamadenahalli	...	338-17
8	Ananthapura	...	380-18
9	Kamadattikamanur	...	2,111-84
10	Kathigenahalli	...	166-08
11	Yelagondahalli	...	1,024-75
12	Pichaguntalahalli	...	428-42
13	Hosakere	...	662-90
14	Chiyandahalli	...	512-79
	Tayalur Hobli		
15	Koravenur	...	466-23
16	Tayalur Amanikere	...	816-66
17	Meltayalur	...	809-76
18	J. Hosahalli	...	1,054-74
19	Somarasanahalli	...	750-14
	Duggasandra Hobli		
20	Meleri	...	466-09
21	Agra	...	2,440-47
22	Maddaghatti	...	363-04
	Byakur Hobli		
23	Thammareddihalli	...	298-17
24	Punnayahalli	...	825-64
25	Hebbani	...	998-15
26	M. Gollahalli	...	1,027-92
27	H. Kodihalli	...	544-83
28	Bhangwadi	...	463-72
29	Bytnur	...	1,744-42
30	Doddagollahalli	...	307-02
31	Chikkagollahalli	...	235-28
32	Dasaralahalli	...	103-90
33	S. J. Ananthapura	...	745-62
34	Siddanahalli	...	138-24
35	Kotrahalli	...	90-49
36	Ganganahalli	...	341-40
	Grand Total :	Rs.	23,540-03

By Order and in the name of the President of India,

T. L. KRISHNAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

2557—S.O. 631

Notification No. RDN 46 TRM 77

Bangalore, dated 24th January/10th February 1978.

Whereas there is failure of crops in the villages specified in column (2) of the Schedule below, owing to inadequate rainfall and the average cropwise yield per acre is less than 25 per cent in the said villages ;

And whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it necessary to remit the whole of the land revenue payable for the year 1976-77 in respect of lands in the said villages ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by item (ii) of sub-section (i) of Section 194 of the Karnataka Land Revenue Act, 1964, (Karnataka Act 12 of 1964), read with Rule 147-C (i) of the Karnataka Land Revenue Rules 1966, the Government of Karnataka hereby remits the whole of the land revenue of Rs. 43,505-14 (Khist Rabi) payable for the year 1976-77 in respect of the lands in 23 dry villages specified in column (2) of the schedule below situated in Sindhanoor taluk of Raichur District.

SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Villages		Land Revenue
(1)	(2)		(3)
	Gudadur Circle		
1	Gudadur	...	1,251-02
2	Parpur	...	1,525-63
3	Jallahatti (D)	...	979-20
4	Bhairamgondal (D)	...	610-27
5	Deenasamudra	...	1,765-27
6	Gudgaldinni	...	1,415-36
7	Hampanhal	...	3,759-30
8	Nanjaldinni	...	2,279-61
9	Jogaldinni	...	478-85
	Gunjalli Circle		
10	Bappur	..	3,270-80
11	Bagalapur	...	971-08
12	Hokrani	...	2 399-96
	Turvihal Circle		
13	Kalmangi	...	6,209-49
14	Umloti	...	954-68
15	Buknatti	...	1,282-36
16	Chickbhergi	...	1,719-06

1	2		3
17	Hirebhergi	...	1,613-10
18	Gund	...	1,300-58
19	Hattigudda	...	1,038-80
20	Gadratgi	...	4,924-77
21	Gudihal	...	808-52
22	Hogarnal	...	1,711-77
	Kunnatgi Circle		
23	Tippanatti	...	1,235-66
	Grand Total :	Rs.	43,505-14

By Order and in the name of the President of India,

T. L. KRISHNAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

2558—S. O. 632

Notification No. RDN 11 TRM 77
Bangalore dated 10/18th February 1978

Whereas there is failure of crops in the villages specified in column (2) of the Schedule below, owing to inadequate rainfall and the average cropwise yield per acre is less than 25% in the said villages;

And whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it necessary to remit the whole of the land revenue payable for the year 1976-77 in respect of lands in the said villages;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by item (ii) of sub-section (1) of Section 194 of the Karnataka Land Revenue Act, 1964 (Karnataka Act 12 of 1964), read with Rule 147-C (i) of the Karnataka Land Revenue Rules, 1966, the Government of Karnataka hereby remits the whole of the land revenue of Rs. 72,114-62 payable for the year 1976-77 in respect of the lands in 144 villages specified in column (2) of the Schedule below, situated in Kolar Taluk of Kolar District.

SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Villages	Land Revenue
1	2	3
	Holur Hobli	Rs. P.
1	Kuduvanahalli	1,083-89
2	Muduvadi Hosahalli	618-94

1	2	3
3	Kondenahalli	520-62
4	Mallasandra	385-07
5	Jayasandra	263-57
6	Somasashtri Hosuru	234-50
7	Annihalli	254-84
8	Nenumanahalli	305-97
9	Amanichillapalli	683-84
10	Guligananahalli	285-38
11	Krishnarajapura	143-97
12	Kundasandra	429-92
13	H. G. Hosur	487-32
	Narasapur Hobli	
14	Kalva	305-55
15	Ramasandra	1,013-82
16	Uddappanahalli	572-47
17	Ramabhadrapura	62-08
18	Garudapalya	335-58
19	Parapanahalli	166-61
20	Lakshmi Sagara	229-81
21	Appasandra	180-98
22	Yandrikaipura	174-77
23	Krishnapura	229-44
	Huthur Hobli	
24	Raste Tambihalli	10-45
25	Doddanahalli	665-62
26	Gurupanahalli	190-45
27	Kallahastipura	750-11
28	Dhanamattnahalli	359-66
29	Handrahalli	538-47
30	Bettahosapura	383 96
31	Manjali	475-75
32	Channappaiahnahalli	931-04
33	Kondenahalli	93-60
34	Sujjanahalli	326-95
35	Nandikamanahalli	193-66
36	Kallahalli	1,574-67
37	Seethi	1,327-64
38	Chenjimale	267-84
39	Matapura	321-46
40	Veerapura	315 30
41	Madduri	913-80
42	Madderi Mallandahalli	910-02
43	Marjenahalli	817-78

1	2		3
44	Bedli		416-12
45	Pachharlahalli		191-66
46	Seetappanahalli		177-91
47	Lingapura		97-60
48	Settihalli		581-63
49	Tokalaghatta		559-23
50	Purahalli		386-87
51	Girijampet		310-45
52	Hullamkallu		949-05
53	Kuragal		1,543-92
54	Chandrashekarapura		340-65
55	Madivala		1,226-60
56	Maliyappanahalli		616-86
57	Gudigondanahalli	...	191-86
58	Manchandahalli	...	282-00
59	Kadagattur	...	605-56
60	Byrandahalli	...	1,056-29
61	Balangenahalli	...	398-13
62	Ragupathi Hosahalli	...	351-91
63	Harjenahalli	...	584-56
64	Kyalanoor	...	1,178-74
65	Sarkari Kyalanoor	...	55-83
66	Kyalanoor Amanikere	...	319-27
67	Mylansandra Amanikere	...	434-95
68	Tippenahalli	...	650-06
	Vemagal Hobli		
69	Adike Tota	...	039-0
70	Padiganahalli	...	348-17
71	Bellahalli	...	461-46
72	Karenahalli	...	302-24
73	Ammasandra	...	1,201-63
74	Nanchahalli	...	431-38
75	Veerenahalli	...	368-92
76	Beechgondahalli	...	1,267-22
77	Cholaghatta	...	836 50
78	Manivanahalli	...	578-47
79	Devarahalli	...	259-66
80	Kadiripura	...	138-90
81	Iragansandra	...	575-21
82	Jambapura	...	461-96
83	Belemarnahalli	...	902-03
84	Torauakambadahalli	..	146-78
85	Bhuvanahalli	...	392-24

	2		3
86	K. Mallandahalli	...	281-93
97	K. G. Mylansandra	...	137-64
88	Kadahalli	...	1,022-77
	Vokkaleri Hobli		
89	Sambapura	...	421-32
90	Bychapura	...	195-33
91	Arabikottanur	...	1,099-63
92	Madivala	...	262-49
93	Chaluvanahalli	...	556-76
94	Kaparasiddanahalli	...	64-49
95	Guddanapura	...	149-10
96	Pemettihalli	...	731-49
97	Talagunda	...	1,019-75
98	Chokkahalli	...	552-06
99	Horkere	...	290-00
100	Sulur	...	459-40
101	Koragondahalli	...	429-94
102	Papanahalli	...	179-99
103	Konepura	...	199-34
104	Parasaganahalli	...	883-25
105	Dandiganahalli	...	452-76
106	S. Gollahalli	...	573-29
107	Alahalli	...	715-97
108	Banakanahalli	...	203-64
109	Thirumalakoppa	...	524-89
110	Kootandahalli	...	426-50
111	Settikottanur	...	829-59
112	Setiganahalli	...	837-54
113	Chikkanahalli	...	396-34
114	Chinnapura	...	336-15
115	Bettabenjenahalli	...	391-82
116	Bettani	...	139-00
	Kasaba Hobli		Rs.Ps.
117	Poovandahalli	...	435-16
118	Paparajanahalli	...	327-65
119	Veebhutipura	...	100-99
120	Bettadahasahalli	...	81-43
121	Sultan Tippasandra	...	254-68
122	Kondarajanahalli	...	560-43
123	Yadahalli	...	1,085-44
124	Berramanahalli	...	703-16
125	Mercadahalli	...	345-70
126	Barandahalli	...	257-32

1	2		3
127	Gajuldinne	...	152-22
128	Begli	...	482-32
129	Kallandur	...	1,009-74
130	Kelathammanahalli	...	423-66
131	Kolar Amanikere	...	1,493-56
132	Kolar Kasaba	...	800-10
133	Ganeshpura	...	385-59
	Sugatur Hobli		
134	Hodalavadi	...	467-12
135	Kallur	...	449-38
136	Kombathanahalli	...	202-80
137	Gottihalli	...	1,016-00
138	Kolagunjanahalli		465-23
139	Byappanahalli	...	493-63
140	Hanumanthapura	...	281-95
141	Settimadamangala	...	845-12
142	Balagere	...	945-02
143	Pindipapanahalli	...	113-81
144	S. Amanikere	...	716-29
		Total :	72,114-62

By Order and in the name of the President of India,

T. L. KRISHNAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

2691—S.Q. 633

Notification No. RDN 42 TRM 77
Bangalore, dated 10th/18th February 1978.

Whereas there is failure of crops in the villages specified in column (2) of the schedule below, owing to inadequate rainfall and the average cropwise yield per acre is less than 25% in the said villages.

And whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it necessary to remit the whole of the land revenue payable for the year 1976-77 in respect of lands in the said villages.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by item (ii) of sub-section (I) of section 194 of the Karnataka Land Revenue Act, 1964 (Karnataka Act 12 of 1964), read with Rule 147-C (i) of the Karnataka Land Revenue Rules 1966, the Government of Karnataka hereby remits the whole of the Land Revenue of Rs. 14,148-82

payable for the year 1976-77 in respect of the lands in 24 villages specified in column (2) of the schedule below situated in Chintamani taluk of Kolar district.

SCHEDULE.

Sl No.	Villages		Land Revenue
	Murugamalla Hobli.		Rs. Ps.
1	Kondavenukapalli	...	442-70
	Chillakalanerpu Hobli.		
2	Kanchepalli	...	873-47
3	Gundlahalli	...	671-50
4	Vangimallu	...	424-51
	Ambajidurga Hobli		
5	Upparapet	...	437-21
6	Uttuvakayalahalli	...	651-59
7	Yarraseegahalli	...	206-65
	Munganahalli Hobli		
8	Kanikalahalli	—	111-33
9	Pillaguttahalli	...	193-60
10	Gudivabanahalli	...	226-01
11	Mindigal	...	2,302-68
12	Yandapalli	...	386-04
13	Vegulahalli	...	245-02
14	Bhoopanahalli	...	266-77
15	Kadadanamary	...	739-03
16	Punnapagutta	...	875-36
17	Moodlegollahalli	...	1,598-47
18	Kappalli	...	571-81
19	Lakkepalli	...	590-74
20	Kanchinaikanahalli	...	733-75
21	Koneliganahalli	...	680-53
22	Yarrayagarihalli	...	499-73
23	Yarrapalli	...	383-95
24	Siddepalli	...	35-87
		Grand Total:	Rs. 14,148-82

By Order and in the name of the President of India,

T. L. KRISHNAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

2602—S. O. 634

Notification No. RDN 56 TRM 77 (B).

Bangalore, dated 10/18th February 1978.

Whereas there is failure of crops in the villages specified in column (2) of the Schedule below, owing to inadequate rainfall and the average cropwise yield per acre is less than twenty-five per cent in the said villages;

And whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it necessary to remit the whole of the land revenue payable for the year 1976–77 in respect of lands in the said villages;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by item (ii) of sub-section (1) of Section 194 of the Karnataka Land Revenue Act, 1964 (Karnataka Act 12 of 1964), read with Rule 147-C (i) of the Karnataka Land Revenue Rules, 1966, the Government of Karnataka hereby remits the whole of the land revenue of Rs. 9,130–69 payable for the year 1976–77 in respect of the lands in 3 villages specified in column (2) of the Schedule below, situated in Virajpet taluk of Kodagu district.

SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Villages	Land Revenue
(1)	(2)	(3)
	Ammathi Hobli	Rs. Ps.
1	Maldare ...	2,362–60
2	Badagabanangala ...	3,156–77
3	Chennankote ...	3,611–32
	Total Land Revenue ...	9,130–69

By Order and in the name of the President of India.

T. L. KRISHNAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government
Revenue Department.

2606—S. O. 635

Notification No. RDN 36 TRM 77.

Bangalore, dated 6th/18th February 1978.

Whereas there is failure of crops in the villages specified in column (2) of the schedule below owing to inadequate rainfall and the average cropwise yield per acre is less than 25% in the said villages;

And whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it necessary to remit the whole of the land revenue payable for the year 1976-77 in respect of lands in the said villages;

NOW, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by item (ii) of sub-section (1) of section 194 of the Karnataka Land Revenue Act, 1964, (Karnataka Act 12 of 1964) read with rule 147-C (i) of the Karnataka Land Revenue Rules 1966, the Government of Karnataka, hereby remits the whole of the land revenue of Rs. 38,318-62 payable for the year 1976-77 in respect of the lands in 45 villages specified in column (2) of the schedule below situated in Gowribidanur taluk of Kolar district.

SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Villages		Land Revenue
	Manchenahally Hobli.		
1	Budagathimmanahalli	...	62-71
2	Narlahalli	...	203-83
	Thondebhavi Hobli.		
1	G. Bommsandra	...	1692-28
2	Kuntachikkanahalli	...	1196-82
3	Dyavarahalli	...	400-53
4	Thonde Bhavi	...	2485-61
5	Basavapura	...	863-09
6	Pothenahalli	...	702-98
7	Bhumenahalli	...	1093-81
8	Hanumenahalli	...	1244-83
9	Uchala Gollahalli	...	395-18
10	Kamalapura	...	339-70
11	Charukumittenahalli	...	593-89
12	Alakapura	...	2252-69
13	Nandiganahalli	...	371-49
14	Rayarekalahalli	...	828-36
15	Veerammanahalli	...	533-28
16	Dyavasandra	...	744-01
17	Nachikunta	...	230-11
18	Kallinayakanahalli	...	1159-20
19	Thippaganahalli	...	498-39
20	Kothur	...	909-44

Notification No. RDN 36 TRM 77.

Bangalore, dated 6th/18th February 1978.

Whereas there is failure of crops in the villages specified in column (2) of the schedule below owing to inadequate rainfall and the average cropwise yield per acre is loss than 25% in the said villages;

And whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it necessary to remit the whole of the land revenue payable for the year 1976-77 in respect of lands in the said villages;

NOW, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by item (ii) of sub-section (1) of section 194 of the Karnataka Land Revenue Act, 1964, (Karnataka Act 12 of 1964) read with rule 147-C (i) of the Karnataka Land Revenue Rules 1966, the Government of Karnataka, hereby remits the whole of the land revenue of Rs. 38,318-62 payable for the year 1976-77 in respect of the lands in 45 villages specified in column (2) of the schedule below situated in Gowribidanur taluk of Kolar district.

SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Villages		Land Revenue
	Manchenahally Hobli.		
1	Budagathimmanahalli	...	62-71
2	Narlahalli	...	203-83
	Thondebhavi Hobli.		
1	G. Bommsandra	...	1692-28
2	Kuntachikkanahalli	...	1196-82
3	Dyavarahalli	...	400-53
4	Thonde Bhavi	...	2485-61
5	Basavapura	...	863-09
6	Pothenahalli	...	702-98
7	Bhumenahalli	...	1093-81
8	Hanumenahalli	...	1244-83
9	Uchala Gollahalli	...	395-18
10	Kamalapura	...	339-70
11	Charakumittenahalli	...	593-89
12	Alakapura	...	2252-69
13	Nandiganahalli	...	371-49
14	Rayarekalahalli	...	828-36
15	Veerammanahalli	...	533-28
16	Dyavasandra	...	744-01
17	Nachikunta	...	230-11
18	Kallinayakanahalli	...	1159-20
19	Thippaganahalli	...	498-39
20	Kothur	...	909-44

1	2		3
21	Chikkahosahalli	...	874-92
22	Mindenahalli	...	683-08
23	Kadiradevanahalli	...	708-65
24	Beladhur	...	1570-63
25	Basavanahaili	...	278-41
	Nagaregere Hobli.		
1	Nagaregere	...	2098-39
2	Muttavajahalli	...	243-07
3	Narlahalli	...	172-37
4	Muddalahalli	...	253-45
5	Chikkamallenahalli	...	191-78
6	Pillaguttahalli	...	74-69
7	Krisnarajapura	...	280-78
8	Mallegowdanadinne	...	291-30
9	Kodihalli	...	283-50
10	Muddalodu	...	1435-74
11	Velapi	...	1484-35
12	Katanakallu	...	1855-24
	Kasaba Hobli.		
1	Kalludi	...	2929-17
2	Karekallahalli	...	1424-60
3	Devarahosahalli	...	527-36
4	Gundapura	...	1230-56
5	Gowribidanur	...	98-46
6	Puttapurahalli	...	493-77
		Grand Total ...	38,318-62

By Order and in the name of the President of India,

T. L. KRISHNAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

2610—S.O. 636

COMMERCE AND INDUSTRIES SECRETARIAT

Notification No. CI 110 EPO 76.

Bangalore, dated 27th February 1978.

In pursuance of sub-section (1) of Section 5 of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957 and after obtaining approval of the Government of India, accorded under sub-section (2) of Section 5 of the said Act *vide* their letter No. 5/66/76 M V

dated 20th January 1978, the Government of Karnataka hereby sanction a Prospecting Licence in favour of Shri D. A. Chandramouli for a period of two years for Iron ore over an area of 120 acres of land in Shiragola village, Chickamagalur Taluk, Chickamagalur District, as per sketch furnished by the Director of Mines and Geology, Bangalore.

The grant of the above said Prospecting Licence is subject to the terms and conditions appended hereunto.

Terms and Conditions

1. The licence shall be operative for a period of two years with effect from the date of execution. The option to renew the licence for a further period shall be reserved to Government.

2. The licensee shall pay to Government a Prospecting fee of Rs. 2.50 per hectare of the land covered by the licence for each year or portion of a year.

3. The quantity of ore to be removed under this licence both on payment of royalty and free of royalty, shall be in accordance with the limits prescribed under Rule 14 (ii) and (iii) and under Schedule III respectively of the Mineral Concession Rules, 1960. The royalty payable on the Minerals shall be in accordance with the rates prescribed in Second Schedule to the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957 and as amended from time to time.

4. The licensee shall make available to the Government of India beryl or any other 'substance prescribed' under Section 3 of the Atomic Energy Act No. XXIX of 1948 if they occur in the property under licence;

5. The licence shall be subject to the rules issued under Sections 13 and 18 of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957 (No. 67 of 1957).

6. If any other mineral is traced during the course of prospecting, the licensee shall report about the same to the Director of Mines and Geology and shall not work such minerals without obtaining prior permission of Government;

7. The licensee shall furnish an accurate final map of areas covered by the licence together with a description, giving the situation and boundaries of the areas duly attested by the licensee. The Director of Mines and Geology is authorised to get the accurate final map with survey numbers etc., prepared and demarcation done before executing the licence if the licensee so desired and to recover the cost of the same from the licensee;

8. The licensee shall, before the deposit is returned to him submit confidentially to the Director of Mines and Geology from time to time or whenever required a full report of the work done by him and disclose all information acquired in the course of the operations

carried on under the licence regarding the geology and mineral resources of the area covered by the licence ;

9. The licensee shall allow any officer authorised by the Central or the State Government to inspect at any time the area covered by the Prospecting licence as also any accounts, plans and records maintained by him ;

10. The licensee shall before the licence is issued to him deposit as security a sum of Rs. 200 in respect of every square kilometer or part thereof for which the licence is granted for the due observance of the terms and conditions of the licence ;

11. The licensee shall engage a qualified Geologist or a Mining engineer to conduct the prospecting methodically ;

12. The licensee shall not give any ore-raising contract during the prospecting stage ;

13. The above mentioned area is subject to verification after actual survey ; and

14. Local and other Cesses as prevalent in Chickmagalur District.

By Order and in the name of the President of India,

.....

Under Secretary to Government,
Commerce and Industries Department.

2624—S. O. 637

Notification No. CI 112 EMI 77.

Bangalore, dated 27th February 1978.

In pursuance of sub-section (1) of Section 5 of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957, the Government of Karnataka hereby sanctions a Mining Lease in favour of Shri M. A. K. Bagmar for a period of 20 (twenty) years for China clay over an area of 8.96 hectares of land in Kauthana Village, Bidar Taluk, Bidar District, as per sketch furnished by the Director of Mines and Geology, Bangalore.

The grant of the above Mining Lease is subject to the terms and conditions noted below.

Terms and Conditions

(a) Mining Lease should be in respect of only China clay only. If other Minerals are found in association with China clay they should be brought to the notice of Government and if the lessee desires to mine these minerals alongwith China clay he should do so only after the consent of government is obtained in writing ;

(b) Royalty at the rates prescribed in the Second Schedule to the Mine and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957 or the dead rent at the following rate in respect of each mineral per hectare per annum, whichever is greater, shall be charged provided that dead rent shall not be payable for the first year of the lease.

1st year of the lease	...	Nil
2nd to 5th year of the lease	...	Rs. 12-50
6th to 10th year of the lease	...	Rs. 25-00
11th year and onwards of the lease	...	Rs. 37-50

(c) Surface rent at the rate of Rs. 2-50 per hectare per annum on the actual area used by the lessee for mining purposes shall be charged.

(d) If beryl or any other "substance prescribed" under Section 3 of the Atomic Energy Act No. XXIX of 1948 is found to occur in the property under the lease, the lessee shall make available such minerals to the Government of India.

(e) The lease shall be subject to the provisions of Rules in Chapter IV of the Mineral Concession Rules, 1960.

(f) The lease shall also be subject to the rules issued under Section 18 of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957.

(g) The lessee shall pay to the Director of Mines and Geology in Karnataka, Bangalore, necessary security deposit for due observance of the terms and conditions of the lease in accordance with Rules 32 of Lease is issued to him.

(h) The lessee shall submit confidentially from time to time or when required, progress reports to the Director of Mines and Geology along with analysis and representative samples of the ores collected during the mining operations.

(i) The lessee shall be governed by all conditions that may be incorporated in the lease deed to be executed;

(j) The lessee shall abide by the Rules contained in the Karnataka State Forest Manual, if the leased area covers any forest land.

(k) The total area held by the lessee under Mining leases including the present shall not exceed 25.09 Sq. Kilometers;

(l) Government shall have the right of purchasing the ore at current market rates;

(m) The lease would be determined if the lessee fails to commence effective mining operations within one year from the date of execution of lease deed.

(n) The area mentioned above is subject to verification after actual survey;

(o) Local and other cesses as prevalent in Bidar District.

By Order and in the name of the President of India,

.....

Under Secretary to Government,
Commerce and Industries Department.

2826—S. O. 638

GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Labour Dispute.—Industrial dispute between the workman and the management of Kirloskar Electric Company Ltd. Bangalore-3.

Award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Hubli. Published Read the following papers.

1 Letter No. LCH/1832/77-78, dated 4th January 1978 from Shri P. L. Kumaswamy, Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Hubli.

BEFORE THE LABOUR COURT AT HUBLI

Ref No. 73/1977

Present —Sri P. L. Kumaswamy, B.Sc., B.L.,
Presiding Officer
Dated 3rd December 1977

Claimant.—Sri Jayaramaiah C/o The President, Kirloskar Electric Co., Employees' Association, Vinayak Buildings, 1st Cross, Malleswaram, Bangalore-3.

Respondent.—The Management of Kirloskar Electric Co., Ltd., Bangalore-3.

G. O No. FCL 629 LLD 68 DATED 12TH FEBURARY 1973

JUDGEMENT AND AWARD

The question for adjudication in this case is whether the Respondent is justified in terminating the services of the claimant and if the claimant is entitled to any reliefs.

2. The matter was originally referred to the Labour Court, Bangalore where the pleadings had been filed and many proceedings had taken place and the Labour Court Bangalore had passed three orders when one order of the Labour Court, Bangalore, was challenged in the High Court, a stay had been granted and that stay ultimately has been vacated by the High Court. At this stage the case has been made over to this Court.

3. I am not adverting to all the allegations in the pleadings, because I am at present required to give finding on 2 preliminary issues. One is with regard to existence of Industrial dispute and the other is about the validity of domestic enquiry. The claimant had contended that his services have been dispensed with illegally. He has contended that the domestic enquiry held against him is improper because the enquiry Officer has not given him a copy of a complaint on the basis of which a chargesheet was issued. He has also contended that the findings are preverse and that the action is not just and it is smacks of victimisation as the claimant was

selected for punishment because he was joint Secretary of the Association and this action against the claimant was taken as a counter to the peaceful agitation run by the Union and the workman in support of their demand. He also contended that the punishment is contrary to standings orders and that the punishment is excessive. He prays for reinstatement with back wages and continuity of service.

4. The Respondent had contended that there was no demand and as such there was no industrial dispute and that this Court has no jurisdiction. It had also contended that a proper enquiry has been held and that after a finding of guilty, he has been dealt within accordance with the Law, in regard to the misconduct of loitering and intiminating lady employees of the Respondent. They have also submitted that there was also another enquiry of fraud which has also been enquired into and there also a finding had been recorded that he was guilty of misconduct and that no order of punishment was passed on him because the claimant was not in the service of Respondent at that time. They contend that the domestic enquiry held is fair and proper and the punishment is also proportionate to the gravity of the misconduct alleged.

5. I see no force in the contention of the Management that there was no demand. WW-1 has stated that two demands were sent as per Ex. M-1 and M-2 and for which no reply has been sent. Even MW-1 admits that Ex. M-1 and M 2 are received by him. A perusal of Ex. M-1 and M-2 would show that the claimant has clearly brought to the notice of the Management that the action in terminating claimants services is illegal. In Ex. M-2 the Association has clearly demanded the reinstatement of the claimant. MW-1 does not remember if any reply is sent to Ex. M-1 and M-2. In view of this evidence, I feel that the claimant's contention has to be accepted that they have made a demand before the reference was made to this Court and that they have received no reply by which one has to infer that the demand in Ex. M-2 has not been accepted by the Management. Therefore in the eye of law there has been a demand and an Industrial dispute on the date of reference. Hence it would be futile to contend that this Court had no jurisdiction.

6. The fact that a chargesheet as per Ex. M-6 has been issued to which an explanation as per Ex. M-7 is received is practically admitted. Even the fact that the witnesses for the management have been examined and their evidence is recorded as per Ex. M-9 to M-15 and that the claimant had opportunity to cross examine all these witnesses is practically admitted. Ex. M-8 and Ex. M-18 show that the claimant has been examined both before the commencemenf of recording evidence and after the evidence for the Management was recorded. I also find that two witnesses for the defence have been examined as M-16 and M-17 and on the basis of this finding, the

enquiry Officer has given a finding as per Ex M-19 which also contains the order of dismissal. Ex M-20 in this case is the order-sheet maintained by the enquiry Officer which show that the representative or the claimant have signed on each days proceedings The person who held the enquiry is one Shivanagi who is examined as MW-1 in this case. This officer has been employed by the Managing Agents in Ex. M-5 to issue chargesheet and also to impose punishment. The main grievance of the claimant is that Ex M-22 which is the basis for the issue of chargesheet Ex. M-6 had not been furnished to the claimant. In evidence MW-1 has stated nothing to the effect that he was not furnished with a copy of Ex. M-22. On the other hand MW-1 has clearly stated that he had furnished a copy of Ex. M-22 to the claimant. I have to believe the evidence of MW-1 in this regard particularly when there is no evidence on behalf of the claimant to show that he did not receive a copy of Ex. M-22. His allegation in the claim statement has remained only an allegation. The only other fact in this regard is that Ex. M-22 marked in this court had not been exhibited as an exhibit in the enquiry proceedings. That by itself doesn't vitiate the domestic enquiry, nor does it go to show that a copy of Ex M-22 was not furnished along with Ex. M-6, particularly when MW-1 has sworn to this fact. Hence there is no force in the contention that the enquiry proceedings are vitiated for not furnishing a copy of Ex. M-22 to the claimant.

7. The other contention is that the findings is perverse. The charge as per Ex. M-6 is that the claimant went round the Cost Accounts Department and coeced ladies there to wear protest badges distributed by him and when they refused to do so he threatened them with dire consequences when they went out of the factory and that he along with few others followed the ladies and teased them and used indecent language towards them on their way home. On perusal of Ex. M-19 I cannot come to the conclusion that the findings are perverse. For every finding the enquiry Officer has given reasons and to come to conclusion that the his conduct alleged are proved, he has depended on a valid evidence recorded in the presence of the claimant. The claimant coming to Cost Account Dept has been proved from the evidence of the witness examined for the Management That evidence clearly shows that the claimant had no business normally to come to the cost accounts Department and particularly on 17th March 1965. The Enquiry Officer therefore rightly depended on the evidence of witnesses for the Manrgement to come to the conclusion that the person who had no work in the Cost Accounts Department was found loitering in the Cost Accounts Department. He has also taken into consideration the evidence of two defence witness and reasoned out that their evidence will not help the claimant to hold that he had not at all come to the Cost Accounts Department. His reason is valid as he has stated that after the

coffee time in the Department where the claimant was working, he might have come to the Cost Accounts Department during coffee hours of that Department. The evidence of defesnce itself will how that the Coffee supplier would go from one Department to another Department to supply the Coffee. Therefore it might be probable that the coffee was served in the Accounts Department after it was served in the Dapartment where the claimant was working. Hence loitering has been amply proved from the valid evidence that was recorded by the enquiry Officer.

8. Even with regard to the intimidisation to the ladies, there is enough material particularly from the evidence of the ladies witnesses examined in the enquiry. Their evidence remains unchallenged. All of them have stated that this claimant came to the Department and asked them to wear Badges and on their refusal he threatened them that they would face dire conseque ces. Since this aspect is not at all challenged in the crossexamination, the enquiry Officer has rightly come to the conclusion that the intimidisation and the lady employees is proved. Hence I cannot come to the conclusion that the findings of the enquiry Officer are in any way perverse.

9. Even with regard to the claimant and few others following the ladies and teasing them and using indecent language, there is valid evidence of one Smt. Shanta and Vijaya Kulkarni. Both of them have stated that on 1-8-75 when they were g ing with another lady employees, this claimant and few others not known, to them have followed them upto 18th Cross. In the evidence it is stated that Vijaya Kulkarni took a turn in the 18th Cross and thereafter she was not followed by any of these workers. The evidence of Shanta before the enquiry Officer discloses that these persons followed her upto 13th Cross and that they were using indecent language. Therefore I feel that this aspect is also based on valid and sound evidence. Hence I cannot agree with the claimant that the findings of the enquiry Officer is perverse.

10. The other claim is that this action is an act of victimisation and that he has been chosen because he was a joint Secretary of the Association and this action is counter to the peaceful agitation run by the Union. It is no doubt true that the claimant was a Joint Secretay of the Association. It is also fact that from 17-3 61 there was a demand week. The evidence recended by the enquiry Officer shows that badges were distributed to all the employees by the claimant and further at the time office or at the gate some were wearing these badges while some others particularly ladies were not wearing them. The mere fact that the misconduct is committed during the protest week or the demand week does not absolve the claimant and to claim protection as General Secretary. When there is material to show that he had tried to intimidisate the lady employees, even the fact that he was the General Secretary of the Union at that time does not give

him immunity from the misconduct that is proved. Therefore I can not agree that it is a case of victimisation.

11. The other ground urged is that the enquiry and the punishment is contrary to Standing Orders. This is an additional plea the claimant has taken. According to him the standing orders governing the claimant is the Model Standing Orders under the Industrial Employment Standing Orders Act of 1946, and not the old Standing Orders under the Mysore Labour Act. This plea has been permitted to be raised by the claimant. The claimant has relied on the evidence of MW 1 and Ex. M-22 to contend that the claimant is to be governed by Model Standing Orders under the Act of 1946. Ex. M-21 shows that this Standing Order was certified under the Mysore Labour Act 1942. Ex. W-3 is the standing Order which shows that it has been certified by under the Industrial Employment Standing Orders Act 946 as modified by the Appellate authority on 13-2-1969. Ex. W-3 shows that this was certified on 4-5-1964. The enquiry has been concluded and finding has been given as per Ex. M-19 on 3-8-1965. Therefore on the date the enquiry was concluded the standing Order as certified under the Act of 1946 which is marked as Ex. W-3 in this case was applicable to the claimant. Hence there is no force in the contention that the Model Standing order is applicable and not Ex. W-3. Even if Ex. M-21 is not made made applicable on the ground that it was not certified under the Standing Orders Act 1946, it would definitely be governed by Ex. W-3 Ex M-21 would be applicable because any Standing Orders certified under the Mysore Labour Act 1942 would continue to be the Standing Orders till it is Certified under the Act of 1946. Hence the claimant can not contend that the Model Standing Orders is applicable to him.

12. I also see no force in the contention that Sri Shivanagi MW-1 in this case had no authority to impose punishment. It is no doubt elicited that he was not appointed as General Manager. The evidence of MW-1 shows that there was General Manager at all. WW-1 has not sworn that there was General Manager at any time for this Respondent. MW-1 has stated that the Managing Agents was the Appointing Authority. Ex. W-3 clearly shows that the Appointing Authority is the Manager and it also provides that where there is no Manager, the employer can deligete authority. In view of the evidence of MW-1 that there was no Manager and that the Managing Agent was the appointing authority, Ex. M-5 which is the authority given by the Managing Agents to MW-1 clearly invests him with powers of Manger. Therefore there is no infringement of the Standing Orders in Ex. M-21 or Ex. W-3.

13 The only question now for consideration is whether the punishment is disproportionate, having regard to the gravity of the misconduct proved and particularly when it relates to modesty of

lady emilyees. I feel that the Management is well within the powers to impose punishment of dismissal. I shall not express any opinion about the 2nd domestic enquiry because in perseverance to that finding, no order of dismissal has been made. Hence I fully agree with the Management that the punishment imposed is quite fitting with the gravity of the misconduct proved in this case. In view of this finding there can be no hesitation to come to the conclusion that the termination of the claimant's services is quite justified and that is my finding on the last point of dispute referred to me. In view of this finding on the last point of dispute, the claimant would not entitled to any relief. No Costs.

(Dictated to the Stenographer, transcribed and typed by him and corrected by me)

Hubli
3-12-1977

P. L. KUMARASWAMY,
Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Hubli.

List of witnesses examined on behalf of the Claimant:

1. WW-1 U. S. Venkataraman, Secretary.

List of documents marked on behalf of Claimant:

1. Ex. W-1. Counterstatement submitted by the Respondent dated 31st December 1965
2. Ex. W-2 Letter of Commissioner of Labour to Government dated 26th September 1966.
3. Ex. W-3 Certified Standing Order of the Respondent Under Induetrial Employment Standing Orders Act.

List of witnesses examined on behalf or Respondent.

1. MW-1 K. V. Shivanagi Industrial Relation Manager.

List of documents marked on behalf of Respondent.

1. Ex. M-1 and 2 Demand notice sent to Respondent.
2. Ex. M-3 Copy of Writ petition filed in the High Court in W.P. No. 33.4 of 1973.
3. Ex. M-4 Memorandum of Writ Appeal in W.P No. 164/74.
4. Ex. M-5 Memo of authorisiation given to MW-1 by Faitner Managing Agents.
5. Ex. M-6 Charge sheet dated 29th March 1965 issued to claimant.
6. Ex. M-7 Explaination of the claimant Jayaram dated 19th April 1965.
7. Ex. M-8 Statement of the claimant dated 19th April
8. Ex. M-9 Deposition of Miss P. Shanta
9. Ex. M-10 to M-17 deposition of witnesses examined during enquiry.

10. Ex. M-18 Statement of claimant dated 14th June.
11. Ex. M-19 Findings of the Enquiry Officer.
12. Ex. M-20 Order sheet of the enquiry proceedings.
13. Ex. M-21 Standing Order under Mysore Labour Act.
14. Ex. M-22 Complaint of Miss Shanta given to Respondent.

P. L. KUMARASWAMY,
Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Hubli.

ORDER No. SWL 8 LLA 78, BANGALORE DATED THE 25TH JANUARY 1978.

Whereas by State Government Order No. FCL 629 HID 68, dated 12th February 1973, the Industrial Dispute between the workman and the management of Kirloskar Electric Company Ltd., Bangalore-3 was referred to the Labour Court, Hubli for adjudication.

And, Whereas the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Hubli has submitted to the State Government its award in respect of the said Industrial dispute.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka are pleased to direct the publication on the said award as above in the Karnataka Gazette.

By Order and in the name of the President of India,

M. HONNAGANGE GOWDA.
Under Secretary to Government,
Social welfar and Labour Department.

2411—S. O. 639

HOME SECRETARIAT

Notification No. HD 71 PIF 77.
Bangalore, dated 1st March 1978.

SUBJECT:—

Applications of Karnataka Awards for Films for the year 1976-77.

In pursuance of Rule 5 of the Karnataka Government State Award to Full Length Kannada Feature Film Rules 1967, the Government of Karnataka are pleased to invite applications in the prescribed form for entry of full length Kannada and other State language Films in Konkani, Tulu and Coorgi produced during the year 1976-77 *i. e.*, from 1st April 1976 to 31st March 1977 for the four State Awards to the best Full Length Feature Films and to other personnel, *viz.*, Directors Best Actor, Best Actress, Best Supporting Actor Best Supporting Actress etc., indicated in Rule 4 of the said Rules,

as amended in Government Orders No. HD 218 PIS 68, dated 1st March 1969 and HD 64 PIF 73, dated 1st May 1974.

2. All the entrants shall abide by the said Rules as amended in Government Order No. HD 181 PIS 67 dated 25/27th September 1967. The prescribed application forms can be had from the Director, Department of Films, No. 7, 7th Cross, Kumara Park West, Bangalore-20.

3. The applications should be addressed to the Secretary, Advisory Committee for State Wards at the above address and should reach him on or before 31st March 1978. Applicatione received after the prescribed date will not be considered.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka

A. S. NAGARAJA,
Under Secretary to Government,
Home Department.

2655—S. O. 644

FINANCE SECRETARIAT

Notification No. FD 106 CSL 77.
Bangalore, dated 4th March 1978.

In exercise of the powers conferred by section 8A of the Karnataka Sales Tax Act, 1957 (Karnataka Act 25 of 1957) of Government of Katnataka hereby exempts with effect from 15th March 1978 and up to 30th June 1978 the tax payable under the said Act on the sale of goods by any dealer in the State of Karnataka to the Andhra Cyclone Reief Fund Committee, Bangalore, subject to the conditions that the dealer furnishes to the assessing authority a certificate issued by the Member-Secretary to the said committee to the effect that the goods so sold are intended for providing relief to the cyclone affected people of Andhra Pradesh.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka.

L. S. JAGIRDAR,
Under Secretary to Government,
Finance Department.

2657—S. O. 645

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Labour Dispute :—Industrial Dispute between the workmen and the Management of J.L. Morison, Son & Jones (India) Ltd., Post Box No. 2214, 9th Mile, Bangalore-Tumkur Road, Dasarahalli Post, Bangalore-39.

Award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Bangalore, published.

Read the following papers:

(1) Letter No. 1790/77-78, dated 17th January 1978 from Shri H. Shanmukhappa, Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Bangalore.

BEFORE THE PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, BANGALORE.

Dated this 16th day of January 1978

Present :

Shri H. Shanmukhappa, B.A., B.L.,

Presiding Officer

Reference No. 106 of 1975

First Party :— The Secretary, All India Pharmaceutical Employees Union, No. 3, Virayaka Buildings, Ballacoam Bangalore-560003.

-Vs-

Second Party :—The Management of J. L. Morison, Son & Jones (India) Limited, Post Box No. 2214, 9th Mile, Bangalore-Tumkur Road, Dasarahalli Post, Bangalore-39.

Reference:—Government Order No. SWL 723 (1) LLD 75 dated 15th September, 1975.

AWARD

Both the parties have filed a joint memo duly signed saying that they have agreed to settle the dispute amicably out of court and hence the proceedings may be dropped.

In view of this Memo, the dispute does not survive. Hence I pass an Award rejecting the Reference. No order as to costs.

H. Shanmukhappa,

Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Bangalore.

Order No. SWL 23 LLA 78.

Bangalore, dated 16th/22nd February 1978.

WHEREAS by State Government Order No. SWL 723(1) LLD 75, dated 15th September 1975, the Industrial Dispute between the workmen and the management of J.L. Morison, Son and Jones (India) Ltd., P.B. No. 2214, 9th Mile, Bangalore-Tumkur Road, Dasarahalli post, Bangalore-39, was referred to the Labour Court, Bangalore, for adjudication;

AND, WHEREAS the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Bangalore has submitted to the State Government its award in respect of the said Industrial Dispute.

NOW, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka are pleased to direct the publication of the said award as above, in the *Karnataka Gazette*.

By Order and in the name of the President of India,

B. MANAMOHAN,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

2632—S.O. 646

RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND CO-OPERATION SECRETARIAT

Notification No. RDC 135 MMD 77

Bangalore, dated 17th February 1978.

Whereas by Government Notification No. RDC 135 MMD 77 dated 4th November 1977 issued under Section 3 of the Karnataka Agricultural Produce Marketing (Regulation) Act, 1966 (Karnataka Act 27 of 1966 (Government declared its intention of Regulating the Marketing of Paddy, Rice, Jowar, Ragi, Maize, Horsegram, Groundnut, Sesamum Casterseeds, Cotton, Tobacco, Tamrind, Soapnut, Honey Cattle, Buffaloes, Sheep, Goats, Poultry. Vegetables *viz.* Potatoes, Tomotoes, Brinjal, cole crops (Beatroot, Carrot), Gourds (Snakeguard Bittergourd, Spongegourd) Greenchillies, fruits, *viz.*, Mango, Bananas (raw & ripe) Sapota, Guava, Grapes, Jack, Papayas, Citrus (Orange, Lime & Lemon) Cocoonuts, Arecanuts and Timber in the hoblies of Hanagodu and Kasaba of Hunsur taluk, Mysore District and the hobliqs of Harnahalli and Kasaba of Periyapatna taluk of Mysore District.

And whereas no objections and suggestions have been received by the State Government in respect of the above said proposal.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 4 of the Karnataka Agricultural Produce Marketing (Regulation)

Act, 1966 (Karnataka Act 27 of 1966), the Government of Karnataka hereby declares that with effect from the date of publication of this notification in *Karnataka Gazette*, the Marketing of Paddy, Rice, Jowar, Ragi, Maize, Horsegram, Groundnut, Sesamum, Castorseeds, Cotton, Tobacco, Tamarind, Soapnut, Honey, Cattle, Baffaloes Sheep, Goats, Poultry, Vegetables *viz.*, Potatoes, Tomotoes, Brinjal, Colecrops (beatroot, Carrot) Gourds (Snakegourd, Bittergourd Spongegourd) Green Chillies; Fruits *viz* Mango, Bananas (raw and ripe) Sapota, Guava Grapes, Jack, Papayas; Citrus (Orange, Lime & Lemon) Coconuts, Arecanuts and Timber shall be regulated in the hoblies of Hongodu and Kasaba of Hunsur Taluka, Mysore district and hoblies of Haranahalli and Kasaba of Periyapatna taluk of Mysore District.

By Order and in the name of the President of India,

G. S. JOSHI,

Under Secretary to Government,

2584—S. O. 647 *Rural Development and Co-operation Department.*

FINANCE SECRETARIAT

Notification No. FD 106 CSL 77

Bangalore, dated 4th March 1978.

In exercise of the powers conferred by section 8A of the Karnataka Sales Tax Act, 1957 (Karnataka Act 25 of 1957) of Government of Karnataka hereby exempts with effect from 15th March 1978 and up to 30th July 1978 the tax payable under the said Act on the sale of goods by any dealer in the State of Karnataka to the Andhra Cyclone Relief Fund Committee, Bangalore, subject to the conditions that the dealer furnishes to the assessing authority a certificate issued by the Member-Secretary to the said committee to the effect that the goods so sold are intended for providing relief to the cyclone affected people of Andhra Pradesh.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

L. S. JAGIRDAR,

Under Secretary to Government,

2669 S. O.—648 *Finance Department.*

REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Notification No. RDN 8 TRM 77.

Bangalore, dated 10/20th February 1978.

WHEREAS there is failure of crops in the villages specified in column (2) of the schedule below, owing to heavy rainfall and the average cropwise yield per acre is less than twenty-five per cent in the said villages;

AND WHEREAS the Government of Karnataka consider it necessary to remit the whole of the land revenue payable for the year 1975-76 in respect of lands in the said villages;

NOW, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by item (ii) of sub-section (1) of Section 194 of the Karnataka Land Revenue Act, 1964, (Karnataka Act 12 of 1964), read with Rule 147 C-(i) of the Karnataka Land Revenue Rules, 1966, the Government of Karnataka hereby remits the whole of the land (Khisti Rabi) of Rs. 2,05,598-72 payable for the year 1975-76 in respect of the lands in 139 villages specified in column (2) of the schedule below situated in Lingsugur Taluk of Raichur District.

SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Villages		Land Revenue
(1)	(2)		(3)
	Gurgunta Circle		Rs. P.
1	Gurgunta	...	543-53
2	Anwari	...	3909-73
3	Hire-Naganur	...	1976-65
4	Chukanhatti	...	354-15
5	Veerapur	...	746-63
6	Deverbhupur	...	266-13
7	Goudoor	...	1406-83
8	Benchaldoddi	...	385-77
9	Hesegudda	...	49-00
10	Chickaldoddi	...	413-80
11	Yelgatti	...	402-68
12	Machnur	...	1178-94
13	Honhalli	...	6114-19
14	Yerdona	...	3053-26
15	Hatti	...	1138-09
16	Koudalbanda	...	1352-15

1	2	3
17	Tavag	955-16
18	Kaddona	1184-97
19	Maidnapur	2391-99
20	Guddadanhal	766-28
21	Gejjalgetta	109-59
22	Chickhesrur	2035-02
23	Hire-Hesrur	2447-40
24	Chicknaganur	1644-96
25	Nilogal	2734-06
26	Zotha	19 568-81
	Lingasugur Circle	
27	Kasba-Lingsugur	7885-72
28	Huligudda	1294-18
29	Kardkal	4881-02
30	Hunkunti	1556-43
31	Kalli-Lingsugur	1397-48
32	Bhupur	651-14
33	Sarjapur	2608-46
34	Kuppigudda	2564-67
35	Gonwar	5037-24
36	Amarwathi	1021-24
37	Kalapur	407-30
38	Aidnhal	844-49
39	Yelgaldinni	571-59
40	Chittapur	4303-18
41	Hire-Javoor	941-13
42	Chick-Javoor	201-42
43	Nawali	1479-87
44	Kamaldinni	1110-14
45	Roudalbanda	594-65
46	Eachanhal	1347-23
47	Toralbenchi	547-61
48	Chick-upperi	521-89
49	Jangi-Rampur	319-04
50	Hire. Upperi	145-80
51	Anahosur	6353-00
52	Narakaldinni	116-54
53	Zhulgudda	1075-75
54	Bendona	261-87
55	Nandihal	372-94
56	Mavinbhavi	1110-75
57	Kashapur	872-06
58	Hanmangudda	592-37
59	Marghatnal	440-23

1	2	3
		Rs. P.
60	Chiternal	829-68
61	Gundasagar	1257-40
62	Huvinbhavi	233-00
63	Buddinni	394-81
63	Sanbal	5214-12
65	Bassapur	342-00
66	Jangamarhalli	105-76
	Mudgal Circle	
67	Mudgal	3404-23
68	Amdihal	740-21
69	Hire-Yerdihal	1265-26
70	Chick-Yerdihal	702-94
71	Kansavi	3284-83
72	Komlapur	1018-27
73	Adapur	1826-22
74	Ballihal	2965-22
75	Bennigol	2126-03
76	Jantapur	2400-17
77	Turadgi	720-28
78	Hunur	696-89
79	Makapur	1337-63
80	Talikot	1474-84
81	Merli	1504-82
82	Aryabhogapur	420-21
83	Byalihal	615-02
84	Ramatnhal	1183-50
85	Wandali	502-25
86	Naglapur	324-47
87	Yakarahal	1123-04
88	Ulimeshwar	334-96
89	Chattar	207-46
90	Heggavur	198-75
91	Piklihal	410-18
92	Upparnandihal	636-10
93	Kilarhatti	539-56
94	Hire-Lekkihal	2490-83
95	Chick-Lekkihal	1063-12
96	Advibhavi	686-21
97	Nagahrla	1577-03
98	Komnoor	1997-76
99	Sejjalgudda	300-00
100	Khirwadgi	1645-97

1	2		3
101	Bayyapur	...	686-36
102	Bhogapur	...	626-05
103	Bommanhal	...	1120-26
104	Halkawatagi	...	2018-96
105	Palgaldinni	...	368-90
106	Tondihal	...	1202-63
107	Mallapur	...	42-04
108	Upyanhal	...	924-53
109	Ankanhal	...	521-00
110	Tumbalgaddi	...	106-35
111	Rampur	...	839-23
	Maski Circle		
112	Maski	...	6055-58
113	Katgal	...	387-46
114	Mudwal	...	2525-56
115	Uskihal	...	71-04
116	Venkatapur	...	843-37
117	Mattur	...	2231-41
118	Gudihal	...	1225-06
119	Buddinni	...	1559-20
120	Kadarhal	...	459-89
121	Tarribhavi	...	714-05
122	Santikallur	...	3380-78
123	Kunikallur	...	617-40
124	Maslikaralkunti	...	994-50
125	Mittikallur	...	648-24
126	Ankasdoddi	...	340-87
127	Benderkaralkunti	...	275-86
128	Medkinhal	...	1927-52
129	Bailgudda	...	283-99
130	Belladmardi	...	877-33
131	Hire-Antargangi	...	621-68
132	Chick-Antargangi	...	335-38
133	Benkanhal	...	128-64
134	Talekhan	...	3917-56
135	Kamandaldinni	...	477-26
136	Veerapur	...	319-17
137	Baggalgudda	...	612-08
138	Yerdoddi	...	503-08
139	Nagarbenchi	...	2845-50
	Total	...	205598-72

By Order and in the name of the President of India,

T. L. KRISHNAIAH,
Under Secy. to Govt. Revenue Dept.

2604—S.O. 649

HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT SECRETARIAT

Notification No. HUD 2 GUZ 77

Bangalore, dated 3rd/4th March 1978.

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-sections (3) and (4) of Section 11 of the Urban Land (Ceiling and Regulations) Act, 1976, (Central Act 33 of 1976) the Government of Karnataka hereby divides the Mysore Urban Agglomeration into different zones as specified in column 2 of the schedule below consisting of the areas specified in column 3 thereof and fixes the rates per square metre of vacant land in each zone as specified in the corresponding column 4 thereof;

SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Zones	Areas	Rate per sq. metre of vacant land in each zone.
1	2	3	4
	Zone No. I	Division No. 1	Rs. Ps.
1		The area between Basaveswara road and Madhuvana. ...	5-00
		Vidyaranyapur ...	5-00
		The area to the south of Madhvachar road in K. R. Mohalla ...	5-00
4		Area bounded by Railway line on the West Division Boundary on the North, Madhvachar Road on the East and N.I.E. College in the South. ...	5-00
		Division No. 2	
		Area bounded by Division boundary on the North; Chamundeswari Road and Madhvachar road on the East division boundary, Railway line and Kantharajura road on the south and Municipal boundary on the west. (Lakshmipuram, Chamarajapuram, Krishnamurthypuram, Ashokapuram Area. ...	5-00
		Division No 3	
6		The whole area in Division No. 3 ...	5-00

1	2	3	4
		Division No. 4	Rs.Ps.
		Area bounded by Vinoba raod, Division boundary in the east and south, Double road on the West and Kukkaruhalli tank on the north ...	5-00
		Division No. 5	
		Area bounded by Gokulam raod and continuation road upto Sayyaji rao road and Sayyaji Rao road on East and Vinoba road in the South. ...	5-00
		Division No. 6	
		The whole area in Division 6 ...	5-00
		Division No. 7	
10		The whole area in Division 7 ...	5-00
		Division No. 8	
11		Area to a depth of 50 metres along Bannimantap road, Sayyaji Rao Road and Pulikesi Road. ...	5-00
		Division No. 9	
12		Area to a depth of 50 metres along Bangalore Niligiri Road. ...	5-00
	Zone II	Division No. 1	
13		Area to the South of Jayanagar bounded by Division boundary on the north, railway line on the east, Municipal boundary on the south and west. ...	4-00
14		Area bounded by N.I.E. on the north continuation of Mhdvachar road on the east division boundary on the south and railway line on the west ...	4-00
		Division No. 2	
15		Area bounded by Kantharaj Urs road on the north, Railway line on the east, division boundary on the south and Municipal boundary on the west. ...	4-00
		Division No. 8	
16		The remaining are in Division 8 (Except those indicated in Sl. No. 11 Bannimantap Kesare, N. R. Mohalla and Jalapuri) ...	4-00

1	2	3	4
			Rs.-Ps.
		Division No. 9	
17		Area comprising Ittigegud, Nazarbad and Government house excluding Doddakere area and exluding Sl. No. 12. ...	4-00
18		A belt of land upto a depth of 50 metres opening to Shalivahan road and Lalitha Mohal road.	4-00
	Zone III	Division No. 1	
19		Veseswaranagar and Industrial Suburb ...	3-00
20		A belt of land to a depth of 100 metres on either said of B. N. Road. ...	3-00
		Division No. 4	
21		Area bounbed by Vinobs road, J. L. Bai Road, Ramavilas road and continuation, Double road and Municipal boundary on the south and West. ...	3-00
		Division No. 5.	
22		Area bounded by Municipal boundary on the north Arsikere Railway line on the east, Gokulam road on the south and Municipal boundary on the West ...	3-00
		Division No. 9.	
		Exeluding the area coming under S. No. 18 the remaining are upto Municipal boundary including chamundivihar area, Kurabarahalli layout, Siddartha layout and Gayathripuram layout, Udayagiri layout out excluding Racecourse area, Zoo garden, Karanji tank and agricultural lands ...	3-00
		Areas beyond Municipal limits.	
24		Area to the South of industrial suburb within the Master Plan limits. ...	3-00

1	2	3	4
			Rs.-Ps.
25		Regional college and Manasagangothri area ...	3-00
26		Metagalli Extension and area to the north of Brindavan Extension ...	3-00
27		Mahadevapura layout ...	3-00
28		Area to the east of Kurubarahalli layout boundary by Bannur Road, Lalithamahal Road. ...	3-00
29		Lands to a depth of 100 metres along B. N. Road upto Master Plan boundary ...	3-00
	Zone-IV	*Division No. 3.*	
30		Area bounded by Municipal Boundary on the North and East and Gokulam road continuation road on the south and railway line on the west. ...	2-00
		Areas beyond Municipal limits.	
31		Area to the South of Jayanagar, Jayanagar Tonochi Koppal layout, Tonachikoppal layout ...	2-00
32		Lands to a depth of 100 metres. along Hunsur Road. ...	2-00
33		Lands to the east of Arasikere railway line upto Mastar Plan boundary and beyond also in developed area. ...	2-00
34		A belt of land upto 100 metres along Bangalore Road on either side. ...	2-00
35		Area to the north of Kesare Layout...	2-00
	Zone V	*Division No. 1*	
36		Madhuvana and Municipal Sewage farm are ...	1-00
		Division No. 9	
37		All other agricultural lands within municipal limits ...	1-00
		Areas beyond Municipal Limits :	
38		Area to the South of Municipal Sewage farm upto Master Plan boundary ...	1-00

1	2	3	4
39		All the agricultural lands in Chamaraja Mohalla and also agricultural lands beyond the Municipal limits but within Master plan ...	1-00
40		All the agricultural lands in Devaraja Mohalla and agricultural lands outside the Municipal limits upto Master Plan boundary limits ...	1-00
41		Area beyond Municipal limits in Mandi Mohalla but within Master Plan limits ...	1-00
42		The area beyond the Municipal limits of Lashkar Mohalla and upto the Master Plan boundary. ...	1-00
43		All the agricultural lands in Nazarabbad Mohalls within Master Plan boundary	1-00
44		The lands beyond the proposed ripg road and upto the limits of the Master plan boundary and Chamundi hills area ...	1-00
45		All non-agricultural lands beyond the limits of Master Plan but within the urban agglomeration limits. ...	1-00

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

MUDDUMALLAIAH.
Under Secretary to Government,
Housing and Urban Development Department.

2665—S. O. 650

HOME SECRETARIAT

Notification No. HD 82 TMI 77.
Bangalore, dated 1st March 1978.

The draft of the reciprocal agreement which the Government of Karnataka propose to enter into with the Governments of Andhra Pradesh, Kerala, Maharashtra, Tamilnadu and the Union Territories of Pondicherry and Goa for operation of Public Carriers on the inter-State routes of the aforesaid States, is hereby published as required by sub-section 3(A) of Section 63 of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1939 (Central Act 4 of 1939) for the information of all persons, associations and authorities likely to be affected thereby and notice is hereby

given that the draft will be taken into consideration by the Commissioner for Home Affairs and Secretary to Government of Karnataka, Home Department, on 12th April 1978 at 11-00 A.M. in his Chambers in Vidhana Soudha, Bangalore-1.

'Any representation which may be received from any person, association or authority in connection with the said draft agreement before the date specified above will be considered by the Commissioner for Home Affairs and Secretary to Government of Karnntaka, Home Department, Bangalore-560 001.

Draft Reciprocal Agreement for public carriers between the States of Andhra Pradesh. Kerala, Maharashtra, Karnataka, Tamilnadu, Pondicherry and Goa.

This Agreement made this.....day of.....in the year one thousand nine hundred and seventy, eight between the Governor of Andhra Pradesh of the First Part, the Governor of Kerala of the Second Part, the Governor of Maharashtra of the Third Part, the President of India for and on behalf of Government of Karnataka of the Fourth Part and the Governor of Tamil Nadu of the Fifth Part, the President of India for and on behalf of Union Territory of Pondicherry of the Sixth Part and President of India for and on behalf of the Union Territory of Goa, Daman and Diu of the Seventh Part.

Whereas by an agreement dated the 23rd September, 1977 between the parties of the First, Second, Third, Fourth, Fifth, Sixth and Seventh Parts have enterd into a reciprocal agreement with a view to encourage long distance inter-State transport of goods by and between the said States on the terms and conditions set out in the said Agreement;

And, whereas, the said Agreement expires on 31st March 1978;

And whereas by mutual agreement, the parties hereto have agreed to modify the terms and conditions of the said agreement dated the 23rd September, 1977 and have decided to enter into a fresh agreement as herein contained, in modification of the existing agreement dated the 23rd September, 1977...

It is now agreed by and between the above parties as follows:—

I. That this Reciprocal Agreement shall be in force from the 1st April, 1978 and shall be valid upto the 31st March, 1981. It may be renewed for such further period as may be mutually agreed to by all the parties concerned. For reasons to be given in writing by any of the signatory States, this Reciprocal Agreement may be revoked on three month's notice. Such revocation shall, however, abridge and modify the operation of this Agreement only in so far as it relates to the withdrawing State subject to the condition that permit

already issued under this Agreement will continue to be valid till the expiry of these permits irrespective of withdrawal by the State or States from this Agreement.

II. The total number of the vehicles for which composite permits shall be issued shall not exceed 500 for each of the States of Andhra Pradesh, Kerala, Tamil Nadu, Maharashtra and Karnataka, and 100 in the case of Pondicherry and 120 in the case of Goa.

The composite permit issued by the competent Transport Authority of each signatory State shall be valid.

(i) on any route or area in the Home State subject to local restrictions ; and

(ii) on the specified routes and areas in the other signatory States chosen for operation as mentioned in the Schedule annexed hereto (Annexure VI).

The composite permits shall also be valid such other National Highways and State Highways as may be notified from time to time by the Union Government or the State Government concerned.

It shall, however, be open to any public carrier plying under such authorisation to deviate from the specified routes to the extent not exceeding 30 kms on either side of the specified routes.

The competent Transport Authority shall also issue each of such permit holders an authorisation in the form annexed to this Agreement and such authorisation shall correspond to the period for which the advance payment has been received provided that the authorsation at one time shall not exceed a period of one year.

III. Public carrier operating under this Agreement shall be free to operate without restriction of routes in the Home State whereas while operating in any area outside the Home State such a public carrier shall not pick up or set down goods between any two points lying wholly within the jurisdiction of any one of the reciprocating States, *i.e.*, in such cases vehicles shall be prohibited from carrying any intra-State business.

IV. (i) Each applicant for a composite permit shall have to choose a minimum of five States, that is, the Home State and any four other States out of the remaining six for operation with effect from the date of this Agreement.

(ii) If a composite permit holder chooses to exclude a contiguous State for operation through which his vehicle must have to pass to reach any other State chosen for operation within the Scheme, the holder of the permit shall have to pay full taxes to the "transit State" unless otherwise exempted.

(iii) A composite permit holder of a State shall be allowed to operate in any other State, separately covered under a bilateral agreement, but the vehicle covered by a composite permit scheme shall not be allowed to be operated under the bilateral agreement in any of the States covered by the South Zone Permit Scheme

(iv) An option once exercised by a composite permit holder will not be allowed to be changed before a period of one year.

V. A public carrier operating under this Agreement shall be subject to the follwing limitations and restrictions :—

(1). No vehicle may be authorised under this Agreement which .—

(a) has a RLW less than 10,000 kgs or exceeding 16,000 kgs in the case of a rigid chassis vehicle and in the case of an articulated vehicle or a tractor-Trailer, combination has a RLW less than 10,000 kgs or exceeding 20,000 kgs inclusive of the trailer ;

(b) is more than four years old on the date of grapt of the authorisation and which is more than 9 years old at any time ;

(c) does not carry the prescribed markings and distinguishing particulars and is not painted in the prescribed colour scheme as provided in the Schedule annexed hereto (Annexure V) ;

(d) is not fitted with a body in conformity with the pattern as prescribed in the Schedule annexed herewith (Annexure V).

(2) A public carrier plying under this Agreement shall at all times cafry a bill of lading in the form prescribed in the Schedule annexed (Annxures III) Carriage of goods not in conformity with the declaration in the bill of lading shall be construed as infringement of the condition of the permit making the permit Holder liable under Section 60 of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1939.

(3) Such vehicles shall conform to and comply with all provisions of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1939 and all the laws in force from time to time in the Home State governing Motor vehicles.

(4) The certificate of fitness of such a vehicle shall be liable to be suspended or cancelled by the competent authority in the Home State, if it is found to be not in conformity with the provisions relating to fittings, colour and body specifications as detailed in the Scheduled annexed hereto (Annexure V).

(5) An authorisation holder under this Agreement shall file a quarterly return in regard to such a vehicle in the form prescribed as detailed in the Schedule annexed hereto (Annexure IV) in quintuplicate to the Secretary of the State Transport Authority of the Home State who in turn shall forward copies thereof to the Secretaries, State Transport Authorities of the other signatory States.

(6) Such a vehicle shall at all times carry :—

(a) a valid certificate of fitness ;

(b) a certificate of registration ; and

(c) bill or bills of lading covering goods actually carried in the vehicle at the moment.

(7) Such a vehicle shall at all times carry a valid authorisation in the form as detailed in the schedule annexed granted under this Agreement and issued under the signature and seal of the competent Transport Authority of the Home State.

VI. A vehicle plying under authorisation issued under this special Reciprocal Agreement may be stopped and inspected for the purpose of enforcement of the provisions of this Agreement by an officer of the rank not below the rank of Assistant Inspector of Motor Vehicles or Sub-Inspector of Police or any other officer whose rank is mutually agreed upon by the signatory States. Such an Inspecting Officer shall issue a check report in triplicate, one copy of which shall be served on the person incharge of the vehicle, the second copy shall be sent to the competent Transport Authority of the Home State and third copy sent to the competent authority of the State concerned. The competent Transport Authority of the Home State on receipt of the copy of the check report may take such action as he may deem fit.

VII. (1) A composite permit holder plying under such an authorisation shall be liable to pay an annual tax of a sum of Rs. 700 per vehicle in respect of the State of Andhra Pradesh, Kerala, Maharashtra, Karnataka and Tamil Nadu as the case may be (excluding the Home State), and Rs. 150 per vehicle per annum in respect of Pondicherry and Rs. 150 per vehicle per annum in respect of Goa in addition to the Motor Vehicles Tax and the Goods Tax, if any, of the Home State, This sum shall be paid in advance on or before the 15th March every year irrespective of the RLW of the vehicle. For this purpose, all the signatory States shall make suitable notification under their Taxation Acts. Each signatory State shall appoint the designated competent authority of all other signatory States as a tax receiving agency on their behalf and such competent authority shall ensure the receipt of this tax and stamp and endorse the authorisation to that effect. Any vehicle plying under such an authorisation in the absence of such a valid endorsement shall be deemed to be plying in contravention of the conditions of the permit and shall be liable to suspension and cancellation of the permit under Section 60 of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1939.

(2) Notwithstanding that the tax is required to be paid for the whole year in advance as per sub-clause (1) above, the owner of a vehicle may, at his option, pay the tax in two equal instalments, the first on or before 15th March (every year) for the first period April-September and the second instalment on or before 15th September (of the current financial year) for the period October-March and obtain

endorsement of having paid this tax from the competent authority of the Home State in the certificate specified in Annexure-II.

(2A) A composite permit holder shall be required to pay a fee of Rs. 300 per year per vehicle for grant of an authorisation irrespective of the number of States chosen for operation. This sum shall be paid in advance in full irrespective of whether the authorisation is granted at the commencement of a financial year, or after the commencement thereof, by Bank Draft to the competent Authority of the Home State who will retain the amount.

(3) If the vehicle covered by the authorisation is kept under non-use for a period of six months at a stretch and 15 months in all its permits shall be liable to be cancellad. The Home State where the permit was issued will be deciding authority in such cases.

(4) If an operater does not pay his composite tax within the prescribed period, he shall be liable to pay, in addition to the composite tax of Rs. 700 an additional sum of Rs. 25 per month or part thereof for each of the States of Andhra Pradesh. Kerala, Maharashtra, Karnataka and Tamil Nadu in respect of which the payment is delayed and Rs. 2 per month or part thereof for each of the States of Pondicherry and Goa. The said amount shall be payable through crossed Bank Drafts in the name of the designated authority of the State conecrned and shall be sent by the State concerned as and when received. The operator would also be liable to pay any other penalty for delay in payment of Home State Taxes that might be imposed by the Home State under its own rules

(5) If the initial authorisation is issued at any time after the first quarter of the financial year, the tax shall be assessed on prorata basis for the remaining quarters of the financial year including the quarter for which the authorisation is granted. For this purpose, a quarter shall be taken as unit and not months and days.

(6) If the vehicle covered by the autorisation is sought to be replaced by another suitable vehicle after prior permission of the authority which originally granted the permit. the registration mark of the replaced vehicle may be noted by the Home State Transport Authority in the authorisation and the tax already paid shall be deemed to have been paid for the replaced vehicle for the period following the date on which the vehicle is replaced.

VIII. The competent authority of the Home State shall receive the taxes on behalf of the other States as the case may be in the form of crossed Bank Drafts. These Drafts shall be made payable in the name of the designated authority of the State concerned and shall be sent by the Home State to the State concerned as and when received along with a statement showing the details of the vehicle number, crossed Bank Draft number and date and amount, period for which paid, etc.

A vehicle plying under this Agreement shall not be liable to pay any tax, fee or other levy other than the Home State Motor Vehicles Tax, Goods Tax, if any, and the Home State fees and the tax and levy referred to in para VII (1) and VII (4) above.

IX. (A) For the implementation of this Agreement the STA or the RTA as the case may be of the Home State shall—

Issue composite permits for the inter-State route or routes or areas as the case may be covered by this Reciprocal Agreement for five or more of the signatory States, such a composite permit holder shall have the benefit of rule frmed under Section 68 (s)(hh) read with Section 63 (1) of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1939, referred to in para below; and the competent authority shall furnish copies of these composite permits (Part B only) to other signatoryS tates within 30 days of issue.

(B) Further all the signatory States shall frame a suitable rule under Section 68(2) (hh) read with Section 63 (1) to provide that composite permit so granted shall be valid without countersignature in the areas of the other signatory States and the Secretary of the State Transport Authority or the competent authority as the case may be of the Home State shall issue an authorisation in form prescribed in the Schedule (Annexure-I).

X. In the case of the transfer of the composite permits the authorisation granted under this Agreement shall not be transferable except with the approval of the Secretary of the State Transport Authority or the competent authority of the Home State, as the case may be.

XI. In this Agreement the term "Home State" means the State in the territory of which the composite permit under Section 56 has been granted and authorisation thereof is issued under this Agreement.

XII. The Agreement may be subject to review and any clause in this Agreement or appendices to the Agreement may be amended or a new one added to it at any stage by agreement between the signatory States.

XIII. And it is hereby expressly agreed that all permits issued under the said Reciprocal Agreement dated 23rd September, 1977 shall notwithstanding the conditions on which the said permits were issued be deemed to have been issued in accordance with and subject to the provisions of this Agreement and the aforesaid conditions shall stand modified to that extent.

XIV. For the purpose of this Agreement, each of the seven parties hereto shall be deemed to be a "State".

XV. For the purpose of this Agreement, the expression "Goa" means the territory of Goa only and does not include Daman and Diu.

XVI. For the purpose of this Agreement, the term "year" shall be deemed to be a financial year.

( )
Secretary to Government of Andhra Pradesh, Transport, Roads and Bldgs. Department, Hyderabad.
(For and on behalf of Governor of Andhra Pradesh).

( )
Chief Secretary to Government of Goa, Daman and Diu, Panaji.
(For and on behalf of the President of India).

( )
Secretary to Government of Karnataka. Home Department, Bangalore.
(For and on behalf of President of India).

( )
Secretary to Government of Tamil Nadu, Home Department, Madras.
(For and on behalf of Governor of Tamil Nadu).

( )
Secretary to Government of Kerala, Public Works (Transport B) Department.
(For and on behalf of Governor of Kerala).

( )
Chief Secretary to the Government of Pondicherry, Pondicherry.
For and on behalf of the President of India).

( )
Secretary to Government of Maharashtra, Home Department, Bombay.
(For and on behalf of Governor of Maharashtra).

ANNEXURE I

(Authorisation under Reciprocal Agreement).

(Valid in the States of Andhra Pradesh, Kerala, Maharashtra, Karnataka, Tamil Nadu, Pondicherry and Goa).

1. Name in full (starting with surname & complete address)	No. 2
2. Registration Mark	
3. Engine No.	

4. Chassis No.	
5. Original Permit No.	
6. Permit Issuing Authority	
7. Make	
8. Year of manufacture	
9. R.L.W.	
10. U.L.W.	
11. Pay load	
12. Period of validity of the authorisation	
From	To
13. Valid for the States—	1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.

(Signature of the competent authority

(Seal of the competent authority)

Note:—The name of the State not chosen, if any, for operation should be struck out while issuing the authorisation.

ANNEXURE-II

CERTIFICATE OF PAYMENT OF TAX UNDER RECIPROCAL AGREEMENT.

Rate of anuual tax

1	2	3	4	5	6	7
	Name of the States for which payment made.	Amount	No. & date of the Bank Draft/Draft	Payable	Period for which paid.	Name of the Bank issuing the Draft and payable at.	Registration No. of the vehicle.
1.							
2.							
3.							
4.							
5.							
6.							
7.							

(Signature & Seal of the Authority)

Abstract of Conditions of Composite Permits/

1. This authorises the operation of the vehicle—

(i) on any route or area in the Home State subject to local restrictions ; and

(ii) all the specified routes and areas in the other signatory States chosen for operation as mentioned in the Schedule annexed hereto.

The vehicle shall also be valid on such other National Highways and State Highways as may be notified from time to time by the Union Government or the State Governments concerned.

The vehicle shall also be authorised to deviate upto 30 kms on either side of the specified routes.

2. The vehicle while operating shall be free to operate without restriction of routes in the Home State and while operating in any area outside the Home State goods shall not be picked up or set down between any two points in the reciprocating States, *i. e.* in such cases vehicles shall be prohibited from carrying intra-State business.

3. (i) Each applicant for a composite permit shall have to choose a minimum of five States, *i. e.* the Home State and any four

other States out of the remaining five for operation with effect, from the date of this Agreement

(ii) An option once exercised by a composite permit holder will not be allowed to be changed before a period of one year.

(iii) If a composite permit holder chooses to exclude a contiguous State, for operation through which his vehicle must have to pass to reach any other State chosen for operation within the Scheme, the holder of the peimit shall have to pay full taxes to the "transit State" unless other wise exempted.

4. The vehicle shall be painted in a deep maroon colour with Zebra markings of alternate black and yellow lines on the front bumper and the tail board and a board showing the following inscription in yellow letters on black background shall be carried prominently above the "Public Carrier" board:

Inscription: PERMIT UNDER SPECIAL RECIPROCAL AGREEMENT

*Vaild in Andhra Pradesh, Kerala, Maharashtra, Karnataka, Tamil Nadu, Pondicherry and Goa.

(*Strike out the name not applicable)

The vehicle shall be fitted with a seat across the full width of the vehicle behind the driver's seat providing facilities for a spare driver to stretch himself and sleep.

5. (a) The vehicle covered by this authorisation shall not be used for the composite permit scheme and also bilateral agreement scheme in the same State at the same time.

(b) The vehicle covered by this authorisation shall not be more than four years old on the date of grant of this authorisation more than nine years old at any time.

6. The vehicle shall at all times carry the bill/bills of lading in the manner direct by the STA, Home State covering the goods in the vehicle.

7. Querterly return shall be submitted to the Secretary, State Transport Authority of the Home State.

8. The vehicle shall conform to and comply with all the provisions of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1939 as well as the provisions of the Motor Vehicles Rules fremed by the Home State.

9. The vehicle shall at all times carry a valid certificate of fitness, certificate of registration and insurance, the original permit documents and the authorisation issued under this Agreement.

10. The composite permit holder shall be liable to pay an annual tax of Rs. 700 per vehicle in respect of the State of Andhra Pradesh,

Kerala. Maharashtra, Karnataka and Tamil Nadu as the case may be (excluding the Home State) and Rs. 150 per vehicle per annum in respect of Pondicherry and Rs. 150 per vehicle per annum in respect of Goa in addition to the Motor Vehicles Tax and the Goods Tax, if any, of the Home State. Such taxes shall be paid in advance on or before the 15th March every year, irrespective of the PLW and PLW of a vehicle. The competent transport authority of the Home State on collection of taxes shall stamp and endorse the authorisation to that effect.

The composite permit holder may, at his option, pay the above taxes in two instalments, the first on or before 15th March (every year) for the period April-September and the second instalment on or before 15th September (of the current financial year) for the period October-March.

11. A composite permit holder shall be required to pay a fee of Rs. 300 per vehicle for grant of an authorisation irrespective of the number of States chosen for operation. This sum shall be paid in advance in full irrespective of whether the authorisation is granted at the commencement of financial year or after the commencement thereof, by Bank Draft to the competent authority of the Home State who will retain the amount

12. If the vehicle covered by the authorisation is kept under non-use for a period of six months at a stretch and 15 months in all, its permit shall be liable to be cancelled. The Home State where the permit was issued will be the deciding authority in such cases.

13. If an operator does not pay his compisite tax within the prescribed period, he shall be liable to pay, in addition to the composite tax of Rs. 700/-an additional sum of Rs. 25-per month or part there of for each of the States of Andhra Pradesh, Kerala, Maharashtra, Karnataka and Tamil Nadu in respect of which the payment is delayed and Rs. 2-per month in respect of Pondicherry and Goa The said amount shall be payable through crossed Bank Drafts in the name of the designated authority of the State concerned and the Bank Draft shall be given to the concerned authority of the Home State.

14. The Reciprocal Agreement, under which the composite permit is issued, will be subject to review and may be amended by mutual agreement, at any stage, between the signatory States and the operator shall be bound by such amendments.

ANNEXURE-III

Name & address of the permit holder.....

Name of the consignors.....

Name of the consignee.....

Destination.....

BILL OF LADING

Truck No.....

Bill No.....

Date.....

No. of articles	Description of goods	Cwt. B.Mds. K.G.	Freight charge paid Rs. P.	Freight Charge to pay Rs. P.	Total

Signature of the consignor

At carrier's risk

At owner's risk.

Signature of the carrier

Value of the goods Rs.....

DELIVERY AT.....

.....

Bill No.....Date.....

Received.....Package

from.....Truck No.....

Signature

Note : The bill of lading will be in the *proforma* given above and will be in quadrupliocate, the original (white) to be carried in the vehicle, the duplicate (light green) for the consignor, the triplicate (pink) for the consignee and the fourth copy (cream yellow) for record of the permit holder.

ANNEXURE-IV
QUARTERLY RETURN

1. Name of the operator and address ...
2. Registration mark of Vehicle ...
3. Composite permit number. ...

Summary of trips made during the quarter

Month	Total distance covered in the State/Union Territories of—							Total distance of operation	Remarks
	A. P.	Kerala	Mahara-shtra	Karna-taka	Tamil Nadu	Pondi-Chery	Goa		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

Signature of the permit holder

Date

(In Remarks column, state reasons for low or high running in any particular State or States/Union Territory and any other points which caused low operation).

ANNEXURE-V

SPECIFICATIONS OF THE COLOUR SCHEME AND SPECIAL MARKINGS TO BE CARRIED ON THE VEHICLES AND THE CONSTRUCTION OF VEHICLE.

1. The vehicle shall be painted in deep maroon colour with zebra lines of alternate black and yellow colour on the front bumber and the rear tail board.

2. A board with the following inscription with yellow letters on black background shall also he carried so as to be clearly visible above the "Public Carrier" boards :

COMPOSITE PERMIT

UNDER RECIPROCAL AGREEMENT
VALID IN

Andhra Pradesh, Kerala, Maharashtra
Karnataka, Tamil Nadu,
Pondicherry & Goa **

3. The vehicle shall be provided with a seat across the full width of the vehicle behind the driver's seat, providing facility for the spare driver to stretch himself and sleep.

(** Strike out the name of States not applicable).

ANNEXURE-VI

Andhra Pradesh

National Highways .—

1. Madras-Calcutta (N.H. 5)
2. Sholapur-Hyderabad-Vijayawada Road (N.H. No. 9)
   i) Hyderabad-Sholapur road.
   ii) Hyderabad-Vijayawada Road (N. H. 9)
3. B. C. Road (N. H. 7)
   i) Hyderabad-Nagapur road (N.H. 7)
   ii) Hyderabad-Kurnool road (N. H. 7)
   iii) Kurnol-Bangalore road (N. H. 7)
4. Madras-Chittoor-Bangalore road (N. H. 4)
   Vijayanagaram Central Province road (N. H. 43)

State Highways :—

Srikakulam District.

1. Vizianagaram Central Province road.

Vizag District :

2. Vishakhapatnam Anantagiri Arku road.
3. Devipatnam Bhimani Patnam road.
   a) K. D. Peta Kayyura road
   b) Narasipatnam K. D. Peta road,
   c) Narasipatnam Polavaram road.
   d) Narasipatnam Waddadi road.
   e) Anakpalli Madagula road.
   f) Sabbavaram Venkannapalym road.
   g) Anakapalli Chittivalse road.
   h) Old Madras Calcutta road.

East Godavari District

4. Rajamandry Kakinada Road.
5. Mandapeta Canal via Caringe Canalvia Chelleru.

West Godavari District :

6. Eluru to Jangareddigudem road.
7. Eluru Chintalapudi road.
8. Chintalapudi to Medisettivaripalen,
   a) Allipalli to Medisettivaripalen.
9. Narasapur Prakkilanka road.
   a) Keelar Naljerla road.
10. Koyyalgudem to Nalagerla via Poothavara, Kayyalgudem Naljerla Pothavaram
11. Pothavaram to Kayyalagudem road.
12. Koyyalagudem Jangareddigudem road.

Krishna District.

13. Masulipatnam Eluru road.
14. Masulipatnam vizayada road.
15. Nagazalanka Kothapalem road.

Guntur District :

16 Guntur Pondugala road.
17 Ongole Nahdyal road.
18 Macheria Karempudi road.
19 Guntur Vinukonda Markaapur road.
20 Guntur Narzaraopet road.

Cuddapah District :

21 Chittor Karnool road.
22 Nellore Bellary Bombay road.
23 Cuddapah Ballapalli road.
24 Cuddapah Velpula roa
25 Mnddanur Puluvendela road.
26 Kalikintla Jammalammadugu road.

27 Muddanur Jammalamudugu road.
28 Mydukur Cumcum road.
29 Rajampet Chitvel road.
30 Rajampet Kadiri Road.
31 Nabikot Byepass road.

Nellore District :

32 Nypad Nellore Bombay road
(a) Nellore Nypaid road.
(b) Nellore Bombay road.
33 Military Diversioa road.
34 Ongole Nandyal road.
35 Nagar Junakonda–Macheria Yerpedu road.
(a) Kurchedu Vinukonda road.
(b) Dersi Kurchedu road.
(c) Podilli Darsi road.
(d) Kanigiri Podili road.
(e) Kannigiri Badvel road upto Nandipadu.
(f) Rapur Venkatagiri road
(g) Naidupeta Venkatagiri road, from the junction of Erpedu Gudur road to Venkatagiri.
(h) Erpedu Gudur road between district limits and to the junction of Venkagiri Naidupet road.
36 Dugarajupatnam Gudur Hiddnpur Tumkur road.
(a) Gudur Dugarajupatnam road.
(b) Erapedu Gudur road.
(c) Chennur Rapur road.
(d) Rapur Chitvel road.
37 Naidupet Tirupathi Madanapalli Bangalore road.
(a) Naidupet Kalahasti road.

Chittoor District

38 Chittoor Kurnool road.
39 Guddalore Chittoor road
40 Madras Bombay road.
41 Kuppam Palamaner road.
42 Kuppam Krishnagiri road.
43 Madanapalli Bangalore road.
44 Madanapalli Piller road.
45 Puthapet Naidupet road.
46 Arkonam Renigunta Cuddapah road.
47 Yerpedu Gudur Road.
48 Piler Bhakarnpet Chandiragiri Road.

Anantapur District :

49 Madras Bombay road from mile 258–0–6 to 261–6–150 is common with B C C Road.
50 Uravakonda Guntakal Road.

51 Nellore Bombay road.
52 Anantapur–Tadapatri road.
53 Rajampet Kadiri road.
54 Anantapur Kalvandurg road.
55 Rayadurg Kudai road.
56 Tumkur Gundalapalli road.
57 Muddanur Kadiri road.
58 Modakonda Amarapuram road.
59 Bangalore Somandepalli road.
60 Kadiri Palasamudram road.
61 Rayadurg Kalyandurg road.
62 Kudillgi Anantapur road 4/4 to 6/0 in Rayadurg Panchayat.
63 Kotnur Palasamudram road.

Kurnool District :

64 Banaras Cape Comor,n road(inside Municipal limits)
65 Chittnor Kurnool road.
66 Kurnool Guntur road.
67 Kurnool Bellari road.
68 Guntakal Tungabhadra road.
69 Aalaharvi Godan Bridge road:

National Highways :

1 Hyderabad–Masulipatnam,

State Highways and Major District Roads :—

Adilbad Division :

1 Basar–Luxithipet.
2 Hyderabad–Chandra road.
3 Asigabad Kerlkeri.
4 Neeradignada Both road.
5 Both Kinwant.
6 Macherial Chenur.
7 Asifabad Sirpur.
8 Gudhihatunur Utnoor.
9 Utnoor Asiabad (1st and 2nd Seetion)
10 Utnoor Indianapalli.
11 Bokar Bhainsa.

Special Division Bhadra chalam -

1 Kunavaram Chintar.
2 Chintur Nellipaka.
3 Maredumilli Chintur.
4 Approaches of Godavari Bridge including the bridge position.

Bridge	3934ft.
Left Approach	3737ft
Right Approach.	3000ft

Hyderbad Roads Division

1 Hyderabad Haaukonda.
2 Huderabad Kareemnagar.
3 Hyderabad–Narasapur Medak.
4 Hyderabad Bijapur.
5 Mahaboobnagar Chitcholi.
6 Mahaguda Vikarabad Sadasivpet.
7 Hyderabad Kalvakurti.
8 Hyderabad Mallapally.
9 Hyderabad Gandipet.
10 10 Ghayella Shankerpalli
11 Chavella Shabad road.
12 Hyderabad Gundipet Shankerpallia.
13 Miralam Himayatksagar.
14 Secunderabad Kukatpalli.
15 Hyderabad Moulalli.
16 Secunderabad Uppal.
17 Karawan Sangham.
18 Khaderbagh.
19 Lunger House.
20 Banjia road.
21 Jubli Hill road.
22 Nible road.
23 Aerodrome road.
24 Boyanpally Diversion.
25 Yousufguda Branch.
26 Padma Rao Nagar road.
27 Chilakalguda road,
28 Himayatsagar Branch road.
29 Diversion road to Januma.
30 Mushak Mahal road.
31 Tombs road.
32 Moto Darwaza road.
33 Moosarambagh road.
34 Osmania University Branch road.
35 Mehandi road.
36 Himayatsagar Branch road.
37 Saidabad Sarooknagar road.
38 Khalijit Khan Danga road.
39 Sahanuma Palace road.
40 Approach road to Idgha.
41 Azianagar road.
42 Polo Ground.
43 Fatha Darwaza Terlichouk road.
44 Hyderebad Kingampally.
45 Jahangirpeeram Dargh.

46 Kotapally to Dharurs
47 Peeddamual Kottipalli road.
48 Agnur Bhasjeerabad.
49 Murangavalli Kottepalli.
50 Dharur Vikarabad.
51 Shamirpet Medchal.
52 Budhra Marpalli.
53 Ammeerpet road.
54 Minister road.
55 Ameepet Balnagar.
56 Bhasheribad road.
57 Shantinagar road.
58 Internal roads of Ananthgiripalli.
59 Branch road diversion road of Anantagiripalli.
60 Approach road to well.
61 Internal roads of Vikarabad town.
62 Himayatsagar Tank Bund road.
63 Old Murjool road.
64. Saidabad Saroornagar road.
65 Approach road to Dargah Hazarat Baba Pahadisheriff.
66 Raniganj road.
67. Musheerabad road.

Karimnagar Division

1 Hanummakonda Karimnagar road.
2 Branch road to Peddapalli Railway Station (21.50 Km. road
3 Karimnagar Mahadevpur road.
4 Karimnagar Nigamabad road.
5 Branch road Mosque to I. B. K.N.R.
6 Karimnagar Kamareddy road.
7 Peddapalli Authergan road (22. 6 km road).
8 Hydarabad Karimnagar Chanda Branch road.
9 Huzurabad Parkal road.
10 Shabaspalli to Naminilikenda (13/1 to KK road).
11 Huzurabad Jamnicunta road (18/5 of H.K. road).
12 Jamnicunta Thangula (8/3 of H.K. road).
13 Jamnicunta Station road.
14 Branch road to I.B. Sirilla (24/3 of K. K. road).
15 Branch road to I. B. Manthani (36/6 to K. M. road).
16 Branch road to Vemulavada (19/6 of K. K. road).
17 Branch road to Uppal Railway Station (4/3 of H. P. road).
18 PWD road to Abadi Mathani
19 Abadi Manthani to Gadavari road.
20 Elkathusty Siddipet.
21 Branch road I. B. Karatla 14/5 K. K. road.
22 Branch road to I. B. Aurbaripet 127/6 of H.K.G. road.
23 Parkal Mahudevpur road.

24 Branch road to I.B. Nanililonta (15/2 K. K. road).
25 Venkatapur Mahadevpur 1st Section.
26 Venkatapur Mahadevpur 2nd Section.
27 Approach road to Maniar (44/7 of K. K. road).
28 Jagtial Dharampur 1st Station.
29 Jagtlal Dharampur 2nd Section.
30 Approach road to Manair (44/7 of K. K. road).
31 Ayant road.
32 Mystabad Siddipet road.
33 Rajapatnam Dharanpuri.

Kammam Division

1 Hyderabad Rajhumundry road.
2 Hanamakonda Khamman 3rd Section.
3 Khamman Kodad.
4 Kusumanchi Nelakondapalli.
5 Talada Kothagudem.
6 Yallandu Bharachalam.
7 Khammam Yellandu.
8 Wura Bonakal
9 Madira Bonakal
10 Madira Tirur.
11 Madira Kalloor Muthuguda.
12 Chintalapudi Branch.
13 Khanapuram Gunavaram.
14 Kinpala Seripuram.

Mahaboobnagar:

1 Hedcherla Parahabad road.
2 Jedcherla Miryalapuda road.
3 Hyderabad Bijapur road.
4 Hyderabad Bangalore road.
5 Mannanoor Awarabad road.
6 Nagarjunasagar Kosgi road.
7 Mahabooba nagar Chincholi road.
8 Mahaboob nagar Nawabpet road.
9 Malaboob nagar Koilkonda road.
10 Mahaboob nagar Bhythpur road.
11 Marcal Minaspur road.
12 Makthal Narayanpur road.
13 Branch road to Krishna road.
14 Gulberga Kodangal road.
15 Atmokar Kalawatukurti road.
16 Pahadisherif Kalwakurti road.
17 Development roads under Koilsagar Project.
18 Telkapalli Lingal road.

Madak Division :

1 Sangareddi Nanded.
2 Hyderabad Kareemnagar Chanda.
3 Medak Nizamabad road.
4 Hyderabad Medak Narasapur road.
5 Jogi eldi Ghanpur road.
6 Alladurg Metalakunta road.
7 Zeneerabad Bidar road.
8 Nizamapet Narayankhed road.
9 Narayankhed Santhapur road.
10 Narahankhed Raipalli.

Nizamambad Division :

1 Hyderabad Akola road.
2 Medak Bodhan road.
3 Annasagar Pitlam road.
4 Mamareddy Yellareddy road.
5 Karimnagar Kamareddi road.
6 Jagtial Armoor road.
7 Halkonda Kustapur road.
8 Nizamabad Armoor road.
9 Janakampet Mosara road.
10 Nizamabad Warni road.
11 Nizamabad Machippa road.
12 Dachipalli Narsi road.
13 Neelam Kanthkurthi road.

Nelgonda Division :

1 Hyderabad Hanakakonda road.
2 Boongiri Chityal road.
3 Kothaguda Pochampally.
4 Bhongir Jagdevpur road.
5 Mahdapur Parwathapur road.
6 Raigir Mothkur road.
7 Wangapalli Yadgirpalli.
8 Raigi to Yadgirpalli.
9 Choutuppal Nagaram road.
10 Choutuppal Nagaram road.
11 Valigonda Thoroof road (third section).
12 Alier Buchannapet road.
13 Alier Jeedical road.
14 Balgonda Mallapalli road.
15 Narkathpalli Nalgonda road.
16 Nalgonda Tipparthy.
17 Miryalaguda Kodad.
18 Hyderabad Nagarjunsagar road.
19 Nedcherla Miryalaguda.

20 Pecdaoosa to Miryalaguda
21 Suryapet Minika road
22 Halia to Nagarjunasagar road.
23 Nalgonda to Katnangool road.
24 Nalgonda to Halia Gansway road
25 Jangaonsu Suryapet.
26 Hyderabad Rajmahudry road.
27 Kodad Khammam road.
28 Peedawora to Challaurti road.

Warangal Division :

1 Hyderabad Hamumkonda
2 Hanumakonda Karimhagar.
3 Jangaon Suryapet.
4 Road from Jahgaon Railway Station Join Duddede road
5 Jangaon Buddeda.
6 Road from Ghanapur Railway Station to Joint Hyderabad
7 Feeder Hanukanakonda road.
8 Feeder approach road to Hasamparthi Railway Station.
9 Hanyamkonda Nagaram road.
10 Mulug Mahadevpur road.
11 Parkal Mahadevpur road.
12 Eturu Nagaram Booragampad road.
13 Parkal Atmakoor road.
14 Huzurabad Parkal road.
15 Kanamkonda Khammam road.
16 Narasampet Park road.
17 Narasampet Bhupathipet road.
18 Thorrar Nellikuduru road.
19 Mahabocbabad Maripadu
20 Bupathipet Mahaboobabad road.
21 Thorura Valigonda road.
22 Mahaboobabad Yallandu road.
23 Alair Buchanapet road.
24 Fort road.

Wanaparthy Division

1 Yergaije Alampur road.
2 Gadwal Dharur road.
3 Bijanapalli Budharam road.
4 Wanaparthy Budharam road.
5 Wanaparthy Sri. Ramjapur road.
6 Wanaparthy Gopalpet Butanam road.
7 Wanaparthy Madanapur road.
8 Alampur Station Feeder road.
9 Peddaru Alampur
10 Kolhapur Yaprla road.

11 Gadwal Station road.
12 Gadwal Station Feers road.
13 Gadwal Rangapur road.
14 Gadwal Krishna road.
15 Peddaru Branch road.
16 The road Joining Yaperla.
17 Kolapur Singatornum.

KERALA

National Highways :

1 Parassala (State's limit, south) to Walavar (State's limit North) *via* Trivandrum, Quilon, Alleppey, Ernakulam, Angamali, Trichur, Alathur, Palghat (N.H. 47).

2 Edapally-Talapady (State's limit-north) *via* Perur, Grnganore Pudupomnani, Kuttipuram, Calicut, Badagera, Tellicherry, Kanhagad and Kasargod (N.H. 17).

State Highways:

1 Main Central Road (Trivandrum-Angamaly) *via* Kettarakara, Kottayam-Muvattapuzha-Perumbaboor.

2 Trivandrum-Shecotta road upto Tenmalai Junction *via* Nedumangadu, Palode; Madhathara (Joins Quilon-Shencotta Road).

3 Nedumangadu-Shaorlakode Road upto State Limit Koviloor *via* Aryanad.

4 Quilon-Shencotta road upto State limit *via* Kotterakara Thenmalai.

5 Kayamkulam - Thiruvella road *via* Mavilikkara - Mannar, Ranni crossing.

6 Thiruvella-Kozhencherry road *via* Kreviperoor.

7 Kayamkulam-Pathanapuram road (*via*) Adoor.

8 Main Eastern Highway (Punaloor-Muvattupuzha) *via* Pathanapuram, Pathanamthitta, Ranni, Manimala, Palai.

9 Kottayam-Kozhencherry road *via* Karukachal, Mallapally, Vennekulam.

10 Mavellikkara-Kozhencherry road *via* Puliyoor Chengannur.

11 Alleppey - Chengancherry road *via* Champakulam, Ramankary.

12 Ambalapuzha-Thiruvella road *via* Chennanakari, Edathura.
13 Kottayam-Kumili road *via* Kanjirapally-Peermade.
14 Erattupetta-Peemade road *via* Kanjirapally-Peermade.
15 Muttumanoor-Ernakulam road *via* Vailkom, Thrippunithura.
16 Aluay-Munnar road *via* Perumbavoor, Kothamangalam.

17 Munnar-Udumalpet road upto Chinnar (State limit) *via* Meyamkkadgap Thalayar.

18 Munnar-Tob station road *via* Kundala Dam.

19 Munnar-Kumili road *via* Devicolam, Shanthampara.

20 Kothamangalam-Trippunithura road *via* Muvattupuzha.

21 Chalakudy - Angamali road *via* Pariyaram town, Kanakkuzha.

22 Cranganoor-Shoranur road *via* Irinjalakuda, Trichur.

23 Shoranur Perinthalmanna road *via* Pattambi.

24 Madras - Calicut road (from State limit at Walayar) Palghat, Mannarghat, Perinthalmanna, Malappuram.

25 Thathamangalam - Nattakkal road (Nadupanny road) *via* Chittur, Manchira-Neilepily.

26 Natthkal-Walayar road (upto State limit) Velamthavalam road *via* Kozhipura (joins Madra -Calicut road).

27 Thathamangalam-Pollachi road (upto State limit) Vandhazhaviam road *via* Puthalmade.

28 Calicut-Nilambur-Gudallor road *via* Kondotti-Manjery.

29 Calicut-Vytlini-Gudallor road *via* Thamaraseri, Lakkidi.

West Coast Road :

30 Tellicherry-Coorg road upto Kuttupuzha (State limit) Kuthuparamba Mattannur Kezhoor.

31 Kolladka-Kanhangad road *via* Cherkala Kumbala.

KARNATAKA STATE

National Highways

1. Road connecting Madras and Bombay passing through Kolar-Bangalore-Tumkur-Dharwar-Belgaum (N.H. 4)

2. Road connecting Cape Camor in and Banaras-passing through Chandapur-Bangalore-Chickballapur-Bagepalli (NH 7)

3. Road connecting Poona and Vijayawada *via* Sholapur Hyderabad-passing through Bidar District. (NH 9)

4. Chitradurga-Sholapur-road passing through Hospet-Kushtagi Hungund Bijapur (NH 13)

5. Connecting Belgaum-Anmod-Londa and Panaji (NH 4A)

6. Connecting-Pavel on National Highway No. 4-Mahad-Panaji-Karwar-Mangalore-Cannanore-Calicut (Korhikoda) and Tellicherry (NH-17)

7. Connecting Bangalore-Hassan and Mangalore (NH. 48)

State Highways

1. The Highway connecting Alandi village (Border leading from Nanded in Maharashtra State) with Cornahalli village (Border leading on to Zahirabad in Maharashtra State) *via* Bidar. (SH. 4)

2. The Highway connecting Bagepalli with Bangarpet *via* Chintamani and Kolar. (SH 5)

3. The Highway connecting Yelahanka with Kudumalakunte village (Border leading on to Hindupur in Andhra Pradesh *via* Doddaballapur and Gowribidanur. (SH. 9)

4. The Highway connecting Sirsamba village (Border leading from Wagdhari in Maharashtra State) with Ribanapalli village (Border leading of one to Kodangal in Andhra Pradesh) *via* Alland Gulbarga and Serum. (SH 10)

5. The Highway connecting Jewargi with Sankeshwar on NH 4 *via* Sindgi. Bijapur, Athani, Shedbal and Chickodi (SH. 12)

6. The Highway connecting Tikote with Kammadi village (Border leading on to Jathi in Maharashtra State) (SH. 12A)

7. The Highway connecting Devasugur village (Border leading from Mehboobnagar in Andhra Pradesh) with Katigud Village (Border leading on to Adoni in Andhra Pradesh) *via* Raichur (SH 13)

8. The Highway connecting Wanmorpalliwith Raichur *via* Aurad Santhapur-Bidar-Chincholi-Sedam-Yadgir-Hattigudur and Deodurg (SH. 15).

9. The Highway connecting Sindgi with Shahapur *via* Gulgeri (SH. 16).

10 The highway connecting Bangalore with Bandipur (Border) *via* Ramanagaram, Channapatna, Maddur, Mandya-Srirangapatna Mysore-Nanjangud and Gundlupet (SH. 17)

11 The Highway connecting Mysore with Hunsur Ghat (Border leading on to Coimbatore in Tamilnad) *via* Kollegal & B. R. Hills. (SH 17A)

12 The highway connecting Nanjungud with Panjur village (Border leading on to Coimbatore in Tamilnad) *via* Chamarajnagar (SH 17B)

13 The highway connecting Gundlupet with Muthur Village (Border leading on to Sulthan Bathori in Kerala State) (SH 17C)

14 The highway connecting Mysore with Bavali Village (Border leading on to Manandhadi in Kerala State), *via* Heggada Devanakote (SH 17D)

15 The highway connecting Mudhol with Nipani *via* Chikodi (SH 18D)

16. The highway connecting Srirangapatna with Bidar *via* Nagamangala-Chicknayakana halli-Hiriyur Challakere-Bellary-Sirguppa

Sindhnoor-Lingasugur-Shorapur-Shahapur-Jawargi Gulbarga and Humnabad (SH 19)

17 The highway conneeting Raichur with Sachi village (Border leading on to Vangurla in Maharashtra State) *via* Lingasugur-Hungund-Bagalkote-Lokapur-Yaragatti and Belgaum (SH 20)

18 The highway connecting Raichur with Ginigera *via*, Manvi Sindhnoor and Gangavathi (SH 23)

19 The highway connecting Hospet with Mangalore on NH 17 *via* Harapanhalli-Harihar-Honnali-Shimoga-Thirthahalli-Agumbe and Karkal (SH 25)

20 The highway connecting Agumbe with Malpe *via* Hebri and Udipi (SH 25A)]

21 The highway connecting Sindhnoor with Nargund *via* Kushtagi and Ron (SH 30)

22 The highway connecting Dharwar with Armod (SH 34)

23 The highway connecting Bellary-Kudathini with Harapanahalli *via* Sandur and Kudlgi (SH 40)

24 The highway connecting Shirdon village (Border leading from Pandharapur in Maharashtra State) with Lingasugur *via* Zalki-Indi-Huvinhippargi-Muddebihal and Narayanapur Dam (SH-41)

25 The highway connecting Ankola with Joladarsi village (Border leading on to Goty in Andhra Pradesh) *via* Yellapur, Kalghatgi-Hubli-Gadag-Koppal-Hospet and Bellary. (SH. 42)

26 The highway connecting Kumta with Kadamadugi village (Border leading on to Roddam in Andhra Pradesh) *via* Siddapur-Sorab-Shikaripur-Honnali-Basavapatna-Chitradurga-Challakere and Pavagada (SH. 48).

27 The highway connecting Chitradurga with Bhadravathi *via* Hollalkere and Channagri (SH. 50)

28 The highway connecting Agumbe with Baindur on NH. 17 (SH. 52)

29 The highway connecting Bilikere with Tarikere *via* Krishnarajanagar-Holenarasipur-Hassan-Belur and Chickmagalur (SH. 57)

30 The highway connecting Mudigere with Chickballapur *via* Belur-Halebid-Banavara-Huliyar-Sira-Madhugiri and Gowribidanur (SH. 58).

31 The highway connecting Bijapur on NH. 13 with Hubli on NH. 4 *via* Nargund and Navalgund) (SH. 63)

32 The highway connecting Kadur with Bantwal *via* Chikmagalur-Mudigere and Beethangadi (SH. 64).

33 The highway connecting Belthangadi with Mulki *via* Mudbidri (SH. 64A)

34 The highway connecting Bijapur on NH. 13 with Dharwar on NH. 4 *via* Jamkhandi-Mudhol-Lokapur Ramdurg and Soundatti (SH. 65).

35 The highway connecting Tumkur on NH. 4 with Honnavar on NH. 17 *via* Gubbi-Tiptur-Arsikere-Kadur-Tarikere-Bhadravathi-Shimoga-Sagar and Talguppa (SH. 68)

36 The highway connecting Tiptur with Hassan on NH 48 *via* Dudda (SH. 48A)

37 The highway connecting Kumta with NH 4 *via* Sirsi Mundgod and Tadas (SH. 69)

38 The highway connecting Nelamangala on NH 4 with Chikballapur on NH 7 *via* Doddaballapur (SH. 74)

39 The highway connecting Hoskote on NH 4 with Gawnpalli Village (Border leading on to Cuddapha in Andhra Pradesh) via Chintamani (SH 82)

40 The highway connecting Bangalore with Mysore via Kanakapura-Malavalli and Bannur.(SH.86)

41 The highway connecting Mysore with Bantwal via Hunsur-Periyapatna-Kushalnagar Suntikoppa-Mercara-Sulya and Puttur (SH.88)

42 The highway connecting Hunsur with Virajpet.(SH.88A)

43 The highway connecting Mercara with Malkot village (Border leading on to Cannanore in Kerala State) via Siddapur and Virajpet (SH.88B)

44 The highway connecting Kalladka with Border leading on Kanangad in Kerala State(SH.88C)

45 The highway connecting Khanapur on NH 4A with Talguppa with Haliyal Yellapur-Sirsi and Siddapur(SH93A)

46 The highway connecting Khanapur with Sadashivagad via Londa and Supa(SH.95)

PONDICHERRY

National Highways :

Nil

State Highways :

1 R.C. 2-Cuddalore road.

2 R.C. 3-Villianur road.

3 R.C. 4-Vazhudavur road.

4 R.C. 5- Mahatma Gandhi road.

5 R.C. 6-Tindivanam road.

6 R.C. 11-Mill road.

7 R.C. 29-Villupuram road.

MAHARÂSHTRA

National Highways :

1 Bombay-Thana-Nasik-Dhulia Agra road (including Eastern Express Highway) (N. H. 3).

2 Bombay-Ahmedábad-Delhi road (including western Express Highway. (N. H. 8).

3 Bombay-Panvel-Poona-Bangalore road (N. H. 4)

4 Poona-Sholapur-Hyderabad road (N. H. 9).

5 Bánaras-Nagpur-Bori-Capecomorin road (N. H. 7).

6 Poona-Nasik road (N. H. 50).

7 Dhulia-Edlabad-Ma kapur-Nagpur-Calcutta road (N. H. 7).

8 Sholápur-Bijapur road (N. H. 13).

State Highways :

1 Bandra-Ghodhubunder-Thana road (Joining to Bombay-Agra road National Highway No. 3, at Kparbaori).

2 Bessin-Kalyan-Ahmednagar Broom road (Joining at Golegaon on Malkapur-Sholapur road).

3 Nasik-Wada-Manor-Mahim road.

4 Nasik-Jawahar-Dahanu road.
(a) Bombay-Oavyek: Akeri-Goá road.

5 Manmad-Chandor road.

6 Jawahar-Pali road (Joining Nasik Mahim road).
(a) Nasik-Jalna-Ardhapur. Nirmal-Pattagudam-Jagdalpur road.

7 Bhiwandi-Wada road.
(a) Nagpur-Bori-Ardhapur-Tuljapur road.
(b) Betul-Nagpur-Pattagudem road (Joining to Nasik-Nirmal-Pattagudam Jagdalpur road).

8 Shahpur-Murbad-Chowk road.

9 Choti-Zarwad road.

10 Choti-Samgamner-Newaga-Shevgaon-Georai-Manjilegaon road.

11 Nasik-Bargaon upto State Border leading to Waghal.

12 Nasik-Point road upto State Border leading to Dharampur.

13 (Trimbak) Sapgaon-Hatti (Point) road.

14 Malegaon-Ahmednagar. Dhond-Paras road.
(a) Bhigwan-Baramati-Sangvi-Phaltan Sangli Miraj road.

15 Malegaon-Sharabad road upto State border leading to Ahwa
(a) Surat-Dhulia road.

16 Malegaon-Nandgaon-Shiver road.

17 Vinchur-Chandor Sakari-Nandurbar-Prakasha road (a) Shahada-Dhadgaon road upto State border leading to Alirajpur.

18 Maleagaon-Chalisgaon-Pachora-Edlabad-Nurhanpur road.

19 Songir Dundaicha-Shahada-Khotia road.

20 Nandurbar-Visarvadi(Joining to Sura-Dhulia road).

21 Malegaon-Satana road.

22 Dhulia-Chaliagaon-Daulatabad road(Joining to Nasik Aurangabad-Nanded road).

23 Ank'eshwar-Shahaba Burhanpur road).

24 Amalner-Perola-Bhadgaon road. (a) Dhulai-Amalner-Ghopda-Khargaone road.

25 Bhusawal-rawal road (a) Bhuswal-Ramnod-Tinshemati road).

26 Pachore-Phardapur(Ajanta)road.

27 Poona-Ahmednagar-Aurangabad-Jalgaon road.

28 Edlabad-Akot-Walgon(Joining to Bhurhanpur-Amarvathi road.

29 Ahmednagar - Tisgaon - Shevgaon-Pithan-Ambad-Pathari-Parbhani-Dasmath road.

30 Narayangao-Junnar Malsej road

31 Madhe-Vadgaon (Shrigondas-Bhir-Gangakked-Loha road).

32 Ahmednagar-Karmala-Pandharpur-Umadi road upto State border leading to Bijapur.

33 (Dhond) Kashti-Barsi-Osmanabad-Borpur (Aune) road (joining Sholapur-Nagpur road).

34 Sirur-Khed-Bhimshanker-Kalamb road

35 Siru-Warsand-Sangvi road

36 Hadayasar Nira-Satara road

37 Indapur-Badamati-Nira road

38 Poona-Kodad-Rosha-Salav-Murud road

39 Poona-Khadakvasla (Defence Academy) Kudje road
(a) Poona Ganeshkhind-Pashan road

40 Mahad-Bhor-Wakhari (Pandharpur) road

41 Panvel-Uran road

42 Alibag-Khopoli road

43 Khalapur-Pali-Ratansai road (Joining to M.S. Road

44 Shriwardhan-Lonera road

45 Poladpur-Wai Surul road

46 Dabhelkend road

47 Guhagar-Chiplun-Karad-Jath road upto State border (Leading to Bijapur) (Chittoor-Gundlupet Road)

48 Tavsal-Savarda road

49 Mirya-Ratnagiri-Kolahapur-Miraj-Mahisal road upto State border leading to Bijapur

50 Musekaji. Rajapur Road

(a) Malkapur-Jalna-Tuljpur-Sholapur Road.

51 Vita-Peth-Malkapur. Oni road (Joining to W.C. Road).

52 Kolhapur-Bhavada-Talera road (W.C. road.)

53 Deogad-Nipani road.

54 Vijayadurga Reddy Road.

55 Malvan-Kasal road.

56 Vengurla-Akheria-Belgaum road.

57 Banda-Dodamarg road.

58 Mahabaleshwar-Pandharpur-Mphel-Wairag road.

59 Path-Sangli-Ankali road.

60 Miraj-Pandharpur-Barsi-Latur road.

61 Kolhapur-Naganwadi (Chandgad) road joining to Ankeri-Belgaum road.

62 Barsi-Sholapur-Akalkot-Dudhani road upto State Border leading to Aland.

63 Ajanta-Buldhana road :

(a) Chikhali-Khemgaon Deori road Joining to Harisal-Akola-Waranga road.

64 Chikhali Mekkar-Malegaon road.

65 Kannad-Silod-Deulgaon-Raja road.

66 Mahkar. Lonar-Mamta road.

67 Deulgaon-Raja-Washim-Pushad-Mandwi road upto State border leading to Pandhurwara.

68 Harisal-Akola-Washim-Waranga road (Joining to Nagapur-Nanded-Tuljapur road)

69 Akola-Mangrulpir-Digras road.

70 Akot-Paratwada road upto State border leading to Betul.

71 Washim-Mangrulpir-Kanja road.

72 Yeotmal-Murtizapur-Amgamgaon road (Joining Akot-Betul road)

73 Loni-Kherda road joining to YeotmalM-urizapur road.

74 Hingosenghat-Pulgaon-Amravathi-Dharni road upto State border leading to Burhanpur.

75 Darwha-Pusad-Umderkhed road.

76 Yeotmal-Bhadnera road joining to Dhilia Nagpur, Calcutta road National Highway No. 6.

77 Yeotmal-Karanja-Warora-Kanpa road (Joining to Nagpur) Alapillai Pattagudam road.
(a) Wani-Sindola road.

78 Bhokar-Kinwat-Sarakini road.

79 Yeotmal-Dhamangaon road.

80 Nandgaon-Morsi-Pusla road upto State border leading to Pandhurna.

81 Pargaon-Arvi-Warud road upto State border leading to Multai.

82 Arvi-Wardha-Waigaon road.

83 Kharangane-Kondholi-Sawargaon road upto State border leading to Betul.

84 Jamb-Chanda-Rajura road upto State border leading to Asifabad.

84 (a) Tadali-Ghugus road.

85 Seoner-Kelod road upto State border leadidg to Chnindwara

86 Mansar-Ramtek-Gondia road.

87 Nilajon-Betul-Nagpur-Pattagudam road to Pauni-Bhandara-Tumsar-Shihore road upto State border leading to Balaghat.

88 Tumsar-Hipani Bongari road upto State border leading to Chinchola.

89 Arjuni-Gondia road upto State border leading to Balaghat.

90 Arjuni-Warsa-Garchirol Asthi road.

91 Nahghir-Warsa-Kukkhera road upto State borde leading to Chinchola.

92 Ghanda-Barchiroli-Murmagaon road upto State border leading to Durg.

93 Rajura-Addilabad road.

94 Bahimini (Balharsha) Gond-Pimpri road (joining Nagpur-Alaillai-Jagdalpur road).

95 Alapillai-Bhamragarh road upto State border leading to Chotadengeq.

96. Sironcha-Rampampalli road (joining to Nagpur-Allapillai-Pattagudam road).

97 Aurangabad-Warigodri road (Joining to Malkapur–Sholapur road.)

98 Jalna–Bhokardan road.

99 Aurangabad-Paithan road.

100 Devgaon–Pathan–Mangelgaon–Dhoki road.

101 Sailu–Kala road.

102 Nanded–Purna–Borankh (Parbhani) to joining Jinthur–Parband–Gangakhed road).

103 Jalntur–Parbhani–Gangakhed road.

104 Nanded–Daglur road upto State border leading to Secunderabad.

105 Manjarasamba–Kailj–Ahmedapur road.

106 Parli–Mominabad road.

107 Latur–Likhandi–Swaranaon road.

108 Sirur–Tajball Mukher Khanpur (Deglur) to joining Nanded–Deglur road (S. No. 104).

109 Sirur–Tajband–Udgir road upto tate Border leading to Bidar.

110 Busa–Kasgi road upto State border leading to Aland.

111 Lamajana–Nilanga road upto State border leading to Bhalki.

112 Mukkhed Nursi Bhoka–Hadgaon road.

Tamil Nadu

Notional Highways

Sl. No.	*N.H. No.*	*Name of the road*
1	4	Madras–Chittoor Bangalore Road.
2	5	Madras–Calcutta Road.
3	7	Bannaras–Cape Camorin road.
4	7A	Palayameottah–Tuticorin road
5	45	Madras Trichy, Dindigul road.
6	46	Krishnagar Ranipet Road.
7	47	Salem Cochin road.
8	47	Kerala border to Kanyakumari
	49	Madura–Dhanushkodi road.

*State Highways

Madras Ennore Expressway		
Cuddalore Chittoor road	S. H. No.	1
Salem-Ulundurpet road	do	2
Tiruchirapalli Nammakkal road	do	6
Perambalur-Manamadurai road	do	3
Tenkasi-Madurai road	do	5
Nagapattinam-Cudlur road upto State Border		
Coimbatore		
Dindigul road		
Coimbatore-Sathyamangalam Hasanur road	do	7
Calicut-Vyitiri-Cudalur road upto State border	do	4
Calicut Nilambur- Cadalur road upto State border	do	4A
Tirunelveli Shencottah road	do	12
Arambeli Nedumangad road	do	14

GOA

National Highways :

2. 1. National Highway No. 4A-Connecting Belgaum on N. H. No. 4 and Panaji; passes Panaji, Poona and Anmod.

2. National Highway No. 17-Passes through Patradevi, pernam, Mapusa, Panaji, A gasaim, Cortallim, Margao, Canacona, Maxem and Polem.

3. National Highway No. 17A-Connecting Cortalim on N. H. No. 17 and Marmago Harbour, passes through vascodagoma.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

K. P. RAMAKRISHNA,
Under Secretary to Government,
Home Department.

1656—S. O. 651

Printed by the Director of Printing, Sty. & Publications, at the Govt. Press, B'lore.

PART IV

Section —2-C (ii)

STATUTORY ORDERS ISSUED BY THE STATE GOVERNMENT UNDER THE CENTRAL ACTS AND STATE ACTS.

FINANCE SECRETARIAT

Notification No. FD 88 CAX 77.

Bangalore, dated 24/27th February 1978.

In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (g) of section 12 of Karnataka Agricultural Income Tax Act 1957 (Karnataka Act No. 22 of 1957), the Government of Karnataka hereby approve, "Vishva Hindu Parishad Bangalore" for the purposes of the said clause for a period of one year.

By Order and in the name of the President of India.

L. S. JAGIRDAR,
Under Secy. to Govt.,
Finance Department.

2623—S.O. 662

EDUCATION AND YOUTH SERVICES SECRETARIAT

Notification No ED 2 UAC 78

Bangalore, dated 18/20th February 1978.

In exercise of the powers conferred under sub-section (5) of Section 53 of the Karnataka State Universities Act 1976 (Karnataka Act No. 28 of 1976) the Government of Karnataka are pleased to sanction affiliation of the Basaveshwar Science College, Bagalkot to the Karnatak University, for teaching the following courses for a period of one year from June 1977.

Continuation of Affiliation

	Basic	*Major*	*Minor*
B.Sc. Part—II & III.	—	Botany, Zoology, Mathematics.	Chemistry, Zoology, Mathematical Stat.

subject to the conditions laid down by the Local Inquiry Committee and the Karnatak University.

By Order and in the name of the President of India,

B. S. MUDDAPUR,
Under Secretary to Government,
Education and Youth Services Department.

2619—S.O. 663

REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Notification No. RDN 48 TRM 77(B).

Bangalore, dated 18th February 1978.

WHEREAS there is failure of crops in the villages specified in column (2) of the schedule below, owing to inadequate rainfall and the average crop-wise yield per acre is less than 25% in the said villages;

AND WHEREAS the Government of Karnataka consider it necessary to remit the whole of the land revenue payable for the year 1976-77 in respect of lands in the said villages;

NOW, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by item (i) of sub-section (1) of section 194 of the Karnataka Land Revenue Act, 1964 (Karnataka Act 12 of 1964) read with Rule 147 C (i) of the Karnataka Land Revenue Rules 1966, the Government of Karnataka hereby remits the whole of the Land Revenue of Rs. 90 532-44 payable for the year 1976-77 in respect of the lands in 163 villages specified in column (2) of the schedule below situated in Arkalgud Taluk of Hassan district.

SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Villages	Land Revenue
(1)	(2)	(3)
	Kasaba Hobli :	Rs. P.
1	Kyathanahalli ...	227-33
2	Kamenahalli ...	677-38
3	Arenudanahalli ...	471-46
4	Medapura ...	497-29
5	Harohalli ...	477-51
6	Kattinahalli ...	619-53
7	Vijapura Forest ...	9 6-00
8	Vaddarahalli ...	732-82
9	Anegundikaval ...	643-42
10	Hethagodanahalli ...	674-24
11	Kallimuddanahalli ...	311-57
12	Keshavapura ...	340-10
13	Kallubyadarahalli ...	88-38
14	Moodanahalli ...	473-05
15	Ganjigodu ...	721-40
16	Hardoor ...	899-31
17	Basavanahalli ...	539-10
18	Geodalarosahalli ...	317-12
19	Basavanahallikaval ...	153-08

1	2		
	Mallipatna Hobli		
20	Mudaganoorkaval	...	753-00
21	Rathyarchanashalli	...	434-79
22	Mudlapura	...	296-36
	Ramanathapura Hobli		
23	Ramanathapura	...	159-95
24	Raghunathikoppalu	...	78-60
25	Konavalu	...	329-70
26	Bilaguli	...	1,445-13
27	Hangalur	...	1,534 01
28	Hosalahalli	...	467-15
29	Kogimaruru	...	929-99
30	Hampapura	...	760-91
31	Hanyalu	...	491-33
32	Sompura	...	1,041-47
33	Hosahalli	...	319-00
34	Katyayanu	...	650 00
35	Mattigodu	...	314-66
36	Vaddarahalli	...	294-51
37	Maradihosavanahalli	...	396-77
38	Lakkur	...	1,441-08
39	Malipura	...	394-32
40	Kurakanaratikaval	...	789-68
41	Kantapura	...	240-03
42	Madhuranahalli	...	2,336-29
43	Kyragodu	...	748-74
44	Kodahalli (B)	...	171-39
45	Kasavanahalli	...	390-48
46	Hejjekyralapura	...	274-14
47	Honnenahalli	...	382-41
48	Dotamballi	...	2 5-04
49	Basavapatna	...	475 09
50	Naganahalli	...	219-40
51	Kunanakoppalu	...	271 52
52	Anjaneyahosahalli	...	514-62
53	Mooleno-ahalli	...	322 34
54	Kalenahalli	...	1,742-13
55	Garudanahalli	...	367 23
56	Yachanakuppe	...	314-64
57	Jittenahalli	...	503-94
58	Bettasoge	...	495-19
59	Boodanoor	...	592-63
	Konanoor Hobli		
60	Handrangi	...	804-63
61	Heelagere	...	116-73

1	2		3
62	Kesavattur	...	921-40
63	Pandiganahalli	...	310-70
64	Heggattur	...	628-95
65	Hindalahalli	...	270-32
66	Malenahalli	...	333-19
67	Akkalavadi	...	609-13
68	Cranganarayanaabbur	...	278-30
69	Arkanahalli	...	274 53
70	Majjanahalli	...	234-15
71	Bilahalli	...	730-14
72	Mallappanahalli	...	89-41
73	Shanabhoganahalli	...	88-79
74	Hennur	...	36-47
75	Kidarur	...	799-40
76	Kathalgere	...	917-98
77	Devanapura (B)	...	35-39
78	Lakkanahalli	...	281 90
79	Sibarti	...	363-01
80	Nanjalapura	...	299-15
81	Muddenahalli	...	572-45
82	V V Hosahalli	...	252-13
83	Chikkahalli	...	1,122-03
84	Hirihalli	...	1,153-63
85	Konapura	...	429-43
86	Ranur	...	718-41
87	Honaganahalli	...	463-58
88	Hadenoor	...	850-66
89	Chikkahommenahalli	...	448-69
90	Kargallu	...	1,241-38
91	Bellame	...	178-11
92	Rattigalale	...	479-70
93	Kuruburaabbur	...	329-71
94	Kantenahalli	...	614-88
95	Arigalla	...	531-60
96	Doddobommanahalli	...	774-98
97	Kadanoor	...	714-92
98	Kantenahallikaval	...	168-78
99	Terigalale	...	631-67
100	Sulagod-owavara	...	191-57
101	Karatoor	...	273-15
102	Kerahosahalli	...	403-18
103	Seegodu	...	620-38
104	Kadavinahosahalli	...	377-37
105	Siddapura	...	833-68

1	2		3
106	Ramenahalli	...	328-73
107	Beeranahalli	...	16-15
108	Beeranahallikaval	...	357.97
109	Ul.nanalli	...	793 24
110	Komanoor	...	789 49
111	Kattepura	...	417-67
112	Kangarahalli (B)	...	195 59
113	Yadiyoor (B)	...	91-63
114	Mavanoor (B)	...	512 24
115	Navile	...	342-69
116	Bandipalya	...	231 71
117	Yaladahalli	...	455-09
118	Midapura	...	435-66
119	Gabbalu	...	430-41
	Doddámaggeo Hobli		
120	Doddahalli	...	336-12
121	Mutagadahosuo	...	452 62
122	Belikarpuravalli	...	564 58
123	Lingapura	...	391-22
124	Tattavalue	...	595-05
125	Mugaloor	...	789 37
126	Agrahara	...	545 80
127	Nilvagilu	...	1,131-31
128	Koratikere	...	665-33
129	Muddanahalli	...	525-86
130	Kottkarapuravalli	...	489-90
131	Hannemaranahalli	...	4 6 51
132	Koratikerekaval	...	1 468-11
133	Belavadi	...	1, 63-06
134	Ankanahalli	...	5 4-85
135	Seebabalai	...	652-75
136	Dollanahalli	...	409 96
137	Hanagallu	...	1,463-05
138	Ajjur	...	878-31
139	Voddarahalli	...	527-40
140	Ibbadi	...	886-36
141	Karahalli	...	668 98
142	Hulkallu	...	1 315-17
143	Kaniyar	...	668 43
144	Madikehosahalli	...	259-10
145	Somanahalli	...	209-34
146	Bettadahalli	...	570-59
147	Ankanathapura (B)	...	3-2-26

1	2	3
148	Yagati	1,466-64
149	Mallithammanahalli	411-01
150	Bittagodanahalli	493-40
151	Yalagattavalli	696-16
152	P. Chabanahalli	342-65
153	Rangapura	183-51
154	Devipura	268-43
155	Gulbi Forest (B)	...
156	Santhemarur	1,656-26
157	Dammi	1,194-02
158	Dadadahalli	912-37
159	Shanvinakappe	899-20
160	Illaballi	384-46
161	Mallapura (B)	216-52
162	Parasanahalli	429-04
163	Shanbhoganahalli	374-21
	Grand Total :	90,532-44

By Order and in the name of the President of India,

T. L. KRISHNAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department

2603—S.O. 664

COMMERCE AND INDUSTRIES SECRETARIAT

Notification No CI 73 FDB 77 (i)
Bangalore, dated 10th February 1978

In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 3 of the Karnataka Industrial Areas Development Act, 1966 (Karnataka Act 18 of 1966) the Government of Karnataka hereby declare the area comprised in S. Nos. mentioned in column (2) of the schedule below, to the extent specified in column (3) situated in Asangi and Bavahatti Village, Hobli Jamkhandi Taluk, Bijapur District, to be an Industrial Area for the purpose of the said Act, and defines the limits of the said area as specified in the corresponding entries in column (4) thereof.

SCHEDULE

Name of the Village	S. No.	Approx. Area A. G.	Limits of the area
1	2	3	4
Banahatti Asangi	14/B1	18-00	Bounded on the north by S.Nos. 81, 80, 78, 77, 98, 110, 102 Village Site, 113, 114, 115, 118 and 119 part of Asangi Village. Bounded on the south by S No. 14 part, 12 of Banahatti Village and S. No 120 and 119 part of Asangi Village. Bounded on the east by S.No. 94 part 81 and 87 of Asangi village. Bounded on the west by S. No 119 part of Asangi Village
	94	32 00	
	95	1-08	
	96	9-19	
	97	18 -03	
	158	19-18	
	119	28 33	
	Total	284-01	

By Order and in the name of the President of India,

V. S. NADKARNI,
Under Secretary to Government,
Commerce and Industries Department.

2561—S. O. 665

Nification No. CI 73 FDB 77 (ii)

Bangalore, dated 10th February 1978.

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (3) of Section 3 of the Karnataka Industrial Areas Development Act, 1966 (Karnataka Act 18 of 1966), the Government of Karnataka hereby specifies that in the areas comprised in the S. Nos. mentioned in column (1) of the Schedule below, to the extent specified in column (3) and within the limits specified in the corresponding entries in column (4) thereof situated in Asangi and Banahatti Village, Hobli, Jamkhandi Taluk, Bijapur District, the provisions of Chapter VII of the said Act shall come into force from the date of this Notification.

SCHEDULE

Name of the Village	S. No.	Approx. Area A-G	Limits of the area
Banhatti	14/B1	18-00	Bounded on the north by S. Nos 81, 80, 78, 77, 98, 100 102, Village site, 113, 114, 115, 118 and 119 part of Asangi Village.
Asangi	94	32-00	
	95	1-08	
	96	9-19	
	97	181-03	
	158	13-18	Bounded on the South by S. No 14 part and 12 of Banhatti Village and S No. 120 and 119 part of Asangi Village.
	119	28-33	
Total		284-01	Bounded on the east by S.No. 94 part, 81 and 87 of Asangi Village.
			Bounded on the west by Sl No. 119 part of Asangi Village.

By Order and in the name of the President of India.

V. S. NADKARNI,
Under Secretary to Government,
Commerce and Industries Department.

2562—S.O. 666

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Order No. SWL 102 LBW 77.
Bangalore, dated 3rd March 1978.

Whereas an application has been made by the management of M/s. Bellary Spinning and Weaving Co. Ltd, Bellary, under proviso of Section 19 (1) (b) of the payment of Bonus Act 1965 requesting grant of extension of time limit for payment of bonus for the year 1976 to its employees;

And, whereas the Government of Karnataka is satisfied regarding the reasons put forth by the said management;

Now, therefore in exercise of the powers conferred under sub-section (b) of section 19 of the Payment of Bonus Act, 1965, the Government of Karnataka hereby extends the time limit for payment of bonus for the year 1976, till 31st May 1978.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka.

B. MANAMOHAN,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

2661—S. O. 667

GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Labour Dispute:—Industrial Dispute between the workmen and the management of V K. Boards and Papers (P) Ltd. 11th Mile, H.A.L. Whitefield Road, Bangalore South.

Award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Bangalore—Published.

Read the following Papers.—

1. Letter No. 1822/75-76, dated 19th January 1978 from Sri H. Shanmukhappa, Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Bangalore.

BEFORE THE PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, BANGALORE.

Dated this 19th day of January, 1978.

Present:

Shri. H. Shanmukhappa, B. A. B. L.,
Presiding Officer

Reference No 105 of 1977

First Party:—K. V. Rao. No. 164, Outer Circle. White Field, Bangalore South

Vs

Second Party:—The Management of V.K. Boards and Papers (P) Ltd., 11th Mile, H. A. L., White Field Road, Bangalore South.

Reference:—G.O. No. SWL 654 LLD 77, dated 13th September 1977.

AWARD

I Party workman has again remained absent. He is absent since the time of the notice of the court served on him. In spite of giving sufficient opportunities to the I Party, he has not appeared before the Court and filed his claims statement. As the I Party workman is indifferent and has not taken any interest in the Reference, the Reference is rejected. No costs.

(Sd)
H. SHANMUKHAPPA,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Bangalore.

"COPY"

H. SHANMUKHAPPA,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Bangalore.

Order No SWL 22 LLA 78.

Bangalore dated 16th/22nd February 1978

Whereas by State Government Order No. SWL 654 LLD 77, dated 13th September 1977 the Industrial Dispute between the workmen and the management of V K Boards and Papers (P) Ltd. 14th Mile, H.A.L Whitefield Road, Bangalore South was referred to the Labour Court, Bangalore for adjudication;

And Whereas the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Bangalore has submitted to the State Government its award in respect of the said Industrial Dispute.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka are pleased to direct the publication of the said award as above in the *Karnataka Gazette*.

By Order and in the name of the President of India,

B. MANAMOHAN,

Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Dept.

2631—S. O. 668

Order No. SWL 57 (ii) LLD 78

Bangalore, dated 16th/22nd February 1978.

WHEREAS the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workmen and the management of M/s. Canara Auto Repairs (P) Ltd., Mangalore 3 on the points noted below;

AND, WHEREAS the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

NOW, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Mangalore.

Points of Dispute

I. "Are the workmen of M/s. Canara Auto Repairs (P) Ltd., Mangalore-3, represented by the Karnataka Automobile Engineering Workers Union, Maidan Cross Road, Mangalore- justified in demanding the following new scales of pay.—

1. Skilled.—300-10-400-15-550-20-750
2. Semi skilled.—255-10-385-15-535-20-735
3. Un skilled.—270-10-370-15-520-20-720

II. If not, to what reliefs they are entitled to?"

By Order and in the name of the President of India,

B MANAMOHAN,

Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

2643—S. O. 669

Order No. SWL 593 LLD 76

Bangalore, dated 15th/23rd February 1978.

WHEREAS the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman and the management of M/s. United Transport Co., Nellikai Road, Mangalore on the points noted below;

AND, WHEREAS the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

NOW, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Mangalore.

Points of Dispute

I. Are the management of the United Transport Co., Mission Street Mangalore-1 justified in refusing employment to Shri M. B Chandrasekhar employee w.e.f. 25th February 1975?

II. If not, to what reliefs the said employee is entitled?

By Order and in the name of the President of India,

B. MANAMOHAN,

Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

2649—S.O. 670

Order No. SWL 74 LLD 78.

Bangalore, dated 4th March 1978.

WHEREAS the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workmen and the management of Sri Vishweshwara Saw Mills, Chikmagalur on the points noted below;

AND, WHEREAS the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication:

NOW, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Mangalore.

Points of Dispute

I. "Are the management of Vishweshwara Saw Mills, Market Road, Chikmagalur-Post, justified in terminating the services of Sri A. M. Gopal with effect from 9th April 1976?

II. If not, to what relief the said worker is entitled?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka

B. MANAMOHAN,

Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Departmen

2660—S. O. 671

GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Labour Dispute:—Industrial dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s. Tatanagar Transport Corporation, No 61, 5th Main Road, Chamarajpet, Bangalore-18.

Award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Bangalore, published.

Read the following papers:

(1) Letter No. 1797/77-78 dated 18-1-1978 from Shri H. Shanmukhappa, Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Bangalore.

BEFORE THE PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, BANGALORE.

Dated this 18th day of January, 1978.

Present:

Shri H. Shanmukhappa, B. A., B L.,

Presiding Officer

Reference No. 50 of 1977

First Party:—Workmen represented by the President, Karnataka Transport Labour Union, No. 6, Edward Road, Bangalore-1.

vs.

Second Party:—The Management of M/s. Tatanagar Transport Corporation, No. 61, 5th Main Road, Chamarajpet, Bangalore-18

Reference:—G O. No. SWL 1135 LLD 76 dated 23rd May 1977

Award

Again the I Party workmen are absent. They have not filed their claims statement even though time is given to them from 19th July 1977 covering as many as seven adjournments. Their conduct is thus evident that they are not interested in the Reference. As the I party workmen themselves have not evinced any interest whatsoever in the Reference, the Reference is liable for rejection and accordingly is rejected. No costs.

Sd/-

H. SHANMUKHAPPA,

Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Bangalore.

ORDER NO. SWL 21 LLA 78,

BANGALORE, DATED THE 16TH FEBRUARY 1978.

Whereas by State Government Order No. SWL 1135 LLD 76, dated 23rd May 1977, the Industrial dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s. Tatanagar Transport Corporation, No. 61, 5th Main Road, Chamarajpet, Bangalore-18 was referred to the Labour Court Bangalore for adjudication;

And whereas the Presiding Officer, Labour Court Bangalore, has submitted to the State Government its award in respect of the said Industrial Dispute;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powres conferred by Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka are pleased to direct the publication of the said award as above, in the *Karnataka Gazette*.

By Order and in the name of the President of India,

B. MANAMOHAN,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

2629—S. O. 672

GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT.

Labour Dispute: Industrial Dispute between the workmen and the management of Mysore Acetate and Chemicals Company Ltd., Mysugar Buildings, J C. Road, Bangalore-2.

Award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Bangalore published.

Read the following papers:

(1) Letter No. 1643/77–78, dated 3rd January 1978 from Shri H. Shanmukhappa, Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Bangalore.

BEFORE THE PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, BANGALORE.

Dated this 2nd day of January, 1978.

Present:

Shri H. Shanmukhappa, B.A., B.L.,
Presiding Officer.

Reference No. 94 of 1977

I Party:—

Miss R. Samuel, 97-D, Brigade Road, Bangalore-25.

Vs.

GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Labour Dispute: Industrial dispute between the workmen and the Management of Kathlekhan Estate Part of Babubudan Coffee and Produce Company Limited, Sampigekhan Post, *via*., Lingadahalli, Chikmagalur District.

Award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Chikmagalur published.

Read the following papers:

1. Letter No 52/78, dated 14th February 1978 from Sri S. N. Kenchanna, Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Chikmagalur.

IN THE COURT OF THE LABOUR COURT AT CHICKMAGALUR

Dated this the 8th day of February 1978.

Presiding Officer: Sri S. N Kenchanna, B.A., B.L.

Ref. I. D. Case No. 56/77.

Claimant:—

K. K. Annaiah, Writer, Kathlekhan Estate, Part of Babubudan Coffee and Produce Company Ltd., *via*., Lingadahalli, Chikmagalur District, represented by the Secretary, Estates Staffs Union of Southern India, Vijayapura, Chikmagalur.

vs.

Respondent:—

The Management, Kathlekhan Estate, Part of Babubudan Coffee and Produce Company Ltd., *via* Lingadahalli, Chikmagalur District, represented by S. Ramanathan, Administrative Officer, M/s Babubudan Coffee and Produce Company Ltd., 28, Krishnarajendra Road, Banavanagudi, Bangalore-4.

The Government of Karnataka in its G.O. No. SWL 200 LID 77, dated 2nd July 1977 referred the Industrial dispute existing between the parties named above for adjudication to this Labour Court under Section 10(1)(c) of the Industrial Disputes Act.

The Points of Disputes

1. Are the management of Kathlekhan Estate which is part of Babubudan Coffee and Produce Company Ltd., Sampigekhan Post, Via., Lingadahalli Chikmagalur District, justified in dismissing Sri K K. Annaiah, writer, with effect from 4th February 1977?
2. If not, to what relief, the said worker is entitled?

ORDER

In view of the memorandum of settlement, it is held that the dispute is settled out of court. No costs.

The Claimant and Respondent file this Memorandum of Settlement in respect of Case No. RID 56/77.

(1) The Claimant and Respondent have settled their differences out of Court.

(2) The Claimant hereby withdraws his application made under this reference No. 56 of 1977.

(3) The Claimant hereby submits that he has no more claims or grievances against the Respondent Management.

( ) The Claimant and respondent agree to this settlement and have affixed their signatures to this Memorandum of Settlement in token thereof, this 3rd day of February 1978.

For BABABUDAN COFFEE & PRODUCE CO. LTD.

Sd / (S. Ramanathan)
Administrative Officer.
Respondent.

Sd. / (K. K. Annaiah)
Claimant.

S. N. KENCHANNA,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Chikmagalur.

Order No. SWL 48 LLA 78.

Bangalore, dated 1st/3rd March 1978.

WHEREAS by State Government Order No. SWL 209 LLD 77, dated 2nd July 1977, the Industrial dispute between the workmen and the management of Kathlekhan Estate, Post of Bababudan Coffee and Produce Company Limited, Sampigekhan Post, via. Lingadahalli, Chikmagalur District, was referred to the Labour Court, Chikmagalur for adjudication.

AND WHEREAS the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Chikmagalur was submitted to the State Government its award in respect of the said Industrial Dispute.

NOW, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka are pleased to direct the publication of the said award as above, in the *Karnataka Gazette.*

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka

B. MANAMOHAN,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT SECRETARIAT

Notification No. HUD 47 MEL 78.

Bangalore, dated 4th/6th March 1978.

The draft of the following Notification, which the Government of Karnataka hereby proposes to issue, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 13 of the Karnataka Municipalities Act 1964 (Karnataka Act 22 of 1964) as amended by Amendment Act 1976 (Karnataka Act No. 83 of 1976), is hereby published as required by the said Section for the information of all persons likely to be affected thereby;

Notice is hereby given that objections or suggestions, if any, to the draft notification may be sent within one month from the date of publication in the *Karnataka Gazette*. Objections and suggestions, may be addressed to the Commissioner and Secretary to Government, Housing & Urban Development Department Vidhana Soudha Blore-1, so as to reach him before the said date.

Draft Notification

In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 13 of the Karnataka Municipalities Act, 1964 Karnataka Act No 22 of 1964) as amended by Amendment Act 1976 (Karnataka Act No. 83 of 1976), the Government of Karnataka determines that.—

(1) The number of territorial divisions into which the town Municipal Council, K. R. Pet shall be divided shall be Five;

(2) The extent of each of the said divisions shall be the area comprised within the limits of the boundaries indicated in column (2) of the Schedule annexed to this notification;

(3) The number of seats allotted to each of the territorial division shall be as indicated in column (3) of the said Schedule;

(4) The number of seats reserved for Scheduled Castes and Women in each territorial division shall be as indicated in columns (4) and (5) respectively of the said Schedule.

SCHEDULE

Divn. Nos	Extent of Territorial Division	No. of seats allotted	No. of seats reserved for Sch Caste.	No of seats reserved for Women
1	2	3	4	5
I.	East.—Revnue Survey Numbers 128, 3, 8, 20, 28 of Drv and Wet Lands and the Old road lead-	3	Nil	Nil

1	2	3	4
	ing to Mysore Road, S. Numbers 210 and the east of Hosaholalu S. No. 148.		
	West.—Hosaholalu Revenue S. Nos. 122, 124, K. R. Pet Revenue S.Nos. 222, 225, 226, 193, 249 and the Eastern boundaries of III Division i. e., the road situated in front of Basaveshwara Temple and the Southern boundaries of II Division i. e., Western side of Old Vijaya Bank, K. R. Pet.		
	South.—Road situated Southern side to the Junior College, Hosaholalu Revenue S. Nos. 147, 146, 149 and 124.		
	North.—Southern side boundary lines of I Division i. e., Hotel Durga Bhavan Road, Eastern side of Parashivamurthy Galli and then leading to Taluk Office Road and then passing through Jayaram's Gally and Eastern side of Sri. Lakshminarayana Temple up to Sub-Registrars Office.		
II.	East.—Revenue Survey Nos. 122, 123 and the Tank bed of Deveerammanni.	3	Nil Nil
	West.—Water Tank, Bhobi Street, Kumbar street, Kalasetty Galli and back side of Eshwara Temple and Mosque.		
	South.—Boundary limits of the first division passing through the front side of Baseaveshwara Temple and then passing through Northern side of Parashivamurthy's Gally, Northern side Taluk Office Road up to Jayaram's Gally and		

1	2	3	4	5
	then leading to Sri. Lakshmi Narayana Temple Road, Northern side upto T. A. P. C. M. S. Rice Mill.			
	North.—Revenue Survey Nos. 136, 132 and 139.			
III	East.—Western side of Old Vijaya Bank Road boundary limits of first division i.e., Western side of Basaveshwara Temple Road back side of Dhobi street, passing through Western side of Kalaretty Halli and back side of Eshwara Temple and Mosque.	3		
	West.—Revenue Survey Nos. 194, 196, 344, 343 and 187.			
	South.—Revenue Survey Nos. 242, 249, 236, 236 236, 233 and Halla.			
	North.—Revenue Survey Nos. 197, 167, 162, 180, 181 and 185.			
IV	East.—Hosaholalu Survey Nos. 154, 157, 161, 165, 166, 167, 170, 171, 172, 404 and 405.	3	Nil	Nil
	West.—Boundary limits of Billaramanahalli.			
	South.—Southern side of Government Primary Boys School, both the sides of Government Middle School Road and then passing through Mutharayaswamy Temple Road upto Akkihebbal Road.			
	North.—Road situated southern side to the Junior College, Hosaholalu Survey Nos. 145, 146, 147 149 and 124.			
V	East.—Revenue Sy. Nos. 405, 404 and 406.	3	1	

1	2	3	4	5
	West.--Revenue Sy. Nos. 60, 59, 57 and the boundary limits of Fourth Division i.e Western side of Matharayaswamy Temple Road: South.--Revenue Sy. Nos. 4, 9 and 58. North.--S L.N. Rice Mill passing through Southern side of Government Middle School, covering both the sides of Mosque street and then passing through the Eastern side of Matharayaswamy Temple Street upto Akkihebbal road.			
	Total	15	2	2

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

M. KRISHNA RAO,
Under Secretary to Government.
Housing and Urban Development Department.

679—S. O. 675

GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Labour Dispute : Industrial dispute between the workmen and the Management of Mysore Chemical Manufacturers Ltd Chikbanavar, Bangalore District.

Award of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Bangalore, published.

Read the following papers :

(1) Letter No. I.T. 862/77-78, dated 7th January 1978 from Shri F. L. F. Alvares, Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Bangalore.

BEFORE THE INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL IN KARNATAKA, BANGALORE

Dated 28th December 1977

PRESENT

Sri F. L. F. Alvares, B.A., B.L.,
Presiding Officer.

I.D. No. 41 of 1972

I Party —

Workmen represented by The President, Chemical Employees Association, No. 6, Andhra-Davanga Building, Bangalore-9,

Vs.

II Party.—

The Management of Mysore Chemical Manufacturers Ltd., Chickbanavar, Bangalore District.

APPEARANCES

For the I Party.—

Sri K P. Sachindranath, President, Chemical Employees' Association, Bangalore.

For the II Party.—

Sri K. R Ramaswamy Iyengar, Assistant Secretary, Mysore State Employers' Association, Bangalore-4.

REFERENCE.

(Government Order No. FCL 1273 LLD 72, dated 20th November 1972)

AWARD.

In exercise of the powers conferred under section 10 (1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the State Government has issued Government Order No. FCL 1273 LLD 72, dated 20th November 1972 referring the following points of dispune for adjudication by this Tribunal :

"(1) Are the workmen of Mysore Chemical Manufactures Limited, Tambanahalli, Chickbanavar, Bangalore North, Bangalore Districts, justified in demanding classification and scales of pay including D.A under Group I Factories as per recommendations of Central Wage Board for Heavy Chemicals and Fertilizer Industries with effect from 1-4-1968 ?

(2) If not, to what other relief they are entitled ?"

2 The First Party states as follows :—The II Party Company started about 30 years ago with a meagre Paid-up Capital of Rs. 3 lakhs for manufacturing copper sulphate, sulphate of Alumina, etc., has been making enormous profits and declaring dividends from 5% to 30% every year taking full advantage of the Government of India's policy restricting imports to conserve foreign exchange and at the same time, to encourage local manufactures to produce various types of heavy chemicals required for commercial use in the country. The II Party Company being a pioneer in the Karnataka State has been catering to the growing needs, particularly of coffee growers. But the II Party has been adopting third degree methods to diprive

workers of their legitimate rights to enjoy benefits arising out on recommendations of the Central Wage Board for Heavy Chemicals and Fertilisers Industries. Though the recommendations were accepted, the II Party has partially implemented the same in the month of July 1969. The II Party drew up a settlement and obtained the signatures of the workers on the same on 20th August 1969. The members of the Staff refused to accept the payments mentioned in the alleged settlement. But a few workers, who were assured of proper classifications and substantial payment, commensurate with their service and output, attested. The II Party has obtained the signatures of the workers, most of whom are illiterate, by misrepresenting facts. Appointment letters were issued to the workers stating that they are provided with free quarters, electricity, water, etc. The quarters provided to the workers are not constructed as per the Housing Board's specifications. As per the alleged settlement, the II Party started deducting house rent, electricity charges, etc., from the total wages paid, reducing the basic wages and enhancing the rate of D.A. with the result, the workers lost considerable portion of their basic wages. The provisions of Section 9-A of the Industrial Disputes Act were not complied with while effecting deduction in basic wages. Thus, there is no valid and effective termination of conditions laid down in the appointment letters. When the workers protested against deduction of house rent, etc., and requested restoration of basic wages, the II party refused to restore basic wages and even threatened the workers with dire consequence. Without any provocation, the II party declared lock-out on 18th February 1970. The lock-out was lifted on 27th February 1970 due to the intervention by some members of the Local Bodies. Since the II party failed to restore the illegal deduction in wages, the I party submitted a demand on 10th August 1970 demanding that all workers should be given the scales recommended by the Central Wage Board for Class-I factory with effect from 1st April 1968 and the workers should be classified in consultation with the Association. The II party has only orally agreed to implement the recommendations for Class-I factory with retrospective effect as and when the position improves. But the II Party complied with the criteria laid down by the Central Wage Board for Class I factory and is bound to implement the recommendations of the Wage Board both in regard to wage structure and classification. The I party also filed an Annexure showing how the introduction of the wage scales and classification of the workers is to be done.

3. The II Party filed Objection Statement raising the following contentions :—

(i) The Central Wage Board recommendation divided into two groups heavy Chemicals and Fertiliser for implementation of its recommendations. The II Party falls under Group II being a technologically handicapped factory and a small unit, where the

existing level of minimum total wage paid to an unskilled worker is less than Rs. 100. The II Party has accordingly revised, to the extent possible, the pay scales, etc., as recommended and made applicable to Group II factories. The I party is not entitled for implementation of the recommendations as applicable to Group I Factories. After the Wage Board's recommendations were implemented by the II Party, the employees were fitted into grades, after classification of workers into grades, taking into consideration the qualification, experience, etc., Weightage in the shape of advance increments have also been given. While adopting the scales recommended by the Wage Board, the total remuneration was split up as per Wage Board's recommendations into basic wage or salary and D. A. As a consequence thereof, the basic salary got reduced and the D A. increased considerably. But the total pay packet was much more than what they were getting earlier. The reduction in the basic wages is the result of the Wage Board's recommendations being implemented. The workers have accepted all the arrears and benefits under the implementation and the present demand raised after so many years, is malafide. The I Party's proposal found in the Annexure to its claim Statement is erroneous in several respects. The I Party is not entitled to any relief.

4. The I Party filed its Rejoinder.

5. In addition to the points of dispute schedule in the order of Reference, the following issues as arising out of the pleadings have been framed :—

"1. Do I party-workmen prove that the II party-Factory falls under Group-I Factory ?

"2. Do they further prove that the II Party-Management orally agreed to implement the recommendation of the Wage Board applicable to Class-I Factory with retrospective effect as and when their financial position improves ?

3. Do they further prove that the financial position of the II Party is sound to implement the recommendation of the Wage Board as applicable to Class-I Factory ?

4. Do they prove that they are entitled to classification of workers as per the Annexure to their statement and also for the introdution of wage scales recommended by the Central Wage Board for Class-I Factory with effect from 1st April 1968 ?

OR

Does II Party-Management prove that their Factory falls under Group-II Factory, that they have implemented the recommendation of the Wage Board applicable to Group-II Factories after negotiations with the Bangalore Chemical

Workers Union under the leadership of Sri U. S. Venkataraman?

5. Does the Management further prove that the employees having accepted all the arrears and the benefits under the said implementation cannot put forward the present claim and that their claim is malafied ?

6. Does the II Party-Management further prove that the claim of the I Party is unfounded, untenable and is barred by the settlement already entered into ?

7. Do I Party-workmen prove that Messrs. Ranga, Basava and Nazir mentioned in the Annexure are even now under the employment of the II Party ?

8. To what reliefs, if any, are the parties entitled?

9. What Awards?"

6. *Issue No. 1*—This is how the Central Wage Board for the Heavy Chemicals and Fertilizers Industries has grouped Heavy Chemicals and Fertilizer factories for purposes of implementation of its recommendations ip Chapter VII of its Report (1968). The Wage Board has divided such factories into two groups and has proceeded to state as follows—

"..... Group I comprises of Heavy Chemiclas and Fertilizer units other than

(1) technologically handicapped factories manufacturing only single super-phosphate or calcium carbide and do not form part of mixed companies, and

(2) small units where the existing level of the minimum total wage payable to an unskilled worker is less than Rs. 100 on the date of implementation of the Report.

Factories enumerated as (1) and (2) will fall under Group II"—(See page 124).

7. The first question to be decided is whether the II Party falls in one or the other group of factories with reference to the conditions that are required to be fulfilled.

8. It is urged by the II Party that condition No. 1 extracted above is fulfilled for the machinery used by the II Party is all old, *i.e.*, not the new or the sophisticated type, and that the II Party manufactures only a single chemical and is not a Part of a mixed companies.

9. As regards the machinery used by the II Party, it is urged by the I Party's counsel that as per the Balance Sheets at Ext. M-7, ever since 1938, depreciation is being given every year for the value of the machinery bringing its present value down to "nil" and that, therefore, there is every reason to believe that new machinery must

have been installed and that in any way, the II Party has sufficient surplus profits for purchase of new machinery.

This submission on the part of the I Party may not be of much avail when it is not shown that actually such new and sophisticated machinery has been installed. But there is no evidence on behalf of the II Party that the machinery installed was itself not the latest and the best available at the time of its installation and that over the years, new and sophisticated machineries have been offered for sale by manufacturers. At any rate besides owning its own Coffee Estates, for which its own fertilizers are being used, the II Party is owning an Alum Manufacturing Pant at Pelagula. The II Party, therefore, forms part of Mixed Company. This fact was not seriously disputed by the II Party's counsel. When this is so, the question as to whether the machinery is old as contended by the II Party would pale into insignificance and becomes irrelevant for all the condition mentioned in Clause (1) extracted above are required to be fulfilled, before a factory pass into Group II.

10. Even as regards Clause (2) extracted above, there is no dispute that the minimum total wage payable to an unskilled worker by the II Party comes to more than Rs. 100, if the Interim Relief given as per the Wage Board's recommendations at Rs. 12-50p per month were to be added. There is no substance in the submission of the II Party's counsel that the Interim Relief amounts should not be added. Para 5.60 of the Wage Board's recommendations says that "the existing wage shall be deemed to be the total of the existing basic pay and dearness allowance of the consolidated wage as on the date of implementation and the Interim Relief or payment, if any, paid or payable on the recommendations of the Wage Board." I, therefore, hold that the II Party falls under Group I Factory according to the Wage Board's specifications.

11. It is urged by the II Party on the strength of Ext. W-9 letter dated 15th January 1968 of the Government of India, Directorate General of Technical Development, Acids & Fertilisers Directorate, saying that—

> "..... consequent on the revision of the definition of small scale industries whereby industrial units with a capital investment upto Rs. 7.5 lakhs in terms of plant and machinery (excluding land and buildings) are termed as small scale units, it has been decided by the Government in the Ministry of Industrial Development and Company Affairs (Department of Industrial Development) that all such units coming within the scope of the revised defination should in future be looked after by the respective State Director of Industries.

In view of the above decision, your industrial undertaking, under the name and style of M/s Mysore Chemical Manufactures Ltd.,

Bangalore for the manufacture of Acids, Alum and Copper Sulphate etc., is hereby transferred to the care of the Director of Industries, Bangalore....."
The II Party has to be treated as a Group II Factory.

12 Ext. W 9 letter of the Government of India has hardly any bearing. The assignment thereunder to the State Director of Industries is only for the purpose making available the necessary raw and material and power for the running of the II Party's Factory. Ext. W-9 letter of the Director of Industries cannot have the effect of modifying the Wage Board's specifications in clauses (1) and (2) extracted above. It is also to be borne in mind that II Party is not one of the industries named in Annexure VIII of the Wage Board's Report as excluded from the purview of the Wage Board. It cannot be urged by the II Party's counsel that the II Party was not mentioned in the list at Annexure VIII of the Wage Board's Report due to an oversight. I, therefore find under Issue No. 1 that the II Party falls under Group I Factory.

13. Issues Nos. 2 to 6:—The controversies which are covered by these issues are the several facets of one and the same contention, namely, whether the II Party having implemented in Ext. M-3 Agreement dated 20th August 1970, The Wage Board's recomendations on the basis that the II Party falls under Group II Factory, the II Party is not entitled to raise any contentions to the contra. This submission on the par of the II Party is not sustainable since Ext. M-3 is not a Settlement which fulfills the several conditions prescribed in Rule 59 and in particular, sub-rule (3) thereof, of the Rules framed under the Industrial Disputes Act.

14. The II Party, is also not entitled to contend that the State Government not having referred the validity of Ext. M-3 Agreement for adjudication by this Tribunal, this Tribunal is not entitled to go into the said question. When the II Party relies upon Ext. M-3 Agreement as a bar, it is the duty of the II Party to show that Ext. M-3 Agreement is in accordance with law. Otherwise, the II Party will also be faced with the difficulty that it cannot press Ext. M-3 Agreement into service because the State Goverement has not referred to the same while setting out the points of dispute for adjudication.

15. The other submissions of the II Party regarding its financial position is also untenable for the Balance Sheet for the years ending 31st March 1971 (at page 20) shows that except during the year 1945-46, for all the remaining years till 1970-71: the II Party has been making big prouts and paying dividends ranging from 10% to 30% The II Party, therefore, cannot contend that it is not financially able to implement the Wage Board's recommendations as applicable to a group I Factory. These issues are held in favour of the I Party.

16. Issue No. 7 :—No submissions having been made regarding the dispute covered by this issue, no finding need be given thereon.

17. Issue No 8 :—It follows from the preceding issues that the reliefs on the basis that the II Party is a Group I Factory are to be granted.

18. Issue No 9 :—An Award is passed accordingly.

(Dictated to the Stenographer, transcribed by him and corrected by me).

F. L. F. ALVARES,

Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal,
Bangalore.

&

ORDER No. SWL 35 LLA 78

Bangalore, dated 16/22nd February 1978.

Whereas by State Government Order No FCL 1273 LLD 72, dated 20th November 1972, the industrial dispute between the workmen and the management of Mysore Chemical Manufacturers Ltd., Chickbanavar, Bangalore District was referred to the Industrial Tribunal Bangalore for adjudication.

And whereas the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Bangalore has submitted to the State Government its award in respect of the said industrial dispute.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka are pleased to direct the publication of the said award as above in the *Karnataka Gazette*.

By Order and in the name of the President of India,

B. MANAMOHAN,

Under Secretary to Government,
S. W. and Labour Department.

2641—S. O. 682

GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR DEPARTMENT

Labour Dispute :—Industrial dispute between the workmen and the management of Karnataka State Road Transport Corporation, Bangalore Division, Bangalore-27.

Award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Bangalore published.

Read the following papers.

(1) Letter No. 1694/77-78, dated 9th January 1978 from Sri H. Shanmukhappa, Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Bangalore.

BEFORE THE PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, BANGALORE

Dated this 6th day of January, 1978

PRESENT:

Shri H. Shanmukhappa, B.A., B.L.,

Presiding Officer

Reference No. 79 of 1977

First Party:—K M. Veeranna, C/o The President, Karnataka Industrial and Commercial Employees Welfare Organisaiton, No. 107, Gandhi Bazaar, Bangalore-4.

Vs.

Second Party:—The Management of K.S.R.T.C., Bangalore Division, Bangalore-27.

Reference:—G. O. No. SWL 474 LLD 76, dated 4/5th August 1977.

AWARD

I party workman is again absent. In spite of giving three months' time, the I Party workmen has not filed his claims statement. He has not at all appeared before the Court. He has not evinced any interest in the Reference. Hence the Reference is rejected. No costs.

H. SHANMUKHAPPA,

Presiding Officer,

Labour Court, Bangalore.

Order No. SWL 36 LLA 78

Bangalore, dated 16th/22nd February 1978.

WHEREAS by State Government Order No. SWL 474 LLD 76, dated 4/5th August 1977 the Industrial Dispute between the workman and the management of Karnataka State Road Transport Corporation, Bangalore Division, Bangalore-27, was referred to the Labour Court, Bangalore, for adjudication;

AND WHEREAS the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Bangalore has submitted to the State Government its award in respect of the said Industrial Dispute.

NOW, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947), the

Government of Karnataka are pleased to direct the publication of the said award as above, in the *Karnataka Gazette*.

By Order and in the name of the President of India.

B. MANAMOHAN,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

2642—S. O. 683

FINANCE SECRETARIAT

Notification No. FD 21 BFC 77.

Bangalore, dated 27th February 1978.

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 37 of the State Financial Corporation Act, 1951, the Government of Karnataka, in consultation with the Comptroller and Auditor General of India, hereby appoints M/s Ramachandra and Co., Chartered Accountants, Bangalore, as the Auditors of the Karnataka State Financial Corporation, for the year 1977-78 and also hereby fixes a remuneration of Rs. 6,000/- (Rupees Six thousand only) per annum to these Auditors for the year 1977-78.

By Order and in the name of the President of India.

A. SENGUPTA
Deputy Secretary to Government,
Finance Department,
Budget Resources.

2650—S. O. 684

EDUCATION AND YOUTH SERVICES SECRETARIAT

Notification No. ED 133 UAC 77

Bangalore, Dated, 28th February 1978.

In exercise of the powers conferred under sub-section (5) of section 5 of the Karnataka State Universities Act, 1976 (Karnataka Act No 28 of 1976) the Government of Karnataka are pleased to sanction affiliation of the Government Ayurveda Vidyapeetha, Bellary to the Karnatak University, for teaching the following courses for a period of one year from June 1976

Continuation Of Affiliation

IInd year B. S. A. M Course
IIIrd year B S. A M Course
IVth year B. S. A. M Course
Vth year B. S. A. M. Course

Subject to the conditions laid down by the local Inquiry Committee, of the Karnatak University.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

B S. MUDDAPUR,
Under Secretary to Government,
Education and Youth Services Department.

2654—S. O. 685

GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Labour dispute:—Industrial dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s. Bharath Carriers, Kadri, Mangalore-3.

Award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Mangalore, published.

Read the following papers :—

(1) Letter No A/ID-1/77-78, dated 3rd February 1978 from Shri P. B. Chougule, Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Mangalore.

IN THE LABOUR COURT AT MANGALORE

Present :—Sri P. B. Chougule B.A., (Hons) LL.B.,
Presiding Officer.

Friday, the 3rd day of February 1978.
Ref.(IL)(LCM)No. 1 of 1978

Between :—

I Party :—The Workmen represented by the Secretary National Dock and General Workers' Union, Parameshwari Building, Balmatta, Mangalore-1

and

II Party :—The Management of M/s. Bharath Carriers, Kadri, Mangalore-3.

AWARD

The Government of Karnataka have referred the following Points of Dispute to this court for adjudication : -

Points of Dispute

I "Are the workmen justified in demanding the following :

(i) An average of Rs. 368-12-578 *Plus* D.A. as per living index for the drivers."

(ii) A pay scale of Rs. 212-8-325 *plus* D. A. as per living index for conductors-*cum*-cleaners.

(iii) Payment of House Rent Allowance City Allowance and Medical benefits for themselves and the member of their families.

(iv) Issue of identity cards as well as job cards.

(v) Free provision of milk at 10 a.m. and 4 p.m. on all working days.

II If not, to what other relief(s) the workmen are entitled ?"

2. The I Party has filed a Memo to the effect that the dispute has been settled out of court. The Reference is therefore rejected.

Dictated to the Stenographer, transcribed and typed by him and corrected by me.

P. B. CHAUGULE,

Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Mangalore.

Mangalore.
Dated 8-2-1978.

Order No SWL 45 LLA 78

Bangalore, dated 1st, 3rd March 1978.

Whereas by State Government Order No. SWL 335 (ii) LLD 77, dated 9/ 0th January 1978, the Industrial dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s. Bharath Carriers, Kadri, Mangalore-3, was referred to the Labour Court, Mangalore for adjudication ;

And whereas the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Mangalore has submitted to the State Government its award in respect of the said Industrial Dispute;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 Central Act 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka are pleased to direct the publication of the said award as above, in the *Karnataka Gazette*.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

B. MANAMOHAN,

Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

2658—S. O. 686

Order No. SWL 654 (ii) LLD 76.

Bangalore, dated 6th March, 1978.

WHEREAS the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of Sri Balaji and Company, Excise Contractor, Gulbarga on the points noted below;

NOW, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal at Bangalore.

POINTS OF DISPUTE

I "Are the workmen engaged on tapping work by M/s Balaji & Company, Excise Contractor, Gulbarga entitled to :—

1. Wages at the following rates :

(a)	Skilled Tappers (for tapping 30 country date (Seudhi) trees per day, where helpers are provided.	Rs. 200-00 P.M
(b)	Semi-skilled tappers 30 country date (Seudhi) trees per day where helpers are provided.	Rs. 185-00 P.M.
(c)	Helpers	Rs. 120-00 P.M
(d)	Skilled Tappers (For tapping 15 palmyrah (Toddy trees per day)	Rs. 250-00 P.M.
(e)	Semi-skilled tappers (for tapping 15 palmyrah (Toddy) trees per day.	Rs. 225-00 P.M.
(f)	Munthazim Tope Manager)	Rs. 250-00 P.M.

2. Free supply of uniforms per annum at following rates :

Dhoties, 2 Shirts, 2 pair foot wear, one torch light, one Kambal.

3. Medical relief at Rs. 200 per year to each worker.

IV. If so, to what relief the workmen are entitled ?".

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

B. MANAMOHAN,

Under Secretary to Government
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

2671—S.O. 637

Order No. SWL 553 LLD 76.

Bangalore, dated 6th March 1978.

WHEREAS the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workman and the management of M/s. St. George's Homeopathic Clinic and Pharmacy Kankanady, Mangalore 2 on the points noted below:

AND, WHEREAS the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication.

NOW, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Mangalore.

POINTS OF DISPUTE

I. "Are the management of M/s. St. George's Homeopathic Clinic and Pharmacy, Kankanady, Mangalore-2, justified in dismissing Smt. Harinakshi, employee from service with effect from 5th April 1975?

II. If not, to what reliefs the said employee is entitled"?

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

B. MANICHAN,

Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

2670—S.O. 633

GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR DEPARTMENT

Labour Dispute:—Industrial dispute between the workmen and the Management of Bangalore Dairy, Bangalore-29.

Award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Bangalore published.

Read the following papers:

(1) Letter No. 1618/77-78, dated 2nd January 1978 from Sri H. Shanmukhappa, Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Bangalore.

BEFORE THE PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, BANGALORE.

Dated this 2nd day of January, 1978

Present:

Shri H. Shanmukhappa, B.A., B.L.
Presiding Officer

REFERENCE No. 4 of 1975

First Party :—

G. M. Chikkamari, C/o Sri Range Gowda, Venkatappa Building, Devegowda Block, Audugodi Post, Bangalore-30.

Vs.

Second Party :—

The Management of Bangalore Dairy, Bangalore-29.

REFERENCE :

Government Order No. SWL 1053 LLD 74, dated 7th January 1975.

AWARD

2-1-1978 :—Both parties are against absent.

Both have not adduced any evidence. Both have not evinced any interest. The I party workman has not shown any interest in the Reference. He is continuously absent. Hence, the Reference is liable for rejection and accordingly rejected. No costs.

H. SHANMUKHAPPA,
Preiding Officer,
Labour Court, Bangalore.

Order No. SWL 31 LLA 78.

Bangalore, dated 16th/22nd February 1978.

Whereas by State Government Order No. SWL 1053 LLD 74, dated 7th January 1975, the industrial dispute between the workmen and the management of Bangalore Dairy, Bangalore-29, was referred to the Labour Court, Bangalore, for adjudication.

And whereas the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Bangalore, has submitted to the State Government its award in respect of the said industrial dispute.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka are pleased to direct the publication of the said award as above, in the *Karnataka Gazette.*

By Order and in the name of the President of India,

B. MANAMOHAN,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Deptt.

GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Labour dispute : Industrial dispute between the workmen and the management of Gokak Mills, Gokak Falls.

Award of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Bangalore published.

Read the following papers.

(1) Letter No. IT No. 864/77-78 dated 7th January 1978 from Sri F.L.F. Alvares, Presiding Officer, Industrial, Tribunal, Bangalore.

BEFORE THE INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL IN KARNATAKA BANGALORE.

Dated 28th day of December 1977.

Present

Sri F. L. F. Alvares, B.A., B.L., ... *Presiding Officer.*

I.D. No. 37 of 1976

I Party		*II Party*
The Workmen of Gokak Mills Employees' Union, Gokak Falls.	*Vs*	The Management of Gokak Mills, Gokak Falls.

Appearances

For the I Party : None Present.

For the II Party : R. Gururajan, Advocate, Bangalore.

Reference

(Government Order No. SWL 965 (2) LLD 76 dated 29th November 1976).

AWARD

As per the Government Order No. SWL 965(2) LLD 76 dated 29th November 1976, issued in exercise of its powers under Section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the State Government has referred the following dispute for adjudication by this Tribunal :—

I. Is the management of Gokak Mills(Division of Gokak Patel Volkart Ltd,) Gokak Falls, District Belgaum, justified in charging rent of Rs. 27.30p per month per quarter to their employees ?

II. If not, to what relief these workmen are entitled to ?

2. The reference was received on 3rd December 1976. No statements have been filed by either of the parties till now, the I party remaining absent and the II Party praying for time to file statement saying that negotiations are going on.

3. When the proceedings were taken up to-day, a Joint Memo has been filed by both the parties saying that the dispute has been settled by mutual discussions and that the reference is not pressed by the I Party.

4. In view of the agreement between the parties whereby the dispute has been settled the reference is rejected as not surviving.

(Dictated to the Stenographer, transcribed by him and corrected by me).

Sd/-
(F.L.F Alvares),
Presiding officer,
Industrial Tribunal,
Bangalore.

Order No. SWL 44 LLA 78

Bangalore, dated 17/20th February 1978

Whereas by State Government Order No SWL 965 (2) LLD 76 dated 29th November 1976 the Industrial Dispute between the workmen and the management of Gokak Mills Gokak falls, was referred to the Industrial Tribunal, Bangalore for adjudication.

And, Whereas, the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Bangalore, has submitted to the State Government its award in respect of the said Industrial Dispute.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka are pleased to direct the publication of the said award as above, in the *Karnataka Gazette*.

By Order and in the name of the President of India,

B. MANAMOHAN,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

2614—S.O. 690

COMMERCE AND INDUSTRIES SECRETARIAT

Notification No. CI 7 EPO 78

Bangalore, dated 13th March 1978.

In pursuance of sub-section (1) of Section 5 of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957, the Government of Karnataka hereby sanctions a Prospecting Licence in favour of

Shri M. V. Chandrasekhara Murthy for a period of 2 (two) years for garnet over an area of 832 acres 336.70 hectares) of land in Malemadi village, Mudigere taluk, Chikmagalur District, as per sketch furnished by the Director of Mines and Geology, Bangalore.

The grant of the above Prospecting Licence is subject to the terms and conditions appended hereunto.

Terms and Conditions

1. The licence shall be operative for a period of Two years with effect from the date of execution The option to renew the licence for a further period shall be reserved to Government ;

2. The licensee shall pay to Government a Prospecting fee of Rs. 2-50 per hectare of the land covered by the licence for each year of portion of a year ;

3. The quantity of ore to be removed under this licence, both on payment of royalty and free of royalty, shall be in accordance with the limits prescribed under Rule 14 (ii) and (iii) and under Schedule III respectively of the Mineral Concession Rules, 1960. The royalty payable on the minerals shall be in accordance with the rates prescribed in Second Schedule to the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957 and as amended from time to time ;

4. The licensee shall make available to the Government of India beryl or any other 'substance prescribed' under Section 3 of the Atomic Energy Act No. XXIX of 1948 if they occur in the property under licence ;

5. The licensee shall be subject to the rules issued under Sections 13 and 18 of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957 (No. 67 of 1957) ;

6. If any other mineral is traced during the course of prospecting, the licensee shall report about the same to the Director of Mines and Geology and shall not work such minerals without obtaining prior permission of Government ;

7. The licensee shall furnish an accurate final map of areas covered by the licence together with a description, giving the situation and boundaries of the areas duly attested by the licensee. The Director of Mines and Geology is authorised to get the accurate final map with survey numbers etc., prepared and demarcation done before executing the licence if the licensee so desired and to recover the cost of the same from the licensee ;

8. The licensee shall, before the deposit is returned to him submit confidentially to the Director of Mines and Geology from time to time or wherever required a full report of the work done by him and disclose all information acquired in the course of the operations carried on under the licence regarding the geology and mineral resources of the area covered by the licence ;

9. The licensee shall allow any officer authorised by the Central or the State Government to inspect at any time the area covered by the Prospecting licence as also any accounts, plans and records maintained by him ;

10. The licensee shall before the licence is issued to him deposit as security a sum of Rs. 200 in respect of every square kilometer or part thereof for which the licence is granted for the due observance of the terms and conditions of the licence;

11. The licensee shall engage a qualified geologist or a mining engineer to conduct the prospecting methodically ;

12. The licensee shall not give any ore-raising contract during the prospecting stage ;

13. The above mentioned area is subject to verification after actual survey ; and

14. Local and other Cesses as prevalent in Chickmagalur District.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

Y. B. CHINNAPPA REDDY,
Under Secretary to Government, I/c.
Commerce and Industries Department.

2694—S.O. 691

GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

BEFORE THE ADDITIONAL INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, BANGALORE

Dated this 27th day of January 1978.

Present

Sri. Syed Nazir Ahmed. B A. B.L.,

Presiding Officer

Complaint No. 4 of 1977 in A. I. D. No. 1 of 1975.

Complainant.—

Sri K. C. Hombaiah, 6, Andhra Devanga Building, Gandhinagar, Bangalore-9.

Vs

Opposite Party.—

The Management of the Radio and Electrical Manufacturing Co. Ltd., Mysore Road, Bangalore-560026.

Appearances

For Complainant :—by Sri K. P. Sachindranath, President, Karnataka Karmika Congress, Bangalore-9.

For Opposite Party :—non appeared.

AWARD

This is a complaint under Section 33A of the Industrial Disputes Act. The Complainant is an employee of the Respondent Company. The following are the avernments of the complaint. He had joined service on 16th July 1962. A promotion which was due to him had been denyed. He moved the Labour Court in reference No. 82 of 1969 in this matter. During the pendency of that reference the promotion he had asked for was granted to him. He had asked for scales of pay which one Sri Balaram was getting as Goods Inward Assistant when the said Balaram had been transfered from that place and the Complainant had been directed to discharge those duties. His request was not complied with. The matter went through the process of conciliation and the reference was made by the State Government for adjudications and it is registered as A.I.D. No. 1 of 1975 on the file of this Tribunal. During the pendency of this reference a false chargesheet was put up on 22nd April 1976 for violation of Certified standing Order 21(c). An enquiry was set up. Two officers were appointed as enquiry officers. In addition a representative of the company was allowed to present the case of the Management. The Complainant requested that assistance of an office bearer of his Union may be permitted to him. This was not granted. An *ex-parte* enquiry is held. He was asked to make good the value of the shortage. The company filed an application befere the Industria Tribunal on 24th July 1976 before which also a reference was pending. The complainant was not concerned in that Dispute so that application was not maintainable and it was held to be so. There is breach of section 33 of the Industrial Disputes Act, breach of provisions of the Certified Standing Orders in appointing two members to conduct enquiry. In allowing a representative of the Management to examine witnesses and is not allowing a representative of his union to represent him. And that on the merits of the allegation there was no case for the Management as according to the existing procedure and practice whenever there is short supply the employers collect it from the suppliers and that the Barrel had been opened in the absence of the complaimant in spite of his instructions not to do so. The Company was not *bona-fide* in taking action against the complainant. It is a case of victimisation and un-fair labour practice and there has been discrimination in awarding the punishment of dismissal.

2. Respondent filed, objections:—The material avernments are that it was not True that the complainant had been posted in place of Sri Balaram. The complainant could not hold the place of Sri Balaram when he was only a clerk. He had not complied with the grievence procedure. The complainant had not participated in the enquiry and therefore it had to be proceeded with *ex-parte*. He was not allowed the assistance of a person of his association as such person was not an employee of the Respondent Company and therefore the assistance had been rejected. There is no want of

Bonafides and breach of practice and procedure, victimisation, unfair labour practice and discrimination as alleged. The rest of the things are admitted.

3 On the basis of the above pleadings the following issues were framed :—

"(1) Whether the complainant proves that there has been violation of the provisions of Section 33 (2) (b) of the Industrial Disputes Act, in not seeking the approval of the order of dismissal of 24th July 1976.

(2) Whether the proves that the enquiry is violative of principles of natural justice, *mala-fide* and an act of victimisation, unfair labour practice.

(3) What orders if no."

4. The complainant has examined himself and closed his case. Several documents were marked for him. The Respondent chose to absent himself. Sri K. P. Sachidanath had appeared for the complainant.

5. It can not be disputed that a reference was pending before this Tribunal in A. I D. 1 of 1975 which was at the instance of the complainant, as a matter of fact that the Respondent admits the pendency of such a reference. The enquiry culminating in the dismissal of the complainant on 24th July 1976 has taken place during the pendency of A. I. D. 1 of 1975. Under Section 33 ( ) (b) an application had to be made by the employer seeking approval of the action taken by the employer It can not be denied that the complainant was concerned in reference No. 1 of 1975, as that Industrial Dispute had arisen solely at his instance. It can not be said that he is not interested in it. The failure of the Respondent in not complying with the provisions of the proviso to section 33 ( ) (b) of the Industrial Disputes Act. in not making an application to the Tribunal for approval which had to synchronise with the order of the dismissal is obvious and the complainant had to succeed on this score itself. My find therefore on Issue No. 1 is in favour of the complainant.

6. The complainant has examined himself. He has sworn to the facts which have been enumerated by him in the complaint in respect of his joining service, the reference before the Labour Court regarding his promotion. He has further spoken in respect of the enquiry. He had objected to two officers being appointed as enquiry officer. He has adverted to the amendment to the Model Standing Orders published in Gazette of India part-2. Section-3 page 8047 dated 5th July 1975. They are available in Ext. C-4 dated 10th May 1976. Ext. C-5 dated 13th May 1976 is another representation made to the enquiry officer. Besides other things he had wanted an office-bearer of his Union to defend

him in the enquiry. He has made some aspersions on the conduct of the personal officer who had represented the company. Ext. C-10 is a copy of the proceedings dated 12th May 1976. It shows that the prayer to have a representative of his choice to defend him in the enquiry was not granted but that he was permitted to take the assistance of his co worker who is a union representaseve. This apart the enquiry officers have not examined the witnesses before them. The witness is allowed to file a written statement. Ext. C-15 dated 27th May 1976 is a submission which had been made by the complainant to the enquiry officers. Therein he has alleged that his request to get a co-worker or one of the office bearer of his union to defend him. Ext. C-16 dated 31st May 1976 shows that the complainant had agian requested to enable him to engage a representative of his association and he had wanted to know the orders of the enquiry officers in that respect. Ext C-17 dated 1st June 1976 shows that he had made some allegations against Sri Somashekar styling. him as virtually conducting the enquiry himself. Under Ext C-19 dated 9 h June 1976 a showcause notice is issued to the complainant regarding proposed punishment of dismissal and making good the loss on account of short supply. On 2nd June 1976 the complainant is placed ex-parte and on 24th July 1976 an order of dismissal is communicated to him.

7 Since the Respondent remained absent it is not possible to know their stand. The enquiry proceedings any way are not before this Tribunal. Standing Order 19 of the company enables the Manager or any officer specially empowered by him to hold the enquiry, It is not known whether the term Manager refers to the factory Manager or there was a Manager in addition to factory Manager. Any way the appointment of two persons as enquiry officers is a bit intriguing. Standing Order 19 may not be enabling them to appoint any such two persons. Any one person was sufficient, what prompted the factory Manager to appoint two persons as enquiry officers is not easily intelligible to me. The complainant had asked for services of a representative from his Union. This has not been granted A perusal of the Standing Orders of the company does not disclose that there was any such prohibition. The complainant says that he was not a member of local union of workers. It would not be proper then to ask the complainant to take the assistance of that union or a co-worker who is a member of that Union. The complainant has brought to the notice of the enquiry Officers about the recent amendment to the Model Standing Orders published in the Government of India Gazette dated 5th July 1975 which shows that an office bearer of the Union of which the worker is a member can appear and defend the worker against whom an enquiry is being held. In the absence of a prohibition in the Standing Order of the company, the enquiry officers would have acted properly had they granted the prayer of the complainant on the analogy of the Model Standing Orders. If they did not do so

necessarily they have prejudiced the defence of the complainant. This apart the enquiry officer who have examined the witnesses in person before them rather than allow a statement prepared by the witness or prepared for him to be marked. The complainant has also alleged that the representative of the Management had taken on him the role of prosecutor and had almost stepped into the shoes of the enqiry officers themselves. Since the Respondent had remained absent we are not in a position to appreciate their verison in this respect. The complainant has also brought to my notice under Ext. C-27 which is a working procedure of Goods Inward Department, in particular to provisions 4.2.7., 4.2.9., and 4.3.3, one is not sure whether all those matters had been taken into consideration. I find that there has been lack of opportunity to the complainant to defend himself. There is therefore violation of principles of natural justice. The order of dismissial is liable to be set aside on this ground also. This is my finding on the second issue.

8. In the Result the complainant succeeds and is entitled to be reinstated. The order of dismissal is hereby set aside, and the Respondent directed to re-instate him and pay the back wages.

9. No cost.

Syed Nazir Ahmed,
Presiding Officer,
Additional Industrial Tribunal.
Banagalore.

Order No. SWL 25 LLA 78.
Bangalore, dated 16th/22nd February 1978.

Whereas before the Additional Industrial Tribunal at Bangalore a complaint was made under section 33-A of the Industrial disputes Act. 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), by Sri. K. C. Hombaiah, 6, Andra Devanga Building, Gandhinagar, Bangalore-9 ;

And, whereas the said Additional Industrial Tribunal adjudicated upon the said complaint and submitted its award to the State Government as required under section 15 read with Section 33-A of the said Act.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby directs the publications of the said award in the Karnataka Gazette.

By Order and in the name of the President of India,

B. MANAMOHAN,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

2634—S. O. 692

GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Labour Dispute:—Industrial dispute between the Workmen and the Management of M/s. Western India Rubber Works, Bhavanthi Street, Mangalore-1

Award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court. Bangalore published.

Read the following papers :

(1) Letter No. A/ID/92/76/77-78, dated 18th February 1978 from Shri P. B. Chaugule, Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Bangalore.

IN THE LABOUR COURT AT MANGALORE.

Present :

Sri P. B. Chougule, B.A., (Hons) LL.B., ... Presiding Officer.

Friday, the 17th day of February 1978.

Reference (ID)(LCM) No. 92 of 1976.

I Party.—The Workmen, represented by the General Secretary, National Dock & General Workers' Union, Parameshwari Buildings, Balmatta, Mangalore-2.

Vs.

II Party.—The Management M/s. Western India Rubber Works, Bhavanthi Street, Mangalore-1.

AWARD.

The Government of Karnataka by their Order dated 22nd November 1976, have referred the following points of dispute for adjudication to this court :

Points of Dispute.

1. "Are the workmen of Western India Rubber Works, Bhavanthi Street, Mangalore-1, represented by the National Dock and General Workers Union, Parameshwari Building, Balmatta, Mangalore-1, justified in demanding the following from the management ?

   a. All the workers should be made permanent with effect from 1974 and be paid their arrears thereby.

   b. All the workers be given 4 pairs of uniforms as well as shoes with protected year as they are doing the most hazardous job.

c. the various scales of pay be revised as under:

(i) Skilled :- Rs. 250-18-310- Plus D. A., HRA, and City allowance
(ii) Semi-skilled :- Rs. 330-16-485 plus allowance.
(iii) Unskilled :- Rs. 301-14-425 plus allowance.
(iv) Assistant Chemist :- 400-21-520.

d. All workers be given milk once a day since they are doing most hazardous job.

II. If so, to what reliefs the workmen are entitled ?".

Today when the case was called, both the parties filed a joint memo to the effect that they have settled the dispute as per agreement arrived at on 4th February 1973. They have, therefore, prayed that the Reference may be rejected. The parties have also produced the agreement reached between them. In view of the amicable settlement of the dispute between the parties the Reference is rejected.

Dictated to the Stenographer, transcribed and typed by him and corrected by me.

Sd/- P. B. CHOUGLE,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Mangalore.

Mangalore
Dated 11-2-1978

"COPY"

Presiding Officer.
Labour Court, Mangalore.

Order No SWL 49 LLA 78

Bangalore, dated 4th March 1978.

Whereas by State Government order No. SWL 633 LLD 76, dated 22nd November 1976 the Industrial dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s. Western India Rubber Works Bavanthi Street Mangalore-1 was referred to the Labour Court, Mangalore for adjudication.

And whereas the Presiding Officer, Labour Court Manvalore has submitted to the State Government its award in respect of the said Industrial Dispute.

Now, therefore in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka are pleased to direct the publication of the said award as above, in the *Karnataka Gazette.*

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

B. MANAMOHAN,
Under Secretary to Government.
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

2673—S.O. 693

REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Notification No. RD 4 TSR 78.

Bangalore, dated 23rd February/4th March 1978.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka on consideration of the report of the Divisional Commissioner, Belgaum division is of the opinion that it is necessary to remove rural unemployment in certain areas of Belgaum district; on account of scarcity conditions prevailing in the areas indicated in the schedule;

Now, therefore in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 37 of the Karnataka Land Improvement Act, 1961 (Karnataka Act No. 6 of 1962) the Government of Karnataka hereby declares that it is necessary to remove Rural Unemployment in Belgaum district in the villages cited in column (3 of the schedule annexed hereto situated in the talukas specified in the corresponding entry in column (2) thereof.

SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Talukas	Villages
(1)	(2)	(3)
1.	Gokak	1. Kolvi
2.	Ramdatti	2. Bedali
3.	Raibag	3. Halki
4.	Athani	4. Kadabi
		5. Gontamar
		6. Mavinakonda
		7. Khandal
		8. Bannur

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

T. L. KRISHNAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

2666—S. O. 694

HOME SECRETARIAT

Notification No. HD 87 PCR 77

Bangalore, dated 8th March 1978.

In exercise of the powers conferred by section 9 of the Indian Christian Marriage Act, 1872 (Central Act 15 of 1872), the Government of Karnataka hereby grants license to pastor D.R Sarkar Gospel, prayer Hall, Bangalore authorising him to grant Certificate of Marriage to Indian Christians under the said Act, in Karnataka State.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka

M. P. JAYASHANKARA ARADHYA
Under Secretary to Government,
Home Department.

2677—S O. 695

COMMERCE AND INDUSTRIES SECRETARIAT

Notification No. CI 24 FDB 75 (1)

Bangalore, dated 8th March 1978.

In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 3 of the Karnataka Industrial Areas Development Act, 1966 (Karnataka Act 18 of 1966) the Government of Karnataka hereby declare the area comprised in survey numbers mentioned in column (2) of the schedule below, to the extent specified in column (3) situated in Tandya Village, Chikkaiahnakshetra Hobli, Nanjangud Taluk, Mysore District, to be an Industrial Area for the purpose of the said Act, and defines the limits of the said area as specified in the corresponding entries in column (4) thereof:

SCHEDULE

Name of Village	S. No.	Approx. Area A-G	Limits of the area
1	2	3	4
Tandya	93/1B	0-14	Bounded on the north by S. Nos. 93/1B part, Village Road, Gramathana, S. No. 96 part, 106 part and 560 part.
	95/1	0-08	
	95/2	0-02	
	96	0-11	
	106	0-10	
	107	0-08	Bounded on the south by S. No. 93/2, 93/1B part, Village Road. Gramathana, S. No, 145, part, S. No. 107 and 560 part.
	560	0-02	
Govt. Oni and Gramathana		0-25	
Total		2-00	
			Bounded on the east by Mysore-Nanjangud Railway line.
			Bounded on the west by Mysore-Nanjangud Road.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

Y. B. CHINNAPPA REDDY

Under Secretary to Government,
Commerce and Industries Department.

2678—S.O. 696

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR DEPARTMENT

Order No. SWL 296 LLD 76

Bangalore, dated 3rd/6th March 1978.

WHEREAS the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the Management of M/s. Haji Mohamed Abdul Khader & Sons, Burmah Shell Dealers, Station Road, Gulbarga on the points noted below;

AND, WHEREAS the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

NOW, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka, hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Hubli.

Points of Dispute

1. "Are the management of M/s. Haji Mohamed Abdul Khader & sons Burmah Shell Dealers, Station Road, Gulbarga justified in terminating the services of Sri Abdul Rasheed with effect from 28th November 1975.
2. If not, to what relief is the said workman entitled?".

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

B. MANAMOHAN,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

2672—S. O. 697

COMMERCE AND INDUSTRIES SECRETARIAT

Notification No. CI 30 EPI 78

Bangalore, dated 13th March 1978.

In pursuance of sub-section (1) of Section 5 of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957, the Government of Karnataka hereby sanctions a Prospecting Licence in favour of Shri Syed Abdul Salam for a period of 2 (Two) years for Jasper over 20 acres (8.09 hectares) of land in Yalpi Village, Bellary Taluk, Bellary District, as per sketch furnished by the Director of Mines and Geology, Bangalore.

The grant of the above Prospecting Licence is subject to the terms and conditions appended hereunto.

Terms and Conditions

1. The licence shall be operative for a period of two years with effect from the date of execution. The option to renew the licence for a further period shall be reserved to Government;
2. The licensee shall pay to Government a Prospecting fee of Rs. 2-50 per hectare of the land covered by the licence for each year or portion of a year;
3. The quantity of Ore to be removed under this licence, both on payment of royalty and free of royalty, shall be in accordance with the limits prescribed der Rule 14 (ii) and (iii) and under Schedule I

respectiv ly of the Mineral Co cession Rules, 1960. The royalty ble on the minerals shall be in accordance with the rates prescrib Second S hedule to the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Deve ment) Act, 1957 and as amended from time to time;

4. The licensee shall make available to the Government of India beryl or any other 'substance prescribed' under section 3 of the Atomic Energy Act No. XXIX of 1948 if they occur in the property under licence ;

5. The l cence shall be subject to the rules issued under Sections 13 and 18 of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957 (No. 67 of 1957);

6. If any other mineral is traced during the course of prospecting, the licensee shall report about the same to the Director of Mines and Geology and shall not work such minerals without obtaining prior permission of Government ;

7. The licensee shall furnish an accurate final map of areas covered by the licence together w th a description, giving the situation and boundaries of the areas duly attested by the licensee. The Director of Mines and Geology is author sed to get the accurate final map with survey numbers, etc., prepared and demarcation d ne before executing the licence if the licensee so desired and to recover the cost of the same from the licensee ;

8. The licensee shall, before the deposit is returned to him submit confidentially to the Director of Mines and Geology from time to time or whenever required a full report of th work done by him and disclose all information acquired in the course of the operations carried on under the licence regard ng the geology and mineral resources of the area covered by the licence ;

9. The licensee shall allow any officer author sed by the Central or the State Government to inspect at any time the area covered by the Prospecting Licence as also any accounts, plans and records maintained by him ;

10. The licensee shall before the licence is issued to him deposit as security a sum of Rs 200 in respect of every square k lometer or part thereof for which the licence is granted for the due observance of the terms and conditions of the licence;

11. The licensee shall engage a qualified Geologist or a Mining Engineer to conduct the Prospecting methodically ;

12. The licensee shall not give any ore-raising contract during the prospecting stage ;

13 The above mentioned area is subject to verification after actual survey ; and

14. Local and other Cesses as prevalent in Bellary District.

By Order and in the name of the Gov rnor of K rnataka,

Y. B. CHINNAPPA REDDY,
Under Secretary to Government, I/c
Commerce and Industries Departments.

2696—S. O. 698

Printed by the Director of Printing, Sty. & Publications, at the Govt. Press, B'lore

PART IV

Section—2-C(ii)

STATUTORY ORDERS ISSUED BY THE STATE GOVERNMENT UNDER THE CENTRAL ACTS AND STATE ACTS.

Social Welfare and Labour Secretariat

Order No. SWL 377 LLD 76

Bangalore, dated the 6th March 1978.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial dispute exists between the workman and the management of M/s. Dalmia International, Hospet on the points noted below ;

And, whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Bangalore.

Points of Dispute

"I. Are the management of M/s. Dalmia International, Hospet justified in terminating the service of Sri U. B. Thirtha Rao, Stenographer from 26th February 1974 ?

II. If not, what relief the workman is entitled ?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

B. MANAMOHAN,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

2675—S. O. 704

Order No. SWL 538 LLD 77.

Bangalore, dated the 6th March 1978.

WHEREAS the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial dispute exists between the workman and the management of M/s. The General Superintendence Company (India) Pvt. Ltd., 18th Cross, Muthunjaya Nagar, T. B. Dam Road, Hospet on the points noted below ;

AND, WHEREAS the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication ;

NOW, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Additional Labour Court at Bangalore.

Points of Dispute

"I. Are the management of General Superintendence Company (India) Pvt. Ltd., Hospet, justified in terminating the services of Sri G. Vasantha Rao, with effect from 5th May 1975?

II. If not, to what relief the abovesaid workman entitled?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Krnataka,

B. MANAMOHAN,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Dept.

2676—S. O. 705

Notification No. SWL 19 LWA 77
Bangalore, dated 15th February 1978

In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (j) of sub-section (2) of Section 7 read with Section 13 of the Payment of Wages Act, 1936 (Central Act 4 of 1936) as amended by Payment of Wages (Mysore Amendment) Act, 1952 (Mysore Act 15 of 1952) the Government of Karnataka approves the Sri. Vinayaka Credit Co-operative Society Ltd., No. 40, IInd Main Road, Palace Guttahalli, Bangalore-3, as a Co-operative Society, payment to which may be made by the management of Bharat Electronics Ltd., Hindustan Machine Tools, Indian Telephone Industries, Mysore Porcelains, Government Electric Factory, New Government Electric Factory, Hindustan Aeronautics Ltd., Binnay Mill Raja Mill, Guest Keen and Williams Ltd., Mysore Plywood Ltd.. L. R. D. E., N T. I and Kirloskar Co., situated in Bangalore by deduction from the wages of such of the employed persons as are members of the said Co-operative Society, subject to the conditions that:—

(i) the deduction is effected with the written consent of the employed person; and

(ii) a record of all such deductions is maintained and kept open for inspection.

By Order and in the name of the President of India,

B. MANAMOHAN,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

2572—S. O. 706

COMMERCE AND INDUSTRIES SECRETARIAT

Notification No. CI 42 EPO 78.

Bangalore, dated 28th February 1978.

In exercise of the powers conferred under Rule 15(1) of the Mineral concession rules 1960, the Government of Karnataka hereby revoke the orders issued in Government Notification No. CI 195 EPO 76 dated 4th August 1976 sanctioning a Prospecting Licence for Mica in respect of the area of 31.4 acres (12 58 hectares) of land in Doddabhoganahalli village, Pandavapura taluk, Mandya District in favour of Shri S. R. Ahamed as the grantee failed to pay the requisite fee of Rs. 236 and execute the deed within the prescribed time limit.

By Order and in the name of the President of India,

Y. B. CHINNAPPA REDDY,
Under Secretary to Government, I/C.
Commerce and Industries Department.

2625—S. O. 707

Corrigendum No. CI 16 EMI 78.

Bangalore, dated the 13th March 1978.

For the words and figures "150 acres (60 703 Hectares) of land in Kajjidoni Village, Bagalkote taluk, Bijapur District" appearing in Government Notification No. CI 70 EMI 77 dated 29th September 1977, the words and figures "81 acres in Jalikatti Village, Mudhol taluk, Bijapur District" shall be substituted.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

Y. B. CHINNAPPA REDDY,
Under Secretary to Government, I/C.
Commerce and Industries Department.

2695—S. O. 708

RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND CO-OPERATION SECRETARIAT

Corrigendum No. RDC 29 MWM 77.

Bangalore, dated 14th March 1978.

Substitute the words "Section 15 of the Karnataka Weights and Measures (Enforcement) Act 1958" in place of Section 3 of the Karnataka Weights and Measures (Enforcement) Act, 1958 appearing in the Notification No. RDC 29 MWM 77, dated 4th January 1978.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

G. S. JOSHI,
Under Secretary to Government,
Rural Development and Co-operation Department.

712—S.O. 709

THE KARNATAKA BOARD OF WAKFS

No. 6 CUNNIGHM ROAD BANGALORE-52

Notification No. KTW 669 AGL 78.
Bangalore, dated 10th March 1978.

In exercise of the powers conferred under section 22 of the Wakf Act 1954 all the Wakf Institutions with an annual income of less than Rs. 1000 are transferred to the control of the District Wakf Committees concerned by the Karnataka Board of Wakfs and the following powers are hereby delegated to I.c.A's who are *ex-officio* Secretaries of District Wakf Committees in the Karnataka State.

(i) To issue Demand Notices and Special Notices for the recovery of Wakf contribution in respect of these institutions;

(ii) To issue certificates to the Deputy Commissioners of the concerned District for the recovery of Wakf contribution under Section 46 (4) (b) of the Wakf Act;

(iii) To issue Notices under section 31 and 32 of the Wakf Act 1954 to these institutions;

(iv) To obtain the Budget Estimates of these Wakf Institutions and submit the same to the Chairman for their approval and issue.

(v) To examine audit report on the accounts of these institutions and submit the same to the Chairman Dist. Wakf Committee for orders.

The said powers delegated to the Inspectors shall be exercised under the direct control and supervision of the Chairman of the District Wakf Committees.

By Order of the Board,
K. M. BEARY,
Secretary; i/c
The Karnataka Board of Wakfs.

2713—S.O. 710

HOME SECRETARIAT

Corrigendum No. HD 558 SST 76.
Bangalore, dated 10th March 1978.

Sub:—Appreciation of vulnerability to sabotage of the Bharat Gold Mines Ltd., K.G F. Declaration of certain vital installations of the Mines as Prohibited Places.

The Notification No. HD 697 SST 77, dated 9th February 1978, published in Part IV-2C (ii) of the *Karnataka Gazette*, dated February 2, 1978 (pages 618 to 627) on the subject mentioned above shall be read as follows:

"Notification No. HD 558 SST 76 dated 9th February 1978"

M. G. KRISHNA SINGH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Home Department.

2709—S.O. 711

REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Notification No. RDN 58 TMR 77(A)

Bangalore, dated 27th February/4th March 1978.

WHEREAS, there is failure of crops in the villages specified in column (2) of the schedule below, owing to inadequate rainfall and the average cropwise yield per acre is less than twenty five per cent in the said villages,

AND WHEREAS, the Government of Karnataka consider it necessary to remit the whole of the land revenue payable for the year 1976-77 in respect of lands in the said villages ;

NOW THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by item (ii) of sub-section (.) of section 194 of the Karnataka Land Revenue Act, 1964 (Karnata Act 12 of 1964), read with Rule 147-C(i) of the Karnataka Land Revenue Rules 1966, the Government of Karnataka, hereby remits the whole of the land revenue of Rs. 1,96,042-15 payable for the year 1976-77 in respect of the land in 388 villages specified in column (2) of the Schedule below situated in Channarayapatna Taluk of Hassan District.

SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Villages		Land Revenue
1	2		3
	Dardiganahally Hobli		Rs. P.
1	Dandiganahally	...	529-99
2	Antenahally	...	534-57
3	Muruganahally	...	225-13
4	Muddanahally	...	198-35
5	Avaraingalkoppal	...	72-52
6	Jenkanahally	...	300-82
7	D. Samudravally	...	351-60
8	Arelere	...	1038-98
9	Alagodanahally	...	739-14
10	Arekal Kalenahally	...	188-98
11	Nadanahally	...	393-31
12	Channapura	...	147-29
13	Kathrighatta	...	844-08
14	Baladare	...	934-34
15	Vagarahally	...	402-16
16	Gejjagaranahally	...	232-82

1	2		3
			Rs. P.
17	Hirihally	...	378-83
18	Nambihally	...	61-32
19	Hampenahally	...	186-41
20	Malenahally	...	300-45
21	Hosur	...	428-71
22	Yaliyur	...	1089-77
23	Upinahally	...	629-86
24	U. Singenahally	...	631-03
25	Findenahally	...	565-61
26	Paduvanahally	...	301-91
27	Appenahally	...	477-63
28	Bargur	...	1346-83
29	Doddamathighatta	...	1247-88
30	Chikkamathighatta	...	433-39
31	Cowdenahally	...	350-22
32	Haralaparagur	...	156-38
33	Sagathavally	...	1078-93
34	Sommanathanahally	...	499-22
35	Settigowdanahally	...	317-23
36	Sannenahally	...	223 90
37	B. Samudravally	...	322-32
38	Naravanapura	...	333-94
39	Sajjekoppal	...	118-75
40	Honnasettyhally	...	312-61
41	Karlenahally	...	156-79
42	Kumbanahally	...	99-38
43	Kachanahally	...	461-10
44	Thejigere	...	178 69
45	V. Singenahally	...	59-99
46	Potanahally	...	193-41
47	Agrahara	...	348-38
48	Cholenahally	...	97-24
49	Somedevarapura	...	27-48
50	Chittanahosahally	...	218-48
51	Dutanur Kaval	...	589-70
52	Machiganahally	...	291-63
53	Noranokki	...	530-92
54	Kundur	...	855-78
55	Ankenahally	...	341 16
56	Belagoli	...	932 36
57	Doddakarade	...	1008-92
58	Chikkarade	...	351-97
59	Chowlagala	...	932-31
60	Tarabenahally	...	667-53

1	2		3
			Rs. P.
61	Nettekere	...	283-09
62	Sathenahall	...	310-17
63	Tippur	...	766-55
64	Vaianahally	...	4'4 79
65	Gyarahally	...	813-27
66	M dal pura	...	224-16
67	Jakkenahally	...	269-79
68	Th rumalapura	...	337-56
69	Kangathikaval	...	167-00
70	Ontiguddakaval B.	...	...
71	Bindenahalli kaval	...	200-00
	Bagur Hobli		
72	Obalapur	...	1013-42
73	Bagur	...	1501-91
74	Kurikaval	...	397-45
75	Honnenahally	...	440-16
76	Daddihally	...	201-23
77	Chowdenahally	...	318-11
78	Dyavanahally	...	338 39
79	Maruvanahally	...	220-40
80	Kumbarahally	...	701-31
81	K. Mallenahally	...	569-15
82	Moodanahally	...	225-70
83	Muddanahally Kaval	...	514-97
84	Gov nakere	...	665-34
85	Banavase	...	830-96
86	Agrahara	...	415-75
87	Narayanapura	...	287-04
88	Darasihally	...	193-46
89	Halasinahally	...	261-66
90	Dyavanur	...	673-00
91	Kuradahally	...	414 69
92	Kalenahally	...	624-70
93	Kashipura	...	165-72
94	Krishnapura	...	371-19
95	M. Shivara	...	1120-03
96	Joisarahally	...	171-06
97	Honnenahally	...	71-81
98	Hongehally	...	432-45
99	Madagudanahally	...	68 -49
100	Jakkenhally	...	180-49
101	Kallesomanahally	...	357-42
102	Kallumallenahally	...	497-18
103	Somanahally	...	251 32

1	2		3
			Rs. P.
104	Kallamaranahally	...	202-23
105	Kamanahlly	...	442-10
106	Maragura	...	414-86
107	Agasarahally	...	431-05
108	Madaba	...	927-36
109	Byadarahally	...	613-28
110	Darasighatta	...	392-92
111	Chamarajapura	...	873-09
112	Balaghatta	...	821-50
113	Anathi	...	1954-06
114	Volagerehally	...	1596-08
115	Kodihally	...	1020-02
116	Theppalapura	...	431-52
117	Balehally	...	236-64
118	Chikkarasanahally	...	244-46
119	Beechagodanahally	...	360-10
120	Rechihally	...	343-63
121	Papanaghatta	...	371-58
122	Honnanakeppal	...	124-45
123	Kembal	...	669-70
124	Lakkihally	...	304-43
125	Dasapura	...	95-65
126	Bidare	...	837-94
127	Hulenahally	...	333-95
128	Basavapura	...	327-43
129	Navila	...	2039-00
130	Chikkanahally	...	536-07
131	Thimmalapura	...	331-18
132	Nagenahally	...	222-22
133	Kamanyakanahally	...	931-30
134	Appenahally	...	300-94
135	Chavvenahally	...	214-53
136	Bhakatrahally	...	648-03
137	Adihally	...	390-93
138	Chatnahally	...	258-43
139	Byrapura	...	140-14
140	Krechalli	...	942-82
141	Channenahally	...	408-91
142	Chokenahally	...	207-20
143	Kakkehally	...	715-69
144	Bairapura	...	249-07
145	Gabblihally	...	167-21
	Hirisave Hobli		
146	Hirisave	...	1379-14
147	Arakere	...	186-11

1	2		3
			Rs. P
148	Sobbanahally	...	479-62
149	Koibanahally	...	822-75
150	Thubinakere	...	588-21
151	Byadarahally	...	348-94
152	Y. lannhally	...	232 .69
153	Kabbinakere	...	532-69
154	Booka	...	438-46
155	Bovvanabetta	...	439-27
156	Sarekaipura	...	300-78
157	Makanahally	...	310 43
158	Kollenahally	...	261-81
159	Kirisave	...	776-68
160	Thoti	...	687-83
161	Belagihally	...	923-14
162	Honnerahally	...	486-88
163	Heggadihally	...	294-99
164	Meukere	...	336-45
165	Jinnenahally	...	1454-85
166	Hosahally	...	658-01
167	Nimbahally	...	364-05
168	Nagarahally	...	344-87
169	Hullavally	...	789-37
170	Narihally	...	403-73
171	Pura	...	485 -58
172	Bilikere	...	927-58
173	Dasarahally	...	459 69
174	Madelagere	...	54 -65
175	Thuppadahally	...	220-95
176	Duggenahally	...	363-24
177	Balaganchi	...	1213-47
178	Honnamaranahally	...	253-15
179	Thimmalapura	...	313 50
180	Gowdarahally	...	411-13
181	Honnasettihally	...	635-45
182	B. Amanikere	...	403 58
183	Mathgatta	...	821 09
184	Avarahally	...	666-28
185	Bommenahally	...	323-76
186	Yagati	...	333-65
187	Cholomahalli	...	405-14
188	Channanally	...	354-16
189	Kumaravally	...	342-45
190	Boregowdanakoppalu	...	189-56
191	Bandiyala	...	139-36

1	2		3
			Rs. P.
192	Didaga	...	711-02
193	Mukikere	...	553-75
194	Komanaghatta	...	942-86
195	Mandalikanahally	...	409-32
196	Tumkur	...	556-29
197	Karikyathanahally	...	932-12
198	Mellhally	...	758-05
199	Hammanahally	...	126-48
200	Ugenahally	...	96-91
201	Bidarakere	...	465-18
202	Masakanahally	...	807-47
203	Kabbali	...	9 3-23
204	Ungagere	...	425-19
205	Medalakerekaval	...	1927-11
206	Mudibettakaval	...	911-11
	Nuggehally Hobli		
207	Santhoshivara	...	1116 22
208	Duggenahally	...	112 37
209	Ramapura	...	358-39
210	Yachanaghatta	...	569-30
211	Hosahally	...	289 66
212	Channagonahally	...	221-48
213	Ganjigere	...	394-77
214	Nerlakere	...	290 24
215	Basavanapura	...	410-13
216	Virupakshipura	...	427 22
217	Dasapura	...	631-39
218	Akkanahally	...	899 71
219	Sosalagere	...	566-79
220	Madihally	...	544-73
221	Golihonnenahally	...	575 11
222	Samudravally	...	475-59
223	Jaisaramavinahally	...	309 03
224	Kavalhesur	...	288 22
225	Banankere	...	401-97
226	Settyhally	...	371 37
227	Jambur	...	1118 82
228	Madihally	...	452-24
229	Avverahally	...	372 06
230	Honnamaranahally	...	435 66
231	Mavinahally	...	271-35
232	Jambur (Amanikere)	...	229-94
233	Kerekere	...	30-61

1	2		3
			Rs. P.
234	Hulikere	...	632 58
235	Thekanahally	...	313 85
236	Muddenahally	...	314 91
237	Baddikere	...	730-05
238	Muradihally	...	582 88
239	Chikkonahally	...	424 22
240	Hebbalu	...	805 62
241	Kattigahally	...	863 85
242	Chinnenahally	...	402-64
243	Attihally	...	1098 93
244	Thavarekere	...	497 01
245	Nettekere	...	238 60
246	Muthugadahonnenahally	...	909 91
247	Dodderi	...	770 07
248	Kaggere	...	705-85
249	Aggrahara belagoli	...	838-02
250	Hosur	...	186-72
251	Nuggehally	...	1623 14
252	Mullakere	...	486-92
253	Huvvinahally	...	385 50
254	Hullenahally	...	241 81
255	Harenahally	...	125 26
256	Lwavalapura	...	117-34
257	Badakanahally	...	509-50
258	Harosomanahally	...	506-23
259	Somalapura	...	224-40
260	Volagere somanahally	...	777-10
261	Kalkere	...	927-11
262	Chowdenahally	...	489 84
263	Haggadigere	...	529 58
264	Buvanahally	...	434-13
265	Thagadur	...	814 45
266	Ranjapura	...	314 27
267	Lakkarasanahally	...	200 80
268	Kanuvenahatta	...	466 35
269	Rivasamudrakaval	...	815-78
270	Dodderikaval	...	1786-05
	Sirsanabelagola Hobli		
271	Aruvanahally	...	599-17
272	Jinnathapura	...	329-15
273	Basthihally	...	234-91
274	Racheuahally	...	184-31
275	Jinnenahally	...	189-16

1	2		3
			Rs. P.
276	Bekka	...	831-25
277	Haderahally	...	8 5 50
278	B. Cholenahally	...	773-98
279	B. Hounnahally	...	386 07
280	Shivapura	...	109-82
281	Sanenahally	...	811-70
282	Manchenahally	...	297-06
283	Vandavahally	...	923-61
284	Chikkabilithi	...	640-53
285	Hiribilithi	...	1004-66
286	B. Amanikere	...	605 23
287	Obalya	...	1292-66
288	Kumbenahally	...	846-10
289	Juttanahally	...	625-43
290	Ankanahally	...	337-02
291	Halebelagola	...	1511-40
292	Ragibommenahally	...	705-59
293	Parwa	...	700 06
294	Basavanahally	...	291-80
295	M. Hosur	...	190-23
296	Gullenahally	...	312-48
297	Mallasettyhally	...	254 81
298	Mattanavile	...	495 89
299	Rajapura	...	434 33
300	Kempinakote	...	290-81
301	Kodibelagola	...	453 92
302	Sundahally	...	1168 57
303	Dammaningala	...	1365 56
304	Kurubarahosahally	...	637 73
305	Aladahally	...	556 76
306	Majjanahally	...	699-87
307	Suggenahally	...	432-40
308	Dinka	...	702 91
309	Sugganahallykoppalu	...	336 61
310	Gurgaranahally	...	455-27
311	D. Honnenahally	...	526-90
312	Madane	...	262 18
313	Huligere	...	519 38
314	Korenahally	...	325-13
315	Hosur	...	242 32
316	Pumalihally	...	201-50
317	Dasarahally	...	159-54
318	Kasaranahally	...	223-92
319	Uttanahally	...	204-72

1	2		3
			Rs. P.
320	Beeranahally	...	217 61
321	S Sravanabelagola	...	1607 17
322	Kabbalu	...	701 88
323	S Hosahally	...	211-45
324	Mallenahally	...	238 89
325	Halumanthighatta	...	364 02
326	K. Bommenahally	...	392 88
327	Kanirayapura	...	281-06
328	Kathanaghatta	...	708-39
329	Kantharajapura	...	619 84
330	Dadignatta	...	1608-02
	Kasaba Hobli, Kasaba		
331	Channarayapatna	...	489-42
332	Jattenahally	...	411-42
333	Baralu	...	603-05
334	Guranahally	...	285-72
335	Galasinda	...	685-45
336	Gundasettyhally	...	258-13
337	Guramaranahally	...	284-79
338	Marenahally	...	384-47
339	Nagasamudra	...	954-58
340	Pyalasamudra	...	185-25
341	Mallenahally	...	463-16
342	D. Kalenahally	...	592-34
343	Karimaranahally	...	761-35
344	Belasinda	...	768-67
345	Yachenahally	...	929-04
346	Bindenahally	...	708-86
347	Chikkagowdanahally	...	472-37
348	Adagur	...	315-60
349	Jogipura	...	501-05
350	Kirechikkanahally	...	233-53
351	Kaigalikaval	...	301-65
352	Janivara	...	603-18
353	Yadavanahally	...	317-59
354	Chamadihally	...	231-00
355	Benigauahally	...	604-68
356	Cholenahally	...	889-39
357	Channarayapatna (Amanikere)	...	87-55
358	J. Amanikere	...	11-10
359	Chamadihally Ane	...	72-10
360	Santhenahally	...	403-30
361	Sappinahally	...	5 7-95

1	2		3
			Rs. P.
362	Devigere	...	1021-75
363	Sirekayipura	...	236-84
364	Dindagur	...	1321-88
365	Anthenahally	...	280-04
366	Bandihally	...	346-47
367	Settihally	...	741-53
368	Nandipura	...	771-62
369	Arlipura	...	358-64
370	Malavanaghatta	...	431-03
371	Hosur	...	334-96
372	Gowdagere	...	1047-13
373	Sravanteri	...	501-14
374	Bisalahally	...	233-39
375	Kurubarakalenahally	...	542-49
376	Doddaganni	...	165 47
377	Gullahally	...	736 86
378	Dadadahally	...	47-44
379	Nallur	...	420-04
380	Chikkaganni	...	43-59
381	Gokarahosahally	...	1281-37
382	Basapura	...	430-45
383	Kuruvanka	...	806-43
384	Chikkanayakanahally	...	215-81
385	Veddarahally	...	237-16
386	Kalasinda	...	1180-77
387	Byaladakere	...	619-44
388	Gowdagerekaval	...	471-24
	Total :	Rs.	19642-15

By Order and in the name of the President of India,

T. L. KRISHNAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

2665—S.O. 713

HOME SECRETARIAT

Notification No. HD 57 TMA 78
Bangalore, dated 10th March 1978.

In exercise of the powers conferred by rule 316 of the Karnataka Motor Vehicles Rules, 1963, the Government of Karnataka being satisfied that the motor vehicles belonging to the Hindustan Machine Tools Ltd., Bangalore described in the schedule below can be used as transport vehicle in public place without any danger to the public safety, hereby exempt the said vehicle from the provisions of sub-rule (2) of rule 203 of the said rules for purposes of plying them within the limits of Karnataka State, subject to the condition that the said vehicle should not be plied on ghat roads.

SCHEDULE

Ashok Leyland Vehicles

Engine Nos.	ALI 62693	ALI 62669	ALI 62699	ALI 62685
Chassis Nos.	AL 7783776	AL 7783767	AL 7783780	AL 7783765
Overhang	51%	51%	51%	51%
Wheel base	210"	210"	210"	210"
Overall length	31'—11"	31'—11"	31'—11"	31'—11"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

K. P. RAMAKRISHNA,
Under Secretary, to Government,
Home Department.

2693—S.O. 715

COMMERCE AND INDUSTRIES SECRETARIAT

Notification No. CI 44 EPO 78.
Bangalore, dated 2nd March 1978.

In exercise of the powers conferred under Rule 15 (1) of the Mineral Concession Rules 1960, the Government of Karnataka hereby revoke the orders issued in Government Notification No. CI 284 EPO 77, dated 6th October 1977 sanctioning a Prospecting Licence for Red and Rose Quartz in respect of the area of 98 acres (39.66 hectares) of land in Chamlapli village, Mysore Taluk, Mysore District in favour of Messrs. Kabini Industrial Complex as the grantee failed to pay the requisite fee of Rs. 402 and execute the deed within the prescribed time limit.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

Y. B. CHINNAPPA REEDY,
Under Secretary to Government I/c
Commerce and Industries Department.

2653—S.O. 716

Notification No. CI 40 EMO 77.

Bangalore, dated 14th March 1978.

In pursuance of Section 8 (2) of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957 and after obtaining the approval of the Government of India in their letter No. 7/78/74-M5, dated 15th October 1977, the Government of Karnataka hereby renew the Mining Lease held by Sri K Panduranga referred to in the Schedule hereunder for a period of 20 (Twenty years with effect from 29th February 1972 subject to usual terms and conditions of the lease.

No. & date of sanctioning the mining lease	Ore	Extent and area
Order No. Ms/5083 dated 14th November 1951 (issued by the erstwhile Government of Madras)	Iron ore only	245 acres in Muraripur village Sandur Taluk, Bellary District.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka

Y. B. CHINNAPPA REDDY,
Under Secretary to Government I/c. Commerce and Industries Department.

2714—S.O. 717

CORRIGENDUM No. CI 45 EMO 78

Bangalore, dated 13th March 1978

For the Words and figures "945 acres (382.43 hectares)" appearing in Government Notification No. CI 150 EMO 77 dated 2nd July 1977 the words and figures "1000 acres" shall be substituted.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

Y. B. CHINNAPPA REDDY,
Under Secretary to Government I/c., Commerce and Industries Department.

2698—S. O. 718

CORRIGENDUM No. CI 54 EPO 78

Bangalore, dated 13th March 1978

For the Words 'Moodanahalli Village, K. R. Pet, taluk," appearing in Government Notification No. CI 269 EPO 77 dated 26th October 1977 the words "Moodanahalli Village, Pandavapura Taluk" shall be substituted.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

Y. B. CHINNAPPA REDDY,
Under Secretary to Government, I/c. Commerce and Industries Department.

2699—S. O. 719

Notification No. CI 112, EMO 75.

Bangalore, dated 13th March 1978

In pursuance of Section 8 (2) of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1967 and after obtaining approval of the Government of India *vide* their letter No. 5/ 8/ 1976-M5 dated 18/19th October 1977, the Goverament of Karnataka hereby renew the Mining Lease held by Sri H. K. Thimmann. referred to in the Schedule hereunder for a period of 5 (five) years with effect from 6th April 1976, subject to usual terms and conditions of the lease.

No. and date of sanctioning the mining lease	Ore	Extent and area
Government Order No. CI 5575-79 GEO. 3-55-68, dated 22nd December 1955.	Iron and Manganese ore	99-00 acres (40-06 Hectares) Nandihalli village, Sandur Taluk, Bellary District.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

Y. B. CHINNAPPA REDDY,

I/c. Under Secretary to Government,
Commerce and Industries Department.

2711—S. O. 720

FINANCE SECRETARIAT

Notification No. FD 92 CAX 77.

Bangalore, dated 10/13th March 1978.

In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (g) of section 12 of Karnataka Agricultural Income Tax Act, 1957 (Karnataka Act No. 22 of 1957), the Government of Karnataka hereby approve "Teresian Carmel Education Society—St. Joseph's Convent Mandya" for purpose of the said clause for the financial year 1977-78 provided that each donation sumisuot less than two hundred and fifty rupees.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

L. S. JAGIRDAR,

Under Secretary to Government,
Finance Department.

2690—S. O. 721

EDUCATION AND YOUTH SERVICES SECRETARIAT

Notification No. ED 433 DAR 76.

Bangalore, dated 6th March 1978.

Under para 3 (2) of the Government Notification No. FD 150 SRS 73, dated 30th January 1974, the Furlough on Full Pay leave for 180 days at the credit of Sri S. Narasimhachar, Retd. Lecturer, Government College of Education, Mysore, who was permitted to retire from service with effect from 1st March 1974 in Government Notification No ED 29 DPI 74, dated 28th February 1974 is refused in the interest of public service. He is permitted to avail the leave so refused with effect from the date of his retirement i.e. from 1st March 1974.

This Notification is issued in modification of Notifications No ED 191 DAR 76, dated 21st August 1976 and No. ED 433 DAR 76 dated 15th February 1977.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

L. RAMACHANDRA RAO,
Under Secretary to Government,
2716—S.O. 722 *Education and Youth Services Department.*

Notification No. ED 161 DAR 77.

Bangalore, dated 9th March 1978.

Under para 3 (2) of the Government Notification No. FD 150 SRS 73, dated 16th November 1973, earned leave for 123 days at the credit of Shri B H. Burli, Head Master (Retired) Government Chintaman Rao High School, Shahapur, Belgaum who was permitted to retire from service with effect from 1st January 1974 in Government Notification No. ED 148 DPI 73 dated 10th June 1974 and re- employed from 1st January 1974 till the date of his relief, is refused in the interest of Government Service. He is permitted to avail the leave so refused with effect from the date of his retirement i.e , from 1st January 1974. The refused leave and re-employment shall run concurrently.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

L. RAMACHANDRA RAO,
Under Secretary to Government,
2717—S. O. 723 *Education and Youth Services Department.*

Notification No. ED 289 DCE 77,

Bangalore, Dated 13th March 1978

The following Demonstrators in the Department of Collegiate Education are promoted temporarily with immediate effect to officiate as Lecturers in the scale of pay of Rs. 750-1525 subject to the condition that the inter-se final seniority of promotees in the cadre of Demonstrators should be maintained in the cadre of Lecturers and the promotions shall be reviewed after the finalisation of C & R Rules incorporating the revised policy of promotion of Demonstrators as Lecturers.

Sl. No.	Name of the Officer	Subject
	Sriyuths/Smts.	
1	M. Chidanandappa	Physics
2	M. Paramashivaiah	do
3	Prasannalakshmi	do
4	V. Vanaja	Chemistry
5	S. Ananda Murthy	do
6	N. B. Shirangan thalli	do
7	G. Narayana Reddy	Physics
8	G. S. Seetharama Gupta	do
9	K. H. Venkata Rao	Chemistry
10	M. P. Devassy	do

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

B. JANAKI RAMAN,

Under Secretary to Govt.

2718—S.O. 724 *Education and Youth Services Department.*

GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Labour Dispute:—Industrial dispute between the workmen and the management of Karnataka State Road Transport Corporation, Bangalore-2.

Award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Bangalore, published.

Read the following papers:

(1) Letter No. 2063/77-78 dated 16-2-1978 from Shri H. Shanmukhappa, Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Bangalore.

BEFORE THE PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, BANGALORE.

Dated this 16th day of February, 1978.

Present :

Shri H. Shanmukhappa, B. A., B L.,

Presiding Officer

Reference No. 38 of 1977

First Party :—V. Venkatarayappa,
C/o The Secretary,
The Industrial and Commercial Employees Welfare Organisation, Gandhi Bazaar, Bangalore-4.

vs.

Second Party :—The Management of K.S.R.T.C., Bangalore-27

Reference :—Govt. order No. SWL 410 LLD 76 dated 11th April 1977

Award

16th February 1978 Again the I Party is absent. He is absent from the begining. He has not evinced any interest in the Reference. He has not even filed his claim statement. Hence the Reference is liable for rejection. Accordingly the Reference is rejected. No costs.

H. SHANMUKHAPPA,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Bangalore.

ORDER NO. SWL 52 LLA 78,

BANGALORE, DATED THE 9/13TH MARCH 1978.

Whereas by State Government Order No. SWL 410 LLD 76, dated 11th April 1977, the Industrial dispute between the workmen and the management of Karnataka State Road Transport Corporation, Bangalore-27 was referred to the Labour Court, Bangalore, for adjudication ;

And whereas the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Bangalore, has submitted to the State Government its award in respect of the said Industrial Dispute;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka are pleased to direct the publication of the said award as above, in the *Karnataka Gazette*.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

B. MANAMOHAN,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department

2702 S. O. 725

HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT SECRETARIAT

Notification No. HUD 695 MLR 76,

Bangalore, dated 13th March 1978.

The draft of the following rules further to amend the Karnataka Municipalities (Conditions of Service of Officers and Servants) Rules, 1972, which the Government of Karnataka proposes to make in exercise of the powers conferred by section 323 of the Karnataka Municipalities Act, 1964 (Karnataka Act 22 of 1964) is hereby published as required by sub-section (1) of the said section for the information of all persons likely to be affected thereby and notice is hereby given that the said draft rules will be taken into consideration on or after 25th April 1978.

2. Any objection or suggestion which may be received from any person with respect to the said draft rules by the State Government before the date specified above will be considered by the Government. Objections or suggestions may be addressed to the Commissioner and Secretary to Government of Karnataka, Housing and Urban Development Department, Post Box No. 4317 Vidhana Soudha, Bangalore-1.

1. Title and commencement.—(1) These rules may be called the Karnataka Municipalities (Conditions of Service of Officers and Servants) (Amendment) Rules, 1977.

(2) They shall come into force on the date of their publication in the official Gezette.

2. Ommission of rule 127.—Rule 127 of the Karnataka Municipalities (Conditions of Service of Officers and Servants) Rules, 1972 shall be omitted.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

K. B. DESHPANDE,
Under Secretary to Government,
Housing and Urban Development Deptt.

2688—S.O. 726

PUBLIC WORKS AND ELECTRICITY SECRETARIAT

CORRIGENDUM No. PWD 150 EBS 76.
Bangalore, dated 23rd February 1978

In the Government Notification No. PWD 150 EBS 76 dated 6th January 1977, the date of issue of the Notification shall be read as "6th January 1978" instead of "6th January 1977"

By Order and in the name of the President of India,

W. C. SHADAKSHARA DEV,
Under Secretary to Government,
Public Works and Elecy. Department.

6222—S. O. 727

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Order No. SWL 91 LLD 78

Bangalore, dated 13th March 1978.

WHEREAS the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of Anomark Sales Corporation and Durano Process (P) Ltd., Bangalore-34, on the points noted below;

AND WHEREAS the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

NOW, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Bangalore.

Points of Dispute

1. Are the management of Anomark Sales Corporation and Durano Process Private Ltd., No. 8 Industrial Layout, Koramangala, Bangalore-34, justified in retrenching Sri. J. S. Subba Rama Rao from service with effect from 6th June 1977?
2. If not, to what relief the workman is entitled?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

B. MANAMOHAN,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

2706—S. O. 728

HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT SECRETARIAT

Notification No. HUD 695 MLR 76.

Bangalore, dated 16th February 1978.

The draft of the following rules further to amend the Karnataka Municipalities (Conditions of Service of Officers and Servants) Rules, 1972, which the Government of Karnataka proposes to make in exercise of the powers conferred by section 323 of the Karnataka Municipalities Act, 1964 (Karnataka Act 22 of 1964) is hereby published as required by sub-section (1) of the said section for the information of all persons likely to be affected thereby and notice is hereby given that the said draft rules will be taken into consideration on or before 31st March 1978.

2 Any objection or suggestion which may be received from any person with respect to the said draft rules by the State Govern-

ment before the date specified above will be considered by the Government. Objections or suggestions may be addressed to the Commissioner and Secretary to Government of Karnataka, Housing and Urban Development Department, Post Box No. 4317, Vidhana Soudha, Bangalore-1.

1 Title and commencement.—(1) These rules may be called the Karnataka Municipalities (Conditions of Service of Officers and Servants) (Amendment) Rules, 1977.

(2) They shall come into force on the date of their publication in the official Gazette.

Omission of rule 127.—Rule 127 of the Karnataka Municipalities (Conditions of Service of Officers and Servants) Rules, 1972 shall be omitted.

By Order and in the name of the President of India,

K. B. DESHPANDE,

Under Secretary to Government,
Housing and Urban Development Deptt.

2620 S.O. 729

GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT.

Labour Dispute : Industrial Dispute between the workmen and the management of Hotel Santosh, Visweswarapuram Circle, Bangalore-2.

Award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Bangalore published.

Read the following papers :

(1) Letter No. 1584/77-78, dated 20th December 1977 from Shr H. Shanmukhappa, Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Bangalore.

BEFORE THE PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, BANGALORE.

Dated this 20th day of December 1977.

Present :

Shri H. Shanmukhappa, B.A., B.L.,

Presiding Officer,

Reference No. 52 of 1975

I Party :—

The Secretary, Bangalore District Hotel & Canteen Workers Union, 50-A, Arcot Srinivasachar Street, Bangalore-560053.

Vs.

II Party :—

The Proprietor, Hotel Santosh, Visveswarapuram Circle, Bangalore.

Reference: Government Order No. SWL 200 LLD 75, dated 17th June 1975.

AWARD

25th December 1977 : Both absent again. The I Party workmen is absent again. His authorised Representative also is absent. The I Party workman has not lead any evidence in support of his claim statement even though the II Party has remained absent. As the I Party workman has not evinced any interest in the Reference. The Reference is liable for rejection and is hereby rejected. No order as to costs.

H. SHANMUKHAPPA,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Bangalore.

ORDER No. SWL 27 LLA 78.

Bangalore, dated 16th/22nd February 1978

WHEREAS by State Government Order No. SWL 200 LLD 75, dated 17th June 1975 the Industrial dispute between the workmen and the Management of Hotel Santosh, Visweswarapuram Circle, Bangalore was referred to the Labour Court, Bangalore for adjudication;

AND, WHEREAS the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Bangalore has submitted to the State Government its award in respect of the said Industrial Dispute.

NOW, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka are pleased to direct the publication of the said award as above, in the *Karnataka Gazette*.

By Order and in the name of the President of India,

B. MANAMOHAN,

Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

2636—S. O. 730

HOME SECRETARIAT

Notification No. HD 87 PCR 77
Bangalore, dated 8th March 1978.

In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 6 of the Indian Christian Marriage Act, 1872 (Central Act 15 of 1872) the Government of Karnataka hereby grants license to Pastor D.R. Satur Gospel Prayer Hall, Bangalore to solemnise Marriages under the said Act in Karnataka State.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

M. P. JAYASHANKARA ARADHYA,
Under Secretary to Government,
Home Department.

2677—S.O. 734

Notification No. HD 82 PCR 77.
Bangalore, dated 10th March 1978.

In exercise of the powers conferred by section 6 of the Indian Christian Marriage Act, 1872 (Central Act 15 of 1872), the Government of Karnataka hereby grants license to Rev. M. Raju Evangelical Church of India, T.B.P., Munirabad, Koppal Taluk, Raichur District to Solemnise Marriages under the said Act in Karnataka State.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

M. P. JAYASHANKARA ARADHYA,
Under Secretary to Government,
Home Department.

2687—S. O. 735

Notification No. HD 35 PES 77
Bangalore, dated 13th March 1978.

WHEREAS the draft of the Karnataka Excise (Excise Duties) (Amendment) Rules, 1978 was published as required by sub-section (1) of section 71 of the Karnataka Excise Act 1965 (Karnataka Act 21 of 1966) in Notification No. SO 155 (HD 35 PES 77) dated 12th January 1978 in Part IV-2-C (ii) of the Karnataka Gazette dated 19th January 1978 inviting objections and suggestions from all persons likely to be affected thereby on or before 21st February 1978;

AND WHEREAS the said Gazette was made available to public or 19th January 1978;

AND WHEREAS no objections or suggestions were received on the said draft;

NOW, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 71 of Karnataka Excise Act 1965 (Karnataka Act 21 of 1966), the

Government of Karnataka hereby makes the following rules, namely :—

1. **Title and Commencement** :—(1) These rules may be called the Karnataka Excise (Excise Duties) First Amendment) Rules, 1978.

(2) They shall come into force at once.

2. **Amendment of rule 3.** —For clause (iii) of sub-rule (a) of rule 3 of the Karnataka Excise (Excise Duties) Rules, 1968, the following clauses shall be substituted, namely.

"(iii) in the case of rectified spirit shall be an amount in excess of 25 paise per bulk litre;

(iii-a) in the case of absolute alcohol of the strength of London proof shall be an amount in excess of 25 paise per bulk litre".

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

M. P. JAYASHANKARA ARADHYA,
Under Secretary to Government,
Home Department.

2692—S.O. 736

Notification No. HD 58 TMA 78

Bangalore, dated 14th March 1978

In exercise of the powers conferred by Rule 316 of the Karnataka Motor Vehicles Rules 1963, the Government of Karnataka hereby exempts the Vehicles belonging to M/s. Bharat Earth Movers Limited, Bangalore described in the Schedule below from the provisions of Rule 200 and 202 of the said Rules for being transported from the Factory at Kolar Gold-Fields upto State Border of Belgaum District *via* Bangarpet, Korlar, Bangalore, Tumkur, Chitradurga, Hubli, Belgaum and Nippani subject to the following conditions :

1. Permission is valid for the portion of the Route from the factory at K. G. F., Bangarpet, Kolar, Bangalore, Tumkur, Chitradurga, Hubli, Belgaum and Nippani on National Highway No. 4 upto Karnataka State Border.

2. The speed of the vehicles should not exceed 16 Km. per hour on roads and 8 Kms per hour on bridges and culverts.

3. The Bharat 35 Ton dumpers will have to be run by the BEML at their own risk and should be driven between 6 AM to 6 PM.

4. No. damages should be caused to the pedestrains, other vehicles moving on roads, bridges and culverts.

5. Government shall not be responsible for any damages that may be sustained by the vehicles or its contents or occupants consequent upon the movement of the vehicles.

6. The strengthening of weak culverts and bridges if any, required for passing the machine, shall be done at the cost of the party and damages caused to roads, bridges and culverts should be made good by the BEML as per assessment of the Executive Engineers of the concerned Public Works Department Divisions/National Highways.

7. The local Executive Engineers of Public Works Department' Kolar and Executive Engineers of concerned National Highways Divisions of Bangalore, Tumkur, Chitradurga, Dharwar, Belgaum shall be informed in advance the date of the movement of the Bharat Dumpers on the road.

8. The existing bridge across Tungabhadra River near Harhiar is weak, the vehicle should be moved over the new bridge by using Shimoga-Harihar Road and the old bridge should not be used.

9. The red flags must be exhibited at either etremities length and breadthwise.

10. The vehicle should come to a stop at the approaches of all culverts and bridges and then proceed at a speed not exceeding 16 Km per hour over the structures. The vehicles must be brought to a stop when there are vehicles from the rear and front while crossing bridges and culverts.

11. Empty vehicles should be transported on the route indicated above and they should not carry any load over and above their self weight.

SCHEDULE

Bharat Haulpak Trucks Rear Dump Model 25.

BEML No.	Chassis No.	Engine No.	Transmission No.
715	LCF 11118-CFA-15-AS	25112583	16699
716	11119	25112576	16858
717	11120	25112382	15242
718	11121	25112578	16619
721	11124	25112703	15985
722	11125	25112705	16620
723	11126	25112708	15288
725	11147	25112582	10201
736	11158	25112386	18885

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka

K. P. RAMAKRISHNA,
Under Secretary to Government,
Home Department.

2732—S.O. 737

Notification No. HD 15 TRC 78(1)
Bangalore, dated 15th March 1978.

In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 5 of the Road Transport Corporations Act, 1950 (Central Act 64 of 1950), read with sub-rule(3) of Rule 3 of the Karnataka State Road Transport Corporation Rules, 1961, the Government of Karnataka hereby appoint Shri R. Gundu Rao, Minister for Transport and Tourism as a Non-Official Member of the Karnataka State Road Transport Corporation with immediate effect.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

K. P. RAMAKRISHNA,
Under Secretary to Government,
Home Department.

2723—S.O. 738

EDUCATION AND YOUTH SERVICES SECRETARIAT

Notification No. ED 20 MAV 77,
Bangalore, dated 4th March 1978.

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2) of Section 6 of the Mythic Society (Dissolution and Management) Act, 1976 (Karnataka Act 20 of 1976), and in partial modification of Notification S.O. No. 3401, dated 3rd November 1975, the Government of Karnataka hereby nominate the Joint Secretary to Government, Department of Education and Youth Services as a Member on the Board of Management of Mythic Society in the vacancy caused by the abolition of the post of Additional Secretary to Government, Education and Youth Services Department, Government of Karnataka.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

D. G. NAYAK,
Under Secretary to Government,
Education and Youth Services Department.

2722—S. O. 739

HOME SECRETARIAT

Notification No. HD 30 TMA 78.
Bangalore, dated 9th March 1978.

In exercise of the powers conferred by Rule 341 of the Karnataka Motor Vehicles Rules, 1963, read with Notification No. HD 126 TMA 76, dated 7th September 1976, the Government of Karnataka hereby exempts the three axled articulated vehicle bearing Registration No. MHF 6800 belonging to M/s. M.K. Mehendale Transport (Pri-

vate)Ltd., Poona, with a G.L.W.of 22. 54 tonnes (22.540 Kgs) from the provisions of Rule 319 of the said rules for purposes of plying it on Kolar–Bangalore–Poona Road (N.H.4) and Hosur–Bangalore–Bagepalli road (N.H. 7) for a further period of three years (upto 4th May 1981) subject to the following conditions.

(1) The Gross laden weight and maximum axle load in the laden condition of the troctor semi–trailer combination should not exceed 22.54 tonnes and 10 tonnes respectively.

(2) The Government shall not be responsible for any damages that be sustained either by the vehicle and/or its contents and/or occupants consequent on its movement.

(3) The maximum speed shall not exceed 30 KMPH on roads and 10 KMPH on bridges, C.D. Works, Ghat Roads and also while negotiating curves.

(4) The permission is valid to the Highways mentioned above and vehicle shall not ply on any other Highways.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

K. P. RAMAKRISHNA,
Under Secretary to Government,
Home Department.

2691— S. O. 740

FOOD AND FOREST SECRETARIAT

Notification No. FFD 50 FGL 78.

Bangalore, Dated 9th March 1978.

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 28 of the Karnataka Forest Act, 1963 (Karnataka Act 5 of 1964) the Government of Karnataka hereby directs that the lands specified in Schedule below which forms portion of the Reserved Forest constituted in Government Notification No.567 A, dated 22nd January 1894 issued by the former Government of Bombay shall with effect from the 20th day of March 1978, cease to be part of the said Reserved Forest.

SCHEDULE.

District	Taluka	Village	F.S.No.	Area A.G Ans.	Boundaries.	
Uttar Kannada.	Yellapur	Kandgal	32A1	0-20-0	North East South West	F.S. No. 32 A1A

Remarks:—Formed into a separate No. *viz.*, 32 A1-B. The area still remaining in the Reserved Forest being assigned F. S. No. 32 A1 A.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka

H. MUNIRAM,
Under Secretary to Government,
Food and Forest Department.

2684—S.O. 741

COMMERCE AND INDUSTRIES SECRETARIAT

CORRIGENDUM No. CI 40 EMO 78
Bangalore, dated 13th March 1978.

For the words and figures "65 acres (26.30 lacks)" appearing in Government Notification No. CI 176 EMO 77 dated 5th August 1977, the words and figures "55 acres" shall be substituted.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

Y. B. CHINNAPPA REDDY,
I/c. Under Secretary to Government,
Commerce and Industries Department.

2697—S. O. 742

HOME SECRETARIAT

Notification No. HD 49 TMA 78
Bangalore dated 28th February 1978.

In exercise of the powers conferred by rule 316 of the Karnataka Motor Vehicles Rules, 1963, the Government of Karnataka being satisfied that the motor vehicle belonging to M/s. Acharya Motor Service, Mulbagal described in the schedule below can be used as transport vehicle in public place without any danger to the public safety, hereby exempt the said vehicle from the provisions of sub-rule (2) of rule203of the said rulesfor purposes of plying it within the limits of Karnataka State, subject to the condition that the said vehicle should not be plied on ghat roads.

SCHEDULE

Engine No.	...	ALI 69687
Chassis No.	...	AL: 7790364
Overhang	...	60%
Wheel base	...	210"
Registration No. of Vehicle	...	TMV 2777

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka

K. P. RAMAKRISHNA,
Under Secretary to Government,
Home Department (Transport).

2651—S. O. 743

Notification No. HD 125 TMT 74

Bangalore, dated 1st March 1978.

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of the Section 16 of the Karnataka Motor Vehicles Taxation Act, 1957 (Karnataka Act 35 of 1957), the Government of Karnataka being of the opinion that it is necessary in public interest so to do, hereby reduce the tax payable under the said Act in respect of a tourist vehicle in relation to which a permit has been issued under sub-section (7) of Section 63 of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1939 (Central Act 4 of 1939) or endorsement granted under rule 123-A of the Karnataka Motor Vehicles Rules, 1963, toRs. 120 (Rs. one hundred and twenty only) per seat per quarter with effect from the quarter commencing from 1st July, 1976.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

K. P. RAMAKRISHNA,
Under Secretary to Government,
Home Department.

2652—S. O. 744

FOOD AND FOREST SECRETARIAT

Notification No. FFD 35 FGL 75

Bangalore, dated 8th March 1978.

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 28 of the Karnataka Forest Act, 1963, (Karnataka Act 5 of 1964) the Government of Karnataka hereby directs that the lands specified in schedule below which forms portion of the Reserved-Forest constituted in Government Notification No. 10434-A, dated 23rd October 1907, issued by the former Government of Bombay shall with effect from the 15th day of March, 1978 cease to be part of the said Reserved Forest.

SCHEDULE.

District	Taluk	Village	F. S. No.	Area
Uttar Kannada	Yellapur	Shigemane Umachgi Boundaries. North, East, West — F. S. No. 42A1-A; South — S. No. 42A-2	42-A1	10-0-0

Remarks :—Formed into a separate No. *Viz.*, 42A 1-B.

The area still remaining in the Reserved Forest being assigned F. S. No. 42A1-A.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. MUNIRAM,
Under Secretary to Government,
Food and Forest Department.

2683—S. O. 745

HOME SECRETARIAT

Notification No. HD 52 TMA 78

Bangalore dated 9th March 1978

In exercise of the powers conferred by Rule 316 of the Karnataka Motor Vehicles Rules 1963, the Government of Karnataka hereby exempts the vehicles belonging to M/s. Bharat Earth Movers Ltd., Bangalore described in the Schedule below from the provisions of Rules 200 and 202 of the said Rules for being transported from the Factory at Kolar Gold Fields upto State Border in Belgaum District *via* Bangarpet Kolar, Bangalore, Tumkur, Chitradurga, Hubli, Belgaum, and Nippani subject to the following conditions;

1. Permission is valid for the portion of the route from the factory at KGF. Bangarpet, Kolar Bangalore, Tumkur Chitradurga, Hubli, Belgaum and Nippani on National Highway No. 4 upto Karnataka State Border.

2. The speed of the vehicles should not exceed 16 Kms. per hour on roads and 8 kms per hour on bridges and culverts.

3. The Bharat 35 ton dumpers will have to be run by the BEML at their own risk and should be driven between 6 am to 6 pm.

4. No damages should be caused to the pedestrians, other vehicles moving on roads, bridges and culverts.

5. Government shall not be responsible for any damages that may be sustained by the vehicles or its contents or occupants consequent upon the movement of the vehicles.

6. The strengthening of weak culverts and bridges if any; required for passing the machine, shall be done at the cost of the party and damages caused to roads bridges and culverts should be made good by the B E M L as per assessment of the Executive Engineers of the concerned Public Works Department Divisions/National Highways.

7. The Local Executive Engineers of Public Works Department Kolar and Executive Engineers of concerned National Highways Divisions of Bangalore, Tumkur, Chitradurga, Dharwar. Belgaum shall be informed in advance the date of the movement of the Bharat pumpers on the road.

8. As the existing bridges across Tunghabhadra River near Harihar is weak, the vehicle should be moved over the new bridge by using Shimoga-Harihar Road and the old bridge should not be used.

9. The red flags must be exhibited at either extremities-length and breadthwise.

10. The vehicles should come to a stop at the approaches of all culverts and bridges and then proceed at a speed not exceeding 16 Km. per hour over the structures. The vehicles must be brought to a stop when there are vehicles from the rear and front while crossing bridges and culverts.

11. Empty vehicles should be transported on the route indicated above and they should not carry any load over and above their self weight.

SCHEDULE

Bharat Haulpak Trucks Rear Dump Model 35

BEML No.	*Chassis No.*	*Engine No.*	*Transmission No.*
724	LCF 11127 CFA15AS	25112706	15289
727	11149	25112385	15960
728	11150	25112701	18880

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

K. P. RAMAKRISHNA,
Under Secretary to Government
Home Department

2683—S. O. 476

FINANCE SECRETARIAT

Notification No. FD 7 BSD 78
Bangalore, dated the 8th March 1978

The Governor of Karnataka is pleased to order that the accompanying Notification No. S. O. 66 (E), dated 6th February 1978, according recognition to the Bangalore Stock Exchange Ltd. Bangalore, for a further period of five years commencing from 16th February 1978 and ending with 15th February 1983, which has been published in the Gazatte of India, Extraordinary, dated 6th February 1978 (Part-II, Section-3 (ii), be republished under section 4(3) of the Securities Contracts (Regulation) Act, 1956 (42 of 1956) in the Karnataka Gazette, for general information of the public.

N. S. MANI,
Special Officer and Ex-Officio,
Under Secy. to Govt. Finance Department.

2681— S. O. 747.

FOOD AND FOREST SECRETARIAT

Notification No. FFD 33 FGL 78.

Bangalore, dated 6th March 1978.

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 28 of the Karnataka Forest Act 1963, (Karnataka Act 5 of 1964), the Government of Karnataka hereby direrts that the lands specified in schedule below which forms portion of the Reserved Forest constituted in Government Notification No. 11381(a) of 1st December 1906 issued by the former Government of Bombay shall with effect from the fifteenth day of March 1978; cease to be part of the said Reserved Forest.

SCHEDULE

District	Taluka	Village	C.B. No.	Area	Boundaries
Uttar Kannada	Mundgod	Hudel-kop	9A	4-0-0 acres	North : C.B.No. 9A-1 East : C.B. No. 12 and 9A-1. South: } C.B.No. 9A-1 West : }

Remarks :—Formed into a separate C. B. No. viz 9A-2. The area still remaining in the Reserved Forest being assigned C.B. No. 9A1. F.S. No. 22 has been changed to C. B. No. 9 under consolidation scheme.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. MUNIRAM,
Under Secretary to Government,
Food and Forest Department.

2688 S.O.— 748

Notification No. FFD 46 FGL 78

Bangalore, dated 9th March 1978.

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 28 of the Karhataka Forest, Act, 1963, (Karnataka Act 5 of 1965) the Government of Karnataka hereby directs that the lands-specified in schedule below which forms portion of the Reserved Forest constituted in Government Notification No. 8608 dated 5th November 1895 issued by the Former Government of Bombay shall with effect from the 20th day of March 1978 cease to be part of the said Reserved Forest.

SCHEDULE

District	Taluk	Village	F.S. No	Area A.G A.	Boundaries
Uttar Kannada	Karwar	Hankon A1A1A1A1	288	1-20-0	North : F. S.No. 288 A1A1A1A1-A East : A1A1A1A1-A South : S.No. 288 A1A1-B West : S.No 288/1

Remarks :— Formed into a separate S No. viz 2.88 A1A1A1A1-B. The area still remaining in the Reserved Forest being assigned F. S. No. 288 A1A1A1A1-A.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. MUNIRAM,

Under Secretary to Government,
Food and Forest Departmnet

2682 S.O. 749

Notification No. FFD 44 FGL 78

Bangalore, dated 13th March 1978.

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 25 of the Karnataka Forest Act, 1963 (Karnataka Act 5 of 1964), the Government of Karnataka hereby directs that the lands specified in schedule below which forms portion of the Reserved Forest constituted in Government Notification No. 10434–A dated 23rd October 1907 issued by the former Government of Bombay, shall with effect from the 20th day of March 1978, cease to be part of the said Reserved Forest.

SCHEDULE

District	Taluka	Village	S.No. F.	Area A.G. Ans.	Boundaries	
North Kanara.	Yellapur	*Sonda–Tarahalli *Also called as Tarehalli	30 A	4–0–0	North East South West	F.S.No. 30 A-1

Remarks :—Formed into a separate S. No. *viz* 30 A2. The area still remaining in the Reserved Forest being assigned F. S. No. 30 A1.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka

H. MUNIRAM,

Under Secretary to Government,
Food and Forest Department.

3689—S.O. 750

RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND CO-OPERATION SECRETARIAT

Notification No. RDC 25 MMD 78.

Bangalore, dated 27th February 1978.

In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (4) of Section 10 of the Karnataka Agricultural Produce Marketing (Regulation) Act, 1966 the Government of Karnataka hereby nominates Sri Sanganna B. Nagaral, Chairman, the Hungund Taluka Agricultural Produce Cooperative Marketing Society Ltd., Hungund as a representative of the Taluk Agricultural Produce Cooperative Marketing Society Ltd., Hungund, to the Agricultural Produce Market Committee, Hungund *vice* Sri C. T. Nagaral ceased to be a Member of the Agricultural Produce Market Committee, Hungund.

By Order and in the name of the President of India,

G. S. JOSHI,
Under Secretary to Government,
R. D. C. Department.

2627—S O. 751

REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Notification No. RD 233 SST 77.

Bangalore, dated 23rd February/10th March 1978.

In exercise of powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 18 of the Karnataka Land Reveuue Act 1964 (Karnataka Act 12 of 1964) the Government of Karnataka hereby appoint

(i) Sri. M. S. Sansuddi, Assistant Superintendent of Land Records, Survey Training Class Belgaum as Enquiry Officer, City Survey, Karwar ; and

(ii) the Assistant Commissioner, Karwar sub-division, Karwar as an appellate Authority to hear the appeals against the orders passed by the Enquiry Officer, City Survey, Karwar within 60 days with effect from the date of this notification.

By Order and in the name of the President of India,

V. S. BASALINGAPPA,
Under Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

2685—S. O. 752

COMMERCE AND INDUSTRIES SECRETARIAT

Notification No. CI 286 EPO 77.

Bangalore, dated 14th March 1978.

In pursuance of sub-section (1) of Section 5 of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957, the Government of Karnataka hereby sanctions a Prospecting licence in favour of Messrs. Rahim & Son for a period of 2 (Two) years for Corrundum over an area of 127 acres of land in Garaga Village, Holalkere taluk, Chitradurga District, as per sketch furnished by the Director of Mines and Geology, Bangalore.

The grant of the above Prospecting licence is subject to the terms and conditions appended hereunto.

TERMS AND CONDITIONS

1. The licence shall be operative for a period of two years with effect from the date of execution. The option to renew the licence for a further period shall be reserved to Government.

2. The licensee shall pay to Government a Prospecting fee of Rs. 2.50 per hectare of the land covered by the licence for each year or portion of a year.

3. The quantity of ore to be removed under this licence both on payment of royalty and free of royalty, shall be in accordance with the limits prescribed under Rule 14 (ii) and (iii) and under schedule III respectively of the Mineral Concession Rules, 1960. "The royalty payable on the Minerals shall be in accordance with the rates prescribed in second Schedule to the Mines ane Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act 1957 and as amended from time to time.

4. The licensee shall make available to the Government of India beryl or any other 'substance prescribed' under Section 3 of the Atomic Energy Act No. XXIX of 1948 if they occur in the property under licence;

5. The licence shall be subject to the rules issued under Sections 13 and 18 of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957 (No. 67 of 1957).

6. If any other mineral is traced during the course of prospecting, the licensee shall report about the same to the Director of Mines and Geology and shall not work such minerals without obtaining prior permission of Government;

7. The licensee shall furnish an accurate final map of areas covered by the licence together with a description, giving the situation and boundaries of the areas duly attested by the licensee. The Director of Mines and Geology is authorised to get the accurate final map with survey numbers etc., prepared and demarcation done

before executing the licence if the licensee so desired and to recover the cost of the same from the licensee;

8. The licensee shall, before the deposit is returned to him submit confidentially to the Director of Mines and Geology from time to time or whenever required a full report of the work done by him and disclose all information acquired in the course of the operations carried on under the licence regarding the geology and mineral resources of the area covered by the licence;

9. The licensee shall allow any officer authorised by the Central or the State Government to inspect at any time the area covered by the Prospecting Licence as also any accounts, plans and records maintained by him;

10. The licensee shall before the licence is issued to him deposit as security a sum of Rs. 200 in. respect of every Square Kilometre or part thereof for which the licence is granted for the due observance of the terms and conditions of the licence.

11. The licensee shall engage a qualified geologist or a mining engineer to conduct the prospecting methodically;

12. The licensee shall not give any ore-raising contract during the prospecting stage;

13. The above mentioned area is subject to verification after actual survey; and

14. Local and other Cesses as prevalent in Chitradurga District.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

Y. B. CHINNAPPA REDDY,
I/c Under Secretary to Government,
Commerce and Industries Department.

2714—S.O. 753

RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND CO-OPERATION SECRETARIAT

Notification No. RDC 370 MMD 76
Bangalore dated 9th March 1978

Whereas by Government notification No. A & F 100 CMD 59(i) dated 20th October 1959 (herein after referred to as the said Notification) issued under Section 4 of the Mysore Agricultural Produce Markets Act, 1939 (Mysore Act XVI of 1939), the Government of Karnataka declared that with effect from 6th November 1959, the area comprised within the Kunigal Town Municipality shall be a Market (herein after referred to as the said Market) established under the said Act, for the Agricultural produce mentioned below:

1. Paddy.
2. Rice.
8. Arecaunts.
9. Cocoanuts.

3. Ragi.	10. Copra.
4. Jowar.	11. Safflower.
5. Groundnut.	12. Castorseed.
6. Horsegram.	13. Soapnut.
7. Jaggery.	14. Tamarind.

2. And Whereas by Government notification No. A and F 100 CMD 59 (ii) dated 20th October 1959, issued under Section 5 of the Mysore Agricultural Produce Market Act, 1939 (Mysore Act XVI of 1939), the Government of Karnataka declated that the whole of Kunigal, Magadi, Maddur. Nagamangala and Nelamagala Taluks shall be the area in which the producers of Agricultural Produce shall be entitled to be represented on the Market Committee to be constituted for the market declared in Notification No. A & F 100 CMD 59(1) dated 20th October 1959.

3. And whereas by Notification No. DPC 516 CMD 68, dated 12th May 1969 issued under section 5 of the Karnataka Agricultural Produce Marketing (Regulation) Act, 1966, (Karnataka Act No. 27 of 1966) read with the Karnataka Agricultural Produce Marketing (Regulation) (Removal of difficulties) Order, 1968 dated 29th November 1968. the Government of Karnataka excluded the taluks of Maddur, Nelamangala and Nagamangala from the area of the said market with effect from 2nd June 1969;

4. And whereas, by Government Notification No. DPC 120 CMD 71, dated 22nd March 1972 issued under section 5 of the Karnataka Agricultural produce Marketing (Regulation) Act, 1966 (Karnataka Act 27 of 1966) the Government of Karnataka declared that with effect from 10th May 1972 the marketing of sheep and goats shall be regulated in the market area of the said market in addition to the Agricultural Produce already regulated;

And whereas, by Government Notification No. S.O. 648 (RDO) 370 MMD 76, dated 29th January 1977 published in part IV-2C (ii) of the *Karnataka Gazette* dated 10th March 1977 issued under section 5 read with section 3 of the Karnataka Agricultural Produce Marketing (Regulation) Act, 1966 (Karnataka Act 27 of 1966), the Government of Karnataka declared its intention of regulating the marketing of Sugarcane, Khandasari Sugar, Chillies, Avare, Niger, Hongeseed, Hippeseed. Neemseed, Myrobolon (Alalekai) and cattle (hitherto not regulated) in the market area of the Agricultural Produce Market Committee, Kunigal, in addition to the Agricultural Produce already regulated.

And whereas, objections and suggestions have been received and considered by the State Government in respect of the said proposal.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 5 read with Section 4 of the Karnataka Agricultural Produce Marketing (Regulation) Act 1966 (Karnataka Act 27 of 1966), the Government of Karnataka hereby delcare that with effect from the date of publica-

tion of this Notification in the *Karnataka Gazette*, the marketing of Chillies, Avare. Niger, Hongeseed, Hippeseed, Neemseed, and Cattle (Hitherto not regulated) shall be regulated in addition to the Agricultural Produce already regulated in the market area of the Agricultural Produce Market Committee, Kunigal.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

G. S. JOSHI,
Under Secretary to Government,
Rural Development and Co-operation Department.

2707—S. O. 754

FOOD AND FOREST SECRETARIAT

Notification No. AFD 90 FAF 77
Bangalore, dated 3rd March 1978.

In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 4 of the Karnataka Forest Act 1963 (Mysore Act 5 of 1964) the Government of Karnataka hereby—

(i) declares that it has been decided to constitute the land in the Machina village of Belthangady taluk of South Kanara District, the situation and limits of which are specified in the Schedule below, as reserved forest;

(ii) appoints the Forest Settlement Officer, South Kanara, Mangalore to be the Forest Settlement Officer for purposes of clause (c) of sub-section (i) of the said section; and

(iii) appoints the Divisional Forest Officer, Mangalore Division Mangalore to represent the State Government in the inquiry by the said Forest Settlement Officer.

SCHEDULE

Name of the District	...	South Kanara
Name of the Taluk	...	Belthangady
Name of the village	...	Machina
Name of the Block	...	Machina Block II (Bit VII)
Field Survey Numbers	...	197/1
Area	...	7.17 hectares (18.20 acrest

Boundary description

Machina Block II (Bit VII)

North:—Starting from station No. 31 the demarcation line runs in North-east direction to station No. 32 at a distance of 100 links. From there it moves nearly eastern direction to station No. 33 at a distance of 144 links. Thereafter it proceeds to in the South-eas) direction to station No. 36 passing through the station Nos. 34, 35 at

a distance of 300 links. Thence it runs in almost eastern direction to station No. 37 at a distance of 215 links. From there it runs in the South-east direction to Station No. 45, passing through the middle station No. 38 to 44 at a distance of 1401 links. Then it goes in the nearly eastern direction to station No. 46 at a distance of 176 links From there it moves in the Nerth-west direction to station No. 49 passing through the middle station Nos. 47 and 48 at a distance of 648 links. Then it runs in nearly Northern direction to station No. 51 passing through the middle station No. 50 at a distance 371 links. From there it moves in almost northern direction to station No. 52 at a distance of 180 links. Thence it moves in almost Eastern direction to station No. 53 at a distance of 338 links. Then it moves in the north-eastern direction to station No. 54 at a distance of 195 links.

The bearings and distance from station to station are as follows :

Station Nos. From	To	Bearings in degrees	Distance in links.
31	32	31	100
32	33	100	144
33	34	109½	100
34	35	104	100
35	36	107	100
36	37	85	215
37	38	149	146
38	39	166	176
39	40	133½	110
40	41	130	172
41	42	125	247
42	43	131	242
43	44	102½	153
44	45	102	155
45	46	99	176
46	47	334½	205
47	48	335½	144
48	49	345½	299
49	50	354	160
50	51	350	211
51	52	1½	180
52	53	92½	338
53	54	77	195

East :—From station No. 54 the demarcation line runs in almost eastern direction to station No. 55 at a distance of 121 links from there it moves in nearly southern direction to station No. 56 at a distance of 244 links. Afterwards it runs in the South-east direction

to station No. 60 passing through the middle station Nos. 57 to 59 at a distance of 1115 links. Thence it moves in the north-east direction to station No. 61 at a distance of 175 links. From there the demarcation line runs in the suth-east direction to station No. 62 at a distance of 144 links. Thence, it moves in nearly western direction to station No. 63 at a distance of 262 links. From there it moves in the South-west direction to station No. 2 at a distance of 300 links.

The bearings and distances from station to station are as follows :—

Station Numbers From	To	Bearings in degrees	Distances in links
54	55	91	121
55	56	174	244
56	57	158	270
57	58	167	170
58	59	113	245
59	60	128½	430
60	61	74½	175
61	62	105	144
62	63	260	262
63	2	213½	300

:—From station No. 2, the demarcation line runs in the North-west direction to station No. 7 passing through the middle station Nos, 3 to 6 at a distance of 915 links. Thence it moves in the south-westsdirection to station No. 11 passing through the middle station No . 8 to 10 at a distance of 500 links. Thence it moves in almost western direction to station No. 12 at a distance of 109 links. From there it runs in North-western direction to station No. 14 at a distance of 220 links passing through station No. 13. Thence it goes in nearly western direction to station No. 15 at a distance of 165 links. Afterwards it runs in the north-west direction No. 17 passing through the station No. 16 at a distance of 217 links, Thereafter it proceeds in the North-east direction to station No. 18 at a distance of 62 links. From there it moves in the north-west direction to station No. 23 passing through station Nos. 19 to 22 at a distance of 627 links. Afterwards it runs in south-west direction to station No. 24 at a distance of 90 links. Then it moves in the North-west direction to station No. 29 passing through station Nos. 25 to 28 at a distance of 555 links.

The bearings and distance from station to station are as follows :

Station Numbers From	To	F. Bearings in degrees	Distance in Links
2	3	313½	187
3	4	315	187

4	5	293	150
5	6	291	113
6	7	292	278
7	8	225	141
8	9	245	95
9	10	240	142
10	11	236	122
11	12	274	109
12	13	284	100
13	14	280½	120
14	15	279	165
15	16	285	117
16	17	305	100
17	18	27	62
18	19	294	145
19	20	296½	128
20	21	295	107
21	22	303½	131
22	23	331	116
23	24	229	90
24	25	311	100
25	26	317½	123
26	27	304	100
27	28	306	132
28	29	319	100

West :—From station No. 29 the demarcation line runs in the North-east direction to station No.31 at a distance of 200 links passing through Middle station No. 30.

The bearings and distance are as follows :

Station from	Numbers To	F. Bearings in degrees	Distance
29	30	32½	100
30	31	32½	100

Other privileges

1. Free collection of green manure from unreserved trees for the *bonafide* agricultural purpose by tha villagers.
2. Free collection of dry fuel for the *bonafide* purpose by the villagers.
3. Free collection of Muli grass for the *bonafide* use by the villagers.
4. Free grazing of cattle by the villagers.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

E. VENKATAREDDY,
Under Secretary to Government,
Food and Forest Department.

2664—S.O. 755

GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Labour Dispute :—Industrial Dispute between the workmen and the Management of M/s Mangalore Sea Foods, Bunder, Mangalore.

Award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Mangalore, Published.

Read the following papers :—

(1) Letter No.A ID. 26/77-78, dated 28th January 1978 from Shri P. B. Chougule Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Mangalore.

IN THE LABOUR COURT AT MANGALORE.

Dated Saturday the 28th day of January 1978

Reference (ID) (LCM) No. 26 of 1977

Present :

Shri P.B. Chougule, B.A. (Hons.), LL.B.,

Presiding Officer

Between :—

First Party :—

The Workmen represented by Sri M. Madhavan, Puttanna's Compound, Bhat Nagar, Thokkottu, Permannur P. O., Ullal, South Kanara.

and

Second Party :—

The Mangement of M/s. Mangalore Sea Foods, Bunder, Mangalore.

AWARD

The Government of Karnataka by their Order dated 12th December 1977 have referred the following points of Dispute for adjudication to this Court :—

Points of Dispute

1. " Is the Menagement of M/s. Mangalore Sea Foods, Bunder, Mangalore justified in terminating the services of Sri Madhavan, former clerk, with effect from 26th December 1974 ?

2. If not, what are the reliefs that the workmen entitled to ?" Both the parties to the Reference were served with notice. The I Party has appeared while the II Party has remained absent inspite of the service of notice.

2. The case of the I party workmen briefly stated is thus :—

The I Party was working as a clerk under the II party Management from August 1969 onwards. During the period of his service

under the II Party, there were no adverse remarks against him as regards his conduct and the duty assigned to him. In December 1973 the II Party Management intimated the I Party that his sarvices would be treated afresh from 1st January 1974 denying him all the benefits which a senior was entitled to get. The said action of the II party was strongly opposed by the I Party workman. The II party was displeased with the I Party on account of his auction in asserting his rights as a worker. Therefore, the II Purty with the ulterior intention to find fault with him issued a notice dated 2nd March 1974 alleging that the I Party was responsible for defalcation of some amounts in collusion with Mohammed Ali who was in charge of the accounts. The said allegation of the Management was denied by the I Party workman and be requested the Management to check the Cash Registers to find out the innocence of the I Party. But without considering the same, the II Party issued an enquiry notice dated 29th August 1974 requiring the I Party to be present at an enquiry to be held on 30th September 1974. The I Party submitted a representation that he may be permitted to engage a lawyer during the enquiry and also to make available the accounts Clerk Mohammed Ali for cross-examination, but the said request was rejected. Thus, the II Party management without giving fair and proper opportunity to the I Party held on enquiry on 18th December 1974 and by its notice dated 19th December 1974 intimated the I Party that his services were terminated with effect from 26th December 1974. The II Party has not held the enquiry in a proper and fair manner and the enquiry officer appointed by the II Party was prejudiced and biased. Thus, the so called enquiry was nothing but a farce. The II Party. Management has failed to establish its charges against the I Party by holding a fair and proper enquiry. In the circumstances the action the II Party in terminating the services of the I Party is illegal. Hence it is prayed that the I Party workman may be reinstated in service with back wages and other benefits.

3. As already stated, the II Party Management has not appeared even though duly served with the notice of the Reference. In other words, the Management has not contested the claim of the I Party workman. The I Party workman in support of his case has examined himself and has produced 10 documents Ex. W.1 to W.10. The evidence adduced by the workman has remained uncontroverted. From the said evidence it is revealed that the Management did not permit the workman either to engage an advocate or to engage any other person of his choice to represent him during the enquiry. From the evidence, it is also seen that the Management also refused to make available the Accounts Clerk Mahammed Ali for the cross-examination. It is, therefore, clear that proper and fair opportunity was not given to the workman during the enquiry and as such the enquiry is vitiated. The Management has not adduced any evidence to show that proper opportunity was given to the workman to defend

himself in the conduct of the domestic enquiry. From the absence of the Management before this Court, it appears to me that they have no objection to set aside the dismissal order. For the foregoing reasons, I hold that the II Party Management was not justified in terminating the services of the I Party workman. Therefore, the I Party workman is ordered to be reinstated in service with effect from 26th December 1974 with backwages and other benefits to which he is entitled. He is also entitled to costs of Rs. 50. An Award is passed accordingly.

Dictated to the Stenographer, transcribed and typed by him and corrected by me.

Mangalore,
Dated 28-1-1978.

Sd/-.....,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Mangalore.

"COPY"

....,
Presiding Officer.
Labour Court, Mangalore.

Order No. SWL 20 LLA 78

Bangalore, dated 16th/22nd February 1978.

WHEREAS by State Government Order No. SWL 907 LLD 77, dated 16th December 1977, the Industrial Dispute between the workmen and the Management of M/s Mangalore Sea Foods, Bunder, Mangalore was referred to the Labour Court, Mangalore for adjudication;

AND, WHEREAS the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Mangalore has submitted to the State Government its award in respect of the said Industrial Dispute..

NOW, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka are pleased to direct the publication of the said Award as above, in the *Karnataka Gazette.*

By Order and in the name of the President of India,

B. MANAMOHAN,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Departments.

2630—S.O. 756

GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Labour Dispute : Industrial dispute between the workmen and the management of Karnataka Steel and Wire Products Limited, Harinivas, No. 36, Cunningham Road, Bangalore-52.

Award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Bangalore, published.

Read the following papers :

(1) Letter No. 1974/77-78 dated 9th February 1978, from Sri H. Shanmukhappa, Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Bangalore.

BEFORE THE PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, BANGALORE.

Dated this 9th day of February, 1978.

Present

Shri H. Shanmukhappa, B. A , B. L ,

Presiding Officer

Reference No. 3 of 1976.

First Party :—

Sri S. P. Pai, No. 374, Satelite Town, Bangalore-560026.

vs.

Second Party :—

The Management of Karnataka Steel and Wire Products Limited, Harinivas, No. 36 Cunningham Road, Bangalore-52.

Reference ;—Government Order No. SWL 893 LLD 75 dated 3rd January 1976

AWARD

1. The Government of Karnataka in their order No. SWL 893 LLD 75 dated 3rd January, 1976, have referred an industrial dispute existing between the above named parties to this Court for adjudication on the points of dispute noted below :—

"Are the management of M/S Karnataka Steel and Wire products Ltd , Harinivas, No. 36, Cunningham Road, Bangalore-52, justified in terminating the services of Sri S. P. Pai with effect from 1st July 1974 ?

If not, to what relief is the said workmen entitled ?"

2. The First Party filed his claim statement on 20th February 1976, On behalf of the II party only authorisation authorising R and M Associates, Banaglore, to represent the II Party, was filed

on 27th April 1976, but no counter-statement was filed in spite of availing of sufficient time. Further from 22nd March 1977 neither the II Party nor their representatives were present, but remained absent throughout. In the circumstances, I am constrained to proceed under Rule 23 of the Industrial Disputes (Karnataka) Rules, 1957, as if the II Party was present and had been duly represented.

3. The I Party in his claim statement has stated that he was appointed as a Die Pollshing Foreman as per appointment order dated 25th June 1971, He reported for duty on 15th July 1971. His consolidated wages were Rs 525 per month. He was on probation for a period of six months as per the appointment order. He was continued in service after six months and was given an annual increment of Rs. 50 at the end of six months from 1st January 1972. He was also given further increment of Rs. 75 w. e. f. 1st Julv 1972 and a further increment of Rs. 100 w. e. f. 1st July 1973. His services were illegally terminated w. e. f 1st July 1974 without any justifiable reason or Cause. He was previously employed in M/s Special Steels Limited, Bombay and was an experienced person. On account rosy picture painted by the II Party concern. he had left his earlier job and had joined the II Party. As long as one Sri J. G. Vijapure, Works Manager was in II Party, the things were smooth, but after Vijapur resigned, the management took a hostile attitude and all of a sudden I Party's services were terminated w. e. f. 1st July 1974 as per the termination order issued by the Managing Director. The action of the management amounts to unfair labour practice. No charge-sheet was served on him ; no enquiry was held and he was not at all given any opportunity before his termination. Hence the termination of I Party is unjust and illegal. Therefore, he prays for his reinstatement with continuity of service and full back wages.

4. The points of dispute referred for adjudication are taken as Issues in this Reference.

5. The burden was upon the II Party to prove that they were justified in terminating the services of I Party w.e.f 1st July 1974. The II Party has failed to discharge this burden. They simply remained absent. They even did not care to file the counter-statement.

6. On the other hand, the I Party workman got himself examined as W.W.1 and marked Exhibits W.1 to W.6. His evidence is to the effect that he had joined the II Party on 15th July 1971 as a Supervisor on a consolidated wages Rs. 525/- p. m. Before that he was working in M/s Special Steel Ltd., Bombay. When he was employed there, one Mr. Vijapuri, the then Works Manager of II Party, persuaded him to go to Bangalore and join the II Party. At Bombay he had a permanent job. However, because of the rosy picture painted by the II Party he had joined the II Party. He was given an increment of Rs. 50/- after six months he had joined

the II Party. Agáin he had received an increment of Rs 50/- to Rs. 75/- in the year 1972. In 1973 the increment was increased to Rs. 100/-. Though he was supervisor, he was not supervising anybody's work. After the resignation of Mr. Vijapuri, the II Party harassed the workmen. The II Party had never issued any charge-sheet to him; no enquiry was held; but he was terminated as per Ex. W.1. He had issued lawyer's notice as per Ex. W 2. demanding work. Ex. W.3 is the reply notice of the management. He had raised conciliation proceedings as per Ex. W·4. Ex. W. 5 is the management's statement before the conciliation officer. Ex. W.6 is his appointment order. He prayed for his reinstatement with all consequential benefits.

7. I have no reason to disbelieve the evidence of W.W.1 which stands unrebutted and also supported by documents Ex. W.1 to W.6. The burden was upon the management to prove that they were justified in terminating the services of the I Party workman w. e. f. 1st July 1974, but they have failed to discharge the same. On the other hand, the I Party has proved that he was removed from service by the II Party without any valid reason whatsoever. There was no charges alleged against the I Party; there was no enquiry, but the I Party was abruptly terminated from service without assigning any valid reasons. Such an action on the part of the II Party amounts to unfair labour practice and connot be justified. I, therefore, hold that the II Party was not justified in terminating the services of the I Party workman w. e. f. 1st July 1974. It therefore follows that the I Party workman is entitled to his reinstatement with continuity of service and back wages. Hence my award.

AWARD

8. In the result, the Reference is answered as follows: The Management of M/s Karnataka Steel and Wire Products Limited, Harinivas, No. 36, Cunningham Road, Bangalore–52, were not justsfied in terminating the services of Sri S. P. Pai with effect from 1st July 1974. Sri S. P. Pai, I Party workman, is entitled to his reinstatement with continuity of service and back wages. No order as to costs.

H. SHANMUKHAPPA,

Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Bangalore.

/ COPY /

H. SHANMUKHAPPA,

Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Bangalore.

Order No. SWL 51 LLA 78.

Bangalore, dated 13th March 1978.

Whereas by State Government Order No. SWL 893 LLD 75 dated 3rd January 1976 the Industrial dispute between the workmen and the management of Karnataka Steel and Wire Products Ltd., Harinivas, No. 36, Cunningham Road, Bangalore-52, was referred to the Labour-Court, Bangalore for adjudication.

And, whereas the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Bangalore has submitted to the State Government its award in respect of the said Industrial Dispute.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnatnka are pleased to direct the publication of the said award as above, in the *Karnataka Gazette*.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

B. MANAMOHAN,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare & Labour Department.

2701—S. O. 757

GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Labour Dispute.— Industrial dispute between the workmen and the management of Widia (India) Ltd., Tumkur Road, Bangalore-22.

Award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Bangalore published.

Read the following papers:—

(1) Letter No. 1649/77-78, dated 2nd January 1978 from Shri H. Shanmukhappa, Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Bangalore.

BEFORE THE PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, BANGALORE.

Dated this 2nd day of January, 1978.

PRESENT :

Shri H. Shanmukhappa, B.A. B.L.,
Presiding Officer

Reference No. 32 of 1976.

First Party :

V. Baskar, s/o Sri Veerachar, Avalahalli, G.E.F. Post, Mysore Road, Bangalore-26.

Vs.

Second Party :

The Management of Widia (India) Ltd., Tumkur Road, Bangalore-22.

Reference :

Government Order No. SWL 26 LLD 76, dated 27th January 1976.

AWARD

1. The Government of Karnataka in their order No. SWL 26 LLD 76 dated 27th January, 1976, have referred an industrial dispute existing between the above named parties to this Court for adjudication on the points of dispute noted below :—

"I. Are the management of Widia (India) Limited, Bangalore-22, justified in terminating the services of Sri V. Bashkar, I.No. 520 with effect from 9th October 1975 ?

II. If not, to what relief (s) is the said workmen entitled ?"

2. On receipt of reference, it was registered as Reference No. 32 of 1976 and notices were issued to both the parties. The Registered Notice issued to the First Party duly served on him. Then on 25th February 1976 the I Party has filed memo of authorisation authorising one Sri H. Basava Raju, Advocate and President of Trade Unions of Metro-malleable Manufactures (P) Ltd., to appear on behalf of him. But inspite of sufficient time granted to the I Party, he has not filed his claim statement. Further from 15th February 1977 and onwards neither the I Party nor his representative put in appearance before this Court, but chose to remain absent continuously and throughout. However, one more Registered notice was issued to the I Party. That registered notice returned unserved with a postal endorsement "party left without instruction. Retd. to sender." Therefore, I am constrained to proceed under Rule 23 of the Industrial Disputes (Karnataka) Rules, 1957, as if the I Party was present and had been duly represented.

3. On the other hand, the II Party was present. They have filed their statement on 26th March 1977 saying that the I Party has not at all filed his claim statement in spite of availing of sufficient time and opportunity and this shows that he is not interested in prosecuting this dispute and therefore the reference is liable for dismissal. It is further stated that the I party was charge-sheeted on 23rd June 1975 ; two days later one more charge-sheet was issued to him ; charges were separately enquired into by an independent enquiry officer appointed by the management. The workman attended both the enquiries and fully participated. The enquiries were conducted fairly and properly. The enquiry officer gave his findings that the charges were established against the I Party at the enquiry. Therefore considering the gravity and seriousness of the misconducts

proved against the workman, the management ordered his termination from service and therefore the II Party is perfectly justified in terminating the services of I Party and there are no ground for interference in the same. Hence the Reference may be dismissed.

4 Further, the II Party has examined one T. L. Ananda, liaison Officer as M. W. I. He has stated that he deal with the personnels matters. He knows I Party workman. He says that I Party had printed certain defamatory matter against the management and published them and also distributed the same among the workers in the factory M. W-1 further stated that the I party is also the editor. publisher and printer of Kannada Weekly called "Trivarna" and the defamatory matters regarding the II Party had been printed in that weekly dated 7th June 1975. Therefore they issued I Party charge sheet and conducted domestic enquiry, wherein I Party participated. The enquiry was conducted by one Mr. A. Y. N Gupta, a practising Advocate. The enquiry officer submitted his enquiry report holding I party guilty of the charges levelled against him. Ex. M-I are the enquiry records pertaining to the enquiry. He further says that when the Jst charge sheet was issued to I Party on 23rd June 1975. on' the same night at about 8 p. m. I Party went to his (M.W-1's) house and threatened him to withdraw the charge-sheet. He had lodged a complaint to the police, and informed the company secretary A second enquiry was also held by Sri A. Y. N. Gupta, wherein also the enquiry officer found I Party guilty of the charges levelled against him. Accepting the findings, the I party was dismissed from their service. Ex. M-2 are the records pertaining to the 2nd enquiry. He has also stated that the I Party did not make any demand over the management, but straight away approached the conciliation officer. Hence he prays for upholding the action of the II Party in dismissing the I party from service.

5 I have no reason to disbelieve the version of M. W-1 which stands unrebutted. I have also persued Ex· M-1 and M-2 enquiry proceedings and found that the enquiries have been conducted in a fair and proper manner. · Besides, the I party has not at all shown any interest in the case. He has remained absent and he has not even filed his claim statement. In the circumstances· I am of the opinion that the Reference is liable for rejection.

6 In the result, I pass an award rejecting the Reference. The I party workman is not entitled to any relief. No order as to costs.

H. SHANMUKHAPPA,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Bangalore.

"Copy"

H. SHANMUKHAPPA,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Bangalore.

Order No. SWL 37 LLA 78.

Bangalore, dated 16th/22nd February 1978.

Whereas, by State Government Order No. SWL 26 LLD 76, dated 27th January 1976 the Industrial Dispute between the workmen and the Management of Widia (India) Ltd., Tumkur Road, Bangalore-22, was referred to the Labour Court, Bangalore for adjudication.

And whereas, the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Bangalore, has submitted to the State Government its award in respect of the said Industrial Dispute.

Now therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka are pleased to direct the publication of the said award as above, in the *Karnataka Gazette*.

By Order and in the name of the President of India,

B. MANAMOHAN,

Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

2644—S. O. 759

FOOD AND FOREST SECRETARIAT

Notification No. AFD94 FAF 77

Bangalore dated 3rd March 1978

In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 4 of the Karnataka Forest Act 1963 (Karnataka Act V of 1964) the Government of Karnataka hereby.—

(i) declares that it has been decided to constitute the land in the Balpa village of Sullia Taluk of South Kanara District the situation and limits of which are specified in the Schedule below, as reserved forest;

(ii) appoints the Forest Settlement Officer, South Kanara, Mangalore to be the Forest Settlement Officer for purposes of clause (c) of sub-section (i) of the said section, and

(iii) appoints the Divisional Forest Officer, Mangalore Division, Mangalore to represent the State Government in the inquiry by the said Forest Settlement Officer.

SCHEDULE

Name of the District	...	South Kanara
Name of the Taluk	...	Sullia
Name of the Village	...	Balpa

Survey field Nos.	...	221/1
Name of the block	...	Balpa R.F. extension Block
Area of the Block	...	51.80 hectares (128.00 acres)

Boundary description

North:—Starting from station No. 168, which is a point situated at the newly constructed cairn No. 168, the demarcation line of the block runs South-easterly direction to a distance of 275 links to station No. 169. Thence it runs in North easterly direction for a distance of 230 links to reach station No. 170. Thence it runs in South westerly direction to station No. 172 over a distance of 575 links passing through middle station No. 171. Then runs in South Easterly direction to station No. 173 over a distance of 550 links. Afterwards in runs in nearly eastern direction to station No. 174 over a distance of 407 links. Then runs in northern directon to station No. 175 over a distance of 506 links. Then after the 'D' line runs in South easterly direction to station No. 17 over a distance of 120 links. Then runs in North easterly direction to station No. 177 over a distance of 815 links passing through station No. 176 and 176A. Then runs in South westerly direction to a station No. 179 over a distance of 362 links passing through station No. 178. From there it runs in South Easterly direction for a distance of 657 links to reach station No. 181 passing through the middle of station No. 180. Therefrom it runs in Southern direction for a distance of 225 links to station No. 182. Thereafter it runs in South Easterly direction for a distance of 495 links to station No. 183. Thence it runs in South westerly direction for a distance of 430 links to reach station No. 185 passing through the middle station No. 184. Therefrom it runs in North westerly direction for a distance of 791 links to reach station No. 187 passing through the middle of station No. 186, Thence it runs in South-westerly direction for a distence of 1096 links to reach station No. 190 passing through the intermediate stations 188 and 189. Therefrom it runs in south easterly direction to a distance of 3404 links to reach station No. 198 passing through the intermediate station Nos. 191 to 197. Thereafter the demarcration line runs in North-easterly direction for a distance of 2409 links to reach station No. 205 passing through the intermediate station Nos. 199 to 204, From there it runs in South-easterly direction to a distance of 524 links to reach station No. 207 passing through the middle station No. 206. Thence it runs in North easterly direction for a distance of 377 links to station No. 209 passing through the middle station No. 208. Thereafter it runs in North In North westerly direction for a distance of 1327 links to reach station No. 214passing through the intermediate station Nos. 210 to 213. Thence itruns in North easterly direction for a distance of 142 links to section No 215. Afterwards it runs in South easterly direction for a distance of 1650 links to station No. 220 passing through intermediate station No. 216 to 219.

Thence it runs in North easterly direction for a distance of 502 links to reach station No. 222 passing through the middle station No. 221. From there it runs in Northern direction for a distance of 242 links to station No. 223. Thence it runs almost in eastern direction for a distance of 692 links to station No. 225 passing through the middle station No. 224. Thence again it runs in North easterly direction for a distance of 623 links to station No. 227 passing through the Middle station No. 226. There from it runs in North-West direction for a distance of 1747 links to reach station No. 231 passing through the intermediate station Nos. 228 to 230. From there it runs again in western direction for a distance of 173 links to station No. 232. Thence it runs once again in North-westerly direction to a distance of 2464 links to station No. 235 which is point situated at the cairn No. 15 of Balpa Reserved Forest passing through the intermediate station Nos. 233 and 234.

The bearings and distances from stations to station are as follows :—

Station From	Numbers To	Bearings in F. B.	Distance in links
1	2	3	4
168	169	117	275
169	170	67	230
170	171	194½	313
171	172	222½	262
172	173	169	550
173	174	30½	407
174	175	0	506
175	175A	145½	120
175A	176	37½	118
176	176A	40	321
176A	177	76	376
177	178	205	162
178	179	196.30	200
179	180	162	450
180	181	94.30	207
181	182	180	225
182	183	159	495
183	184	211	220
184	185	225.30	210
185	186	280	140
186	187	284.30	651
187	188	255	533
188	189	212	237
189	190	216	326
190	191	165	800

1	2	3	4
191	192	148	654
192	193	132	570
193	194	171	220
194	195	104.30	335
195	196	102	190
196	197	102.30	305
197	198	100.30	330
198	199	2.00	485
199	200	17.30	446
200	201	50.00	350
201	202	35.30	310
202	203	37.30	198
203	204	83.00	360
204	205	89.00	260
205	206	110	347
206	207	113	177
207	208	63	157
208	209	10	220
209	210	355.30	305
210	211	338.30	224
211	212	348	188
212	213	348	350
213	214	358	260
214	215	52.30	142
215	216	110	318
216	217	128	540
217	218	96.30	160
218	219	103.30	207
219	220	93.30	425
220	221	53	130
221	222	38	372
222	223	359	242
223	224	87	414
224	225	90	278
225	226	9.30	413
226	227	9.00	210
227	228	327.30	420
228	229	335.30	490
229	230	286	357
230	231	346	480
231	232	269	173
232	233	282 30	182
233	234	324	588
234	235	357	1694

East :—From station No. 235 which is a point situated at the cairn No 15 of Balpa Reserve Forest the demarcation line of the block runs in South easterly direction for a distance of 5 97 links to station No. 248 passing through the intermediate station Nos. 236 to 247 along the Reserved Forest line Thence it runs in South westerly direction for a distance of 1130 links to station No. 249 along the Reserved Forest line. Thence along the Reserved Forest line it runs in North west direction for a distance of 9 20 links to station No. 251 passing through the middle station No. 250 Thereafter it runs in South westerly direction to a distance of 433 links to station No. 252. Then it runs almost western direction to station No. 254 over a distance of 970 links passing through the middle station No. 253. There onwards it runs again in South westerly direction for a distance of 1226 links to reach station No 256 passing through the middle station No. 255. Thence it runs in North-westerly direction for a distance of 1176 links to station No. 258 passing through the Middle station No. 257. Afterwards it runs in south westerly direction for a distance of 1875 links to station No. 261 passing through the intermediate station No. 259 and 260. Thence it runs in North west direction for a distance of 3120 links to reach station No 265 passing through the intermediate station Nos 262 to 264 . Thence it runs in South westerly direction to a distance of 3036 links to reach station No. 271 passing through the intermediate station Nos. 266 to 270. Afterwards it runs in South easterly direction for a distance of 1761 links to reach station No. 273 passing through the middle station No. 272 along the Reserved Forest line. From there it runs in south westerly direction for a distance of 2742 links to station No. 277 which is a point situated at the Balpa Reserved Forest cairn No. 1 passing through the intermediate station No. 274 to 276 along the Reserved Forest line.

Bearings and distance from station to station are as follows;

Stations From	To	Bearings in F. B.	Distances in links
235	236	164.30	600
236	237	164.30	1025
237	238	166.00	510
238	239	109	800
239	240	122.30	316
240	241	119.30	372
241	242	137	170
242	243	146.30	110
243	244	156 30	375
244	245	169.30	290
245	246	163 00	135
246	247	152.00	338

Station Numbers From	To	F. Bearings in degrees	Distances in links
247	248	155	326
248	249	208	1130
249	250	276.30	540
250	251	2?8	380
251	252	259	433
252	253	273.30	520
253	254	268	450
254	255	197	1061
255	256	209	165
256	257	279	734
257	258	281.30	442
258	259	208	500
259	260	208	529
260	261	197	846
261	262	284.30	615
262	263	289	850
263	264	321.30	585
264	265	322	1070
265	266	199.30	340
266	267	207	427
267	268	204.30	300
268	269	197.30	900
269	270	227.30	559
270	271	229.00	510
271	272	147.30	770
272	273	140	991
273	274	197	785
274	275	199	990
275	276	190.30	400
276	277	207	567

South: From station 277 (R. F. C1) the demarcation line of the block runs in almost west direction for a distance of 320 links to stat on No. 278 which is a point situated at the Balpa R. F. cairn. From there it runs in North westerly direction for a distance of 790 links to Station No. 280 (cairn No. 236 of the block) passing through the middle station No. 279. Thence it runs in North easterly direction for a distance of 589 links to station No. 282 passing through the middle station No. 281. Afterwards it runs in North westerly direction for a distance of 883 links to station No. 285 passing through the intermediate station No. 283 and 284. Hence it runs in South westerly direction for a distance of 812 links to station No. 287 passing through the middle station No. 286. From

there it runs in South easterly direction for a distance of 759 links to station No 289 passing through the middle station No. 288. Thence it runs in south westerly direction for a distance of 475 links to reach station No. 290.

Bearings and distances from station to station are as follows:

Station Numbers From	To	Bearings in degrees in F. B.	Distance in links
277	278	274	320
278	279	357.30	425
279	280	335	365
280	281	67.30	245
281	282	47.30	344
282	2 3	330	387
283	284	331.30	192
284	285	331.30	310
285	286	231.30	640
286	287	223	172
287	288	170	409
288	289	159	350
289	290	191	476

West: From station No. 290 the demarcation line of the block runs in North westerly direction for a distance of 758 links to reach station No. 294 passing through the intermediate station Nos. 291 to 293. Thence it runs in the north easterly direction for a distance of 348 links to station No. 296 passing through the middle station No. 295. Then the line of the block runs in North westerly direction for a distance of 1930 links to reach station No. 303 passing through the intermediate station No. 297 to 302. From there it runs in North easterly direction for a distance of 241 links to station No. 304. Afterwards it runs in south easterly direction for a distance of 927 links to reach station No 308 passing through the intermediate station Nos. 305 to 307 From there it runs in South westerly direction for a distance of 223 links to station No 309. Therefrom it runs in South easterly direction for a distance of 250 links to station No 310. Thence it runs in North easterly direction for a distance of 1168 links to station No. 314 passing through the intermediate station Nos 311 to 313. Thence it runs in North westerly direction for a distance of 451 links to station No. 316 passing through the middle station No. 315 Thereafter it runs in North easterly direction for a distance of 516 links to station No 318 passing through the middle station No. 317 Then onwards it runs in North westerly direction for a distance of 326 links to station No. 319. Thence it runs in North easterly direction for a distance of 1817

links to reach station No. 328 passing through the intermediate station Nos. 320 to 327. Afterwards it runs in North west direction to a distance of 367 links to reach station No 330 passing through the intermediate station No. 329. Then it runs in northern direction to station No. 331 over a distance of 115 links. Thence the demarcation line runs in north eastern direction to a distance of 935 links to reach station No. 335 passing through intermediate station Nos 332 to 334. Thence it runs in North westerly direction for a distance of 197 links to station No. 336. From there it runs in almost northern direction for a distance of 400 links to station No. 338 passing through the middle station No. 337. Thereafter it runs in North westerly direction for a distance of 260 links to station No. 339. Thence it runs in south westerly direction for a distance of 212 links to station No. 340. From there it runs in North west direction for a distance of 254 links to station No. 341 (Cairn No. 297) Thereafter it runs in North easterly direction for a distance of 550 links to station No. 157 From there it runs in south easterly direction for a distance of 875 links to reach station No 159 passing through the middle station No. 158. Thence it runs in North easterly direction for a distance of 581 links to reach station No 161 passing through the middle station No. 160. Thereafter it runs in North westerly direction to a distance of 1520 links to reach station No. 166, passing through the intermediate station No. 162 to 165. Thence finally it runs in North easterly direction for a distance of 493 links to station No. 168 passing through the middle station No. 167.

Bearings and distance from station to station are as follows:—

Station From	Numbers To	Bearings in F. B.	Distance in links
1	2	3.	4
290	291	313	258
291	292	313	120
292	293	313	160
293	294	315	220
294	295	66	200
295	296	28	148
296	297	324.30	297
297	298	327	214
298	299	325.30	209
299	300	340	367
300	301	327.30	295
301	302	321	348
302	303	326	230

1	2	3	4
303	304	230	241
304	305	110	470
305	306	138.30	130
306	307	144.30	142
307	308	142	185
308	309	190	223
309	310	143	250
310	311	58	475
311	312	89	180
312	313	78.30	178
313	314	30	335
314	315	348	225
315	316	359	226
316	317	23	342
317	318	12.30	174
318	319	339.30	326
319	320	15.00	150
320	321	48	375
321	322	31 30	220
322	323	15.30	163
323	3.4	50	175
324	325	39	234
325	326	21	113
326	327	19.30	153
327	328	15	234
328	329	357	155
329	330	347	212
330	331	360	115
331	332	17	257
332	333	25	129
333	334	32.30	360
334	335	7.30	189
335	336	321.30	197
336	337	2.00	210
337	338	1.00	190
338	339	340.30	260
339	340	220.30	212
340	341	281	254
341	157	13	550
157	158	129	525
158	159	124	350
159	160	51	237
160	161	55.30	344
161	162	341	316

1	2	3	4
162	163	338.30	165
163	164	340	3 0
164	165	345	220
165	166	359.30	439
166	167	48.30	300
167	168	39	193
			Traverse closes

Other privileges.

1. Free grazing of cattle by villagers.
2. Free removal of Green leaves for the bonafide use of the ryots.
3. Free removal of dry fuel for bonafide use of the villagers;
4. Free removal of Muligrass for the bonafide use of the villagers;

Public Right of way.

1. A cart track coming from Kenya enters the block in between cairn No. 171–172 and passes out in between station No. 176–177 and again it enters the block once again in between cairn No. 181–182 and goes out of the block in between cairn No. 186–187 and again enters the block in between cairn Nos. 192–193 and goes east and goes through the Reserved Forest and joins the main road leading from Panja to Subramanya.

2. And a foot path starts from P.R.W. I and goes inside the block in between R.F.C. 269-268 and goes outside the block in between cairn No. 253–252 and then goes and joins the main road.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

E. VENKATA REDDY,

Under Secretary to Government,
Food and Forest Department.

2663—S. O. 760

GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR DEPARTMENT

Labour Dispute :—Industrial dispute between the workmen and the Management of Mysore Acetate and Chemicals Company Ltd.' Mysugar Buildings, J. C. Road, Bangalore-2.

Award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Bangalore published.

Read the following papers ;

(1) Letter No. 1643/77-78, dated 3rd January 1978 from Shri H. Shanmukhappa, Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Bangalore.

BEFORE THE PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, BANGALORE.

Dated this 2nd day of January, 1978

Present :

Shri H. Shanmukhappa, B.A., B.L.

Presiding Officer

REFERENCE No. 94 of 1977

First Party :—

Miss R. Samuel, 97-D, Brigade Road, Bangalore-25.

Second Party :— *Vs.*

The Management of Mysore Acetate & Chemicals Co., Ltd., Mysugar Buildings, J. C. Road, Bangalore-2.

REFERENCE :

Government Order No. SWL 649 LLD 77, dated 1st September 1977.

2nd January 1978 :—Both the parties have field a Joint Memo of Settlement repoeting settlement of the dispute and prayed for an Award in terms of the settlement. I have perused the terms of the settlement and found them to be fair and reasonable. Hence, I accept the same and in the result, pass the following Award.

AWARD

An Award is passed in terms of the settlement Memo which shall form part of the Award. No costs.

H. SHANMUKHAPPA,
Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Bangalore.

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE LABOUR COURT AT BANGALORE.

Reference No. 94 of 1977

I Party :—

Miss. R. Samuel, 97-D, Brigade Road, Bangalore-25.

II Party :— *Vs.*

M/s Mysore Acetate and Chemicals Co., Ltd., J. C. Road, Bangalore-2.

Joint Memo of Settlement Filed by Parties.

Both the parties to the above dispute submit that they have settled the matter amicably between themselves on the following terms :—

1. The I Party accepts that she tendered her resignation for her post in the second party and that the same had been accepted by the Management of II Party.

2 The II Party has agreed to pay to the I Party a sum equivalent to her three months' salary at the rates last drawn and further the gratuity amount to which she is entitled under law, in full and final settlement of her accounts including in satisfaction of her claim for reinstatement with back wages etc.,

3 The I Party has agreed to accept the above payment in full and final settlement of all her accounts with the second party including reinstatement with back wages etc.,

4. It is agreed that with this payment the relationship of employer and employee between the parties is completely severed as from the date of her resignation *i.e.* 8th September 1976.

Both the parties pray that the Hon'ble Court may be pleased to pass an award in terms of this memorandum of settlement without costs.

Dated at Bangalore this 22nd December 1977.

I Party :— *II Party* :—

1. Miss. R. Samuel.
2. A. V. SRINIVAS,
Advocate for I Party.

1. Secretary
2. B. C. PRABHAKAR,
Authorised Representative of II Party.

Order No. SWL 28 LLA 78

Bangalore, dated 16th/22nd February 1978.

Whereas by State Government Order No. SWL 649 LLD 77, dated 1st September 1977, the industrial dispute between the workmen and the management of Mysore Acetate and Chemicals Company Ltd., Mysugar Buildings, J. C. Road, Bangalore-2, was referred to the Labour Court, Bangalore, for adjudication.

And whereas the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Bangalore, has submitted to the State Government its award in respect of the said industrial dispute.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka are pleased to direct the publication of the said award as above, in the *Karnataka Gazette*.

By Order and in the name of the President of India,

B. MANAMOHAN
Under Secretary to Government
Social Welfare and Labour Department

2637—S. O. 761

REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Notification No. RDN 58 TRM 77 (B)

Bangalore, dated 13th March 1978.

Whereas there is failure of crops in the villages specified in column (2) of the Schedule below, owing to inadequate rainfall and the average cropwise yield per acre is less than twenty-five percent in the said villages;

And whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it necessary to remit the whole of the land revenue payable for the year 1976-77 in respect of lands in the said villages;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by item (ii) of sub-section (1) of Section 194 of the Karnataka Land Revenue Act, 1964 (Karnataka Act 12 of 1964), read with Rule 147-C(i) of the Karnataka Land Revenue Rules 1966, the Government of Karnataka, hereby remits the whole of the land revenue of Rs. 2,17,212-59 payable for the year 1976-77 in respect of the lands in 396 villages specified in column (2) of the Schedule below situated in Hassan Taluk of Hassan District.

SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Villages	Land Revenue
1	2	3
	Kasaba Hobly	
		Rs. Ps
1	Channapatna ...	460-64
2	Sankalapura ...	557-00
3	Bommanayakanahalli ...	641-17
4	Nagathavally ...	486-37
5	Kokkanagatta ...	878-60
6	Agalahally ...	404-95
7	Mugalur ...	1,078-93
8	Nanjedevarakaval ...	1,488-01
9	Varthikere ...	439-32
10	Chikkagondagula ...	1,058-66
11	Aralahalli ...	458-41
12	Adavally ...	734-07
13	Thammalapura ...	701-86
14	Javenahalli ...	194-24
15	Beernahalli ...	—
16	Jodithattekere ...	697-06
17	Bidarakere ...	763-50
18	Bittagondanahalli ...	514-13
19	Singapatna ...	481-97

1	2		3
20	Margonahalli	...	207 67
21	Hullanahalli	...	194-21
22	Narihalli	...	333-01
23	Thattekere S.	...	182-60
24	Haluvagilu	...	1,412-02
25	Anniganahalli	...	379-38
26	Chikkamandiganahalli	...	261-13
27	Guddenahalli	...	538-18
28	Gavenahalli	...	576-13
29	Bustenahally	...	244-01
30	Kasturavally	...	196-03
31	Kenchatavally	...	403-99
32	Gekaravally	...	290-74
33	Samudravalli	...	187-20
34	Doddakondagula	...	1,062-63
35	Konchamaranahalli	...	725-20
36	Chittanahalli	...	376-07
37	Tejur	...	504-04
38	Hachagowdanahalli	...	441-83
39	Karahalli	...	690-69
40	Uddur	...	718-88
41	Rachenahalli	...	329-72
42	Gyarahalli	...	166-82
43	Mavinahalli	...	404-03
44	Huyagodanahalli	...	337-05
45	Nidudi	...	755-24
46	Doddapura	...	1,302-46
47	Yadivur	...	667-39
48	Doddahonnenahalli	...	327-24
49	Chikkhonnenahalli	...	370-87
50	Hassan	...	1,025-69
51	Kuppahally	...	447-65
52	Janivara	...	326-45
53	Hoovinahalli	..	386-68
54	Hoovinahalli Kaval	...	1,701-44
55	Boovanahally	...	1,470-82
56	Lakshmisagara	...	544-81
57	Kandali	...	591-09
58	Echalahally	...	454-87
59	Yatthinakatte	...	204-06
60	Devarayapatna	...	416-66
61	Anachinhalli	...	293-17
62	Thimmanahally	...	315-77
63	D. Mandinahally	...	324-19
64	Handinakere	...	3,008-17

1	2		3
65	Agile	..	809–39
66	Kabbinahally	..	365–93
67	Perumenahally	...	865-31
68	Hanumanthapura	..	615–12
69	Doddabaganahalli	...	360–13
70	Aereborekaval	...	245–63
71	B. Kathihally	...	709–41
72	Saneknahally	...	746-27
73	Sathyavangala	...	1,073-87
	Kattaya Hobli		
74	Aukapura	...	388–42
75	Channangahally	...	1,315-49
76	Kabbethi	...	608-50
77	Maranayakanahalli	...	246–82
78	Beegoor	...	406–92
79	Channapura	...	263–14
80	Kuradbhorekaval	...	244–28
81	Beenahally	...	37-73
82	Shankarenahalli	...	358–22
83	Gadanahally	...	299–80
84	Belagunda	...	538-07
85	Kattahosahally	...	175-85
86	Honnenahally	...	43 40
87	Kattaya	...	2,137-48
88	Muddanahally	...	1,726–53
89	Bommenahally	...	676–04
90	Honganahally	...	307–00
91	Kattayakaval	...	97-34
92	Malladevarapura	...	814–64
93	Jodimallappanahalli	...	305–24
94	Santhegarahalli	...	529–56
95	M. Hosahally	...	463–97
96	Mukkundur	...	679–28
97	Byadarahalli	...	919–73
98	Jinnenahalli	...	219–36
99	Ankanahalli	...	426–61
100	Dasalapura	...	231-30
101	Varadlapura	...	376–18
102	Beekanahalli	...	424–72
103	Padamanahally	...	183–33
104	Anjanapura	...	390–67
105	Kodaramanahally	...	218–24
106	Chowdavally	...	643–62
107	Hanuvanahally Kaval	...	1,738–83
108	Seedalahosahally	...	297–53

1	2		3
109	Changaravally	...	1070-33
110	Gondakaval	...	137-49
111	Uramudnahosahalli	...	225-13
112	Settihally	...	1440-08
113	Tarmaganahalli	...	203-00
114	Madebally	...	316-08
115	Muttanahally	...	247-20
116	Karle	...	710-55
117	Nayakarahalli	...	123-87
118	Karlekaval	...	1164-72
119	Kallahalli	...	1385-38
120	Ballekere	....	889-69
121	Rahuthanahalli	...	164-80
122	Udavare	...	1292-05
123	Chicknaikanahalli	...	322-80
124	Hiregowdanahalli	...	277-85
125	Ramagatta	...	124-25
126	Hvrane	...	647-84
127	Bachihalli	...	489-44
128	Hulihalli	...	628 60
129	Chikkabaganahalli	...	274-35
130	Dundanayakanahalli	...	756-76
131	Siradaborekaval	...	228-50
132	Malligaval	..	660-18
133	Doranahosahalli	...	371-28
134	Doranahalli	...	706-86
135	Banavase	...	581-30
136	Tagatcor	...	168-46
137	Averahalli	...	430-63
138	Bevihalli	—	424-80
139	Gorur	...	239-72
140	Golenahalli	—	406-32
141	Mallappanahalli	...	642-93
142	Gopanahalli	...	331-29
143	Javanahalli	....	369-74
144	Parasanahalli	...	465-36
145	Virupanahalli	...	181-70
146	Kithane	...	481-02
147	Jakkanahalli	...	374 48
148	Uppahalli	...	466-33
149	Manigansahalli	...	267-70
150	Modarahalli	...	298-35
	Santhigrama Hobli		
151	Shantigrama	...	2,880-34
152	Ranganathapura	...	465-44

1	2		3
153	Holalu	...	1,168-81
154.	Mellahalli	...	289-18
155	Hosur	...	202-37
156	Devihalli	...	397-92
157	Bengatte	...	311-80
158	Addihalli	...	339-81
159	Honger	...	1,892-52
160	Mallanayakanahalli	...	285-25
161	Bandrhalli	...	322-00
162	Halahihalli	...	278-92
163	Malalikaval	...	494-94
164	Ankanahalli	...	262-82
165	Hongerekaval	...	230-94
166	Madenur	...	1,552-90
167	K. Byadarahalli	...	912-51
168	Muddenahalli	...	427-14
169	K. Aladahalli	...	462-43
170.	K. Byadarahalli Kaval	...	132-24
171	Karekere	...	609-10
172	Hittaladahalli	...	655-95
173	Ballenahalli	...	197-80
174	Junanahalli	...	366-45
175	Jagaravalli	...	584-35
176	Bijamaranahalli	...	261-05
177	B: Hosahalli	...	299-38
178	Melagodanahalli	...	179-17
179	Nellakkihalli	...	293-61
180	Markuli	...	1,879-07
181	Huluvare	...	741-69
182	M. Hosahalli	...	594-17
183	Doddamallenahalli	...	242-57
184	Hanchihalli	...	582-04
185	Chikkamallenahalli	...	212-15
186	Kurubarahalli	...	242-96
187	Halenahalli	...	252-66
188	Kallenahalli	...	585-71
189	Manikaval	...	334-39
190	M. Hirihally	...	449-66
191	Dummanagere	...	347-16
192	Nagenahally	...	544-18
193	Bentrahally	...	322-83
194	Danayakanahally	...	551-87
195	Kallaguduganahalli	...	194-69
196	Muthige	...	804-87
197	Marenahalli	...	192-19
198	Hesuvinabettikaval	...	243-94

1	2		3
199	Mosale	..	927-81
200	Guddatheranya	...	1,206-92
201	Thimmanahally	...	114-02
202	Ammagodanahalli	...	715-78
203	Kowshika	...	1,687-91
204	Hiribally	...	492-70
205	Chikkabasavanahalli	...	316-33
206	Doddaladahally	...	942-27
207	Chigahally	...	910-91
208	Guddelosahalli	...	79-20
209	Ambuga	...	1,093-41
210	Bastihally	...	200-96
211	Guduganahally	...	453-12
212	Banavase	...	420-57
213	Narayanapura	...	260-03
214	Sathenahalli	...	609-53
215	Kanchanahally	...	1,210-65
216	Samudravalli	...	512-96
217	Ganigarahosaballi	...	220-33
218	Kanthagowdanahalli	...	512-09
219	Doddagenergere	..	638-59
220	Chikkagenergere	...	389-94
221	Doddahonnenahalli	...	615-84
222	Doddabasavanahalli	...	820-82
223	Kanchanayakanahalli	...	448-30
224	Tyavihalli	...	315-33
225	Upparahosahalli	..	479-82
226	Heggadihalli	...	510-58
227	Perumenahalli	..	522-17
228	Savanthanahalli	...	566-13
229	Kerekaval	...	—
230	Hanchiballi Kaval	...	—
231	Mallappanabettakaval	...	—
	Dudda Hobli		
232	Dudda	...	1,624-45
233	Mayasamudra	...	741-32
234	Honnavanahally	...	122-34
235	Bommenahalli	...	940-42
236	B. Devihalli	...	421-94
237	Chikkakadlur	...	1,713-60
238	Uddurahalli	...	509-69
239	Attavara	...	1,152-77
240	Thimmalapura	...	225-46
241	Rudradevarahalli	...	153-56
242	Channamanahalli	...	186-79

1	2		3
243	A. Hosahalli	...	945-65
244	Mudigere	...	353-54
245	Kithanikere	...	1,159-73
246	Siddapura	...	426-97
247	Arasiballi	...	339-53
248	Bittiballi	...	295-85
249	Manjenahallikaval	...	1,086-62
250	Anehalli	...	517-75
251	Savankanahalli	...	333-48
252	Valagerahalli	...	712-86
253	Gowdagere	...	366-55
254	Kammarige	...	1,002-55
255	Honnenahalli	...	304-52
256	Krishnapura	...	271-45
257	Hampanahalli	...	390-43
258	Haranahalli	...	574-45
259	Kunajanahalli	...	377-96
260	Chikkachakanahalli	...	190-42
261	Melagodu	...	1,654-50
262	Gadenahalli	...	875-61
263	Bandihalli	...	439-70
264	Halasinahalli	...	370-12
265	Kubbali	...	644-05
266	K Hosahalli	...	273-40
267	Somenahalli	...	494-90
268	Gowripura	... }	3,536-65
269	Somepahallikaval	... }	
270	Mudlapura	...	1,469-31
271	Jodikrishnapura	...	1,673-87
272	Ramapura	...	228-64
273	Mallinaikanahalli	...	165-46
274	Yogihally	...	581-14
275	Chikkaboovanahalli	...	127-48
276	Handrangi	...	310-40
277	G. Mylanahalli	...	932-84
278	Thendihally	...	263-19
279	Dyavalapura	...	457-37
280	Mahadevarahalli	...	448-58
281	Cheeranahally	...	239-75
282	Khommanahally	...	462-61
283	Koravangala	...	1503-14
284	Koravangala Kaval	...	190-17
285	Jakkenahally	...	455-11
286	Heragu	...	1678-76
287	H. Byrapura	...	372-12

1	2		3
288	Kenchanahalli	...	387-68
289	H. Dyavalapura	...	262-73
290	Hariharapura	...	702-80
291	Herlkadalur	...	562-55
292	H. Mylanahally	...	543-86
293	Muthathi	...	686-15
294	Chikmenahalli	...	572-75
295	Bhogarahalli	...	484-50
296	Kuduragundi	...	1616-02
297	Lingarasanahally	...	479-28
298	Thirupathihally	...	470-50
299	Hangarahally	...	151-97
300	Vedhavathy	...	150-93
301	M. Devihally	...	244-05
302	Honnavara	...	1108-48
303	Thimmanahally	...	135-34
304	Chigatihally	...	411-36
305	Basavagatta	...	529-85
306	Byrapura	...	442-82
307	Kullenahally	...	290-94
308	Kareborekaval	...	1480-51
309	Kodihally	...	399-30
310	Phoomagame	...	812-33
311	Anugavalli	...	913-28
	Salagame Hobli		
312	Salagame	...	821-25
313	Govindapura	...	223-88
314	Narasipura	...	236-21
315	Thimmanahally	...	290-50
316	Aldahally	...	318-94
317	Kakkehally	...	323-89
318	Devihally	...	315-58
319	Kadaga	...	1061-42
320	Sundenahalli	...	152-53
321	Hommagowdanahally	...	528-79
322	Haravanahally	...	527-78
323	Dyavalapura	..	157-96
324	Huganahally	...	190-35
325	Veerapura	...	274-10
326	Seege	...	1017-33
327	Kondajji	...	813-55
328	Koadajjikoppal	...	18-33
329	Ballenahally	...	510-84
330	Kaipura	...	661-82
331	Madihally	...	475-08

1	2		3
332	Kalladevarahally	...	421-93
333	Seegeguddakaval	...	1380-31
334	Kolavathi	...	999-14
335	Ramadevarahallikaval	...	
336	Sajjanahally	...	255-37
337	Mandiganahally	...	204-08
338	Ramedevarapura	...	128-81
339	Nittur	...	324-98
340	Bramadevarahally	...	229-02
341	Singatagere	...	357-98
342	Kankere	...	363-11
343	Bommenahalli	...	170-24
344	Kuppalu	...	72-54
345	Koppalahally	...	188-18
346	Rangenahally	...	181-52
347	Doddenahally	...	101-95
348	Ibbenahally	...	110-02
349	Bidarahally	...	102 41
350	Nachikatte	...	92-62
351	Bingeehally	...	56-79
352	Yelagunda	...	631-14
353	Chowdenahally	...	146-41
354	Beekanahally	...	422-12
355	Mallanayakanahally	...	145-93
356	Soppinahally	...	322-47
357	Nagenahaly	...	323-11
358	Idalla Kaval	...	156-90
359	Kadadaravally	...	1349-66
360	Gullenahally	...	834-66
361	Kalagondanahally	...	380-97
362	Byalahally	...	A301-99
363	Chattanamahally	...	899-41
364	Kanavanahally	...	861-01
365	Kalathanmanahally	...	440-53
366	Kallahally	...	800-38
367	Madapura	...	215-04
368	Gullenahally	...	331-20
369	Bandihally	...	288-47
370	Kamenahally	...	844-32
371	Lokanahally	...	171-69
372	Kavaribally	...	303-74
373	Kalenahally	...	252 26
374	Kyathanahally	...	543-87
375	Maranahally	...	471-24
376	Timmanahally	...	388-62

1	2		3
377	Manenahally	...	613 08
378	Devagodanahally	...	232–50
379	Anupanalu	...	618–89
380	Mallenahally	...	242–52
381	Siddapura	...	432-23
382	Doddagaddavally	...	940-31
383	Chikkagaddavally	..	608 85
384	Gundasettihally	...	458-47
385	Neggadihally	...	257-91
386	Desur	...	334–35
387	Gowdagere	...	378–97
388	Byadarahally	...	210 64
389	Sanka	...	1331–39
390	Ibadana	...	545-86
391	Attiballi	...	296 35
392	Bommaneballi	...	219–62
393	Thimmenahally	..	358–13
394	Thimmenahalli	...	358–13
395	Hosahalli	....	214 87
396	Ugane	...	1,055–06
	Total	...	2,17.212 59

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

T. L. KRISHNAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

2570—S. O. 762

EDUCATION AND YOUTH SERVICE SECRETARIAT

Notification No. ED 11 SXM 78.
Bangalore, dated 15th March 1978.

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) and clauses (c) and (d) of Paragraph (c) of the Section 4 of the Karnataka Secondary Education Examination Act, 1966 (Karnataka Act No. 16 of 1966), th Government of Karnataka hereby nominates the following persons to be the members of the Karnataka Secondary Education Examination Board Bangalore.

Under clause (c) of paragraph (c) of sub-section (4) of Section (4).

Sri P. Shankar Royal, Principal, Karnataka State Educational Federation, College of Education Tumkur.

Under clause (d) of paragraph (c) of subs-ection (4) of Section (4).

Sri S. S Hiremath, Principal, Government Teachers' Training Institution, Dharwar.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

M. EKAMBARAM,
Under Secretary to Government, I/c.
Education and Youth Services Department.

2726—S.O. 766

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Order No. SWL 517 LLD 76
Bangalore, dated 15/17th March 1978.

WHEREAS the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workman and the Management of Executive Engineer, Mechanical Division, P.H.E. Division, Gulbarga, on the points noted below ;

AND, WHEREAS the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication ;

NOW, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Hubli.

Points of Dispute

1. Are the management of P H. E. Gulbarga Division, Gulbarga represented by the Executive Engineer, Mechanical Division justified in retrenching the services of Sri Madhava Rao, Pump Operator with effect from 21st March 1974 ?
2. If not, to what relief the above said workman is entitled ?.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

B. MANAMOHAN,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

2733—S. O 767

FOOD AND FOREST SECRETARIAT

Notification No FFD 91 FAF 76.
Bangalore, dated 16th February 1978.

In exercise of the powers conferred by section 4 of the Karnataka Forest Act, 1963 (Karnataka Forest Act 5 of 1964), the Government of Karnataka hereby :—

(1) declares that it is proposed to constitute certain lands in Dasanapura and Kindugodlu Villages of Hunsur Taluk, Mysore District. the situation and limits of which are specified in the Schedule below, as "Reserve Forest".

(2) appoints the Assistant Commissioner, Hunsur Sub-Division, Hunsur for the purpose of clause (c) of sub-section (1) of the said section to be the Forest Settlement Officer.

(3) appoints the Divisional Forest Officer Hunsur to represent the State Government in the inquiry by the said Forest Settlement Officer.

SCHEDULE

District	Taluk	Hobli	Village	Survey No.	Extent	Name of the the block.
Mysore	Hunsur	—	Dasanapura	50	2.050-00 Acres.	Dharmapura
				51		
			Kindugodlu	11		
				12		

BOUNDARIES

North:—The Northern boundary starts from point 46 and turns at 1320-30 South East over a distance of 3.12 chains to reach station point 47 and turns at 140°-00 South east over a distance of 4-60 chains to reach station point 48 and turns at 158°-00 south East over a distance of 5.4 chains to reach station point 50 and turns at 124°-00 South-East over a distance of 4 30 chains to reach station point 51 and turns at 140°-00 south east over a distance of 3.18 chains to reach station point 52 and turns at 132°-00 south east over a distance of 7.05 chains to reach station point 10°.53 and turns at 113°-00 south-east over a distance of 15.50 chains to reach station point 55 and turns at 79°-00 North East over a distance of 8-00 chains to reach station point 50 and turns at 72°-30' North-East over a distance of 1.42 chains point 55 and turns at 70°-00 North-East over a distance 15 50 chains to reach station point 55 and turns at 79°-00 North-East over a distance of 8-00 chains to reach station point 56 and turns at 72°-30 (North-east over a distance of 14 42 chains to reach station point 57 and turns at 76°-30' North-east over a distance of 15 40 chains to reach station point 58 and turns at 91°.00 east over a distance of 11.28 chains to reach station point 59 and turns at 97° 00 south-east over a distance of reach station point 59 and turns at 97°-00 south east over a distance of 6 61 chains to reach station point 60 and turns a 106°-00 south-east over a distance 16-00 chains to reach station point 61 and turns at 98°-00 South-east over a distance 16-00 chains to reach station point 61 and turns at 98°-00 south-east over a distance of 3.38 chains to reach station point 62. and turns at 58°-00 North-east over a distance of 4 06 chains to reach station point 63 and turns at 52-30' over a distance of 4.60 chaids to reach station point 64 and turns at 61°-00 North-East over a distance of 8 40 chains to reach station point 65 and turns at 20°-00 North-east over a distance of 5.40 chains to reach station point 66 and turns at 8°-30' North-East over a distance of 6.34 chains to reach station point 67 and turns at 27°-00 North-East over a distance of 1.24 chains to reach station point 68 and turns at 35°-00 North-East over a distance of 7.42 chains to reach station point 69 and turns at 42°-00 North-East over a distance of 11 40 chains to reach station point 70 and turns at 106-30' south-east over a distance of 9.56 chains to reach

station point 71 and turns at 85°–00 North-East over a distance of 13°-18 chains to reach station point 72 and turns at 82°–30' North-East over a distance of 9.11 chains to reach station point 73 and turns at 115°–00 south-east over a distance of 11.34 chains to reach station point 74 and turns at 125°–00 south-east over a distance of 3.20 chains to reach point 75 on Murkal to Nallurpala road.

East :—The eastern boundary at the Block runs old along the Murkal to Nallurpala Road as taken over from point 75 the lines runs at 226°. 00 south-west over a distan e of 9.10 chains to reach station point 76 and turns at 334°-00 south-west a distonae of 12.12 chains to reach station point 77 and turns at 225° 00 south-west over a distance of 10.12 chains to reach station point 78 and turns at 21 °-00 south-west over a distance 8.64 chains to reach station point 79 and turns at 200°–00 over a distance of 8.12 chains to reach station point 80 and turns at 2.5°-00 south-west over a distance of 3 62 chains to reach station point 81 and turns at 234°–00 south-west over a distance 18 02 chains to reach station point 82 and turns at 211°. 30' south-west over a distance of 8.20 chains to reach station point 85 and turns at 207°–00 south-west over a distance of 20.15 chains to reach station point 86 and turns at 197°–00 south-west over a distance of 21.02 chains to reach station point 87 and turns at 207°–00 south west over a distance of 3.50 chains to reach station point 88 and turns at 200°–00 South-west over a distance of 4.22 chains to reach station point 89 and taken turns at 213°–00 south-west over a distance of 23 chains to reach station point 1.

South :—The Southern boundary line starts from point 1 and runs at 292°–00 North west over a distance of 30 chains to reach station point 2 and take turns at 294°–00 North west over a distance of 30 chains to reach station point 3 and take turns at 289°–00 North west over a distance of 4.00 chains to reach station point 4 and take turn at 317°–30' over a distance of 5 10 chains to reach station point 3 and take turn at 324°–00 North west over a distance 1 of 5–00 chains to reach station point 6 and take turn at 307°-00 North West over a distance of 4.53 chains to reach station point 7 and take turn at 299°–00 North west over a distance of 4.13 chains to reach station point 8 and take turns at 280°–00 North west over a distance of 2.12 chains to reach station point 9 and take turns at 268°–00 south west over a distance of 4.23 chains to reach station point 10 and take turns at 281°-00 North west over a distance 4.16 chains to reach station point 1% and take turn at 246°–00 south west over a distance of 11.00 chains to reach station point 12 and take turns at 250°–30' south west over a distance of 10.25 chains reach station point 14 and taken turn at 260°–00 South west over a distance of 10–53 chain to reach station p int 15 and take turn at 28°–00 North West over a distance of 15–00 chains to reach station point 16 and take turn at 269°–00 south west over a distance of 10 chains to reach station point 17 and take turns at 273°–00 North west over a distance of 7–00 chains to reach station point 18 and

take turn at 342°-00 North West (242°-00 South West) over a distance of 4 chains to run at station point 19 and take turn at 358°-00 North West (258°-00 south west) over a distance of chains to reach station point 20 and take turn at 8°-00 North east (258°-00 south west) over a distance of 4.33 chains to reach station point 21.

West:—Western boundary starts from Point 2 and runs at 5 Nort east over a distance of 4-00 chains and reach s station point 22 and take turn at 18°-00 North east over a distance of 4 16 chains to reach station point 23 and take turn at 16°-00 North east over a distance of 4-00 chains to reach station point 24 and take turn at 16°-00 North east over a distance of 5.10 chains to reach station point 25 and take turn at 11°-00 North east over a distance of 2 18 chains to reach station point 26 and take turn at 33°-00 North east over a distance of 4-00 to reach station point 27 and take turn at 4-00 to reach station point 27 and take turn at 26°-00 North east over a distance of 6.33 chains to reach statation point 28 and take turn at 23°-00 North east over a distance of 7-00 chains to recah station point 29 and take turn at 29°-00 North east over a distance of 3-30 chains to reach station point 30 and take turn at 420°-00 North east over a distance of 3-00 chains to reach station point 31 and take turn at 31°-00 North east over a distance of 6.43 chains to reach station point 32 and take turn at 6°-00 North east over a distance of 3.10 chains to reach station point 33 and take turn at 10°-00 North east over a distance of 5.31 chains to reach start point 34 and take over at 0°-00 North over a distance of 8.40 chains to reach station point 29 and take turn at 250-00 North east over a distance of 8.25 chains to reach station point 36 and take turn at 30°-00 North east over a distance of 4-00 chains to reach station, point 37 and take turn at 15°-00 North east over adistance of 7.52 chains to reach station point 38 and take turn at 9°-00 North east over a distance of 3 17 chains reach station point 39 and take turn at 22°-00 North east over a distance of 3.55 chains to reach station point 40 and take turn at 41°-00 North east over a distance 4.03 chains to reach station point 41 and take turn at 31°-00 North over a distance of 5 04 chains to reach station point 42 and take turn at 19°-00 north east over a distance of 4.40 chains to reach station point 43 and take turn at 39°-00 North east over a distance of 2 20 chains to reach station point 44 and take turn at 129° 00 South east over a distance of 11.58 chains to reach station point 45 and take turn at 116°-30' over a distance of 6 30 chains to reach station point 46.

By Order and in the name of the President of India,

E. VENKATA REDDY,
Under Secretary to Government,
Food and Forest Department.

2578—S.O. 768

REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Order No. RD 237 EST 77
Bangalore, dated 18th March 1978

In exercise of the powers conferred by Clause (a) of Sub-Section (1) of Section 9 of the Karnataka Stamp Act 1957 (Karnataka Act No. 34 of 1957), the Government of Karnataka being of the opinion that it is necessary in the public interest so to do hereby remits the duties chargeable under the said Act, in respect of mortgage deed (deed of charge) to be executed by the Mysore Power Corporation Ltd., in favour of L.I.C. of India to secure a loan of Rs. 3,30,00,000 (Rupees Three Crores and Thirty Lakhs Only).

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,
A. S. PATIL,
I/c *Under Secretary to Government,*
2124—S. O. 769 *Revenue Department.*

Notification No. RD 237 EST 77
Bangalore, dated 18th March 1978

In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 78 of the Registration Act 1908 (Central Act XVI of 1908) the Government of Karnataka, hereby makes the following further amendments to the table of fees notified in Notification No. RD 48 EST 72, dated 15th March 1973, *Viz.*, in the table of fees annexed to the said Notification.

In Article XXXIV after item 44 the following shall be added :—

"45. For Registration of a mortgage deed (deed of charge) to be executed by the Mysore Power Corporation Ltd in favour of L.I.C. of India to secure a loan of Rs. 3,30,00,000 (Rupees three crores thirty lakhs) "N.L"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,
A. S PATIL,
I/c *Under Secretary to Government,*
2724 (a)—S. O. 770 *Revenue Department.*

GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA
SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Labour Dispute :—Industrial dispute between the workman and the Management Gokak Mills, Gokak Falls, Belgaum.

Award of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Bangalore—Published.

Read the following papers.

1 Letter No. IT/864/77-78, dated 7th January 1978 from Shri F.L.F. Alvares, Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Bangalore.

IN THE COURT OF THE INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, KARNATAKA, BANGALORE.

Dated 28th December 1977

Present

Sri F. L. F. Alvares, B.A., B.L.,
Presiding Officer

I. D. No. 40 of 1976

The workmen of Gokak Mills, represented by the Gokak Mills Employees' Union, Gokak Falls, Belgaum District ... *I Party*

Vs

The Management of Gokak Mills, Gokak Falls. Belgaum District. ... ... *II Party*

Appearances

For the I Party :—None present.
For the II Party :—Sri Gururajan, Advocate, Bangalore.

Reference

(G. O. No. SWL 1043 (2) LLD 76 dated 22nd December 1976).

AWARD

As per G. O. No. SWL 1043 (2) LLD 76 dated 22nd December 1976 issued in exercise of its powers under Section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the State Government has referred the following dispute for adjudication by this Tribunal:—

"I. Is the Management of Gokak Mills (Division of Gokak Patel Volcart Ltd.) Gokak Falls justified in not designating Sriyuths: Martin Santamareya, Manohar Ganpat and Balu Fakirappa as drivers and not giving them the scale of drivers ?

II. If not to what relief the said workmen are entitled to ?"

2. In its claim statement, the I Party states that the Standing Orders were settled as long as about 20 to 25 years ago, that the Standing Orders require revision to suit the existing service conditions and that finally, Martin Sentamareya, designated as a cleaner, and Manohar Ganpat and Balu Fakirappa, both designated as Badli Coolies have been actually working as drivers for the last about 8 to 10 years and that, therefore, the said workers should be placed in the grade of drivers and paid the payscale of drivers.

3. The II Party took time to file their objection statement saying that the dispute is being sought to be settled by compromise.

4. When the case was taken up to-day, a Joint Memo has been filed by both the parties saying that the dispute has been settled in terms of the settlement dated 5th December 1977 annexed. I have perused the settlement, Except that in the Settlement, the I Party-Union has been described as the II Party and the II Party-Management has been described as the I Party, instead of the other way [illegible] clerical error, which will not effect

the validity of the settlement, the terms of the settlement are quite fair and reasonable and, therefore, the settlement is required to be accepted.

5. In the result, an Award is passed in terms of the settlement which is annexed hereto.

(Dictated to the Stenographer, transcribed by him and corrected by me).

(Sd) F. L. F. ALVARES,
Presiding Officer,
Industrial, Tribunal Bangalore.

Annexure to Award in ID No. 40/76

AGREEMENT

Between

1. Management of Gokak Mills, Gokak Falls, represented by its Mills Manager ... ... *First Party*

And

2. Workmen Sriyuths Martin Santamaraya, Gen. 95, Balu Fakira ED. B P. 13. Manohar Ganapat ED. B.P 6, represented by the President, Gokak Mills Employees' Union, Gokak Falls. ... *Second Party*

WHEREAS an Industrial Dispute is pending before the Industrial Tribunal Bangalore, relating to the designations of Sriyuths Martin Santamareya, Baloo Fakira and Manohar Ganapat in I. D. No. 40/76; and

WHEREAS after protracted negotiations, the first party and the second party above mentioned, have entered into an agreement, the terms of which are as under :

Terms of the Settlement.

1. The first party agrees to designate Sri Martin Santamareya, Gen. 95 and Sri Baloo Fakira, ED. BP. 13 as Drivers with effect from 1st December, 1977.

2. Demand for the designating Sri Manohar Ganapat as Driver is not pressed by the Second Party, Since Manohar Ganapat has resigned from the First Party Company with effect from 28th, November 1976.

3. The Second Party agrees to withdraw the dispute pending before the Hon'ble Industrial Tribunal, Bangalore.

4. The First and Second Party further agree to file a joint application before Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Bangalore, for an award as per the terms of this settlement.

Dated this fifth day Month of December year One Thousand Nine Hundred Seventy seven at Gokak Falls, District Belgaum.

Representing First Party.	Representing Second Party
Sd/-	Sd/-
(Mills Manager).	President,
	Gokak Mills Employees Union.

Witnesses :—

Sd/- (1) Shri N. D. Hukery, Senior Labour cum Industrial Relations Officer.

Sd/- (2) Shri A. Vaidyanathan, Labour Officer.

"True Copy"

.....

Manager,
Industrial Tribunal, Bangalore.

ORDER No. SWL 42 LLA 78.
Bangalore, dated 17/20th February 1978

Whereas by State Government Order No. SWL 1043 (2) LLD 76, dated 22nd December 1976, the Industrial Dispute between the workmen and the Management of Gokak Mills, Gokak Falls, Belgaum District, was referred to the Industrial Tribunal, Bangalore for adjudication.

And, Whereas the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal Bangalorehas submitted to the State Government its award in respect of the said Industrial dispute.

Now, Therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka are pleased to direct the publication of the said award as above in the *Karnataka Gazette*.

By Order and in the name of the President of India,
B. MANAMOHAN,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

2616—S. O. 771

GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Labour Dispute : Industrial dispute between the workmen and the management of Mangalore Port Trust, Mangalore.

Award of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Bangalore, published.

Read the following papers :—

(1) Letter No. I. T. 906/77-78, dated 18th January 1978 from Sri F. L. F. Alvares, Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, B'lore.

BEFORE THE INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL IN KARNATAKA, BANGALORE.

Dated 13th January 1978.

Present

Sri F. L. F. Alvares, B.A., B.L.,
Presiding Officer

I. D. No. 15 of 1972

I Party

Workmen represented by The General Secretary, National Dock and General Workers' Union, Goodshed Road, Bunder, Mangalore-1.

Vs.

II Party

The Management of Mangalore Port Trust, Mangalore.

Appearances

For the I Party :—Sri M. Krishna Rao, Advocate, Mangalore.
For the II Party :—Sri S. R Hegde, Advocate, Mangalore.

Reference

(Government Order No. FCL 826 LLD 71, dated 26th May 1972.)

AWARD

As per Government Order No. 826 LLD 71, dated 26th May 1972 issued in exercise of its powers under Section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 the State Government has referred the following points of dispute to this Tribunal for adjudication :—

I. Are the employees of Mangalore Port Trust, Mangalore, justified in demanding the following :
   (a) Pay scales as recommended by the Central Wage Board for Port and Dock Workers of Major Ports and D. A. in paralance with them.
   (b) Extension of retirement age from 55 years to 58 years.
   (c) House rent and compensatory allowance at the New rate as per the recommendations of the said Wage Board.

II. If not, to what relief are they entitled and from what date?"

2. The I Party states *inter alia* that when compared to other Ports of Mysore State, Mangalore Port has peculiar features in as much as it is at a fair distance from the steamer anchorage and the approach to this port is at the confluence of the rivers Gurpur and Netravathi and that the work done by the workers of Mangalore is as onerous and hazarduous as in a major port and is substantially more than the work done by the minor port workers in Karnataka State. Further, the provisions of the Central Acts, like Merchant Shipping Act, Indian Ports Act, Instructions to Principal Officers and Surveyors, etc., issued by the Central Government, are enforced in Mangalore Port, whereas in the other ports of Karnataka State, most of the provisions of the Acts and Instructions are enforced and performed by the Central Customs Department Officers. The I Party workmen are entitled to claim the pay scales as recommended by the

Central Wage Board for Port and Dock Workers of Major Ports as well as Dearness Allowance in par with them.

3. In its Objection Statement, the II Party contended that the I Party has no locus standi to raise a dispute and that the dispute in question is not an industrial dispute, the cause n t being espoused by sufficient num er of the II Party. The Mangalore Port is a minor port and should be treated as any other minor port. The work is in no way different fr m the work of other minor ports It is not correct to say that the work performed by the II Party's workers is relatively and substantially comparable to the work performed by the work rs similarly placed in some of the ports governed by the Central Wage Board.

4. The I Party filed a Rejoinder Statement.

5. In addition to the points of dispute scheduled in the Order of Reference, the following issues arising out of the pleadings were framed :—

1. Whether the dispute raised by the I Party is not an industrial dispute as not having been espoused by sufficient number of employees of the II Party Management as contended ?
2. Whether the pay scales claimed by the I Party are not applicable and not justifiable as contended by the II Party in view of its reasons and financial capacity ?
3. To what relief, if any, the parties are entitled ?

6. Except filing a Rejoinder as long back as on 22nd August 1972, followed by a letter, dated 24th November 1975 requesting to be informed which is the matter kept for hearing on 12th October 1975 at Mangalore Camp, the I Party has not responded to the notices issued on 27th August 1976 and 5th October 1976 notifying the date of hearing at Mangalore Camp. On 24th August 1977, another notice was issued to the I Party directing appearance before the Tribunal on 6th October 1977. The I Party failed to appear. Another notice was issued on 7th October 1977 informing the I Party that the case is posted for evidence on 18th October 1977. By a notice dated 24th December 1977, both the parties were informed that the case will be taken up at Mangalore Camp for I Party's evidence on 31st December 1977. The II Party was present with its counsel Sri S. R. Hegde, who filed his vakalat. As the I Party failed to appear, another notice was ordered for appearance of the I Party this day and the said notice was served on the I Party's representative on 31st December 1977 itself. Even then no one has appeared on behalf of the I Party when the case was taken up today. As the case is a very old one, the I Party had been given every opportunity and in spite of it, the I Party has not been evincing any interest in the matter, there was no other alternative but to proceed in the absence of the I Party and to record the evidence of the II Party. The II Party has accordingly examined its Manager, Naronha (MW.1). I have heard the II Party's counsel.

7. The main question that falls for consideration is whether the Mangalore Port under the control of the II Party is required to be treated as a Major Port for the purpose of conferring on the II Party's employees the various benefits, etc., recommended by the Central Wage Board for Port and Dock Workers of Major Ports. It is seen from the evidence of MW.1, A. P. Naronha that the Mangalore port is no longer enjoying its old importance, whatever such importance may be, on account of a Major Port having come up at New Mangalore. MW.1 Naronha says that at present, the Mangalore Port of the II Party is handling the small business of coastal sailing vessels and the rest of the business, which is extensive, has all been shifted to New Mangalore Port, which is a Major Port. On account of this, the income of the Mangalore Port is also reduced.

8. Then, it is also seen from the evidence of MW 1 Naronha that at the time when the Reference was issued in 1972 there were only a few workers of the II Party who were members of the I Party-Union. MW 1 Naronha says that at present, none of the II Party's workers are members of the I Party. There is no contra evidence. It has to be held that the I Party cannot represent the II Party's workers. I hold under Issue No. 1 that the dispute is not an industrial dispute and under the remaining issues, that in any case, the demands made on the basis that the recommendations made by the Central Wage Board for Port and Dock Workers of Major Port are applicable are not sustainable.

9. In the result, the Reference is rejected.

(Dictated to the Stenographer, transcribed by him and corrected by me).

F. L. F ALVARES,
Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal,
Bangalore.

Order No. SWL 34 LLA 78.
Bangalore, dated 17/20th February 1978.

WHEREAS by State Government Order No. FCL 826 LLD 71, dated 26th May 1972 the Industrial dispute between the workmen and the management of Mangalore Port Trust, Mangalore, was referred to the Industrial Tribunal, Bangalore, for adjudication;

AND, whereas the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Bangalore; has submitted to the State Government its award in respect of the said Industrial Dispute;

NOW, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka are pleased to direct the publication of the said award as above, in the *Karnataka Gazette*.

By Order and in the name of the President of India,
B. MANAMOHAN,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

2613—S. O. 772

HOME SECRETARIAT

Order No. HD 4 CNM 78

Bangalore, dated 9th March 1978.

In exercise of the powers conferred by section 12 of the Karnataka Cinemas (Regulation) Act, 1964 (Karnataka Act 23 of 1964), the Government of Karnataka hereby approve for the purpose of the said section the films approved by the Government of India as specified in the Schedule below :

SCHEDULE

S. No.	Title of the film	Length of the film (in mtrs.)	Name of the Applicant	Name of the Producer	Whether a scientific film or a film intended for educational purposes or a film dealing with news and current events or a documentary film
1	2	3	4		5
1	INR 1519	218-00	Films Division Govt. of India, 24-Peddar Road Bombay-400026.		"News and Current Events"
2	INR 1519 (Eastern Edition)	302-00	do		do
3	INR 1520	241-00	do		do
4	INR 1520 (Southern Edition)	296-00	do		do
5	INR 1521-A (The Killer Cyclone) (Jaan Leva Chakravaat)	251-00	do		do
6	INR 1521	243-00	do		do
7	INR 1521 (Western Edition)	271-00	do		do
8	INR 1522	234-00	do		do
9	INR 1522	299-00	do		do
10	INR 1523	230-00	do		do
11	INR 1523 (Eastern Edition)	284-00	do		do

12	INR 1524	226-00	Films Division, Govt. of India 24-Peddar Road, Bombay-400026.	"News and Current Events"
13	INR 1524 (Southern Edition)	288-00	do	do
14	INR 1525	244-14	do	do
15	INR 1525 (Western Edition)	299-62	do	do
16	Kumbh Mela	607-80	Gopal K. Maharesh, S. Sukhdev, United Film Arts, 14, Rock House, Worli, Bombay	"Documentary"
17	Maharashtra Krishi Kranti Ki Aur	478-54	Directorate General of Information and Public Relations, Govt. of Maharashtra, 68-Tardeo Road Bombay-34.	do
18	Mahitichitra No. 267	228-60	Director of Information Govt. of Gujarat	"News and Current Events"
19	Sun Flower OIL (Suraj Mukhise Tel)	279-00	Films Division Govt. of India, 24-Peddar Road, Bombay-26.	"Educational"
20	Grammy	452-31	V. Prabhakar, Director of Information. Govt. of U P.	"Documentary"
21	Sooni Goad	600-00	R. C. Joshi, Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, Govt. of India, New Delhi.	"Documentary"
22	Ecstasy	152-00	Films Division, Govt. of India, 24-Peddar Road, Bombay-26.	"Documentary"
23	Mahitichitra No. 268	259-08	Director of Information, Govt. of Gujarat.	'News and Current Events"
24	Maharashtra News No. 310	291-00	Director General of Information and Public Relations, Govt of Maharashtra.	do

1	2	3	4	5
25	Women Police in India	400-00	Mazahir Rahim. 53. New Marine Lines, 1st Floor, Flat No. 14 Bombay-400020.	"Documentary"
26	Hosa Jeevana	422-15	Gopal K. Maharesh, S. Sukhdev, United Film Arts, 14, Rock House Worli Hill Rd., Worli, Bombay-400018.	"Documentary"
27	Law of Nature	147-00	Films Division. Govt. of India, 24-Peddar Road, Bombay-26.	do
28	Soviet Folk Dances	540-00	do	do
29	Abanidranath	488-00	Arobinda Bhattacherjee. 7/1, Raibahadur Road, Calcutta-34.	do
30	Mahitichitra No. 269	243 84	Director General of Information, Govt of Gujarat.	"News and Current Events"
31	Papaya	55-00	Films Division, Govt of India, 24-Peddar Road. Bombay-26.	"Documentary"
32	Inno Way Inferior	117 00	do	do
33	Wise Mother-in-Law	137-00	do	do
34	At Lash	299-00	do	Release in Cinema Houses showing Hindi films in cities other than metropolitan towns.
35	Mother and Son	123-00	do	"Documentary"
36	Nital Majhir Pala	579 00	do	do
37	For the Love of Munna	122-00	do	do
38	Listen Brothers and Sisters	96-00	do	do
39	Inland Water Transport	329-00	do	do

40	Farrakka-Happy Accord	557-00	Films Division, Govt. of India, 24-Peddar Road, Bombay-26.	"Documentary"
41	Shows That Show The Way	292-00	do	do
42	Graduate Kisan	397-00	do	do
43	Cancer Is Curable	381-25	Ishwar Chandra Charan Bhavana Pictures "The Corner" Main Avenue, Santacruz (W), B-54.	do

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

A. S. NAGARAJA,
Under Secretary to Government,
Home Department.

2680 S. O. 773

Notification No. HD 96 PCR 77

Bangalore, dated 18th March 1978.

In exercise of the powers conferred by section 6 of the Indian Christian Marriage Act, 1872 (Central Act 15 of 1872), the Government of Karnataka hereby grants licence to Pastor A. Joseph Dorai, Silaem Evangelical Church, 117/17, Karnataka Band Road, Lingaiah Palyam, Ulsoor to the Solemnise Marriages under the said act in Karnataka State.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

M. P. JAYASHANKARA ARADHYA,
Under Secretary to Government,
Home Department.

2725—S.O. 774

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Order No. SWL 18 LLD 78

Bangalore, dated 15/17th March 1978.

WHEREAS the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of Anandapura Estate, Ammathi Post on the points noted below ;

AND, WHEREAS the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication.

NOW, THEREFORE in exercise of the powers conferred by Clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Mysore.

Points of Dispute

1. "Whether the management of Anandapura Estate, Ammathi Post, Coorg are justified in terminating the services of Sri Albert, workman with effect from 2nd December 1976 ?

2. If not, is the workman entitled to reinstatement or to any other relief ?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

B. MANAMOHAN,
Under Secretary to Government,
S. W. & Labour Department.

2727—S.O. 775

GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Labour Dispute: Industrial dispute between the workmen and the Management of Hindustan Aeronautics Ltd., Bangalore.

Award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Bangalore, published.

Read the following papers:

1. Letter No. 1972/77-78, dated 9th February 1978 from Sri H. Shanmukhappa, Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Bangalore.

BEFORE THE PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, BANGALORE.

Dated this the 9th day of February 1978.

Present

Shri. H. Shanmukhappa, B. A., B. L.,

Presiding Officer

Reference No. 41 of 1975

First Party :—

V. M. Balasubramanian, No. 29, Old Poor House Road Behin Shyam Medical Stores, Bangalore-560 001 (A).

vs.

Second Party :—

The Management of Hindustan Aeronautics Limited, H. A. L., Bangalore-560 017.

References:—Government Order No. SWL 158 LLD 75, dated 6th May 1975.

AWARD

1. The Government of Kanataka in their Order No. SWL 158 LLD 75, dated 6th May, 1975, have referred an industrial dispute under S. 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, existing between the above named parties to this Court for adjudication on the points of dispute noted below :—

"Are the management of Hindustan Aeronautics Ltd., Bangalore justified in treating Sri V. N. Balasubramanian, an ex-employee as having lost lien on his appointment with effect from 29th June 1973 under clause 18 (ii) of their Standing Orders?

If not, to what reliefs the workman is entitled?"

2. On receipt of reference, it was registered as Reference No. 41 of 1975 and notices were issued to the parties to file their statements,

The I Party workman filed his claim statement on 4th June 1975 and the II Party management has filed their counter-statement on 6th October 1975. On 19th March 1976 the II Party management has examined their Personnel Officer, as M. W. 1. That day M. W. 1 was examined only in-chief and his cross-examination was adjourned as I Party was absent on that day. Thereafter I Party took time to cross-examine M. W. 1. But though sufficient opportunity was given the I Party did not cross-examine M. W. 1. Further, neither the I Party workman nor his counsel had put in appearance since 14th March 1977, but chose to remain absent continuously: Therefore, on 19th April M. W. 1 was discharged. Even thereafter the I Party as well as his counsel did not appear but remained absent throughout. The I Party has also not let in any evidence on his behalf. In the circumstances, I am constrained to proceed under Rule 23 of the Industrial Disputes (Karnataka) Rules. 1957, as if the I Party was present and had been duly represented.

3. The I Party in his claim statment has stated that he had joined the II Party on 11th August 1964 as Welder-B and his services were unjustly terminated by the management w. e. f. 29th June 1973 as having lost his lien on appointment. He says he had put in honest service. He had submitted that due to acute bronchitis he was suddenly and seriously ill and laid up from 19th June 1973 to 3rd July 1973 and was under medical treatment and therefore could not attend the factory during the said period. However, on 3rd July 1973 when he got a little better he reported for duty and submitted his leave application duly supported by medical certificate. He was not taken on duty but was issued a notice, dated 2nd July 1973 stating that he had absented himself for more than 10 days from 19th June 1973 without permission and had therefore lost his lien on appointment under Standing Order 18 (ii) with effect from 29th June 1973 and he was asked to submit his explanation. He had submitted his explanation on 5th July 1973. But without accepting the said explanation and without holding any enquiry in the matter, the II Party sent a letter, dated 25th July 1973 to him saying that they had terminated his services with effect from 29th June 1973 for alleged loss of lien on appointment under Standing Order 18(ii) of the company. The I Party submitted that this termination from service is unjust, illegal and *malafide*. His absence from duty was unaviodable and due to *bona-fide* cause and therefore the II Party was not right in invoking the provisions of Standing Order 18(ii). He prayed for his reinstatement, continuity of service and back wages.

4. The II Party in their counter-statement have stated that the I Party absented himself without permission w.e.f. 19th June 1973 o 2nd July 1973 and therefore they had issued him a notice dated 2nd July 1973 calling upon him to submit his explanation for his

unauthorised absence. The I Party submitted his explanation on 5th July 1973. with a medical certificate dated 3rd July 1973. That explanation was considered by the management and was not found to be satisfactory. Therefore, the II Party confirmed the I Party's loss of lien on his appointment and the same was communicated to him by letter, dated 25th July 1975. The decision is *bona fide* and does not call for any interference by this Court, It is also stated that the I Party had preferred an appeal to the General Manager of the company who found no grounds for interference with the termination order. It is further stated that Standing Order 18(ii) of the company proved that if a workman remains absent for more than 10 days or absents himself beyond that period of leave originally granted or subsequently extended, he shall lose his lien on his appointment, unless he returns within 10 days of the expiry of the notice of termination and explains to the satisfaction of the management the reasons for his inability to return before the expiry of leave. It follows, therefore, that if the explanation is not submitted within 10 days or if the explanation so submitted within ten days is not found to be satisfactory by the management, the services of the employee terminates automatically on the ground of loss of lien and therefore an order passed by the management in this regard is not justifiable. The II Party management also denied that the I Party workman's services were honest and sincere. The II Party has stated that even in the past the I Party was unauthorisedly absent for quite a number of days and that even he was suspended for four days in the year 1971. It is further submitted that in the explanation, the I Party has stated that he thought that he could apply for leave with medical certificate at the time of reporting for duty and that, therefore, he did not apply for leave at the right time. There is no basis for such thinking in the face of the Standing Orders. II Party has also stated that there is no demand for reinstatement by the I Party on the II Party and hence there is no industrial disputes available for reference, and hence the reference itself is incompetent. In the circumstances, the II Party prayed for the dismissal of the reference.

5. The main question that therefore arises for consideration is whether the II Party is justified in treating I Party as having lost lien on his appointment w.e.f. 29th June 1973 under clause 18(ii) of their Standing Orders?

6. M.W. 1 V. N. Balasubramanian in his evidence has stated that he is the Personnel Officer in the Aircraft Division of the II Party He knows the I Party workman and he had dealt with his papers. I Party absented himself in the month of June 1973 without applying for any leave for more than 10 days consecutively from 19th June 1973. The concerned Section Head reported the matter to the Personnel office. Then they served loss of lien notice on the I Party workman as per Ex. M. 1. Ex. M.2 is the copy of the reply sent by

the I Party and Ex. M. 2 was not dated. Explanation Ex. M.2 was not satisfactory. Therefore they recommended to the Departmental Head for removal of his name confirming loss of lien. The Departmental Head gave approval for confirmation of loss of lien as per Ex. M. 3 Note sheet. As per Ex. M. 4 I Party's explanation was considered and communication was sent to him confirming the loss of lien. Ex. M. 5 is the appeal made by I Party to the General Manager and that appeal was dismissed as per Ex. M. 6. The employee's past record was also not satisfactory. As per Ex. M. 7 I Party progress increment was deferred. Ex. M. 6 was warning notice issued to I Party. Ex. M. 9 is the suspension notice and its enclosure is Ex. M. 10. Ex. M. 11 is the another memo issued to I Party. He further says that I Paty's attendance was very bad.

7. I have no reason to disbelieve the version of M.W. 1 which is supported by Ex. M 1 to M–11 and also his version stands unrebuted. As already stated the I Party workman has not evinced any interest and has not let in any evidence worth the name In support of his case. I have perused M–1 to M–11 documents marked on behalf of the II party and find that all the procedures have been followed in case of I Party workman. There are no materials to hold that II Party was not justified in treating I Party workman as having lost lien on his appointment w.e.f. 29th June 1973 under clause 18(ii) of their Standing Orders. I therefore, hold that the action of the II Party management is justified.

8. In the result, the reference is rejected. No order as to costs.

H. SHANMUKHAPPA,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Bangalore.

Order No. SWL 50 LLA 78
Bangalore, dated 13th March 1978.

Whereas by State Government Order No. SWL 158 LLD 75, dated 6th May 1975 the Industrial Dispute between the workmen and the management of Hindustan Aeronautics Ltd., Bangalore was referred to the Labour Court, Bangalore for adjudication;

And, whereas, the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Bangalore, has submitted to the State Government its award in respect of the said Industrial Dispute;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka are pleased to direct the publication of the said award as above, in the *Karnataka Gazette.*

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

B. MANAMOHAN,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

2700—S.O. 783

COMMERCE AND INDUSTRIES SECRETARIAT

Notification No. CI 57 EPO 78
Bangalore, dated 20th March 1978.

In pursuance of sub-section (1) of Section 5 of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957, the Government of Karnataka hereby sanctions a Prospecting Licence in favour of Shri Mir Naseer Ali for a period of 2 (Two) years for Ruby Corrundum over an area of 200 acres (80.94 hectares) of land in Bommaladevipura Village, Koratagere taluk, Tumkur District, as per sketch furnished by the Director of Mines and Geology, Bangalore.

The grant of the above Prospecting Licence is subject to the terms and conditions appended hereunto.

Terms and Conditions

1. The licence shall be operative for a period of two years with effect from the date of execution. The option to renew the licence for a further period shall be reserved to Government;

2. The licensee shall pay to Government a Prospecting fee of Rs. 2-50 per hectare of the land covered by the licence foreach year or portion of a year;

3. The quantity of Ore to be removed under this licence, both on payment of royalty and free of royalty, shall be in accordance with the limits prescribed under Rule 14 (ii) and (iii) and under Schedule III respectively of the Mineral Concession Rules, 1960. The royalty payable on the minerals shall be in accordance with the rates prescribed in Second Schedule to the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957 and as amended from time to time;

4. The licensee shall make available to the Government of India beryl or any other 'substance prescribed' under section 3 of the Atomic Energy Act No. XXIX of 1948 if they occur in the property under licence ;

5. Thelicensee shall be subject to the rules issued under Sections 13 and 18 of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957 (No. 67 of 1957);

6. If any other mineral is traced during the course of prospecting, the licensee shall report about the same to the Director of Mines and Geology and shall not work such minerals without obtaining prior permission of Government ;

7. The licensee shall furnish an accurate final map of areas covered by the licence together with a description, giving the situation and boundaries of the areas duly attested by the licensee. The Director of Mines and Geology is authorised to get the accurate final map with survey numbers, etc., prepared and demarcation done before executing the licence if the licensee so desired and to recover the cost of the same from the licensee ;

8. The licensee shall, before the deposit is returned to him submit confidentially to the Director of Mines and Geology from time to time or wherever required a full report of the work done by him and disclose all information acquired in the course of the operations carried on under the licence regarding the geology and mineral resources of the area covered by the licence ;

9. The licensee shall allow any officer authorised by the Central or the State Government to inspect at any time the area covered by the Prospecting Licence as also any accounts, plans and records maintained by him ;

10. The licensee shall before the licence is issued to him deposit as security a sum of Rs. 200 in respect of every square kilometer or part thereof for which the licence is granted for the due observance of the terms and conditions of the licence;

11. The licensee shall engage a qualified geologist or a mining engineer to conduct the Prospecting methodically ;

12. The licensee shall not give any ore-raising contract during the prospecting stage ;

13. The above mentioned area is subject to verification after actual survey ; and

14. Local and other Cesses as prevalent in Tumkur District.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

Y. B. CHINNAPPA REDDY,
I/c Under Secretary to Government,
Commerce and Industries Department.

2751—S. O. 784

Printed by the Director of Printing, Sty. & Publications, at the Govt. Press, B'lore.

PART IV

Section—2-C (ii)

STATUTORY ORDERS ISSUED BY THE STATE GOVERNMENT UNDER THE CENTRAL ACTS AND STATE ACTS.

FOOD AND FOREST SECRETARIAT

Notification No. AFD 92 FAF 77.
Bangalore, dated 14th March 1978.

In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 4 of the Karnataka Act 1963 (Karnataka Act 5 of 1964) the Government of Karnataka hereby—

(1) declares that it has been decided to constitute the land in the Ujire, Dharmasthala and Belal villages of Belthangady Taluk of South Kanara District, the situation and limits of which are specified in the schedule below, as reserved forest;

(2) appoints the Forest Settlement Officer, South Kanara, Mangalore to be the Forest Settlement Officer for purposes of clause (c) of sub-section (1) of the said section; and

(3) appoints the Divisional Forest Officer, Mangalore Division, Mangalore to represent the State Government in the inquiry by the said Forest Settlement Officer.

SCHEDULE

Name of the District	..	South Kanara
Name of the Taluk	...	Belthangady
Name of the Villages	...	Ujire, Belal and Dharmasthala
Survey Field Nos.	...	263/1 of Ujire, 54/1, 49, 48, 264 and 25 of Dharmasthala and 12/1 of Belal village.
Name of the Block	...	Ujire Block V.
Area of the Block	...	95.10 Hectares (235.00 acres)

Boundary Description

North:—Starting from station No. 87 which is a point situated at the newly constructed Cairn No. 87 the demarcation line of the block runs in South-East direction for a distance of 785 links to reach station No. 89 passing through the middle station No. 88. Thence it runs in South-West direction to a distance of 542 links to reach station No. 90. From there it runs in South-East direction for a distance of 4434 links to reach station No. 99 passing through the intermediate station Nos. 91 to 98, after crossing a stream between

Cairn No 96 and 97. From there it runs in North-East direction to a distance of 991 links to station No. 101 passing through the middle station No. 100. Thence it runs in South-East direction to a distance of 1312 links to reach station No. 104 passing through the intermediate station Nos. 102 and 103. From there it runs in North-East direction to a distance of 438 links to station No. 105 by crossing a foot path. From there it runs in North-West direction for a distance of 1666 links to reach the station No. 108 passing through the intermediate station No 106 and 107 by crossing the same footpath between Cairn No. 107 and 108. From there it runs in South-East direction to a distance of 227 links, to station No. 109 From there it runs in Eastern direction for a distance of 312 links. to station No. 110. Thereafter it runs in North-East direction for a distance of 1117 links to reach station No. 114 passing through the intermediate station Nos. 111 to 113 by passing above footpath between Cairn No. 110 and 111. Since then it runs in South-East direction to a distance of 509 links to station No. 116 passing through the middle station No. 115. From there it runs in almost Southern direction for a distance of 750 links to station No. 118 passing through the middle station No 117. Thence it runs in South-East direction to a distance of 650 links to reach station No. 120 passing through the middle station No. 119. Afterwards the demarcation line of the block runs in North-East direction to a distance of 4359 links to reach station No. 132 passing through the intermediate station No 121 to 131. Thence it runs in North-West direction for a distance of 316 links to station No. 133. From there it runs in North East direction to a distance of 500 links to station No. 134 after crossing a electric line From there it runs North-West direction to a distance of 319 links to reach station No. 135. Thereafter it runs in North-East direction for a distance of 1229 links to reach station No. 138 passing through the intermediate station Nos. 136 and 137. Thence it runs almost in Eastern direction for a distance of 531 links to station No. 140 passing through the middle station No. 139.

The bearings and distances from station to station are as follows:—

Station Numbers From	To	Bearings in degrees in F. R.	Distances in links
1	2	3	4
87	88	102½	419
88	89	129	366
89	90	212	542

1	2	3	4
90	91	15½	344
91	92	163½	333
92	93	173	405
93	94	123½	5?2
94	95	104	514
95	96	159	436
96	97	101	1111
97	98	111	3?9
98	99	104	400
99	100	76½	252
100	101	5 ½	739
101	102	125	536
102	103	121½	3?9
103	104	151½	497
104	105	63	433
105	106	344½	530
106	107	355	456
107	108	355	680
108	109	113	227
109	110	90	3 2
110	111	66	246
111	112	55	446
112	113	68	250
113	114	87	175
114	115	107	370
115	116	114	139
116	117	175½	470
117	118	178	280
118	119	116	260
119	120	98½	390
120	121	46	413
121	122	26	318
122	123	27	334
123	124	28	390
124	125	2½	390
125	126	5½	300
126	127	45½	438
127	128	70	190
128	129	7	610
129	130	12½	219
130	131	15½	307
131	132	11	450
132	133	353½	306
133	134	12	600

1	2	3	4
134	135	35° 1/2	219
135	136	32 1/2	292
136	137	44	482
137	138	36	455
138	139	92	195
139	140	92 1/2	336

East :—From station No. 140 the demarcation line of the block runs South-East direction for a distance of 233 links to station No. 1 (Cairn No. 1). From there it runs again in South-West direction for a distance of 3107 links to reach the station No. 10 passing through the intermediate station No, 2 to 9. Thence it runs in South-East direction for a distance of 585 links to station No. 13 passing through the intermediate station No. 11 and 12 Thereafter it runs in South-West direction for a distance of 819 links to reach station No. 15 passing through the middle station No. 14. Thence it runs in South-East direction to a distance of 168 links to station No. 16. Then the demarcation line runs in the Southern direction to a distance of 284 links to reach station No. 17. Since then it runs in South-West direction to a distance of 980 links to reach station No, 21 passing through the intermediate station No. 18 to 20. Then it runs in South-East direction for a distance of 234 links to station No. 22. Afterwards it runs in South-West direction to a distance of 1107 links to station No. 26 passing through the intermediate station No. 23 to 25 Thereafter it runs in South-East direction to a distance of 1139 links reach station No 31 passing through the intermediate to station No. 27 to 30. Thence it runs in North-West direction for a distance of 461 links to reach station No. 34 passing through the middle station No. 32. Thence it runs in South-West direction to a distance of 2087 links to reach station Nos, 40 passing through the intermediate station Nos. 34 to 39.

The bearings and distances from station to station are as follows :—

Station From	Numbers To	F. bearings in degrees	Distances in links
1	2	3	4
140	1	15° 1/2	233
1	2	198 1/2	413
2	3	251	410
3	4	230	236

1	2	3	4
4	5	203½	268
5	6	201	480
6	7	201½	338
7	8	220	143
8	9	193	420
9	10	185	350
10	11	133½	210
11	12	145	210
12	13	14×½	165
13	14	207	475
14	15	188	334
15	16	165	168
16	17	180	2×4
17	18	201	5×6
18	19	198	183
19	20	219½	337
20	21	21×½	214
21	22	174	234
22	23	210½	158
23	24	324½	412
24	25	229	271
25	26	220	266
26	27	176½	193
27	28	157½	292
28	29	168	1×8
29	30	172	181
30	31	166	320
31	32	276½	187
32	33	282	2×4
33	34	321½	325
34	35	212	243
35	36	221	195
36	37	217	304
37	38	217½	198
38	39	209½	334
39	40	217	488

South :—From station No. 40 the demarcation line of the block runs in North-West direction for a distance of 1413 links to reach station No. 45 passing through the intermediate station No. 41 to 44. From there it runs in almost Western direction to a distance of 400 links to reach station No. 46. There from it runs in North-West direction to station No. 47 for a distance of 312 links. Thence it runs in South-West direction for a distance of 396 links to station No. 48. Thereafter it runs North-West direction for a distance of 581 links to station No. 50 passing through the middle station No. 49.

Since then it runs in South-West direction for a distance of 774 links to station No. 52 passing through the middle station No. 51. Thence it runs in North West direction for a distance of 1583 links to reach station No. 56 passing through the intermediate station Nos. 53 to 55. From there it runs in North-East direction for a distance of 1000 links to station No. 53 passing through the middle Station No. 57. There from it runs in North-West direction for a distance of 500 links to reach station No 59. Thence it runs in almost Weetrn direction to a distance of 794 links to station No. 60 by crossing a stream. Thereafter it runs in South-West direction for a distance of 1348 links to station No. 62 passing through the middle station No. 61. From there it runs in almost Western direction for a distance of 674 links to reach station No. 64 passing through the middle station No 63. There onwards it runs in North-West direction to a distance of 267 links to station No 65. Thence it runs in South-West direction to a distance of 247 links to reach station No. 66 Thence it runs in South-East direction to station No. 67 to a distance of 525 links. There from it runs in Southeren direction for a distance of 349 links to reach station No. 68. Then it runs in South-East direction over a distance of 314 links to reach station No. 69. Then it runs in North-West direction over a distance of 1295 links to reach station No. 71 passing through the middle station No. 70.

Since then it runs in South-West direction for a distance of 776 links to station No. 52 passing through the middle station No. 51. Thence it runs in North West direction for a distance of 1583 links to reach station No. 56 passing through the intermedia e station Nos. 53 to 55. From there it runs in North-East direction for a distance of 1000 links to station No. 58 passing through the middle Station No. 57. There from it runs in North-West direction for a distance of 500 links to reach station No 59. Thence it runs in almost Westrn direction to a distance of 796 links to station No. 60 by crossing a stream. Thereafter it runs in South-West direction for a distance of 1348 links to station No. 62 passing through the middle station No. 61. From there it runs in almost Western direction for a distance of 576 links to reach station No. 64 passing through the middle station No 63. There onwards it runs in North-West direction to a distance of 267 links to station No 65. Thence it runs in South-West direction to a distance of 247 links to reach station No. 66 Thence it runs in South-East direction to station No. 67 to a distance of 525 links. There from it runs in Southeren direction for a distance of 349 links to reach station No. 68. Then it runs in South-East direction over a distance of 314 links to reach station No. 69. Then it runs in North-West direction over a distance of 1295 links to reach station No. 71 passing through the middle station No. 70.

Bearings and distances from station to station are as follows:—

Station Numbers From	To	bearing in degrees F. E.	Distance in links
40	41	324	281
41	42	304	294
42	43	313	310
43	44	314	228
44	45	321	290
45	46	266½	400
46	47	2-3½	312
47	48	247½	396
48	49	288	338
49	50	286	243
50	51	236	334
51	52	244	442
52	53	299	244
53	54	293	389
54	55	276	610
55	56	2 8½	350
56	57	12	600
57	58	32	400
58	59	326	500

1	2	3	4
59	60	272	796
60	61	225	658
61	62	22 1/2	690
62	63	261 1/2	229
63	64	274 1/2	347
64	65	290 1/2	267
65	66	249 1/2	247
66	67	129 1/2	525
67	68	179	349
68	69	170 1/2	314
69	70	282	500
70	71	297	795

West :—From station No. 71 the demarcation line of the block runs in North-West direction to a distance of 430 links to reach station No. 72. From there it runs in almost Northern direction for a distance of 562 links to station No. 73. Ther from it runs in North-East direction to station No 73 for a distance of 1143 links passing through the intermediate station No 74 to 77. Thence it runs in North-West direction for a distance of 150 links to reach station No. 79. Thereafter it runs in North-East direction to reach station No. 85 for a distance of 1712 links passing through the intermediate station Nos. 80 to 84 by crossing a foot path between Cairn No. 84 and 85. Thence it runs in North-West direction to a distance of 398 links to reach station No. 86 Thence it runs finaly in North-East direction of 443 links to reach station No. 87 (Cairn No. 87).

The bearings and distances from station to station are as follows :—

Station Numbers From	To	Bearing in degrees in F B.	Distance in links
71	72	325	480
72	73	355 1/2	562
73	74	43 1/2	253
74	75	36	355
75	76	24	274
76	77	19	248
77	78	17	313
78	79	347	150
79	80	4	218
80	81	37	152
81	82	23	281
82	83	29	171
83	84	48 1/2	257
84	85	17 1/2	543
85	86	351	398
86	87	28	443

Right of ways

1. A foot path of 2' wide entering into the block between Cairn No. 70 and 71 runs inside the block and bifurcates and one branch of which comes out of the block between Cairn Nos. 91 and 92 and a'so her branch of it comes out of the block between Cai.n No. 84 and 85.

2. Another foot path of 2' wide enters into the block between Cairn No. 16 and 17 runs inside the block and comes out of the block between Cairn No. 104 and 105 and again it enters inside the block between Cairn No. 107 and 108 and comes out of the block between Cairn No. 110 and 111.

3. Another foot path of 2' wide branches from the second foot path above and comes out of the block between cairn No. 45 and 46.

Other Previleges

1. Free grazing of cattle by the villagers.
2. Free removal of green manure leaves of for bonafide agricultural purpose by villagers.
3. Free removal of dry fuel for the domestic purpose by villagers.
4. Free removal of Mulgrass for the bonafide domestic purpose by villagers.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. VENKATA REDDY,
Under Secretary to Government,
Food and Forest Department.

2708—S. O. 789

COMMERCE AND INDUSTRIES SECRETARIAT

Notification No. CI 49 EPO 78
Bangalore, dated 20th March 1978.

In exercise of the powers conferred under Rule 15 (1) of the Mineral Concession Rules 1960, the Government of Karnataka hereby revoke the order issued in Government Notification No. CI 248 EPO 77 dated 22nd October 1977 sanctioning a Prospecting Licence for Corrundum in respect of the area of 255 acres (103. 19 hectares) of land in Bychpura village, Koratagere taluk, Tumkur District, in favour of Sari. Kiraraj as the grantee failed to pay the security deposit and execute the deed within the prescribed time limit.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

Y. B. CHINNAPPA REDDY,
I/c Under Secretary to Government,
Commerce and Industries Department.

2747—S. O. 790

REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Notification No. RD 8 SST 78.

Bangalore, dated 20th March 1978.

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2) of Section 154 of the Karnataka Land Revenue Act, 1964 (Karnataka Act 12 of 1964), the Government of Karnataka hereby authorise the Assistant Superintendent of Land Records, Bijapur, to execute on behalf of the State Government, the certificates referred to in sub-section (1) of Section 154 of the Karnataka Land Revenue Act, 1964 in respect of the City Survey conducted within the limits of the Muudebihal Town of Bijapur District.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka.

V. S. BASALINGAPPA,
Under Secretary to Government,
Revenue Dept.

6755—S. O. 791

RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND CO-OPERATION SECRETARIAT

Order No. RDC 268 COF-76

Bangalore, dated 22nd March 1978

In exercise of the powers conferred by section 121 of the Karnataka Co-operative Societies Act, 1959, (Karnataka Act No. 11 of 1959), the Government of Karnataka hereby direct that the provisions of Section 115 of the said Act shall in respect of Co-operative Sugar Factories apply with the modification specified hereunder, namely:—

After sub-section (5) of Section 115 the following sub-section shall be added namely :—

"(6) Notwithstanding anything contained in the Act, the Registrar may amend the bye-laws providing for the mode of appointment of the first committee and the term of such committee and register the amendment and shall forward to the Society a copy of the amendment so registered together with a certificate shall be conclusive evidence that the amendment has been duly registered."

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. R. SHANKARANARAYANA RAO,
Under Secretary to Government.
Rural Development and Co operation Department.

6769—S.O. 792

COMMERCE AND INDUSTRIES SECRETARIAT

Notification No. CI 58 EPO 78,

Bangalore, dated 20th March 1978.

In pursuance of sub-section (1) of Section 5 of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957, the Government of Karnataka hereby sanctions a Prospecting Licence, in favour of Shri C. P. Mallaiah, for a period of 2 (two) years for Felsite over an area of 24 acres (9.71 hectares) of land in Sadanahalli village, Mysore taluk, Mysore District, as per sketch furnished by the Director of Mines and Geology, Bangalore.

The grant of the above Prospecting Licence is subject to the terms and conditions appended hereunto.

Terms and Conditions

1. The licence shall be operative for a period of Two years with effect from the date of execution. The option to renew the licence for a further period shall be reserved to Government;

2. The licensee shall pay to Government a Prospecting fee of Rs. 2-50 per hectare of the land covered by the licence for each year or portion of a year;

3. The quantity of ore to be removed under this licence, both on payment of royalty and free of royalty, shall be in accordance with the limits prescribed under Rule 14 (ii) and (iii) and under Schedule III respectively of the Mineral Concession Rules, 1960. The royalty payable on the minerals shall be in accordance with the rates prescribed in Second Schedule to the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957 and as amended from time to time;

4. The licensee shall make available to the Government of India beryl or any other 'substance prescribed' under Section 3 of the Atomic Energy Act No. XXIX of 1948 if they occur in the property under licence;

5. The licensee shall be subject to the rules issued under Sections 13 and 18 of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957 (No. 67 of 1957);

6. If any other mineral is traced during the course of prospecting, the licensee shall report about the same to the Director of Mines and Geology and shall not work such minerals without obtaining prior permission of Government;

7. The licensee shall furnish an accurate final map of areas covered by the licence together with a description, giving the situation and boundaries of the areas duly attested by the licensee. The Director of Mines and Geology is authorised to get the accurate final map with survey numbers etc., prepared and demarcation done

before executing the licence if the licensee so desired and to recover the cost of the same from the licensee ;

8. The licensee shall, before the deposit is returned to him submit confidentially to the Director of Mines and Geology from time to time or wherever required a full report of the work done by him and disclose all information acquired in the course of the operations carried on under the licence regarding the geology and mineral resources of the area covered by the licence ;

9. The licensee shall allow any officer authorised by the Central or the State Government to inspect at any time the area covered by the Prospecting licence as also any accounts, plans and records maintained by him ;

10. The licensee shall before the licence is issued to him deposit as security a sum of Rs. 200 in respect of every square kilometre or part thereof for which the licence is granted for the due observance of the terms and conditions of the licence;

11. The licensee shall engage a qualified geologist or a mining engineer to conduct the prospecting methodically ;

12. The licensee shall not give any ore-raising contract during the prospecting stage ;

13. The above mentioned area is subject to verification after actual survey ; and

14. Local and other Cesses as prevalent in Mysore District.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

Y. B. CHINNAPPA REDDY,
Under Secretary to Government I/c.
Commerce and Industries Department.

2752—S.O. 793

Notification No. CI 13 EPO 78
Bangalore, dated 20th March 1978.

In exercise of the powers conferred under Rule 15 (1) of the Mineral Concession Rules 1960, the Government of Karnataka hereby revoke the orders issued in Government Notification No. CI 87 EPO 77 dated 12th August 1977 sanctioning a Prospecting Licence for Green Quartz in respect of the area of 410 acres (165.90 hectares) of land in Mundri and Shivapura villages, Tarikere taluk, Chickmagalur District in favour of M/s. Murthy Trading Corporation, as the grantee failed to pay the security deposit and execute the deed within the prescribed time limit.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

Y. B. CHINNAPPA REDDY,
I/c. Under Secretary to Government,
Commerce and Industries Department.

2738—S. O. 794

Notification No. CI 14 EPO 78
Bangalore, dated 20th March 1978

In exercise of the powers conferred under Rule 15 (1) of the Mineral Concession Rules 1960, the Government of Karnataka hereby revoke the orders issued in Government Notification No. CI 190 EPO 77, dated 25th July 1977 sanctioning a Prospecting Licence for Emery corrundum in respect of the area of 300 acres (121.41 hectares) of land in Nadappanahalli village Hunsur taluk, Mysore District in favour of shri. D. Arkappa as he grantee failed to pay the security deposit and execute the deed within the prescribed time limit.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka.

Y. B. CHINNAPPA REDDY,
I/c. Under Secretary to Government.
Commerce and Industries Department.

2739—S. O. 795

Notification No. CI 17 EPO 78
Bangalore, dated 20th March 1978

In exercise of the powers conferred under Rule 15 (1) of the Mineral Concession Rules 1960, the Government of Karnataka hereby revoke the orders issued in Government Notification No. CI 157 EPO 77 dated 18th August 1977 sanctioning a Prospecting Licence for Star Corrundum in respect of the area of 100 acres (40.47 hectares) of land in Muddaiyanapalya village, Madhugiri taluk, Tumkur District in favour of Shri Syed Munavar, as the grantee failed to pay the security deposit and execute the deed within the prescribed time limit.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka

Y. B. CHINNAPPA REDDY
I/c Under Secretary to Government,
Commerce and Industries Department.

2742—S. O. 796

Notification No. CI 18 EPO 78
Bangalore dated 20th March 1978

In exercise of the powers conferred under Rule 15 (1) of the Mineral Concession Rule 1960, the Government of Karnataka hereby revoke the orders issued in Government Notification No CI 90 EPO 77 dated 9th August 1977 sanctioning a Prospecting Licence for white Quartz in respect of the area of 153 acres (61.91 hectares) of land in Nod-koppal village, Mandya Taluk, Mandya District in favour of Shri C. Harkchand Jain as the grantee failed to pay the security deposit and execute the deed with in the prescribed time limit.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka

V. B. CHINNAPPA REDDY
I/c Under Secretary to Government,
Commerce and Industries Department.

2743—S. O. 797

Notification No. CI 33 EPO 78
Bangalore, dated 20th March 1978.

In exercise of the powers conferred under Rule 15(1) of the Mineral Concession Rules 1960, the Government of Karnataka hereby revoke the orders issued in Government Notification No. CI 234 EPO 77 dated 26th September 1977 sanctioning a Prospecting Licence for Quartz in respect of the area of 201.33 acres (81.47 hectares) of land in Hulimavu village, Nanjangud Taluk, Mysore District in favour of Shri D. Ankappa as the grantee failed to pay the security deposit and execute the deed within the prescribed time limit.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

Y. B. CHINNAPPA REDDY,
I/C Under Secretary to Government,
Commerce and Industries Department.

3745—S.O. 798

Notification No. CI 28 EPO 78
Bangalore, dated 20th March 1978.

In exercise of the powers conferred under Rule 15(1) of the Mineral Concession Rules 1960, the Government of Karnataka hereby revoke the orders issued in Government Notification No CI 254 EPO 77 dated 23rd September 1977 sanctioning a Prospecting Licence for Feldspar in respect of the area of 100 acres (40.47 hectares) of land in Tadi Village, Madhugiri Taluk, Tumkur District in favour of Shri D S. Shivashankarappa as the grantee failed to pay the security deposit and execute the deed within the prescribed time limit.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

Y. P. CHINNAPPA REDDY,
I/c Under Secretary to Government,
Commerce and Industries Department.

3744—S.O. 799

Notification No. CI 452 EMO 77.
Bangalore, dated 20th March 1978.

In exercise of the powers conferred under rule 31(1) of the Mineral Concession Rules 1960, the Government of Karnataka hereby revoke the orders issued in Government Notification No. CI 125 EMO 77 dated 22nd June 1977 sanctioning Mining lease for Quartz in respect of the area of 180 acres (72.84 hectares) of land in Mujeeballanahally, Mujaragumchanahalli village, Mysore Taluk, Mysore District in favour of Sari D. Ankappa as the grantee is not interested over area.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka.

Y. B. CHINNAPPA REDDY,
I/c Under Secretary to Government,
Commerce and Industries Department.

3753—S. O. 800

REVENUE SECRETARIAT

CORRIGENDUM No. RD19 LRD 75.

Bangalore, dated 18th, 21st March 1978.

In modification of Corrigendum issued No. RD 19 LRD 75 dated 11th November 1976, the assessment of survey No 248 appearing at Sl. No. 301 in the above said corrigendum may be read as follows:—

Sl. No.	Survey No.	Area Acre	Guntas		Assessment
301	248	26	28	—	75 30

respectively instead of

301	248	20	09	—	57-04

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. S. BASALINGAPPA,
Under Secy. to Government,
Revenue Department.

9757—S.O. 801

LAW AND PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS SECRETARIAT

Notification No. LAW 41 LCE 74
Bangalore, dated the 17th March 1978.

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 16 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 Central Act 2 of 1974), the Government of Karnataka, in consulation with the High Court of Karnataka hereby establishes in the metropolitan area of the City of Bangalore, a Court of Metropolitan Magistrate, at the City Railway Station, Bangalore.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

B. NAGESHA RAO,
Under Secretary to Government,
Deptt. of Law and Parly. Affairs.

9735—S.O. 802

HOME SECRETARIAT

Notification No. HD 96 PCR 77
Bangalore, dated 20th March 1978.

In exercise of the powers conferred by section 9 of the Indian Christian Marriage Act 1872 (Central Act 15, 1872), the Government of Karnataka hereby grants licence to Pastor A Joseph Dorai, Siloam Evangelical Church, 117/17, Karnataka Band Road, Lingaiahpalyam, Ulsoor, Bangalore authorising him to grant Certificate of Marriage to Indian Christians under the said Act, in Karnataka State.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

M P. JAYASHANKARA ARADHYA,
Under Secretary Government,
Home Department.

9734—S. O. 803

GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Labour dispute :—Industrial dispute between the workmen and the management of Mysore Coffee Processing Co-operative Society, V. V. Mohalla, Mysore.

Award of the Presiding Officer. . Industrial Tribunal, Bangalore, published.

Read the following papers :—

(1 Letter No.IT 1176/77-78 dated 24th February 1978 from Sri F. L. F. Alvares, Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Bangalore.

BEFORE THE INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL IN KARNATAKA, BANGALORE

Dated 17th February 1978

PRESENT

Sri F. L. F. Alvares, B. A., B. L., *Presiding Officer.*

I. D. No. 15 of 1975

I Party :—Workmen represented by the Secretary, The Mysore Coffee Processing Co-operative Society Employees Union, 2709, J. K. Buildings, Pulikeshi Road, Mysore.

Vs

II Party :—The Management of Mysore Coffee Processing Co-operative Society, V. V. Mohalla, Mysore.

Appearances

For the I Party : Sri K. P. Sachindranath, President, Karnataka Karmika Congress, 6, Andhra Devanga Buildings, Gandhinagar, Bangalore-9.

For the II Party :—Sri P. S. Narayana Rao, Member, Executive Committee, Mysore Chamber of Commerce and Industry, Mysore.

REFERENCE

Government Order No. SWL 240 LLD 74 dated 23rd July 1975

AWARD

The State Government has referred the following point of dispute for adjudication by this Tribunal as per Government Order No SWL 240 LLD 74 dated 23rd July 1975 issued in exercise of its powers conferred under section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

Are the workmen (Garblers) of Mysore Coffee Processing Co operative Society Ltd., Mysore-2. justified in demanding full wages for two days of non-employment on 2nd February 1974 and 4th February 1974 ?

If not, to what other relief the workmen are entitled ?

2 The I Party states that in order to liquidate the I Party Union, the II Party inter-alia refused to provide work to the Garblers as usual 50 kgs. at a time on a ticket on 2nd February 1974 and again on 4th February 1974 though each Garbler was attending to 50 kgs. of coffee at a time on a ticket. The introduction by the II Party of a change in the work-load calling upon each Garbler to take 75 kgs. of coffee on a ticket instead of the usual 50 kgs. on a ticket at a time, amounts to alteration of service conditions. No notice before altering the work-load was given. The II Party is bound to pay wages for the two days in question.

3 The II Party contended that as the Coffee Board directed it to increase production (curing) in order to meet export demand on 2nd February 1974, the II party notified the Garblers that as from that day, 75 kgs of milled coffee would be issued to each garbler. This step was taken to increase the production and also to help the garblers in earning more emoluments. By issuing 75 kgs. of milled coffee, the interest of the garblers was in no way jeopardised in as much as they were paid on piece rate basis. The Garblers refused to receive 75 kgs. of milled coffee and struck work on 2nd February 1974 and 4th February 1974 at the behest of the 5 members of the office staff who refused to obey the orders transferring them and also raised a dispute which is pending in Reference No. 4 of 1974 on the file of the Labour Court at Bangalore. During the conciliation proceedings before the Assistant Labour Commissioner, Mysore, the matter was settled and the II Party agreed to issue 50 kgs. of milled coffee. The II party so agreed to avoid stoppage of the coffee curing work and the probability of the Coffee Board transferring the coffee to another establishment for curing. The II Party was prepared to provide work to the garblers on 2nd February 1974 and 4th February 1974. It was the garblers who struck work. The non-employment of the garblers on 2nd February 1974 and 4th February 1974 is the result of act of comission and commission on the part of the garblers and hence they are not justified in demanding wages for the two days.

4 When the case was taken up today for hearing, a Joint Memo was filed by Dasappa, the Secretary of the I party and Nasarulla Khan the Secretary of the II party saying that in view of the settlement in I. D. No. 21/74 on the file of this Tribunal, the I party is not pressing the demand under reference and that the reference may be rejected.

5 In view of the settlement as per the Joint Memo, an award is passed rejecting the reference.

(Dictated to the Stenographer, transcribed by him and corrected by me).

F. L. F. ALVARES,
Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal, Bangalore.

BEFORE THE INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL AT BANGALORE

I. D. No. 15/75

I Party : Mysore Coffee Processing Co-operative Society Employees Union.

V/s

IInd Party : Management of Mysore Coffee Processing Co-operative Society Ltd.

In view of the settlement in I. D. 21/74 on the file of this Tribunal, the I party is not pressing the demand under reference and they pray that the reference be rejected without costs.

For the Mysore Coffee Processing Co-operative Society Ltd.,

.....
Secretary
(II Party)

For the Mysore Coffee Processing Co-operative Society Employees Union.

.....
Secretary
(Ist. Party)

Bangalore dated 17-2-1978.

Order No. SWL 58 LLA 78,

Bangalore dated 15/17th March 1978

Whereas the State Government Order No. SWL 240 LLD 74, dated 23rd July 1975, the Industrial dispute between the workmen and the management of Mysore Coffee Processing Co-operative Society, V. V. Mohalla, Mysore, was referred to the Industrial Tribunal, Bangalore, for adjudication.

And whereas the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Bangalore, has submitted to the State Government its award in respect of the said industrial dispute.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka are pleased to direct the publication of the said award as above, in the *Karnataka Gazette.*

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

B. MANAMOHAN,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Labour dispute : Industrial dispute between the workmen and the management of K. S. R. T. C., Bangalore.

Award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Bangalore published.

Read the following papers.

(1) Letter No. 2065/77-78, dated 16th February 1978 from Sri H. Shanmukhappa, Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Bangalore.

BEFORE THE PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT. BANGALORE.

Dated, this 16th day of February 1978.

Present :

Shri H. Shanmukhappa, B.A., B.L.,

Presiding Officer.

Reference No. 63 of 1977.

First Party.—

D N. Venkatanarasimhaiah, C/o Karnataka Industrial and Commercial Employees Welfare Organisation, No. 107, Gandhi Bazaar, Bangalore-4.

Vs.

Second Party.—

The Management of K.S.R.T.C. Bangalore.

Reference.—

Government Order No. SWL 430 LLD 77, dated 8th July 1977.

AWARD

16th February 1978.—I Party is again absent. Since time of the service of notice on him, the I Party workman has not appeared before the Court although it is about six months. As the I Party workman has not evinced any interest in the Reference, he cannot be given any relief. Accordingly, the Reference is liable for rejection and the same is hereby rejected. No costs.

H. SHANMUKHAPPA,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Bangalore.

"True Copy"
H. SHANMUKHAPPA,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Bangalore.

Order No. SWL 54 LLA 78,
Bangalore, dated the 15th/17th March 1978.

WHEREAS by State Government Order No. SWL 430 LLD 77, dated 8th July 1977, the Industrial dispute between the workmen and the management of K. S. R. T. C. Bangalore, was referred to the Labour Court, Bangalore, for adjudication.

AND WHEREAS the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Bangalore, has submitted to the State Government its award in respect of the said Industrial dispute.

NOW, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka are pleased to direct the publication of the said award as above in the *Karnataka Gazette*.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,
B. MANAMOHAN,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfre and Labour Department.

2729—S.O. 805

COMMERCE AND INDUSTRIES SECRETARIAT

Notification No. CI 9 EPO 78.
Bangalore, dated 20th March 1978.

In exercise of the powers conferred under Rule 15(1) of the Mineral Concession Rules 1960, the Government of Karnataka hereby revoke the orders issued in Government Notification No. CI 210 EPO 77 dated 12th August 1977 sanctioning a Prospecting Licence for Soapstone, Asbestos and Corrundum in respect of the area of 80 acres (22 37 hectares) of land in Suddekunte village, Madhugiri Taluk, Tumkur District in favour of Shri Mohammed shariff as the grantee failed to pay the security deposit and execute the deed within prescribed time limit.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka
Y.B. CHINNAPPA REDDY,
I/c Under Secretary to Government,
Commerce and Industries Department.

2737—S.O.806

Notification No. CI 5 EMI 78.
Bangalore dated 20th March 1978.

In exercise of the powers conferred under Rule 31 (1) of the Mineral Concession Rules 1960, the Government of Karnataka hereby revoke the orders issued in Government Notification No. CI 36 EMI 77 dated 15th June 1977 sanctioning a Mining Lease for White Quartz in respect of the area of 200 acres (80.93 hectares) of land in Shiradwad village, Haliyal Taluk North Kanara District in favour of Messrs. Karnataka Traders as the grantees have requested for cancellation.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka
Y B CHINNAPPA REDDY,
I/c Under Secretary to Government,
Commerce and Industries Department,

2736—S.O. 807

SOCIAL WELFARE LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Order No. SWL 314 (I) LLE 77.

Bangalore, dated 14/15th March 1978.

Whereas the Industrial Disputes specified in the Annexure Tables I & II appended to this Order are pending before the Labour Court at Chikmagalur for adjudication.

And, whereas the Government of Karnataka considers that a more expeditious adjudication of the said Industrial Disputes will be facilitated if the said Industrial Disputes are transferred to the Labour Court at Mangalore.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section 33-B of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby withdraw the proceedings pending before the Labour Court at Chikmagalur relating to the said Industrial Disputes and transfer the said proceedings to the Labour Court at Mangalore.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

B. MANAMOHAN,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Deptt.

ANNEXURE

TABLE - I

Sl.No.	G.O. No. and Date	Registered I.D. No.	Name of the Parties
1	2	3	4
1	SWL 168 LLD 76 dated 5-5-76	R.I.D. 6/77	Workmen vs. Management of Visveswaraiah Iron and Steel Ltd., Bhadravathi

2	SWL 2107 LLD 75 dated 28-5-74	do 8/77	do	Mysore Iron and Steel Ltd., Bhadravathi
3	SWL 173 LLD 76 dated 21-7-76	do 9/77	do	Shikaripur Taluk Issur Service Co. op. Society Ltd., Issur, Shimoga Dist.
4	SWL 305 LLD 77 date. 14-6-77	do 12/77	do	M/s Thungabhadra Sugar Works Pvt. Ltd., Shimoga
5	SWL 296 LLD 75 dated 19-6-75	do 14/77	do	Agricultural Produce Market Committee, Shimoga
6	SWL 1082 LLD 74 dated 4-7-75	do 15/77	do	Mysore Iron and Steel Ltd., Bhadravathi
7	SWL 812 LLD 72 dated 4-12-75	do 16/77	do	Bhadravathi Iron and Steel Works Co. op. Bank Society Ltd., Bhadravathi
8	SWL 518 LLD 75 dated 30-9-75	do 17/77	do	Ramakrishna Shetty and Co., Gen. Merchant, Talaguppa, Shimoga Dist.
9	SWL 1139 LLD 74 dated 15-10-75	do 18/77	do	Mysore Iron and Steel Ltd., Bhadravathi
10	SWL 341 LLD 75 dated 6-5-75	do 19/77	do	Divisional Forest Officer, Karnataka Forest Plantation Corpn. Ltd., Shimoga
11	SWL 3 LLD 75 dated 6-5-75	R.I D. 20/77	Workmen vs. Management of	Chinnabelli Kelasagarara Kaigarika Sangha Ltd., Shimoga
12	SWL 885 LLD 74 dated 21-5-75	do 21/77	do	Karnataka State Industria, Co-Op Bank Ltd. Basavanagudi. Bangalore (Successor to Exl Shimoga Dist, Industl. Co-Op. Bank Shimoga) Government

2	3		4
13 SWL 295 LLD 75 dated 5-6-75	do 22/77	do	Integrated Wood Industry Shimoga
14 SWL 475 LLD 74 dated 9-10-74	do 23/77	do	Taluk, Agrl. Produce Co-Op. Marketing Society Ltd, Hosanagar, Shimoga Dist.
15 SWL 1222 LLD 73 dated 4-3-74	do 24/77	do	Mysore Iron and Steel Ltd., Bhadravathi
16 SWL 1227 LLD 73 dated 18-4-74	do 25/77	do	do
17 SWL 188 LLD 74 dated 9-10-74	do 26/77	do	do
18 SWL 130 LLD 74 dated 23-5-74	do 27/77	do	M/s. United Road Ways, Shimoga
19 SWL 881 LLD 74 dated 29-11-74	do 28/77	do	Bhadravathi Iron and Steel Works Consumers Co.-Op. Society, Ltd.
20 SWL 475 LLD 74 dated 9-10-74	do 29/77	do	M. V. Kedareswara Rice & Flour Mill Thadagani Village, Herekasvi Post, Udugani Hobli Shikaripur Taluk, Shimoga Dist
21 SWL 771 LLD 75 dated 29-11-74	do 30/77	do	Taluk Agrl. Produce Marketing Co.-Op. Society Ltd., Bhadravathi
22 SWL 641 LLD 75 dated 25-10-75	do 31/77	do	No. 1 B.R.C. Sub-Division, B.R. Project, via Birur
23 SWL 245 LLD 75 dated 19-6-75	do 32/77	do	Shankar Talkies, B. H. Road Bhadravathi

24	SWL 398 LLD 75 dated 17-11-75	do 33/77	do	Mysore Iron & Steel Ltd. Bhadravathi
25	SWL 523 LLD 73 dated 12-10-73	do 34/77	do	Ananda Motor Service, Shimoga
26	SWL 1315 LLD 73 dated 17-12-73	do 35/77	do	Bhadravathi Iron & Steel Work Co-Op. Banking Society Ltd. Bhadravathi
27	ECL 370 LLD 71 dated 2-8-71	do 36/77	do	M/s. United Roadways, Shimoga
28	SWL 928 LLD 73 dated 7-2-74	do 37/77	do	Mysore Iron & Steel Ltd., Bhadarvathi
29	SWL 1266 LLD 73 dated 10-1-74	do 38/77	do	Mysore State Electricity Board, Shimoga
30	SWL 1221 LLD 73 dated 10-1-74	do 39/77	do	Mysore Iron & Steel Ltd., Bhadravathi
31	ECL 536 LLD 72 dated 7-12-72	do 40/77	do	M/s. United Roadways, Shimoga
32	FCL 724 LLD 72 dated 4-8-72	do 41/77	do	Hotel Woodland, Shimoga
33	FCL 786 LLD 73 dated 2-8-73	do 42/77	do	Primary Co-Op. Land Development Bank Ltd., Thirthahalli s
34	SWL 104 LLD 72 dated 4-10-72	do 43/77	do	Bhadravathi Iron & Steel Work, Consumers Co-Op. Society Bhadravathi
35	SWL 929 LLD 73 dated 7-2-74	do 44/77	do	Mysore Iron and Steel Ltd. Bhadravathi

1	2	3	4
36	SWL 474 LLD 74 dated 7-10-74	R.I.D. 45/77	Workmen vs. Management Town Municipall Council, Shiralkoppa
37	SWL 603 LLD 76 12-11-76	do 46/77	do Karnataka State Forest Industry Corpn. Ltd, (Saw Mill) Honnali Road, Shimoga
38	SWL 568 LLD 76 dated 13-7-76	do 47/77	do Hindu Military Hotel, B.H. Road, Shimoga
39	SWL 564 LLD 76 dated 15-7-76	do 48/77	do Bee Keepers Co-Op. Society Ltd., Thirthahalli
40	SWL 563 LLD 76 dated 16-7-76	do 49/77	do Humcha Sree Padmamba Doddapramanada Shahakara Sangha Niyamitha Humcha, Hosanagara Tk.
41	SWL 565 LLD 76 dated 28-9-76	do 50/77	do Sri Ranganatha Motor Service, Shimoga
42	SWL 857 LLD 76 dated 30-9-76	do 51/77	do M/s. Khaji Abdul Azeez, Forest Contractor, GandhiBazar, Shimoga
43	SWL 459 LLD 76 dated 30-9-76	do 52/77	do Visveswaraiah Iron and Steel Ltd., Bhadravathi
44	SWL 960 LLD 76 dated 25-11-76	do 53/77	do Sri N.C. Ramanujam, Contractor, Caltex Operated Dept, Honnali Road, Shimoga
45	SWL 399 (Z) LLD 77 dated 29-6-77	do 54/77	do Sri Maharudra Rice and Oil Mills & Malnad Traders, K. R. Pura Street, Shimoga
46	SWL 571 LLD 77 dated 17-8-77	do 58/77	do Deepak Service Stations B. H. Road, Shimoga

Sl. No.		Application No.	Name of Parties.
1		2	3
1	I.D.	14/77	S. R. Subbanna Vs. M/s. Thungabhadra Sugar Works Private Ltd. Shimoga.
2	I.D.	15/77	Indumathi and others. Vs. Channaveerappa and another.
3	I.D.	16/77	M. L. Ramachandra Vs. Thungabhadra Sugar Works Private Ltd. Shimoga
4	I.D.	17/77	R. P. Biligi Vs. The Secretary, Karnataka State Electricity Board, Bangalore and another.
5	I.D.	18/77	Ghouse Khan Vs. The Mysore Match Company by its Chairman, Banglore and another.
6	I.D.	19/77	K. Seetharam Bhat Vs. Bhadravathi Iron and Steel Works Co-op. Banking Society Ltd. Bhadravathi.
7	I.D.	20/77	K. Raghava Kotian Vs Shivashankar Motor Service by its Prop. M. S. Sreenivasa Gowda and another.
8	I.D.	21/77	B. N. Ashoka Vs. Chinnabelli Kelasagarara Kaigarika Shakari Sangha Ltd., by its President H. Gopala Murthy snd others, Shimoga.
9	I.D.	23/77	H. Surya Narayana Vs. The Bhadravathi Iron and Steel works Co-op Society Ltd. Bhadravathi.
10	I.D.	24/77	Channa and others Vs. M/s. Mysore Paper Mills Ltd. Bhadravathi by its General Manager.
11	I.D.	25/77	K. Ramachandra Vs. Bhadravathi Town Hotel Owners' and Bulk Consumers Co-op. Society Ltd. B. H. Road, Bhadravathi.
12	I.D.	26/77	B. L. Venkatappa. Vs. Karnataka Electricity Board by its Secretary, Kaveri Bhavan, Dist. Office compound, Bangalore-9 and others.
13	I.D.	27/77	K. S. Shama Bhatta Vs. B. S. Viswantha, Chairman, KLD Bank Bangalore-18 and another.
14	I.D.	28/77	G. R. Kotrappa Vs. Nagar Narayanappa and another Sagar.

1			2	3
15	I.D.	29/77	Shivanagappa, T.	Vs. Management of Shimoga Dist. Co-op. Central Bank Ltd. Shimoga.
16	I.D.	31/77	B. S. Bhujanga Rao	Vs. The Superintending Engineer (Elecl) KEB Shimoga.
17	I.D.	32/77	H. Rajappa	Vs. The Management of Jayapadma Extraction Industries, Shikaripura.
18	I.D.	33/77	J. B. Sheshagiri Rao	Vs. The Superintending Engineer (Elec) KEB Shimoga and another.
19	I.D.	34/77	Ananthapadnabha	Vs The Hotel Owners' Consumers Co-op. Society Ltd. Garden Area Shimoga City.
20	I.D.	35/77	Annachary	Vs. The Mysore Match Co. Ltd. by its Chairman and Chief Conservator of Forests in Mysore, New Public Houses, Bangalore-1 and another.
21	I.D.	36/77	Mohammed Ummer	Vs. Khaji Abdul Azeez, Forest Contractor, Gandhi Bazar, Shimoga.
22	I.D.	37/77	Symen	Vs. The President, Govt. Officials Co-op. Society Ltd Balaraj Urs Road, Shimoga and another.
23	I.D.	38/77	C. Venkataramaiah	Vs. The Govt. of Mysore represented by the Secretary to the Dept. of Public Works Vidhana Soudha, Bangalore.
24	I.D.	44/77	H.N. SuryanarayanaRao	Vs. The General Manager, The Visveswaraiah Iron and Steel Ltd. Bhadravathi.
25	I.D.	45/77	Seetharam & 4 others	do
26	I.D.	46/77	H. A. Nagesh	Vs. S. R. Nagappa Shetty, Secretary, National Education Society, Balaraj Urs Road, Shimoga.

B. MANAMOHAN,
Under Secretary to Government,
S. W. & L. Department.

2719—S. O. 808

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Order No. SWL 394 LLD 76.

Bangalore, dated 15/17th March 1978.

WHEREAS the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the Management of The Executive Engineer (Electrical), Karnataka Electricity Board, Bidar Division, Bidar on the points noted below :

NOW, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal at Bangalore.

POINTS OF DISPUTE

1. "Are the Management of Karnataka Electricity Board represented by Executive Engineer (Elec.), Bidar Division. Bidar, Justified in transferring and diverting the 156 T. T.R. and 30 work charged employees (as per annexure) from Bidar Division to Hubli Division with effect from 5th March 1976.

2. If not, to what relief the above said workmen are entitled ?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

B. MANAMOHAN,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

V31—S.G. 310

N E

Sl. No.	Ch. No.	Name	Design	Working in the Roll of
1	2	3	4	5
		Sriyuths		*Sriyuths*
1	HK-I	Ismail	Unskld	H. Krishnasingh, Supervisir Bhalki
2	1175	Venkat Vattam	do	Shanker Supervisor (W.U.) Bidar
3	S-2	Hanumanth	do	Manikappa, Supervisor, B'Kalyan
4	1999	Ramanna	do	M. P. Gole, JEE. Humnabad
5	1892	Sharnappa	do	R. Basavaraj, Supervisor Humnabad
6	MB-6	Muralidhar	do	S. Mazar Ali Ahmed Supervisor K'nagar
7	1694	Rachappa	do	M. P. Gole, JEE. Humnabad
8	1452/NR-ō	Bheemsha	do	Shanker Supervisor Bidar
9	1293	Mallikarjuu	do	do
10	NR-4/1436	Umesha	do	do
11	1291	H. Sharnappa	do	do
12	1937	Md. Moosa	do	R. Basavaraj Supervisor Humnabad
13	1936	Md. Pasha	do	do
14	1933	Gundappa	do	M. P. Gole, JEE, Humnabad
15	1985	Ramachandera	do	do
16	1951	Siddappa	do	Manikappa, Supervisor B'kalyan
17	1950	Kashappa	do	do
18	1987	Sidramayya	do	M. P. Gole, JEE Humnabad
19	1986	Rachayya	do	do
20	2000	Veeranna	do	do

21	B-1	Valjinathaiah	do	Manikappa, Supervisor B'kalyan
22	B-5	Vershetty	do	do
23	B-2	Kashinath	do	do
24	1493	Tulsiram	do	Md. Jaleel Ahmed, Supervisor Bhalki
25	1523	Hafeez Minya	do	do
26	NB-1	R. Shanker	do	S. O. KEB, Bhalki
27	NB-2	Veershetty	do	do
28	NB-3	Dondiba	do	do
29	NB-4	Shankerayya	do	H. Krishnasingh, Supervisor Bhalki
30	NB-6	Basavanappa	do	S. O. KEB Bhalki
31	1576	Basawaraj	do	H. Krishna, Singh, Supervisor Bhalki
32	1323	Ramanna	do	S. O., KEE Bhalki
33	1325	Maruthi	do	do
34	1949	Baswanthrao	do	Manikappa Supervisor B'kalyan
35	1332	Balappa	do	S. O. KEE. Bhalki
36	AD-1	Yeshappa	do	Manikappa, Supervisor B'kalyan
37	1592	Havayya	do	do
38	1940	Vijayakumar	do	M. P. Gole, JEE. Humnabad.
39	1865	Gundappa	do	do
40	1835	Mallinath	do	R. Basavaraj, Supervisor Humnabad.
41	1705	Gulab	do	do
42	1938	Abdul Kareem	do	do
43	1982	Hanmanthappa	do	do
44	1935	Shanmukh	do	do
45	1999	Subhash	do	do
46	1993	Mallayya	do	do
47	1917	Nagappa	do	do
48	1947	C. Sharnappa	do	M. P. Gole, JEE, Humnabad.
49	1594	Narayan	do	Manikappa, Supervisor B'kalyan.
50	1584	B. Vithal	do	do

1	2	3	4	5
51	1149	Shamanna	Unskild	Md. Jaleel Ahmed, Supervisor Bhalki.
52	H-4/1553	Bheemanna	do	Shanker. Supervisor Bidar.
53	1588	N. M. Ghale	do	S. O. Aurad (R. G. Mathapathi)
54	1527	Kallappa	do	Manikappa, Supervisor B'kalyan.
55	1597	Ghallappa	do	do
56	1372/1334	Shanthappa	do	do
57	1377	Honnappa	do	S. O. Aurad (R. G. Mathapathi)
58	1596	Bandappa	do	Manikappa Supervisor B'kalyan.
59	1589	Samrathi	do	M. P. Gole JEE. Humnabad.
60	1945	Subhash	do	Manikappa, Supervisor B'kalyan.
61	1574 1474	Dhulappa	do	S. Mazar Ali Ahmed Supervisor K'nagar.
62	1946	Gadgish	do	Manikappa, Super. B'kalyan.
63	1410	Babu Rao	do	Supervisor O & M. Bhalki.
64	1321	M. Kallappa	do	H. Krishna Singh, Supervisor Bhalki.
65	1151	Shantaveer Sheelvanth Mech.	do	Shanker, Supervisor Bidar.
66	1387/H-1	Shanker. 'K'		do
67	H-2	Md. Saffi	do	Md. Jaleel Ahmed Supervisor Bhalki.
68	SK-2	Hanumanth	do	Supervisor (Civil) Bidar.
69	SK-2	Md. Pasha	do	do
70	NR-3	Md. Haneef	do	Md. Jaleel Ahmed. Supervisor Bhalki
71	1306	Davidas	do	Supervisor O & M Bhalki
72	1 16	Anbadas Rao	do	M. P. Gole. JEE Humnabad.
73	1148	Gurunath	do	Shanker. Supervisor (W.U) Bidar,
74	1420	Mahiboob	do	S.O. KEB. Bhalki
75	1335	Shesarao	do	do
776	1892/1987	Ayappa	do	R. Baswaraj Supervisor Humnabad.

77	1164	Chandrappa	do	Md. Jaleel Ahmed, Supervisor Bhalki.
78	1163	Jethappa	do	do
79	1162	Bharmappa	do	Shanker, Super. (W.U) Bidar.
80	1378	Vaijinaty	oo	Manikappa Supervisor B'kalyan.
81	1919	Ishwar	do	R. Baswaraj Supervisor Humnabad.
82	1412	D. Shanker	do	Supervisor, O & M Balki.
83	1130	Nagnath	do	do
84	942	Sambanna	Skld	Manikappa, Supervisor B'kalyan.
85	1081	Gundappa	Unskld	Supervisor, O & M Bhalki.
86	1941	Sanjeev Rao	do	Manikappa Supervisor B'kalyan.
87	1378 1578	Saibanna	do	H. Krishnasingh Supervisor Bhalki.
88	1 53	Nagashetty	do	Supervisor (Civil) Bidar.
89	1963	Shankerappa	do	Md. Jaleel Ahmed, Supervisor Bhalki.
90	1499	H Vaijinath	do	S. O. KEB. Bhalki.
91	NR-1	Bapuji	do	H. Krishnrsingh, Supervisor Bhalki.
92	1996	B Basavaraj	do	M. P. Gole, JEE. Humnabad.
93	1222/1220	Babu Miya	do	Shanker, Supervisor (W.U) Bidar.
94	12 5	Dhulappa	do	do
95	1598	Shivaram	do	Md. Jaleel Ahmed Supervisor Balki.
96	AD-5	Shivabasappa	do	Manikappa Supervisor B'kalyan.
97	1479	Tukaram	do	Md. Jaleel Ahmed Supervisor Bhalki.
98	AH-2	Hanuma	do	S. O. Aurad (R. G. Mathapathi.
99	1586	Tulsiram	do	do
100	1544	Bandegippa	do	Md. Jaleel Ahmed, Supervisor Bhalki.
101	1067	Hameed	do	Shanker, Supervisor, Bidar.
102	1065	Shanthkumar	do	do
103	1060/1066	Manohar	do	H. Krishnasingh, Supervisor Bhalki.
104	1069	Shanker H.	do	Shanker, Supervisor Bidar.
105	1068	Shivaraj, 'D'	do	do

1	2			
106	1146	Chandpasha	Unskld.	Md. Jaleel Ahemad. Supervisor Bhalki.
107	1404	Nagnath	Mech.	S. O. KEB. Bhalki.
108	1918	Chandrappa	Unskld.	R. Basavaraj. Supervisor Humnabad.
109	1071	Raj Ahemad	do	Supervisor (Civil) Bidar.
110	1395	Nazir Ahemad	do	Md. Jaleel Ahemad. Supervisor Bhalki.
111	1433	Bhimsha	do	do
112	1500	Chandrakanth	Mech.	S. Mazar Ali Ahemad, Supervisor. K.Nagar
113	1995	Anandappa	Unskld.	R. Basavaraj, Supervisor Humnabad.
114	1330	Veeranna	do	do
115	1920	Shivaraj	do	do
116	1931	Bhim Rao	do	do
117	1927	Srimanthappa	do	Manikappa, Supervisor. B'klyan.
118	1498	Hanumantha	do	H. Krishnasingh, Supervisor Bhalki.
119	1497	Ramchandra	do	S. Mazar Ali Ahemad. Supervisor Bhalki.
120	1109	Basavanappa		Shankar, Supervisor (W.U) Bidar.
121	1552	Suresh Babu	Skld.	Supervisor (Civil) Bidar.
122	1914	B. Bheemanna	do	Md. Jaleel Ahemad. Supervisor Bhalki.
123	1913	Vaijinath	Unskld.	do
124	1912	Gurappa	do	do
125	1911	Chandrappa	do	do
126	1910	Saibanna	do	M. P. Gole, JEE. Humnabad.
127	1490	Sudhakar	do	H. Krishnasingh, Supervisor Bhalki.
128	1108	Kishan	do	Supervisor (Civil) Bidar.
129	1476	Veerappa	do	Md. Jaleel Ahemad Supervisor Bhalki.
130	1529	Shivaraya	do	Manikappa, Supervisor B'klyan.
131	1200	M. Shanker	do	Shanker. Supervisor Bidar.
132	1197	T. Sharnappa	do	do

133	1191	Jaganath	do	Md. Jaleel Ahemad. Supervisor Bhalki.
134	1334	Kankappa	do	S. O. KEB. Bhalki.
135	1577	Machindranath	do	Md. Jaleel Ahemad. Supervisor Bhalki.
136	1531	Bheemanna	do	do
137	1491	Narayan	do	do
138	1591	Abdul Hameed	do	Manikappa Supervisor B'klyan.
139	1549	Eshwarappa	do	H. Krishnasingh. Supervisor Bhalki.
140	1364	R. Vaijinath	do	S. O. KEB. Aurad (R. G. Mathapathi)
141	1487	Satwaji Rao	do	S. O. KEB. Bhalki.
142	1547	Gangaram	do	do
143	1472	E. Manikappa	do	Md. Jaleel Ahemad Supervisor Bhalki.
144	1074	Adveppa	Skld.	S. O. KEB. Bhalki.
145	1804	Bakshri	Unskld.	Manikappa. Supervisor B'klyan.
146	1085	Mastan Sab	do	S. O. KEB. Aurad (R. G. Matapathi).
147	1100	Pakeeruddin	do	Md. Jaleel Ahmad. Supervisor Bhalki.
148	1826	Ismail	do	M. P. Gole. JEE. Humnabad.
149	1884	Rajpal	do	Manikappa. Supervisor. B'klyan.
150	1785	Kashinath	do	M. V. Hiremath, Supervisor B'klyan.
151	1775	H. Kashinath	do	Manikappa, Supervisor B'klyan.
152	1776	Maruthi	do	M. V. Hiremath. Supervisor B'klyan.
153	BN16/1083	Shivaji Rao	Skld.	M. P. Gole. JEE. Humnabad.
154	B42/1665	Eranna	Unskld	Manikappa. Supervisor Bhalki.
155	1748	Gundappa	Unskld	M. P. Gole. JEE. Humnabad.
156	1806	Siddappa	Mech.	Basavaraj. Supervisor Humnabad.

B. MANAMOHAN,

Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Dept.

COMMERCE AND INDUSTRIES SECRETARIAT

Notification No. CI 16 EPO 78
Bangalore, dated 20th March 1978.

In exercise of the powers conferred under Rule 15 (1) of the Mineral Concession Rules 1960, the Government of Karnataka hereby revoke the orders issued in Government Notification No CI 152 EPO 77, dated 18th August 1977, sanctioning a Prospecting Licence for green quartz in respect of the area of 90 acres (36.42 hectares) of land in Haritnimmanahalli Village, Kadur Taluk, Chickmagalur District in favour of Shri K. Viswanath as the granatee failed to pay the security deposit and execute the deed within the prescribed time limit.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karataka,
Y. B. CHENNAPPA REDDY,
Under secretary to Government, I/c.
Commerce and Industries Department.

2740—S.O. 811

Notification No. CI 15 EPO 78
Bangalore, dated 20th March 1978.

In exercise of the powers conferred under Rule 15 (1) of the Mineral Concession Rules, 1960, the Government of Karnataka hereby revoke the orders issued in Government Notification No CI 214 EPO 77, dated 10th August 1977, sanctioning a Prospecting Licence for Asbestos and Soapstone in respect of the area of 64 acres (25.90 hectares) of land in Gorinathapura village, Turuvekere Taluk, Tumkur District in favour of Shri C. Gangaiah, as the grantee failed to pay the security deposit and execute the deed within the prescribed time limit.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,
Y. B CHINNAPPA REDDY,
Under Secretary to Government, I/c.
Commerce and Industries Department

2741—S.O. 812

Notification No CI 53 EPO 78
Bangalore, dated 20th March 1978

In exercise of the powers conferred under Rule 15(1) of the Mineral Concession Rules, 1960, the Government of Karnataka hereby revoke the orders issued in Government Notification No. CI 308 EPO 77, dated 18th October 77, sanctioning a Prospecting Licence for quartz and Feldspar in respect of the area of 230 acres (93.08 hectares) of land in Allasandra village, Ramanagaram Taluk, Bangalore District in favour of Messrs. Karnataka Industrial Minieral Company as the grantee failed to pay the security deposit and execute the deed within the prescribed time limit.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,
Y. B CHINNAPPA REDDY,
Under Secretary to Government I/c.
Commerce and Industries Department.

2749—S.O. 813

Notfication No. CI 55 EPO 78
Bangalore, dated 20th March 1978

In exercise of the powers conferred under Rule 15 (1) of the Mineral Concesoion Rules,1960, the Government of Karnataka hereby revoke the orders issued in Government Notification No. CI 252 EPO 77, dated 10th October 1977, sanctioning a Prospecting Licence for Corrundum in respect of the area of 340 acres (137.59 hectres) of land in Doddanahalli and Talkere villages, Madhugiri Taluk, Tumkur District in favour of Shri. Kiran Raj as the grantee failed to pay the security deposit and execute the deed within the prescribed time limit.

By Order and in the name o the Governor of Karnataka,
Y. B. CHINNAPPA REDDY,
Under Secretary to Governmen I/c,
Commerce and Industries Department.

2750—S.O. 814

GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA
SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Labour Dispute :—Industrial Dispute between the workmen and the management of Sujatha Theatre, Ramachandrapuram, Bangalore-21.

Award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Bangalore, published.

Read the following papers.

(1) Letter No. 2083/77-78, dated 23rd February 1978 from Sri H. Shanmukhappa, Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Bangalore.

BEFORE THE PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, BANGALORE.

Dated this 23rd day of February 1978.

Present :

Shri H. Shanmukhappa, B.A., B.L.,
Presiding Officer.

Reference No. 162 of 1976.

First Party.—
Abdul Hameed and N. Ramu, C/o Cinema Workers Union, Bangalore-9.

Vs.

Second Party.—
The Management of Sujatha, Theatre, Ramachandrapuram, Bangalore-21.

Reference :

Government Order No. SWL 997 LLD 76; dated 18th November 1978.

AWARD

1. The Government of Karnaraka in their order No. SWL 997 LLD 76, dated 18th November, 1976, have referred an Industrial

Dispute existing between the abovenamed parties to this Court for adjudication on the points of dispute noted below :—

"I. Are the Management of Sujatha Theatre, Ramachandrapuram, Bangalore-1, justified in refusing work to the following workmen with effect from the dates mentioned against them ?

Sri Abdul Hameed with effect from 17th March 1976.

Sri N. Ramu with effect from 10th March 1976.

II. If not, to what relief the said workmen are entitled ?"

2. On receipt of reference, it was registered as Reference No. 162 of 1976 and notices were issued to the parties to file their statements. The I Party filed their claim statement on 8th August 1977. But the II Party neither appeared nor filed their counter-statement. Therefore, fresh notice was issued to the II Party. The registered notice sent to the II Party returned unserved as the II Party's Manager has refused to take the Notice. Therefore, on 9th January 1978, the II Party was placed *ex-parte* holding service of notice on II Party was sufficient. In the circumstances, I am constrained to proceed under Rule 23 of the Industrial Disputes (Karnataka) Rules as if the II Party was present and had been duly represented.

3. The I Party workmen in their claim statement have stated that the II Party disliked the Union activities of the I Party workmen. Regarding Sri Abdul Hameed, it is stated that he had joined II Party on 22nd October 1971 as a Gate Keeper and he was receiving a monthly wage of Rs 190 at the time of his illegal termination. On 23rd February 1976 he went to the theatre to request for leave as he was sick. The Manager was not there. He met the Assistant Manager and requested for grant of 4 days sick leave from 23rd July 1977. For this, the Assistant Manager told him to send the leave letter by post saying that the letter in person will not be acknowledged. Accordingly he sent the leave letter by certificate of posting. After 4 days he reported for duty on 27th February 1976 at 4-30 P.M. (2nd shift). He was not taken on duty, but was given a notice and was asked to come next day after furnishing explanation to that notice. He requested for sanction his four days sick leave by that was refused. On receipt of notice dated 28th February 1976 and after furnishing doctor's certificate he was allowed to work on 28th February 1976 from 3 P.M. to 11-45 P.M. But on 29th February 1976 at about 5 P.M. when he was in the midst of ticket punching, Sri Gopal Naidu, Yajaman of the theatre, asked him to stop working and told him that he had produced a false medical certificate and that he and the doctor would be handed over to the Police. When Mr. Hameed (I Party workman) submitted that he had not committed any mistake, the Yajaman

got angry and directed the Manager, Sri Nataraj, not to allow him inside the theatre. Since then he has not been permitted to enter the theatre. He was not given any dismissal order but was told that through lawyer's notice it would be sent. He continuously made himself present at the workspot from 1st March 1976. Till 17th March 1976 he was not given any dismissal orders. Hence he had approached the conciliation officer by raising an industrial dispute, which had resulted in this reference. He prays for his reinstatement with back wages and consequential benefits as his termination is illegal.

4. Regarding N. Ramu, it is stated that he was working in the II party theatre since 22nd October 1971 as a Gate Keeper on a monthly salary of Rs. 174. The salary of the workers were not paid in time in spite of instructions from the Labour Inspector. He asked for the salary on 27th February 1976 and brought to the notice of the management that he should be paid before 10th of every month, the II party management got angry and he was served with a show cause notice on 6th March 1976 making wild and baseless allegations. He replied denying the charges. On 10th March 1976 he was issued with a memo suspending him w.e.f. 11th March 1976. His reply dated 6th March 1976 was not acknowledged. On 15th March 1976 he was informed that an enquiry would be conducted on 18th March 1976, but no enquiry was held. Further no copies of complaints or charges have been given to him in spite of his requests. Hence he raised an industrial dispute which ended in this reference. He prays for his reinstatement with back wages and consequential reliefs as his termination is highly illegal and *mala fide*.

5. Both the I party workmen got themselves examined as W.W. 1 and W.W. 2 and marked exhibits W. 1 to W. 22.

6. W.W. 1 S. Abdul Rahim has stated that he was working as a Gate keeper in the II Party theatre since 22nd October 1971. As they were not paid wages according to minimum wages Act he had complained to the Union. On 23rd February 1976 he went to the theatre and applied for 4 days sick leave as he had fever. The Assistant Manager asked him to send the leave letter by post. He sent the leave application by Certificate of posting, which is Ex. W. 2. Copy of leave appliation is Ex. W. 1. On 27th Febuary 1976 he went to work as usual, but he was rerused work. Next day show cause notice was issued as per Ex. W. 3. He had produced doctor's certificate and worked on 28th February 1976. Ex. W. 4 is the doctor's certificate and Ex. W 5 is the reply to show cause notice. Ex. W. is the postal acknowledgement. Thereafter he regularly went for work but he was refused work. Ex. W. 7 is a copy of his representation to the conciliation officer. Even though he was prepared to work, the management has refused employment to him with any valid reason

cause. He prayed for his reinstatement with back wages and other reliefs.

7. W. W. 2 Sri N. Ramu has stated that he had joined the II Party theatre on 22nd October 1971. As he demanded payment of wages on due dates, he was served with a show cause notice as per Ex. W. 8. Ex W. 9 is the reply sent by him. Ex. W. 10 is the postal acknowledgement. Ex. W. 11 is letter dated 10th March 1976 written to him. Ex. W. 12 is a copy of his letter to the management. Ex. W. 13 is letter dated 15th March 1976 of the management for conducting an enquiry. He went to attend the enquiry, but the management did not conduct any enquiry. He had also requested the management to permit him to be represented by a Union representative as per Ex. W. 14 and Ex. W. 16. The postal acknowledgements, are Ex. W. 15 and Ex. W. 17 respectively. Ex. W. 20 is another written by him to the management. Ex. W 21 is the postal acknowledgement. Ex. W. 22 is his letter copy dated 6th May 1976 to the Labour Officer. In spite of all these, the management had refused work although he was demanding work. He says that his termination is illegal and prays for his reinstatement with back wages and other reliefs.

8. The burden was upon the management to prove that they were justified in refusing work to the two I Party workmen. The II Party has miserably failed to discharge this burden. They have even gone to the extent of refusing to accept the Registered Notice sent by this Court. There is absolutely no defence put by the II Party. On the other hand, the I Party workmen have supported their claims in their evidence, which is also supported by exhibits W-1 to W-22. I have no reason to disbelieve the evidence of the I Party which stands unrebutted. In the circumstances, I am of the opinion that the II Party management was not justified in refusing work to the I Party workmen. Hence my Award.

AWARD

9. In the result, the reference is answered as follows:—The management of Sujatha Theatre, Ramachandrapuram, Bangalore-1 were not justified in refusing work to the following workmen with effect from the dates mentioned against them:

1. Sri Abdul Hameed with effect from 17th March 1976.
2. Sri N. Ramu with effect from 10th March 1976.

The two I Party workmen mentioned above, are entitled to the reliefs of reinstatement in their former posts with continuity of service and back wages. No costs.

H. SHANMUKHAPPA,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court Bangalore.

Order No. SWL 53 LLA 78,
Bangalore, dated 15th/17th March 1978.

Whereas by State Government Order No. SWL 997 LLD 76, dated 18th November 1976, the Industrial Dispute between the workmen and the management of Sujatha Theatre, Ramachandrapuram Bangalore-21 was referred to the Labour Court, Bangalore, for adjudication;

And, whereas the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Bangalore has submitted to the State Government its award in respect of the said Industrial Dispute.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka are pleased to direct the publication of the said award as above, in the *Karnataka Gazette*.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

B. MANAMOHAN,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Dept.

2728—S. O. 815

REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Notification No. RD 21 TSR 78.
Bangalore, dated 17th March 1978.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka on consideration of the report of the Deputy Commissioner, Hassan district is of the opinion that it is necessary to remove rural unemployment in certain areas of the said District as indicated in the Schedule;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 37 of the Karnataka Land Improvement Act, 1961 (Karnataka Act No. 6 of 1962), the Government of Karnataka hereby declares that it is necessary to remove Rural Unemployment in Hassan district in the villages cited in column (3) of the Schedule annexed hereto situated in the taluk specified in the corresponding entry in column (2) thereof.

SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Taluk	Villages
1	2	3
1	Sakaleshpura	*Kasaba Hobli* 1 Sakaleshpura 2 Gowdahalli

2	3
	3 Iragullu
	4 Chikkasathigala
	5 Doddasathigala
	6 Jankere
	7 Ibbadi
	8 Sullakki
	9 Hollibylu
	10 Shanthapura
	11 Nalluli
	12 Kamanahalli
	13 Bykaravalli
	14 Haragarahalli
	15 Kelagina Manchanahalli
	16 Kadagaravalli Estate
	17 Kadagaravalli
	18 Aluvalli
	19 Kyanahalli
	20 Arekere
	21 Hullahalli
	22 Hullahalli Estate
	23 Kesaganahalli
	24 Kumbaradi Estate
	25 Bugadahalli
	26 Kalagane
	27 Donigala
	28 Nadahalli
	29 Kumbaradi
	30 Devihalli
	31 Anemahal
	32 Hebbasale
	33 Ganadahole
	34 Honnali
	35 Baikere
	36 Kelagalale
	37 Biradahalli
	38 Kirahalli
	39 Masuvalli
	40 Halasuligo
	41 Kadabanahalli
	42 Magadi
	43 Jannapura
	44 Doddanagasa
	45 Achangi
	46 Hasade

2	3
	3 Iragullu
	4 Chikkasathigala
	5 Doddasathigala
	6 Jankere
	7 Ibbadi
	8 Sullakki
	9 Hollibylu
	10 Shanthapura
	11 Nalluli
	12 Kamanahalli
	13 Bykaravalli
	14 Haragarahalli
	15 Kelagina Manchanahalli
	16 Kadagaravalli Estate
	17 Kadagaravalli
	18 Aluvalli
	19 Kyanahalli
	20 Arekere
	21 Hullahalli
	22 Hullahalli Estate
	23 Kesaganahalli
	24 Kumbaradi Estate
	25 Bugadahalli
	26 Kalagane
	27 Donigala
	28 Nadahalli
	29 Kumbaradi
	30 Devihalli
	31 Anemahal
	32 Hebbasale
	33 Ganadahole
	34 Honnali
	35 Baikere
	36 Kelagalale
	37 Biradahalli
	38 Kirahalli
	39 Masuvalli
	40 Halasuligo
	41 Kadabanahalli
	42 Magadi
	43 Jannapura
	44 Doddanagasa
	45 Achangi
	46 Hasade

1	2	3
		47 Basavanahalli
		48 Hosur Estate
		49 Kollahalli
		50 Mattasagara
		51 Mattasagara Estate
		52 Echalavalli Estate
		53 Kabbinagadde
		54 Kogaravalli
		55 Siddapura
		56 Kanigalamane
		57 Hallebelur
		58 Ramenahalli
		59 Malali
		Belagodu Hobli.
		60 Belagodu
		61 Eswarahalli
		62 Menasumakki
		63 Hasuvalli
		64 Ballu
		65 Chikkanajikanahalli
		66 Banavase
		67 Rajendrapura
		68 Hosagadde
		69 Jammanahalli
		70 Bandihalli
		71 Kunigunahalli
		72 Adaravalli
		73 Heggave
		74 Jodikelavalli
		75 Vadur
		76 Kiru unse
		77 Jodiharkanahalli
		78 Halekere
		79 Hoskere
		80 Bage
		81 Gantebommanahalli
		82 Yadehalli
		83 Jodialuvalli
		84 Gulugalale
		85 Kakkanamane
		86 Kendanamane
		87 Hebbanahalli
		88 Balagodu
		89 Udevara
		90 Kesaguli
		91 Matigalale
		92 Jodi Kiruvale
		93 Lakkanda
		94 Sandevalli

1	2	3
		95 Siddigalalo
		96 Mugali
		97 Kolanahalli
		98 Golagonde
	Yelur Hobli	
		99 Yelur
		100 Chikkundur
		101 Dodd-kundur
		102 Mathur
		103 Maragaballi
		104 Heggadihalli
		105 Changadiballi
		106 Heruru
		107 Santhaballi
		108 Sundaballi
		109 Manjur
		110 Echalabeedu
		111 Kalale Estate
		112 Hanase
		113 Hanase Estate
		114 Kalale
		115 Tadakalu
		116 Kowkudi
		117 Hosakote
		118 Hosakote Estate
		119 Hosur
		120 Kadlur
		121 Narur
		122 Hulugattur Estate
		123 Odahalli
		124 Tambalagere
		125 Magere
		126 Bangere
		127 Kongahalli
		128 Goddu
		129 Hulagathur
		130 Hadalkerehalli
		131 Uchangi
		132 Palahalli
		133 Kargur
		134 Lakkunda
		135 Hallyur
		136 Hadya
		137 Igoor
		138 Igoor Estate
		139 Yedekere
		140 Hathigarahalli
		141 Doddanahalli

1	2	3
		142 Gowdarabylu
		143 Hennali
		144 Magalu
		145 Kumbarahalli
		146 Kottanahalli
		147 Kerodi
		148 Chikkakallur
		149 Tontalapura
		150 Doddakallur
		151 Saragahalli
		Hanabalu Hobli.
		152 Hanabalu
		153 Hadige Estate
		154 Mutanapura
		155 Markihalli
		156 Mavinahalli
		157 Agalatti
		158 Dabbegadde
		159 Jambardi
		160 Kamanahalli
		161 Mavinakolu
		162 Randi Estate
		163 Agani
		164 Kadumane Estate
		165 Kadumane
		166 Manjenahalli Estate
		167 Heggadde
		168 Manbigati Estate
		169 Kumariballi
		170 Hodachahalli
		171 Achanahalli
		172 Maragunda
		173 Nerkanahalli
		174 Nelagalli
		175 Marugadi
		176 Hirimane
		177 Devaladakere
		178 Kogaravalli
		179 Hanjegodanahalli
		180 Hurual
		181 Achardi
		182 Bachanahalli
		183 Hanjagodanahalli Estate
		184 Bachanahalli or Averekadu Estate
		185 Hacige

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

T. L. KRISHNAIAH,

Under Secretary to Government,

Ne De

2759—S.O. 818

HOME SECRETARIAT

Notification No. HD 67 TMA 78.

Bangalore, dated 22nd March 1978.

In exercise of the powers conferred by Rules 316 and 341 of the Karnataka Motor Vehicles Rules, 1963, the Government of Karnataka having been satisfied that the Terex 35 Ton Rear Dumpers (Model R. 35 B, described in the Schedule below belonging to M/s. Hindustan Motor Limited., P.O. Malnellathur, Trivallore Taluk, Chengalpet District, Tamilnadu State, hereby exempts the said vehicles from the provision of Rule 200 and Rule 319(ii) of the said rules to enable them to ply the dumpers from the Karnataka State Boarder on National Highway No. 7 near Hosur to Bangalore and then to Maharashtra through the National Highway No. 4, Via Tumkur. Chitradurga, Dharwar. Belgaum and upto State Border subject to the following conditions :—

1. Only empty vehicles should be transported on these roads and it should not carry any load over and above its self weight.

2. Movement of the vehicles should be completed as early as possible.

3. Permission is valid for portion of NH No. 7 from State border near Hosur to Bangalore and from Bangalore to Maharashtra on NH via Tumkur, Chitradurga, Dharwar and Belgaum.

4. The speed of the vehicles should not exceed 16 KH/HR, and KM/HR on bridges and culverts.

5. The vehicles should be driven between 6 A.M. and 6 P.M.

6. No damages should be caused to the pedestrains and other vehicles moving on the road, bridges and culverts.

7. The State Government is not responsible for any damages caused to these vehicles or its contents or occupants during transport.

8. The Strengthening the weak culverts and bridges if any, required for passing the vehicles, shall be done at the cost of the party and damages caused to the road and culvert or bridges should be made good by the party as per assessment of the Executive Engineers of the concerned Public Works Divisions.

9. The Executive Engineers of the concerned National Highways Division (Bangalore, Tumkur, Chitradurga, Dharwar-Belgaum) shall be informed in advance, the date of transport of vehicles.

10 Red flags must be exhibited at either extremities length and breadthwise.

11. The vehicles Must be brought to a stop when there are vehicles approaching from the rear and front.

12. As there are certain culverts under constructions on NH 4 the vehicles have to be taken on the diversions and it is the entire responsibility of the party to strengthen/widen/improve the grades of these diversions to the requirements, at their cost.

SCHEDULE

Unit Sl. No.	*Frame No.*
H 5012	5012/F
H 5013	5013/F
H 5014	5014/F
H 5015	5015/F
H 5016	5016/F
H 5017	5017/F
H 5018	5018/F
H 5019	5019/F
H 5020	5020/F
H 5021	5021/F

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

K. P. RAMAKRISHNA,
Under Secretary to Government,
Home Department.

2765—S. O. 819

HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT SECRETARIAT

Notification No. HUD 15 MNE 78.

Bangalore, dated 23rd March, 1978.

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2) of Section 4 read with sub-section (1) of Section 6 of the Karnataka Urban Water Supply and Drainage Board Act, 1973 (Karnataka Act 25 of 1974), Government of Karnataka hereby appoints Sri S. V. Agnihotri (Ex-M.L.A.) Dharwad as the Chairman of the Karnataka Urban Water Supply and Drainage Board, Bangalore *vice* Sri H. S L. Murthy, Managing Director relieving him of his additional charge, with immediate effect and until further orders.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

M. KRISHNA RAO,
Under Secretary to Government,
Housing and Urban Development Department.

2762—S. O. 827

REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Notification No. RD 142 EST 75
Bangalore, dated 23rd March 1978

In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 78 of the Registration Act 1908 (Central Act XVI of 1908) the Government of Karnataka, herewith makes the following further amendments to the table of fees notified in Notification No. RD 48 EST 72, dated 15th March 1973 *Viz*;

In the table of fees annexed to the said Notification in Article XXXIV after item 45 the following shall be added :—

"46. For Registration of documents executed for the loans upto Rs. 10,000 (Rupees Ten Thousand Only) sanctioned to individuals by the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes Development Corporation Ltd., and upto Rs 50,000 (Rupees Fifty Thousand Only) sanctioned by the same Development Corporation to the registered bodies, Societies and Organisations which are registered under Law and whose accounts are subject to an Annual Audit."	"NIL"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

A. S. PATIL,
I/c Under Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

2763—S. O. 828

Order No. RD 142 EST 75
Bangalore, dated 23rd March 1978

In exercise of the powers conferred by Clause (*a*) of Sub-Section (1) of Section 9 of the Karnataka Stamp Act 1957 (Karnataka Act, No. 34 of 1957) the Government of Karnataka being of the opinion that it is necessary in the public interest so to do, hereby remits the duties chargeable under the said Act in respect of documents executed for the loans upto Rs. 10,000 (Rupees Ten Thousand Only) sanctioned to individuals by the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes Development Corporation Ltd., and upto Rs. 50,000 (Rupees Fifty Thousand) Only sanctioned by the same Development Corporation to the registered bodies, Societies and Organisations which are registered under Law and whose accounts are subject to an Annual Audit.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

A. S. PATIL,
I/c Under Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

2764—S. O. 829

ERRATUM Notification No. RD 18 AQW 78.

Bangalore, dated 16th/22nd March 1978.

In column 2 of the table in Notification No. RD 158 AQW 77, dated 16th February 1978, the following corrections shall be noted :—

For	*Read*
Administrator, Upper Krishna Project, Almatti.	Administrator, Upper Krishna Project, Gulbarga.
Special Deputy Commissioner for Land Acquisition and Rehabilitation, U.K.P., Almatti.	Special Deputy Commissioner for Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Development, U.K.P., Almatti Damsite.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

M. SARMADUDDIN,
Under Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

2767—S. O. 830

COMMERCE AND INDUSTRIES SECRETARIAT

Notification No. CI 52 EPO 78,

Bangalore, dated 20th March 1978.

In pursuance of sub-section (1) of Section 5 of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957, the Government of Karnataka hereby sanctions a Prospecting Licence in favour of Shri Shafi Ahamed for a period of two years for Green Quartz over 28 acres (11.33 hectares) of land in Kumminagatta village, Holalkere Taluk, Chitradurga District, as per sketch furnished by the Director of Mines and Geology, Bangalore.

The grant of the above said Prospecting Licence is subject to the terms and conditions appended hereunto.

Terms and Conditions

1. The licence shall be operative for a period of two years with effect from the date of execution. The option to renew the licence for a further period shall be reserved to Government.

2. The licensee shall pay to Government a Prospecting fee of Rs. 2.50 per hectare of the land covered by the licence for each year or portion of a year.

3. The quantity of ore to be removed under this licence both on payment of royalty and free of royalty, shall be in accordance with the limits prescribed under Rule 14 (ii) and (iii) and under Schedule III respectively of the Mineral Concession Rules, 1960. The royalty payable on the Minerals shall be in accordance with the rates prescribed in Second Schedule to the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957 and as amended from time to time.

4. The licensee shall make available to the Government of India beryl or any other 'substance prescribed' under Section 3 of the Atomic Energy Act No. XXIX of 1948 if they occur in the property under licence ;

5. The licencee shall be subject to the rules issued under Sections 13 and 18 of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957 (No. 67 of 1957).

6. If any other mineral is traced during the course of prospecting, the licensee shall report about the same to the Director of Mines and Geology and shall not work such minerals without obtaining prior permission of Government ;

7. The licensee shall furnish an accurate final map of areas covered by the licence together with a description, giving the situation and boundaries of the areas duly attested by the licensee. The Director of Mines and Geology is authorised to get the accurate final map with survey numbers etc., prepared and demarcation done before executing the licence if the licensee so desired and to recover the cost of the same from the licensee ;

8. The licensee shall, before the deposit is returned to him submit confidentially to the Director of Mines and Geology from time to time or whenever required a full report of the work done by him and disclose all information acquired in the course of the operations carried on under the licence regarding the geology and mineral resources of the area covered by the licence ;

9. The licensee shall allow any officer authorised by the Central or the State Government to inspect at any time the area covered by the Prospecting licence as also any accounts, plans and records maintained by him ;

10. The licensee shall before the licence is issued to him deposit as security a sum of Rs. 200 in respect of every square kilometre or part thereof for which the licence is granted for the due observance of the terms and conditions of the licence.

11. The licensee shall engage a qualified Geologist or a Mining engineer to conduct the prospecting methodically ;

12. The licensee shall not give any ore-raising contract during the prospecting stage ;

13. The above mentioned area is subject to verification after actual survey ; and

14. Local and other cesses as prevalent in Chitradurga District.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka.

Y. B. CHINNAPPA REDDY,
Under Secretary to Government I/c.
Commerce and Industries Department.

2748—S.O. 831

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Order No. SWL 314(2) LLE 77.

Bangalore, dated 14th/15th March 1978.

Whereas the Industrial Disputes specified in the Annexure Table I and II appended to this order are pending before the Labour Court at Chikmagalur for adjudication.

And, whereas the Government of Karnataka considers that a more expeditious adjudication of the said Industrial Disputes will be facilitated if the said Industrial disputes are transferred to the Labour Court at Mangalore.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Sub-Section 33-B of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby withdraw the proceedings pending before the Labour Court at Chikmagalur relating to the said Industrial Disputes and transfer the said proceedings to the Labour Court at Mangalore.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,
B. MANAMOHAN,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

2720—S.O. 832

ANNEXURE
TABLE-I

Sl. No.	G. O No. and Date	Registered I.D. No.			Name of the parties
1	2	3			4
1	SWL 979 LLD 75 dt. 24-1-1975	R.I.D. 1/76	Work-men	*Vs.*	M. L. Gurjer, Prop. Javali Estate Chikmagalur Dist.
2	SWL 961 LLD 75, dt. 24-1-1976	2/76	,,		M. L. Gurjer. Prop. Javali Estate, Chikmagalur Dist.
3	FCL 521 LLD 72, dt. 17-9-1973	,, 4/76		,,	The Management, Bidre Estate, Sangameswar Post Office, Chikmagalur Dist.

1					
4	FCL 45 LLD 71, dt. 25-8-1971	,,	5/76	,,	,, The Management, Yelemadlu Coffee Estate, Durgabetta Post, C'lore Dist.
5	FCL 37 LLD 71, dt. 16-4-1971	,,	6/76	,,	,, The Management, Mabalvode Estate, Magundi Post Chikmagalur Dist.
6	FCL 250 LLD 71, dt. 31-5-1971	,,	7/76	,,	,, The Management, Doopadacool Estate Mallenahally P. O. C'lore Dist.
7	FCL 553 LLD 71, dt. 7-6-1971	,,	8/76	,,	,, The Management, Vignan Industries Ltd, Tarikere, Chikmagalur Dist.
8	FCL 344 LLD 71, dt. 5-7-1971		9/76	,,	The Management, A & B Doopadacool Estate, Mallenahally P. O. C'lore Dist.
9	FCL 376 LLD 71, dt. 3-8-1971		10/76	,,	The Management, A & B Doopadacool Estate and Gowri Khan Estate, Mallenahally, P. O. C'lore Dist.
10	FCL 631 LLD 71, dt. 16-9-1971		11/76	,,	,, The Management A & B Doopadacool & Gowr khan Estate, Mallenahally, P. O C'lore Dist.
11	FCL 122 LLD 71, dt. 11-2-1972	,,	12/76	,,	The Management, Mysore Khadi and Village Industries Co-op, Society Ltd Ajjampur, C'lore Dist.
12	FCL 72 LLD 72, dt. 29-3-1972	,,	13/76	,,	The Management, Agricultural Produce Market Committee, Kadur,
13	FCL 42 LLD 73, dt. 12-3-1973	,,	16/76	,,	,, The Management, Gundikhan Group of Estates, Nethichowk, Biragnimutt post.
14	FCL 1344 LLD 72, dt. 23-4-1973	,,	17/76	,,	,, The Management, Bettadakhan and Jaralkal Estates, Panaravalli P. O. C'lore Dist.

15	SCL 784 LLD 73, dt. 13-9-1973	"	19/76	"	"	The Management, Melukkada Estate Aldur, P O., C'lore Dist.
16	SWL 1056 LLD 72, dt. 27-9-1973	R.I.D.	20/76	Workman vs.		The Management of Gooman Khan & Samsay Estates owned by the Kalsa Tea and Produce Co. Ltd., Hirebylu P. O. C'lore Dist.
17	SWL 770 LLD 73, dt. 9-10-1976	"	21/76	"	"	The Management, Theresapura Estate, Ankere Post *Via* Panchanahally, C'lore Dist.
18	SWL 1629 LLD 72, dt. 31-10-1973	"	23/76	"	"	The Management, Kummargoda Estates Ltd., Mudigere P. O. C'lore Dist.
19	SWL 927 LLD 72, dt. 13-11-73	"	24/76		"	The Management of Flore Estate, Balehole P. O. C'lore Dist.
20	SWL 44 LLD 73, dt. 30-11-1973	"	25/76	"	"	The Management Hosyet Estate, Thogaribankal P. O. C'lore Dist.
21	SWL 30 LLD 74, dt. 4-4-1974	"	26/76	"	"	The Management, Dodda Khan Estate, Kaimar P. O. C'lore Dist.
22	SWL 477 LLD 74, dt. 21-6-1974	"	27/76	"	"	The Management of Bokkekhan Estate, by its Prop. Anthony Mathias-prabhu, Kesavinamane Post. C'lore Taluk.
23	SWL 515 LLD 74, dt. 26-8-1974	"	28/76	"	"	The Management, B. M. Engineering Works, Naidu Street, Chikmagalur.
24	SWL 733 LLD 74, dt. 26-10-1974	"	30/76	"	"	V. R. Shamanna Prop. Malnad Electric Stores, Chikmagalur.
25	SWL 1041 LLD 74, dt. 2-2-1974	"	31/76	"	"	Smt. Channabasavamma, Coffee Planter, Galkere Estate.

1	2	3	4
26	SWL 1042 LLD 74 dt. 9-12-74	32/76	The Chief Officer, Town Municipal Council, C'lore.
27	SWL 965 (2) LLD 74, dt. 11-12-74	33/76	B. R. Krishnegowda, Coffee Planter, Basarikhan Estate, Byravally, Mallandur Post, C'lore.
28	SWL 887 LLD 74, dt. 11-12-74	34/76	Md. Ibrahim, Prop, Khaleel Motor Service, Vijayapura, Chikmagalur.
29	SWL 1051 LLD 74, dt. 13-12-74	35/76	The President, Taluk Agricultural Produce Co: op. Marketing Society Ltd., Kadur Post, C'lore Dist.
30	SWL 845 LLD 74, dt. 3-1-75	36/76	B. H. Davananda Pai, Partner, Vasantha Cool Estate Kalmara P.O. C'lore Dist.
31	SWL 21 LLD 75, dt. 20-3-75	38/76	The Management, Chikmagalur Dist. Co. op. Central Bank Ltd., C'lore.
32	SWL 1102 LLD 74, dt. 3-4-75	39/76	The President, Kadur Taluk, Agricultural Produce Co. op. Society Ltd., Kadur P. O. C'lore Dist.
33	SWL 162 LLD 75, dt. 4-6-75	40/76	The Secretary & Manager, Mysore Coffee Curing Works Ltd., Chikmagalur.
34	SWL 399 (2) LLD 75, dt. 5-7-75	41/76	B. Govindaraj, Prop. Hotel Midland Market Road, Chikmagalur.
35	SWL 562 LLD 75, dt. 17-9-75	42/76	S. Manjunath, Managing Partner, Janatha Finance Corporation, S. K. Road, C'lore.

36	SWL 277 LLD 75, dt. Nil Sept. 75]		43/76		The Management, Chickmagalur Kasaba Large Size Primary Agricultural Credit Co. op. Society, Ltd., Chickmagalur.
37	SWL 203 LLD 75, dt. 30-9-75	,,	44/76	,, ,,	The Management, The Vignan Industries Ltd., Tarikere, Chikmagalore Dist.
38	SWL 597 (2) LLD 75, dt. 13-11-75	R.T.D.	45/76	Workman ,,	The Management, Bichale Khan Estate owned by H. M. Jaffar Coffee Planter.
39	SWL 709 (LLD (2) 76, dt. 12-10-76		46/76		The Management, Majnuatha Diary Farm Doddakuruburahalli, Chickmagalore P.O.
40	SWL 20 (2) LLD 76, dt. 30-10-76		47/76		The Management, L.H. Patwardan, Prop. Madhuvana Estate, 'Ananth' 101, Smarth Nagar, Aurangabad City (M.S).
41	SWL 764 LLD 76, dt. 30-10-1976	,,	48/76	,,	The Management, The Shankar Transport Co. (P) Ltd., Koppa Chikmagalur Dist.
42	SWL 1035 LLD 76, dt. 4-12-1976	,,	50/76	,,	The President, Dist. Co, op. Central Bank Ltd., Chickmagalore.
43	SWL 1056 LLD 76, dt. 13-12-1976	,,	51/76	,,	Md. Sulaman, Prop. Firose Transport Chikmagalur,
44	SWL 1057 LLD 76, dt. 15-12 1976	,,	52/76		do do
45	SWL 40 (2) LLD 75, dt. 22 12-1976	,,	53/76	,,	B M. Naravanagowda, Coffee Planter Bagemane Estate, Chikmagalore Dist
46	SWL 1156 LLD 76, dt. 15-1 1977	,,	1/77	,, ,,	The Management, Dist, Co. op. Central Bank Ltd., Chikmagalur.

1	2	3	4	
47	SWL 1054 LLD 75, dt. 30-10-1975	,, 2/77	,,	,, Jagnatha Gowda, Coffee Planter, Rameshwara Estate, Davarunda Post, Mudigere Taluk, C'lore Dist.
48	SWL 50 LLD 77, dt. 25-2-1977	,, 5/77		,, The Management of Mallal, Sangudda Estate, owned by the South India Tea and Coffee Estate Ltd., Hiribulu Post. C'lore Dist.
49	SWL 960 (2) LLD 75, dt. 5-4-1975	,, 7/77	,,	,, The President, Sri Kodandaramaswamy Service Co. op. Society Ltd. Hiremangalur C'lore Dist.
50	SWL 199 (2) LLD 77, dt 15-4-1977	,, 10/77	,,	,, Smt. Edira Rodrigues, Hemaravalli Estate, Mallandur P. O. C'lore Dist.
51	SWL 187 LLD 76, dt. 31-3-1977	,, 11/77	,,	,, The Management, Mysore Coffee Curing Works Ltd., Chikmagalur.
52	SWL 332 LLD 77, dt. 17-6-1977	,, 13/77	,,	,, The Management, Pomppe Bhandara Gonkal Estates, Shradamandira Prabhu Street, Chikmagalur.
53	SWL 422 LLD 77, dt. 2-7-1977	,, 55/77	,,	,, The Management M/s. Firose Transport Chikmagalur.
54	SWL 200 LLD 77, dt. 2-7-1977	,, 56/77	,,	,, The Management, Kathle Khan Estate, Lingahally Chikmagalur Dist.
55	SWL 44 LLD 77, dt. 14-7-1977	,, 57/77	,,	,, The Management, Vignan Industries Ltd., Tarikere, Chikmagalur Dist.

TABLE II

Sl. No.	Application No.			Name of Parties
1	2			3
1	I. D.	1/76	A. S. Aradhe	vs. Management, Mahalaxmi Estate, Kalasa Post, Chikmagalur Dist.
2	I. D.	2/76	K. Narayana Rao	,, Management, L. H Paturardhan, Prop Madhuvana Estate, Mudigere P.O., Chikmagalur Dist.
3	I. D.	3/76	T. B. Siddegowda	G. M Basegowda. Coffee Planter, Timber Merchant & Lorry Owner, Mudigere Post Chikmagalur.
4	I. D.	4/76	D. Vasudeva Baliga	,, Management, Canara Public Conveyance Co., Ltd. Kodialbail, Mangalore
5	I. D.	5/76	Ammer Jan.	,, M. Suleman, Prop. Firoz Traneport, Vijayapura Extension, Chikmagalur.
6	I. D.	6/76	Mohammed Mustafa	The President. Maraboor Service Co op. Society Ltd. Muthinakoppa, N.R. Pura Tk, Chikmagalur Dist. and 3 others.
7	I. D.	7/76	Somegowda	,, M.L. Gurger, Prop. Javali Estate, Javali Post, Chikmagalur Dist.
8	I. D.	8/76	S. A. Srinivasa Rao	The Managing Partner, M/s. Neresh Traders, Noresh Building, K.M. Road, Chikmagalur and two others.
9	I. D.	9/76	I. B. Krishnegowda	,, The President, Sri. Kumaraswamy Large Size Co-op Society Ltd., Gowdahally P,O Mudigere Tk, Chikmagalur Dist.
10	I. D.	1/76	S. P. Bhaktavatsala	,, The Administrator, Mysore Khadi & Village Industries Co-op. Society, Ltd Ajjampura Post. Chikmagalur Dist
11	I. D.	12/76	M. S. Bhagavan	,, The Management, Mysore Coffee Curing Works Ltd., Chikmagalur.

1	2	3	4
12	I. D. 13/76	M. A. Rajagopal	,, A & B Dhoopadool and Gowrikhan Estates, Mallenahalli Post. Chikmagalur Dist. by 4 Partners.
13	I. D. 14/76	K. Nanjappa	,, The Management of A & B Dhoopadool and Gowrikhan Estates, Mallenahalli Post. Chikmagalur Dist. and 4 others.
14	I. D. 15/76	M.B Govindaraja	,, The Management of D C.C. Bank Ltd. Chikmagalur.
15	I. D. 16/76	M. Balakrishna Rao	,, M/s. Canara Public Conveyance Co. Ltd. Mangalore by its Managing Director and one another.
16	I. D. 17/76	S. Hameed	(G. M Prabhu) The Manag-ment Bokkekhan Estate, Kesavinamane Post, Chikmagalur Dist.
17	I. D. 18/76	A. M. Appachu	The Management of Kathlaknan Estate of Babudan Coffee Produce Co. Ltd. Tarikere PO. Chikmagalur Dist.
18	I. D. 20/76	Smt. Mallka	The Manag-ment of Joloalu Estate by its 3 partners, Joladalu P.O. Chikmagalur Dist.
19	I. D. 21/76	Korappalu ,,	The Management of Gummankhan & Samse Estates owned by the Kalasa Te : and Produce Co. Ltd, Hirebyle Post, Mudigere Taluk.
20	I. D. 22/76	K. D. Thimmaiah	The Management of Contralcool Estate by Shri. H. A. Agar, Coffee Planter, Aldur Post, Chikmagalur Dist.
21	I. D. 23/76	Smt. Gangamma	The Management of Galikere Estates by Smt. Channabasavamma Bisagnimutt post, Chikmagalur Dist.
22	I. D. 25/76	Smt. L. Rajamma ,,	The Management of Mavinakere Estate, Kalasa Post, Mudigere Taluk, Chikmagalur Dist.
23	I. D. 26/76	Ponnuswamy ,,	do
24	I. D. 27/76	Smt. Devaki ,,	The Management of Gubgal Estate, Coffee Lands Ltd., Gubgal Post, Mudigere Taluk, Chikmagalur Dist.

25	I. D. 28/76	L. Nagaraj		The Ryots Large Size Primary Agricultural Credit Co-op. Society Ltd. N.R. Pura by its Secretary and two others.
26	I. D. 29/76	Raju @ Nathew Samual	,,	V. Srikrishna Bhat, Prop. Harikhan Estate, Sunkasale P. O. viz., Hirebyle, Chikmagalur Dist.
27	I. D. 30/76	Munkadi Kurubala	,,	The Management Egjerrykhan Estate, Basarikatte Post, Chikmagalur District.
28	I. D. 31/76	Smt. Bijalu	,,	do
29	I. D. 32/76	Gopala	,,	do
30	I. D. 33/76	K. P. Somanna	,,	The Management of Bettadakhan and Jagalikhan Estates Pandaravalli Post Chickamagalur District.
31	D. D. 34/76	J. D. Coelo	,,	The Management of Chikmagalur District Co-operative Central Bank Ltd. Chickamagalur represented by its President and Secretary.
32	I. D. 35/76	S. H. Karibasappa	,,	The President and the Secretary, Kadur Taluk Agricultural Produce Marketing Co-operative Society Ltd. Kadur Post Office Chikmagalur District.
33	I. D. 37/76	B. S. Ramanna		The President, Sri Kumaraswamy Large Size Co-operative Society Ltd., Gowdahally Post, Mudigere Taluk.
34	I. D. 38/76	B. N. Viswantha Baliga	,,	The Management of Gurajyothi Exhibitors, Chikmagalur Town.
35	I. D. 40/76	C. H. Ramachandra	,,	The President and Secretary, Kasaba Large Size Primary Agricultural Credit Co-operative Society Ltd., Chikmagalur Post Office.
36	I. D. 41/76	B. M. Basavaraju		The Management, Vignan Industries Ltd., Tarikere Post Chikmagalore District.
37	I. D. 42/76	R. Ramachandra		The Management, Sri Revann siddeswara Large Size Primary Agricultural Credit Co-operative Society Limited Tarikere Post Chikmagalore District

1	2			
38	I. D. 43/76	Mohammed Hussain	,,	Anthony Mathias Prabhu Prop. Bokekhan Estate, Kesavanimane post Chikamaglore District.
39	I. D. 44/76	R. Ranganatha Rai		C. R. Shankara Hegde, Prop. Devadarshini Estate, Balehonnur post Chikmagalur District.
40	I. D. 45/76	C. H. Bhima & 22 others	,,	Managing Partner, Sri H H. Nagegowda, Excise Contractor, Market Road Chikmagalur.
41	I. D. 46/76	Chinnaswamy and 11 others	,,	The Management, of Bagemane Estate, Mallandur post Chikmagalore District.
42	I. D. 47/76	M. Maruthi & 9 others	..	Smt. Shivamma, w/o I. M. Nirvanegowda, Kabbinahalli and Chikkale Estate, Kaboinahalli post Chikmagalore District.
43	I. D. 49/76	C. N. Puppadamallegwoda	,,	The President and Secretary. Sri Kodandaramachandra-Swamy, Service Co-operation Society Limited Hiremagalur, Chikmagalur Post.
44	I. D. 50/76	M. Thimmeppa	,,	The President and Secretary, Chikmagalur Dist. Co-op. Central Bank Ltd., Chikmagalur.
45	I. D. 51/76	M. Rajamanikyam and 43 other	,,	Management of Babybagh Estate, Kemmanugundi post, Chikmagalur Taluk.
46	I. D. 52/76	A. Mitradas Hegde	,,	The Management, Krishnagiri Estate, Basignamutt Chikmagalur District.
47	I. D. 53/76	B. Mallikarjuna		The President, Chikmagalur District Central Co-operative Wholesale Stores Limited Janatha Bazar, Chikmagalur and another.
48	I. D. 54/76	Kandan		M. Aboas, Timber Merchant, Chakmakki, Banakal Post Chikmagalur District.
49	I. D 55/76	T. A. Saifuddin		Bhojegowda, Prop. Anjaneya Motor Service, Chickamagalur.

50	I. D.	2/77	K. K. Annaiah	The Management, Baoadan Coffee and Produce Co. Ltd. Sampige khan post, *via*. Lingadahalli, C'lore Dist.
51	I. D.	3/77	K. G. Sarangadharan	The Babudan Iron Investigation of National Mineral Dev. Corporation, No. 1349, K. M. Road, C'lore.
52	I. D.	4/77	N Bhima	do
53	I. D.	5/77	V. K. Kutty	do
54	I. D.	6/77	Workman	The Management, Babudan Iron Ore Investigation Corporation Ltd. Chikmagalur.
55	I. D.	7/77	S. Meenakahy	The Management Mysore Coffee Estates Ltd. Sampige-khan post *via*. Lingadahalli, Chikmagalur Dist.
56	I. D.	8/77	L. D. Costa	The Management, Arasindehaklu Estate Javali post, Chickamagalur Dist.
57	I. D.	9/77	C. J. Fernandis	do
58	I. D.	10/77	A. Saldanha	do
59	I. D.	12/77	C. S. Nanjundegowda	Chikmagalur Dist. Central Co-op. Wholesale Stores Janatha Bazar, Chikmagalur by its Manger.
60	I. D.	13/77	K. P. Somanna	Dharamchand, Vijaya Steel Central M. G. Road, Chickamagalur and another.
61	I. D.	39/77	S. Ramanna	The Management of Mysore Coffee Estates Ltd. Lalbagh Estate, Sampigekhan Post, Chickamagalur Dist
62	I. D.	40/77	S. Srinivasa Naik	The K.E.B. by its Secretary, Bangalore-9 and another.
63	I. D.	41/77	S. Puppanna	do
64	I D.	42/77	A. N. Shafeeq Ahamed	do
65	I. D.	43/77	S. V. Sheshagiraiah	do

B. MANAMOHAN,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

2720—S. O. 832

HOME SECRETARIAT

Notification No. HD 72 TMA 78

Bangalore, dated 22nd March 1978.

In exercise of the powers conferred by Rule 316 of the Karnataka Motor Vehicles Rules 1963, the Government of Karnataka herebyexem the Vehicles belonging to M/s. Bharat Earth Movers Ltd., Bangalore described in the Schedule below from the provisions of Rule 200 and 202 of the said Rules for being transported to Maharashtra State from the Factory at Kolar Gold Fields upto State Border in Belgaum District *via* Bangarpet, Kolar, Bangalore, Tumkur, Chitradurga, Hubli, Belgaum and Nippani subject to the following conditions :

1. Permission is valid for the portion of the route from the factory at KGF, Bangarpet, Kolar, Bangalore, Tumkur, Chitradurga, Hubli, Belgaum and Nippani on National Highway No. 4 upto Karnataka State Border.

2. The speed of the vehicles should not exceed 16 Kms. per hour on roads and 8 Kms per hour on bridges and culverts.

3 The Bharat 25 ton dumpers will have to be run by the BEML at their own risk and should be driven between 6 A.M. to 6 P.M.

4. No damages should be caused to the pedestrians, other vehicles moving on roads, bridges and culverts.

5. Government shall not be responsible for any damages that may be sustained by the vehicles or its contents or occupants consequent upon the movement of the vehicles.

6. The strengthening of weak culverts and bridges if any, required for passing the machine, shall be done at the cost of the party and damages caused to roads, bridges and culverts should be made good by the BEML as per assessment of the Executive Engineers of the concerned Public Works Department Divisions/National Highways.

7. The local Executive Engineers of Public Works Department Kolar and Executive Engineers of concerned National Highways Divisions of Bangalore, Tumkur, Chitradurga, Dharwar, Belgaum shall be informed in advance the date of movement of the Bharat Dumpers on the road.

8. As the existing bridges across Tunghabhadra River near Harihar is weak, the vehicle should be moved over the new bridge by using Shimoga-Harihar Road and the old bridge should not be used.

9. The red flags must be exhibited at either extremities length and breadthwise.

10. The vehicles should come to a stop at the approaches of all culverts and bridges and then proceed at a speed not exceeding 16 Km pre hour over the structures. The vehicles must be brought to a stop

when there are vehicles from the rear and front while crossing bridges and culverts.

11. Empty vehicles should be transported on the route indicated above and they should not carry any load over and above their self weight.

SCHEDULE

Bharat Haulpak Trucks Rear Dump Model 25

BEML No.	*Chassis No.*	*Engine No.*	*Transmission No.*
196	LCF 11235 CFA 13D	25112519	21539
198	11237	25112247	21542
199	11238	25112278	21535
200	11239	25112528	21299
201	11240	25108335	21303

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

K. P. RAMAKRISHNA,
Under Secretary to Government,
Home Department.

2766—S. O. 835.

GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Labour Dispute : Industrial dispute between the workmen and the management of Indian Express (Madurai) Ltd., No. 1, Queen's Road, Bangalore-560001.

Award of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Bangalore, published.

Read the following papers :—

(1) Letter No. IT/1214/77-78, dated 4th March 1978 from Shri F. L. F. Alvares, Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Bangalore.

BEFORE THE INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL IN KARNATAKA, BANGALORE.

Dated 2nd March 1978.

Present

Sri F. L. F. Alvares, B.A., B.L.,

Presiding Officer

I. D. No. 28 of 1976

I Party:

Workmen represented by The General Secretary, Karnataka Union of Working Journalists & Bangalore Newspaper Employees Union Co-ordination Committee, District Office Compound, Bangalore-9.

Vs.

II Party:

The Manager, Indian Express (Madurai) Ltd., No. 1, Queen's Road, Bangalore-1.

Appearances

For the I Party :—None Present.

For the II Party :—None Present.

Reference

(Government Order No. SWL 945 LLD 76, dated 6th October 1976.)

AWARD

As per Government Order No. SWL 945 LLD 76, dated 6th October 1976 issued in exercise of its powers under Section 10 (1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the State Government has referred the following matter in issue for adjudication by this Tribunal.

I. Are the management of the Indian Express (Madurai) Ltd., Bangalore, justified in issuing show cause notices to Sri Ranganath and 3 other members through their notice dated 10th September 1976 ?

II. If not, what relief are the said workmen entitled to ?

2. Notices issued to both the parties for their appearance on 8th December 1976 were duly served. In the meanwhile, as per the Orders passed in Writ Petition No. 9787/76 on the file of the High Court of Karnataka filed by the II Party, the operation of the above Government Order and further proceedings were stayed. These proceedings were accordingly adjourned. On 4th February 1978, the High Court Government Pleader has informed as per his letter dated 25th January 1978 addressed to the Deputy Secretary to Government, Law Department, copy forwarded to this Tribunal, that the impugned order of reference has been quashed in the above Writ Petition No. 9787/76.

3. Since the above Government Order has been quashed, these roceedings will not lie and the same are therefore terminated.

(Dicated to the Stenographer, transcribed by him and corrected by me).

(Sd.)
F. L. F. ALVARES,
Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal, Bangalore.

"True Copy"

.....

Manager,
Industrial Tribunal, Bangalore.

ORDER No. SWL 60 LLA 78.

Bangalore, dated 25th March 1978.

WHEREAS by State Government Order No. SWL 945 LLD 76, dated 6th October 1976 the Industrial dispute between the workmen and the Management of Indian Express (Madurai) Ltd., No. 1, Queen's Road, Bangalore-560001 was referred to the Industrial Tribunal, Bangalore for adjudication;

AND, WHEREAS the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Bangalore has submitted to the State Government its award in respect of the said Industrial dispute;

NOW, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 17 of the Industrial Dispvtes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka are pleased to direct the publication of the said award as above in the *Karnataka Gazette*.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. V. MASTHIHOLIMATH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

2769—S O. 842.

COMMERCE AND INDUSTRIES SECRETARIAT

Notification No. CI 41 EPO 78.

Bangalore, dated 20th March 1978.

In exercise of the powers conferred under rule 15(1) of the Mineral Concession Rules 1960, the Government of Karnataka hereby revoke the orders issued in Government Notification No. CI 203 EPO 76 dated 7th August 76 sanctioning a prospecting Licence for Mica in respect of the area of 31.32 acres (12.86 hectares) of land in Marasanahalli village, Pandavapura Taluk, Mandya District in favour of Shri S. R. Ahamed as the grantee failed to pay the security deposit and execute the deed within the prescribed time limit.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

Y. B. CHINNAPPA REDDY,
Under Secretary to Government, I/c
Commerce and Industries Department.

2746—S. O. 843

Printed by the Director of Printing, Stg & Publications at the Govt Press, Bng.

PART IV

Section—2-C(ii)

STATUTORY ORDERS ISSUED BY THE STATE GOVERNMENT UNDER THE CENTRAL ACTS AND STATE ACTS.

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Notification No. SWL 11 LFB 78.

Bangalore, dated 25th March 1978.

In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 65 of the Factories Act, 1948 (Central Act No. 63 of 1948), the Government of Karnataka hereby exempts N.G.E.F. Ltd., Bangalore-38, from the provisions of Sections 51, 52, 54 and 56 subject to the conditions specified under sub-section (3) of Section 65 of the said Act for a period of three months from the date of this Notification.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. V. MASTHIHOLIMATH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

2773—S. O. 848

FINANCE SECRETARIAT

Notification No. FD 92 CAX 77,

Bangalore, dated 28/30th March 1978.

In exercise of the powers conferred b yclause (g) of Section 12 of Karnataka Agricultural Income Tax Act, 1957 (Karnataka Act No. 22 of 1957), the Government of Karnataka hereby approve "Teresian Carmel Education Society. St. Joseph's Convent-Mandya" for purpose of the said clause for the financial year 1978-79 provided that each donation sum is not less than two hundred and fifty rupees.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

L. S. JAGIRDAR,
Under Secretary to Government,
Finance Department.

2792—S. O. 850

LAW AND PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS SECRETARIAT

Notification No. LAW 14 LCM 78,

Bangalore, dated 27th March 1978.

In exercsie of the powers conferred by sub-section (6) of Section 24 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (Central Act No. 2 of 1974)

Sri C.S. Srinivasa, Advocate, Shimoga is hereby appointed as a Special Public Prosecutor to conduct the prosecution in S. C. No. 4/78 on the file of the Sessions Judge, Shimoga.

The Special Public Prosecutor shall be paid his remuneration in accordance with Law Officer's Rule, 1977 applicable to Public Prosecutor.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

T.S. LAKSHMINARAYANA RAO,
Asst. Solicitor and Ex-Officio.
Under Secretary to Government,
Deptt. of Law and Parly. Affairs.

2790—S.O. 851

HOME SECRETARIAT

Notification No. HD 11 TMA 78

Bangalore, dated 28th March 1978.

In exercise of the powers conferred by rules 218 and 316 of the Karnataka Motor Vehicles Rules, 1963, read with Notification No. HD 24 TMR 76, dated 5th October 1976, the Government of Karnataka hereby exempt the motor vehicle with a seating capacity of 29 + 2, belonging to Sri Abdul Hameed Hussain Saheb Mujawar, Mujawar & Co., Belgaum-10, mentioned in the Schedule below from the operation of the provisions of rules 216 and 203 of the said rules for purposes of plying it as Tourist Omni Bus covered with permit under sub-section (7) of Section 63 of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1939, subject to the condition, the vehicle should not ply on Ghat roads.

SCHEDULE.

Engine No. ALI—6 9395 Chassis No. AL—7789934.
Seating capacity of vehicle :— 29+2.
Overhang :— 60%.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

K. P. RAMAKRISHNA,
Under Secretary to Government,
Home Department.

2789—S. O. 852

GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Order No. SWL 43 LLA 78.

Bangalore, dated 17/20th February 1978.

WHEREAS before the Industrial Tribunal at Bangalore, complaints were made under Section 33-A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), by Sri H. R. Venkatasubba Rao (B. N. B. 350-222), C/o Bharat Earth Movers Employees'

Association, BEML Nagar, K. G. F.-563115, Shri M. B. Radhakrihnan, B. No. B. 510-347, Joint Secretary, Bharat Earth Movers Employees' Association, K. G. F. 15; and Sri. M. M. Philip, B. No. Bangalore 541-288, C/o Bharat Earth Movers Employees' Asscciation K. G. F. 563115;

AND WHEREAS the said Tribunal adjudicated upon the said complaints and submitted its award to the State Government as required under Section 15 read with Section 33-A of the said Act;

NOW, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby directs the publication of the said award in the *Karnataka Gazette*.

By Order and in the name of the President of India,

B. MANAMOHAN,

Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare & Labour Department.

2617—S. O. 853

BEFORE THE INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL IN KARNATAKA BANGALORE.

Dated 13th December 1977.

PRESENT:

Shri. F. L. F. Alvares, B.A.B.L.,
Presiding Officer

Complaint No. 7 of 1976.
in I. D. No. 25/1974

Complainant.		*Opposite Party.*
H. R. Venkatasubba Rao, (B. N. B. 350-232). C/o Bharat Earth Movers Employees' Association, BEML Nagar, K.G.F.- 563115.	*Vs.*	Management of bharat Earth Movers Limited, Kolar Gold Fields- 563115.

Appearances.

For the Complainant :—Sri V. J. K. Nair, General Secretary, Bangalore Industrial Workers and Commercial Employees' Union, Bangalore.

For the opposite Party :—Sri K. J. Alva, Advocate, Bangalore.

AWARD

This is a Complaint undr Section 33-A of the Industrial Dispute Act, 1947.

2. The Complainant says that the Opposite Party has terminated his services with effect from 20th December 1975 under Standing Orders 18.1-"services no longer required in the interest of the

Company.'' Such a termination without holding any enquiry in accordance with the principles of natural Justice or assigning any reason is illegal and amounts to an act of victimisation for his trade union activities. He was taken into custody under the D. I. R. and a case was filed against him by the police before the Judicial Magistrate First Class, K. G. F. Even without waiting for a result of the case, the Opposite Party has passed the orders terminating his services. He has been acquitted by the Judicial Magistrate First class on 20th May 1976. He is entitled to reinstatement in service.

3. Objections were filed by the Opposite Party saying that the Complainant was not discharged, dismissed or otherwise punished for any misconduct. It is a case of termination of simplicitor. Under these circumstances, the complaint under Section 33-A is not maintainable. Compensation as required under Standing Orders 18.1 at half a month's wages including D. A for every completed year of service as also one month's wages in lieu of notice were remitted to the complainant by Money Order. The Complainant has refused to receive the Money Order. The fact that the complainant gave room for prosecution and arrest under the D. I. R is itself, without more, sufficient to shake the confidence of the employer and to justify the termination of the complainant's services. The complainant has never been any active trade union movement. There is no victimisation.

4. The following issues were framed as arising for consideration.

1. Whether there was contravention of Section 33 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947?
2. If so, whether the management was Justified in terminating the services of the complainant with effect from 20th December 1975?
3. To what relief, if any, the complainant entitled?

5. When the complaint was taken up for hearing today, a joint Memo was filed by the parties saying that the Complainant has been reinstated in service with back wages. Both parties are heard.

6. An award is hereby passed holding that the complaint be dismissed as no longer surviving in view of the reinstatement with back wages,

(Dictated to the Stenographer, transcribed by him and corrected by me).

F. L. F. ALVARES,
Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal,
Bangalore

BEFORE THE INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL IN KARNATAKA, BANGALORE.

Dated 13th December 1977

Present

Shri F. L. F. Alvares, B.A., B L.,
Presiding Officer.

Complaint No. 8 of 1976
in I.D. No 25 of 1974

Complainant :—

M. B. Radhakrishnan, B. No. B. 510-347, Joint Secretary, Bharat Earth Movers Employees' Association, K.G.F.-15.

Vs

Opposite party

Management of Bharat Earth Movers Limited, Kolar Gold Fields-563115.

Appearances :

For the Complainant :

Sri V. J. K. Nair, General Secretary, Bangalore Industrial Workers and Commercial Employees' Union, Bangalore.

For the Opposite Party :

Sri K. J. Alva, Advocate, Bangalore.

AWARD

This is a Complaint under Section 33-A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

2. The Complainant says that the Opposite Party has terminated his services with effect from 20th December 1975 under Standing Orders 18.1-"services no longer required in the interest of the Company". Such a termination without hold ng any enquiry in accordance with the principles of natural justice or assigning any reason is illegal and amounts to an act of victimisation for his trade union activities. He was taken into custody under the D.I.R. and a case was filed against him by the police before the Judicial Magistrate First Class, K.G.F. Even without waiting for the result of the case, the Op osite Party has passed the orders terminating his services. He has been acquitted by the Judicial Magistrate First Class on 20th May 1976. He is entitled to reinstatement in service.

3. Objections were filed by the Opposite Party saying that the Complainant was not discharged, dismissed or otherwise punished for ary misconduct It is a case of termination simplicitor. Under these circumstances, the complaint under Sec. 33-A is not maintainable. Compensation as required under Standing Order 18.1 at half a month's wages, including D.A., for every completed year of service

as also one month's wages in lieu of notice were remitted to the complainant by Money Order. The Complainant has refused to receive the Money Order The fact that the complainant gave room for prosecution and arrest under the D.I.R. is itself, without more, sufficient to shake the confidence of the employer and to justify the termination of the complainant's servicees. The complainat has never been in active trade union movement. There is no victimisation.

4. The following issues were framed as arising for consideration :

1. Whether there was contravention of Section 33 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 ?
2. If so, whether the management was justified in terminating the services of the complainant with effect from 20th December 1975 ?
3. Whether the complainant is a protected workman ?
4. To what relief, if any, the complainant entitled ?

5. When the complaint was taken up for hearing today, a Joint Memo was filed by the parties saying that the Complainant has been reinstated in service with back wages. Both parties are heard.

6. An award is hereby passed holding that the complaint be dismissed as no longer surviving in view of the reinstatement with back wages.

(Dictated to the Stenographer, transcribed by him and corrected by me).

F. L. F. ALVARES,
Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal, Bangalore.

BEFORE THE INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, IN KARNATAKA, BANGALORE.

Dated 13th December 1977

Present

Sri F. L. F. Alvares, B.A., B.L.,
Presiding Officer

Complaint No. 9 of 1976
In I. D. No. 25 of 1974

Complainant :

M. M. Phllip,
B. No. B 541-283, C/o Bharat Earth Movers Employees' Association, Kolar Gold Fields-563115.

Vs.

Opposit Party :

Management of Bharat Earth Movers Limited, Kolar Gold Fields-563115.

Appearances

For the Complainant : Sri V.J.K. Nair, General Secretary, Bangaloie Industrial Workers and Commercial Employees' Union, Bangalore.

For the Opposite Party : Sri K. J. Alva, Adocate, Bangalore.

AWARD.

This is a Complaint under section 33-A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

2. The Complainant says that the Opposite Party has terminated his services with effect from 20th December 1975 under the Standing orders 18.1– "services no longer required in the interest of the Company." Such a termination without holding any enquiry in accordance with the principles of natural justice or assigning any reason is illegal and amounts to an act of victimisation for his trade union activities. He was taken into custody under the D.I.R, and a case was filed against him by the Police before the Judicial Magistrate First Class, K.G.F. Even without waiting for the result of the case, the Opposite Party has passed the orders terminating his services. He has been acquitted by the Judical Magistrate First Class on 20th May 1976. He is entitled to reinstatement in service.

3. Objections were filed by the Opposite Party saying that the Complainant was not discharged, dismissed or otherwise punished for any misconduct. It is a case of termination of simplicitor. Under these circumstances, the complaint under Section 33-A is not maintainable. Compensation as required under Standing Orders 18.1 at half a month's wages including D. A. for every completed year of service as also one month's wages in lieu of notice were remitted to the complainant by Money Order. The complainant has refused to receive the Money Order. The fact that the complainant gave room for prosecution and arrest under the D.I.R. is itself, without more, sufficient to shake the confidence of the employer and to justify the termination of the complainant's services. The complainant has never been in active trade union movement. There is no victimisation.

4. The following issues were framed as arising for consideration:

1. Whether there was contravention of Section 33 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 ?
2. If so, whether the management was justified in terminating the services of the complainant with effect from 20th December 1975 ?
3. To what relief, if any, the complainant entitled ?

5. When the complaint was taken up for hearing today, a joint Memo was filed by the parties saying that the Complainant has been reinstated in service with back wages. Both parties are heard.

6. An award is hereby passed holding that the complaint be dismissed as no longer surviving in view of the reinstatement with back wages.

(Dictated to the Stenographer, transcribed by him and corrected by me.)

F. L. F. ALVARES,
Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal,
Bangalore.

COMMERCE AND INDUSTRIES SECRETARIAT

Notification No. CI 118 EMI 77

Bangalore, dated 27th March 1978

In pursuance of sub-section (1) of Section 5 of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957, the Government of Karnataka hereby sanctions a Mining Lease in favour of Shri Vinod B. Nayak for a period of 20 (Twenty) years for Sea shell over an area of 100 acres (40.47 hectares) of land in Betkuli village, Kumta Taluk, North Kanara District, as per sketch furnished by the Director of Mines and Geology, Bangalore.

The grant of the above Mining Lease is subject to the terms and conditions appended hereunto.

Terms and Conditions

(a) Mining Lease should be in respect of Sea shell only. If other Minerals are found in association with Sea shell they should be brought to the notice of Government and if the lessee desires to mine these minerals along with Sea shell he/they sheuld do so only after the consent of Government is obtained in writing;

(b) Royalty at the rates prescribed in the Second Schedule to the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957 as amended from time to time or the dead rent at the following rate in respect of each mineral per hectare per annum, whichever is greater, shall be charged provided that dead rent shall not be payable for the first year of the lease.

1st Year of the lease..	...	Nil
2nd to 5th year of the lease	...	Rs. 12.50
6th to 10th year of the lease	...	Rs. 25.00
11th year and onwards of the lease	...	Rs. 37.50

(c) Surface rent at the rate of Rs. 2.50 per hectare per annum on the actual area used by the lessee for mining purposes shall be charged;

(d) If beryl or any other 'Substance Prescribed' under Section 3 of the Atomic Energy Act, No. XXIX of 1948 is found to occur in the property under the lease, the lessee shall make available such mineral to the Government of India;

(e) The lease shall be subject to the provision of Rules in chapter IV of the Mineral Concession Rules, 1960;

(f) The lease shall also be subject to the rules issued under section 18 of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act 1957.

(g) The lessee shall pay to the Director of Mines and Geology in Karnataka, Bangalore, necessary security deposit for due observance of the terms and conditions of the lease in accordance with Rules 32 of lease is issued to him;

(h) The lessee shall submit confidentially from time to time or when required, progress reports to the Director of Mines and Geology along with analysis and representative samples of the ores collected during the mining operations;

(i) The lessee shall be governed by all conditions that may be incorporated in the lease deed to be executed;

(j) The lessee shall abide by the Rules contained in the Karnataka State Forest Manual, if the leased area covers any forest land.

(k) The total area held by the lessee under mining leases including the present shall not exceed 10.00 sq. kilometers;

(l) Government shall have the right of purchasing the ore at current market rates;

(m) The lease would be determined if the lessee failes to commence effective mining operations within one year from the date of execution of lease deed.

(n) The area mentioned above is subject to verification after actual survey;

(o) Local and other cesses as prevalent in North Kanara District.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

Y. B. CHINNAPPA REDDY,
I/c Under Secretary to Government,
Commerce and Industries Department.

2784—S. O. 854

WELFARE LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Order No. SWL 314 LLE 77

Bangalore, dated 23rd March 1978.

Whereas the Industrial Disputes specified in the Annexure, Tables I & II, appended to this Order are pending before the Labour Court at Madikeri for adjudication ;

And whereas the Government of Karnataka considers that a more expeditious adjudication of the said Industrial Disputes will be facilitated if the said Industrial disputes are transferred to the Labour Court at Mysore.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section 33-B of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby withdraw the proceedings pending before the Labour Court at Madikeri relating to the said Industrial Disputes and transfer the said proceedings to the Labour Court at Mysore.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. V. MASTHIHOLIMATH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare & Labour Department.

ANNEXURE.

TABLE-I.

Sl.No.	G. O. No. and date	Registered I. D. No.	Name of the Parties.
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
1	SWL 738 LLD 75 dated 24th October, 1975.	2/76	P. S. Devaiah, C/o The Secretary, Estate Staff Union of South India, Chikkamangalore. *Vs.* The Proprietor, Heroor Estate, Siddapur, Post Kodagu District.

2	SWL 554 (2) LLD 75, dated 10th November 1975.	4/76	Sidda Maistry, C/o The General Secretary, The Kodagu District Plantation and General Labour Union, Madappa Building, Siddapur. *Vs.* I. K. Nanaiah, Pallakere Estate, Siddapura, South Coorg-571253.
3	SWL 772 LLD 75, dated 29th November 1975.	5/76	M. K. Bopaiah, C/o The Secretary, Estates Staff's Union of South India, Chickmagalur. *Vs.* The Proprietor, Heroor Estate, Siddapura Post, Kodagu District.
4	SWL 618 LLD 75, dated 26th November 1975.	6/76	C. H. Balakrishna, Represented by the Secretary, Kodagu District General Workers Union, Virajpet, Kodagu District. *Vs.* C. K. Joseph, Copper Merchant, Ponnampet, South Coorg.
5	SWL 581 LLD 76 dated 27th December 1976.	1/77	Puttumade Maistry, represented by the President, Kodagu District, Estates Workers Union, Madikeri. *Vs.* The Manager, Heroor Estate, Suntioppa-571237, Kodagu District.
6	SWL 881 LLD 75, dated 9th December 1975.	3/77	Raman and 30 others, represented by the Secretary, Coorg District General Workers Union, Virajpet, South Coorg. *Vs.* The Management Glenlorna Estate, Hudikeri Post, South Coorg, represented by the Manager.
7	SWL 869 LLD 75, dated 12th December 1975.	5/77	P. U. Madappa, S/o Sri Uthaiah, Line Conductor, residing near Mutton Market, Virajpet, Kodagu District. *Vs.* The Proprietor, Jagadheeshwara Motor Service, Virajpet.

1	2		
	SWL 800 LLD 75, dated 12th December 1975.	6/77	Hameed and 13 others, represented by the Secretary, Kodagu District General Workers Union, Virajpet. *Vs.* Konerira Dechavva, Kambukolli Estate, Pulliyeri Village.
9	SWL 959 LLD 75, dated 22nd January 1976.	7/77	G. C. Rudraiah, Overseer, Belur Estate, Belur P. O. Somwarpet, Kodagu District, represented by the Estates Staff's Union of South India, ESUSI HOUSE, Vijayapura, Chickmangalore. *Vs.* The Management of Belur Estate, Belur P. O. Somwarpet.
10	SWL 981 LLD 75, dated 24th January 1975.	8/77	A. K. Poonacha, C/o The Secretary, Mysore Estates, Staff's Union of South India, Chickmangalore. *Vs.* The Manager, Heroor Kabinkad Estate, Siddapur, Kodagu District.
11	FCL 1387 LLD 72 dated 19th January 1973.	9/77	C. H. Srinivasan, C/o The Secretary, Estates Staff's Union of South India, Chickmangalore. *Vs.* The Management of Nullore Estate, Polibetta P. O. Kodagu District.
12	SWL 974 LLD 75, dated 28th January 1976	10/77	Kami and another, represented by the General Secretary, Coorg District Plantation and General Labour Union, Siddapur. Vs. The Management of Pallakere Estate, Siddapur.

13	SWL 78 LLD 72, dated 1st August 1975.	11/77	Sri Veerappa, Line Conductor, C/o The Secretary, Kodagu Dist. General Workers Union, Virajpet, Kodagu District. Vs. The Managing Director, The Adi. Sri Kavery Bus Service Ltd., Madikeri.
14	SWL 997 LLD 74, dated 5th December 1974	13/77	K. S. Madappa, Writer, C/o The Secretary, Kodagu District General Workers Union, Virajpet. Vs. The Manager, Nath Plantations (Perumbadi Estate), Arji, Virajpet, South Kodagu.
15	SWL 240 LLD 75, dated 17th January 1975	15/77	Veeranna, Ex. Employee, P. W. D., C/o The Secretary, Kodagu District General Workers Union, Virajpet. Vs. The Executive Engineer, P. W. D., Kodagu District, Madikeri
16	SWL 916 LLD 74, dated 17th June, 1975	16/77	Y. C. Somashekara, Bill Collector, represented by the Joint Secretary, Kodagu District General Workers Union, Virajpet. Vs. The Administrator, Town Municipal Council, Habbale, North Kodagu.
17	SWL 909 LLD 74, dated 3rd January, 1975	17/77	Smt. Nabisa and 5 others, represented by the Asst. Secretary, Kodagu District Estate Workers Union, Madikeri. Vs. B. B. Biddappa, Dechi Estate, Kadagadalu P.O., Madikeri.
18	SWL 666 LLD 74 dated 29th November 1974	19/77	M. K. Nazir Ahammed, Bill Collector, represented by the Secretary, Kodagu District General Workers Union, Virajpet.

1	2	3	4
			Vs.
			The President and Board of Directors, The South Coorg Muslim Co-operative Bank Ltd., Virajpet.
19	SWL 811 LLD 74 dated 29th November 1974	20/77	S. Xavier, represented by the Secretary, Kodagu District General Workers Union, Virajpet
			Vs.
			The Manager, Thannirall Estate, Poilibetta P.O., South Coorg.
20	SWL 536(2) LLD 74 dated 23rd July 1974	22/77	Sri Urugalan and 8 others, C/o The Secretary, Kodagu District Estate Workers Union, Madikeri
			Vs
			The proprietor, Lalithadri Estate, Madapura, P.O., North Coorg
21	SWL 559 LLD 75 dated 30th September 1975	24/77	N. A. Uthaish, Writer, in charge, represented by the Secretary, The Estates Staff Union of South India, Chickamangaloor.
			Vs.
			The Secretary, Consolidated Coffee Limited, polibetta, Kodagu District.
22	SWL 1549(2) LLD 73 dated 3rd September 1975	25/77	Chella Muttu and 29 others, represented by the Secretary, Kodugu District General Workers Union, Virajpet
			Vs.
			The Management of Glenlorna Estable, Hudikeri, South Kodagu, represented by the Manager, Glenlorna Estate, Hudikeri
23	SWL 769 LLD 73 dated 16th May 1974	26/77	The Workman, Town Municipal Council, Madikeri, represented by the Secretary, General Workers Union, Virajpet Kodagu Dist.

1	2	3	4
			Vs.
			The President and Board of Directors, The South Coorg Muslim Co-operative Bank Ltd., Virajpet.
		20/77	S. Xavier, represented by the Secretary, Kodagu District General Workers Union, Virajpet
			Vs.
			The Manager, Thannirall Estate, Poilibetta P.O., South Coorg.
20	SWL 536(2) LLD 74 dated 23rd July 1974	22/77	Sri Urugalan and 8 others, C/o The Secretary, Kodagu District Estate Workers Union, Madikeri
			Vs
			The proprietor, Lalithadri Estate, Madapura, P.O., North Coorg
21	SWL 559 LLD 75 dated 30th September 1975	24/77	N. A. Uthaish, Writer, in charge, represented by the Secretary, The Estates Staff Union of South India, Chickamangaloor.
			Vs.
			The Secretary, Consolidated Coffee Limited, polibetta, Kodagu District.
22	SWL 1549(2) LLD 73 dated 3rd September 1975	25/77	Chella Muttu and 29 others, represented by the Secretary, Kodugu District General Workers Union, Virajpet
			Vs.
			The Management of Glenlorna Estable, Hudikeri, South Kodagu, represented by the Manager, Glenlorna Estate, Hudikeri
23	SWL 769 LLD 73 dated 16th May 1974	26/77	The Workman, Town Municipal Council, Madikeri, represented by the Secretary, General Workers Union, Virajpet Kodagu Dist.

			Vs.
24	SWL 1054 LLD 73 dated 27th October 1973	27/77	The Management of Town Municipal Council, Madikeri M. A. Ganesh, Overseer, Glemnmore Estate, Madikeri Vs. The Management of Glenmore Estate, Madikeri
25	SWL 1504 LLD 71, dated 27th September 1973.	28/77	Davis and 2 others, represented by the Secretary, The Kodagu District General Workers Union, Virajpet. *Vs.* The Management of Town Municipal Council, Virajpet.
26	SWL 483 LLD 74, dated 28th June, 1974.	30/77	Thimmashetty, represented by the Secretary, Kodagu District General Workers Union, Branch Madikeri. *Vs.* The President, Somwarpet Consumers' Co-operative Stores, Somwarpet.
27	SWL 420 LLD 74, dated 21st June 1974.	31/77	D. M. Khaleel Ahamed, Clerk, represented by the Secretary, Kodagu District General Workers Union, Virajpet. *Vs.* The Management of South Coorg Muslim Co-operative Bank Ltd., Virajpet.
28	FCL 600 LLD 70, dated 29th December 1970.	33/77	P. Nagaraju, Bellale, Manikanahally Post, Pandavapura, Mandya District. *Vs.* The Management of Messrs. Kushalnagar Works, Kudige Kodagu District.
29	SWL 132 LLD 73, dated 16th April, 1974.	34/77	D. M. Churchil, represented by the Secretary, Estates of Union of South India, Chickamangalore. *Vs.* The Manager, Kadamakal Estate, Madikeri.

30	FCL 1324 LLD 71, dated 18th August, 1973.	35/77	S. V. Veerabhadrappa, represented by the Secretary, Estates Staff's Union of South India, Chikamangalore. *Vs.* The Management of Kardikoppa and Gowri Estate, Thithimathi, Kodagu District.
31	SWL 1120 LLD 75, dated 26th March, 1975.	68/77	Kushagopala, Driver, C/o The Secretary, A.S.C, C P.C. and P.V.M.S., Employees Union, Madikeri. *Vs.* The Managing Director, Adi, Sri Kavery Bus Service Ltd., Madikeri.
32	SWL 1012 LLD 75, dated 22nd September 1976.	72/77	S. Mani, Staff Member, represented by the Branch Secretary, Estate Staff's Union of South India. ELK Hill, Estate Siddapura Kodagu, District. *Vs.* The Manager, Athithopi Estate, Siddapura P. O., Kodagu District.
33	SWL 1055 LLD 76, dated 13th December 1976.	74/77	Ismile Maistry, represented by the Secretary, Kodagu District General Workers Union, Virajpet, South Coorg. *Vs.* M/s Kechanda Subramani, Estate owner, Ammathi P. O., South Coorg.
34	SWL 168 LLD 77, dated 7th April, 1977.	75/77	M. Machaiah, represented by the Secretary. Kodagu District General Workers Union, Virajpet, Kodagu District. *Vs.* The Management of Hedoor Estate, represented by Smt. C. P. Madappa, Hedoor Estate, Hedoor Village, Ammathi Ontiyangadi P. O., Kodagu District.

35	SWL 116 LLD 77, dated 6th April, 1977.	76/77	Smt. Kadisa and another. represented by the President, Kodagu District General Workers Union, Virajpet. *Vs.* The Manager, Ruhi Estate, Mayamudi P. O.
36	SWL 254 LLD 77, dated 23rd May, 1977.	77/77	Sumathi and another, represented by the General Secretary, Coorg Plantation and General Workers Union, Chettalli. *Vs.* The Management, Baichanahalli Estate, Sunticoppa.
37	SWL 294 LLD 77, dated 31st May, 1977.	78/77	Sri K. Jayaraj and 2 others, represented by the General Secretary, The Kodagu Dist. General Labour Union, Siddapura. *Vs.* The Manager, Hoovinakadu Estate, Kutta, Kodagu District
38	SWL 513 LLD 76, dated 8th March 1977.	79/77	Thangu Maistry represented by the General Secretary. The Coorg District Plantation and General Labour Union, Siddapura, Kodagu District. *Vs.* The Manager, Hanumantha Estate Kutta, Kodagu District.
39	SWL 328 LLD 77, dated 25th June 1977.	80/77	Clara, workman represented by the Assistant Secretary, Coorg District, Estate Workers Union, Madikeri, Kodagu District. *Vs.* The Manager, Netlay 'B' Estate, Sunticoppa, Kodagu Dist.
40	SWL 117 LLD 76, dated 2nd February 1977.	81/77	Rahiman represented by the President, Coorg District General Workers Union, Virajpet. *Vs* M/s Ruby Estate, Mayamudi Post, Coorg District.
41	SWL 406 LLD 77, dated 21st June, 1977.	82/77	Karupaiah and another, represented by the Assistant Secretary, Coorg District Estate Workers Union, Madikeri

1	2	3	4
			Vs.
			The Manager. May-flower Estate, Enkilkere Post, Siddapur, Kodagu District.
42	SWL 927 LLD 76, dated 9th July 1977.	84/77	M. N. Somaiah, Writer, represented by the Secretary Coorg District General Workers Union, Virajpet.
			Vs.
			The Managar, Kadbail Estate, Devanoor Village, Balale Post, Coorg.
43	SWL 905 LLD 76, dated 1st August 1977.	85/77	P. G. Laxmana Rao, represented by thd Secretary, Coorg District Estate Workers Union, Madikeri, Kodagu Dist.
			Vs.
			Tbe Manager, Coorghully Estate, Sunticoppa Post, Kodagu District.
44	SWL 327 LLD 77, dated 16th August, 1977.	86/77	Represented by the Secretary, The Coorg District Plantation and General Labour Union, Siddapur.
			Vs.
			The Manager, Hoovlnakadu, Estate, Kutta Post, Kodagu District.
45	SWL 610 LLD 77, dated 25th August 1977.	87/77	Adaklam, Worker represented by the Secretary, Coorg District Estate Workers Union, Kodagu District.
			Vs.
			The Manager, Kaliyappa Estate, Arekad Post, Kodagu, District.
46	SWL 677 LLD 77, dated 14th September 1977.	88/77	Varughese Labour Supervisor, represented by the General Secretary. Coorg Plantation and General Labour Union Siddapura.
			Vs.
			The Manager Hoovinakadu Estate, Kutta Post, Kodagu District.

TABLE II

Sl. No.	Application No.	Names of parties
1	2	3
1	32/77	P. M. Devaiah and 5 others, represented by the Secretary, Kodagu District, General Workers Union, Virajpet. *Vs.* The Executive Engineer, P.W.D. Kodagu District, Madikeri.
2	36/77	A. Annappashetty, Staff Member, Nagaroor Estate, Somwarpet, Kodagu District, represented by the Secretary for Mysore Estate, Staff's Union of South India. Esusi house, Vijayapura, Chickmangalore. *Vs.* The proprietor, Nagaroor Estate, Somwarpet P. O., Kodagu District.
	39/77	P. A. Lobo, Maryland Estate, Siddapur P. O. Kodagu Distrtict, represented by the Secretary for Mysore Estate Staff Union of South India, Esusi House, Vijayapura, Chickmangalore. *Vs.* The Proprietor, Maryland Estate, Siddapura P. O. Kodagu District.
4	40/77	I. Kuttappa and 6 others, C/o The Secretary, Kodagu District General Workers Union, Virajpet, Kodagu District. *Vs.* The Executive Engineer, P.W.D. Kodagu Division, Madikeri, Kodagu District.
5	42/77	M. M. Nanjappa, Ex-Sales Sales Asst. Kodagu District Co-operative Marketing Federation Ltd., Virajpet, Kodagu District. *Vs.* The Management of Kodagu District Co-operative Marketing Federation Ltd., Main Road, Virajpet, Kodagu District represented by the President.
	44/77	M. K Bopaiah, Staff Member, Heroor and Kathelekad Estates, Siddapur P. O., Kodagu District represented by the Secretary for Mysore Estat's Staff Union of South India, Esusi House, Vijayapura, Chickmangalore.

1	2	3
		Vs.
		The Management, Heroor and Kathelekad Estate Siddapur P. O. Kodagu Dist.
7	45/77	P. S Devaiah, Staff Member Heroor and Kathelekad Estate, Siddapur P. O. Kodagu District represented by the Secretary for Mysre Estates Staffs Union of South India, Esusi House, Vijayapura, Chickmangalore.
		Vs
		The Manageinent, Heroor and Kathelekad Estates, Siddapur P.O. Kodagu Dist.
8	46/77	Bhaniungam, @ Veluswamy, C/o The Secretary, Kodagu District General Workers Union, Virajpet, Kodagu District
		Vs.
		The Manager Glenlorna Estate. Hudikeri, S. Coorg.
9	47/77	John Harry Electrician, C/o The Secretary, Kodagu District General Workers Union, Virajpet, Kodagu District
		Vs.
		The Manager, Clenlorna Estate, Hudikeri. S. Coorg.
10	49/77	Abdul Samath, C/o The Secretary, Kodagu District General Workers Union, Virujpet.
		Vs.
		East End Service Station, General Thimmayya's Road, Madikeri, represented by Sri K K. Uthaiah, Managing Partner
11	50/77	Padmanabha C/o The Secretary, Kodagu District General Workers Union, Virajpet
		Vs.
		East End Service Station, General Thimmayya's Road, Madikeri, represented by Sri K. K. Uthaiah, Managing Partner
12	51/77	M N Bopaiah, C/o The Secretary, Kodagu District General Workers Union, Virajpet.
		Vs.
		The Management of East End Service Station, General Thimmayya's Road, Madikeri.

13	53/77	Puttaru, C/o The Secretary, Kodagu District General Workers Union, Virajpet, Coorg District. Vs. The Manager, Thareekellu Estate, Iellibetta P.O. Kodagu District.
14	55/77	P. K. Vijayan and 19 others, C/o The Secretary, Kodagu District General Workers Union, Virajpet, Kodagu District. Vs. Executive Engineer, P.W.D. Kodagu Division, Madikeri.
15	56/77	A. Kelunna, Nurse, C/o The Secretary, Kodagu District General Workers Union, Virajpet. Vs. The Manager, Glenlorne Estate, Madikeri, S. Coorg.
16	57/77	M Madavan Nair, C/o The Secretary, Kodagu District General Workers Union, Virajpet, Kodagu District. Vs. The Divisional Forest Officer, Hunsur Division, Hunsur.
17	60/77	V. K Koly and 8 others, C/o The Secretary, Kodagu District General Workers Union, Virajpet. Vs. The Executive Engineer, P.W.D. Kodagu Division, Madikeri.
18	61/77	Hanumantha and 6 others, C/o The Secretary, Kodagu District General Workers Union, Virajpet, S. Coorg. Vs. The Executive Engineer, P.W.D., Kodagu District, Madikeri.
19	62/77	Lalajan and 2 others, C/o The Secretary, Kodagu District General Workers Union, Virajpet, S. Coorg. Vs. The Executive Engineer, P.W.D., Kodagu District, Madikeri.
20	63/77	M. P. Papu and 20 others, C/o The Secretary, Kodagu District General Workers Union, Virajpet, S. Coorg.

Vs.

The Executive Engineer, PWD., Kodagu Division, Madikeri.

21 64/77 Javere Gowda and 5 others C/o The Secretary, Kodagu District General Workers Union, Virajpet, S. Coorg.

Vs.

The Executive Engineer, P.W.D., Kodagu District, Madikeri.

22 65/77 Devaiah and 2 others, C/o The Secretary, Kodagu District General Workers Union, Virajpet, S. Coorg.

Vs.

The Executive Engineer, P.W.D., Kodagu District, Madikeri.

66/77 C. M. Pemmaiah, S/o Sri Medappa, aged about 35 years, residing at No. 50 IInd Main Road. Parvathypura, Bangalore.

Vs,

The Secretary of Kodagu Orange Growers Co-operative Society Ltd., Gonicoppal, Kodagu, Pollibetta, S Coorg.

24 67/77 Koran, C/o The Secretary, Kodagu District General Workers Union, Virajpet.

Vs.

The Executive Engineer, P.W.D., Kodagu District, Madikeri.

25 41/77 Venkataramanna, and 3 others, C/o The Secretary, Kodagu District General Workers Union, Virajpet.

Vs.

The Executive Engineer, P.W.D., Kodagu District, Madikeri.

26 1/77 S. Mani, Staff Member, Athitope Estate. Sidapur P O. Kodagu District represented by the Secretary for Mysore Estates Staffs' Union of South India, Esusi House, Vijayapura, Chikmagalur.

Vs.

The Management, Athithope Estate, Sidapur P.O., Kodagu District.

27	2/77	V. Arulananda, Staff Member, Athithope Estate, Sidapur P.O. represented by the Secretary for Mysore Estates Staffs' Union of South India, Esusi House, Vijayapura, Chikmagalur. *vs.* The Management Athithope Estate, Sidapur P.O. Coorg District.
28	3/77	M. S Kaveriappa, Staff Member, Athithope Estate, S dapur P.O. Kodagu Dist. represented by the Secretary for Mysore Estates Staffs' Union of South India, Esusi House, Vijayapura. Chikmagalur. *vs.* The Management, Athithope Estate, Sidapura P.O. Kodagu District.
29	6/77	Hameed and 13 others, C/o The Secretary, Kodagu District General Workers' Union, Virajpet, S. Coorg. *vs.* The Management of Kembukolly Estate, represented by Smt. K. A. Dechamma, W/o Late Appaiah, Puliyeri village. Engilakeri Post, *via* Sidapur, Kodagu.
30	7/77	P. K. Vijaya and 14 others, C/o The Secretary, Kodagu District General Workers' Union, Virajpet, S. Coorg. *vs.* Executive Egineer, P.W.D., Kodagu Division, Madikeri.
31	8/77	P. B. Machaiah, reprasented by the Secretary, Estate Staff Union of South India, Chickmangalore. *vs.* The Management, Yemmigoondi Estates, Consolidate Coffee Ltd., Pollibetta.
32	9/77	Jogi, C/o The Secretary, Kodagu District General Workers' Union, Virajpet, Kodagu District. *vs.* Sri M. B. Karyappa, Planter, Swarnagiri Estate, Sidapur, Kodagu.
33	10/77	Chomi, C/o The Secretary, Coorg District General Workers' Union, Virajpet, Kodagu District.

1	2	3
		vs.
		Sri M. B. Karyappa, Swamygiri, Siddapur Post, Kodagu.
34	11/77	C. K. Monnappa and 5 others, C/o The Secretary, Kodagu District General Workers Union, Virajpet, Kodagu District.
		vs.
		Coorg Ex-Servicemen's Co-operative Society Ltd., Virajpet, Kodagu.
35	12/77	C. K. Monnappa, C/o The Secretary, Kodagu District General Workers' Union, Virajpet, Kodagu District.
		vs.
		Coorg Ex-Servicemen's Co-operative Society Ltd., Virajpet, Kodagu.
36	13/77	M. T. Kushappa Driver, Secretary, Coorg District General Workers' Union, Virajpet, Kodagu District.
		vs.
		Coorg Ex-Servicemen's Co-operative Society Ltd., Virajpet, Kodagu.
37	14/77	M. Muddu, Cleaner, C/o The Secretary, Coorg District General Workers' Union, Virajpet, Kodagu District.
		vs.
		Coorg Ex-Servicemen's Co-operative Society Ltd., Virajpet, Coorg.
38	15/77	P. C. Iyamma, Conductor, C/o The Secretary, Coorg District General Workers' Union, Virajpet, Coorg District.
		vs.
		The Coorg Ex-Servicemen's Co-operative Society Ltd., Virajpet, Coorg.
39	16/77	B. S. Iyappa, Driver, C/o The Secretary, Coorg District General Workers' Union, Virajpet, Coorg District.
		vs.
		Coorg Ex-Servicemen's Co-operative Society, Ltd., Virajpet, Coorg.

1	2	3
40	17/77	B. A. Appacha, Clerk. C/o The Secretary, Coorg District General Workers, Union, Virajpet, Coorg District. vs. Coorg Ex-Servicemen's Co-operative Society Ltd., Virajpet. Coorg.
41	19/77	M. B. Monnappa, Staff Member. Palthope Estate, Kutta P. O. Kodagu District represented by the Secretary for Mysore, Estates Staffs' Union of South India, Esusi House, Vijayapura, Chikmagalur. Vs The Management of Palthope Estate, Kutta P.O. Kodagu District represented by Dr. B. C. Aopaiah, Tilak Nagar. Kanpur and Smt. B. C. Kariappa, 33, Ambor. Narayana Dhobikar Road, Bombay-6
42	20/77	I.N. Subbaiah, Staff Member, Hanumantha Estate, Kutta, P. O. Kodagu District represented by the Secretary for Mysroe, Estates Staffs, Union of South India ESUSI House, Vijayapura, Chikmagalur. Vs Shri K. A. Muthanna, The Proprietor, Hanumantha Estate, Kutta P.O. Kodagu District.
43	21/77	T. M. Shambu, by the Vice President, Co-operative Institutions Employees' Union Bangalore. Vs Mengement, Virajpet Taluks Primary Co-operative Land Development Bank Ltd. Virajpet P.O., S. Coorg.
44	22/77	K. K. Veerappa. C/o The Secretary, Coorg District General Workers, Union, Virajpet, Coorg District. Vs Manager, Glenlorna Estate, Hudikeri Post, Coorg District.
45		

2C(ii)

	23/77	Muthu and 26 others, C/o The Secretary, Coorg District General Workers' Union, Virajpet, Kodagu. Vs Manager, Glenlorna Tea Estate, Hudikeri Post, Kodagu District.
46	24/77	Mutbthu and 49 others, C/o The Secretary, Coorg District General Workers' Union Virajpet, Kodagu. Vs. Manager, Glenlorna Coffee Estate, T. Shettigeri Post, Kadagu District.
47	25/77	K G. Thomas, Driver Hanumantha Estate, Kutta P.O., Kodagu District represented by the Secretary for Mysore, Estates Staff's Union of South India, ESUSI HOUSE, Vijayapura, Chickmagalore. Vs. Shri K. A. Muthanna, Prop: Hanumantha Estate, Kutta P.O., Kodagu District.
48	26/77	Pancy, S/o Guruswamy, C/o The Secretary, Coorg District General Worker's Union, Virajpet, Kodagu District. Vs. Sri C. G. Thimmayya, Planter, B. Kanankad Estate, Pollibetta Post, Kodagu District.
49	27/77	Sri C. A. Kaleppa C/o The Secretary Coorg District General Workers Union, Virajpet Coorg District Vs. The President, Coorg Honey and Wax Producers Co-operative Marketing Society Ltd., Virajpet, Coorg District.

V. V. MASTHIHOLIMATH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

2772—S. O. 855

HOME SECRETARIAT

Notification No. HD 18 (a) TRC 78

Bangalore, dated 23th March 1978.

In exercise of the powers conferred by section 5 of the Road Transport Corporation Act, 1950 (Central Act 64 of 1950), read with sub-rule (2) of rule 3 of the Karnataka State Road Transport Corporation Rules, 1961, the Government of Karnataka hereby appoint Shri B. D. Muddappa, IAS., as an official member of Karnataka Road State Transport Corporation with immediate effect, *vice* Shri Samuel Appaji IAS.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

K. P. RAMAKRISHNA,
Under Secretary to Government,
Home Department.

2775—S.O. 862

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Order No. SWL 132 LLD 78

Bangalore, dated 27th March 1978.

WHEREAS the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of M/s Bus Stand Canteen, Hampankatta, Mangalore-1 on the points noted below ;

AND, WHEREAS the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication.

NOW, THEREFORE in exercise of the powers conferred by Clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Mangalore.

Points of Dispute

1. "Whether the management of M/s. Bus Stand Canteen, Hampanakatta, Mangalore, justified in refusing employment Sri C. C. Bhaskar, from 30th October 1977 ?
2. If not, to what he is entitled ?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. V. MASTHIHOLIMATH,
Under Secretary to Government,
S. W. & Labour Department.

2787—S.O. 863

Order No. SWL 115 (2) LLD 78.
Bangalore, dated 28th/30th March 1978.

WHEREAS the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workmen and the management of Siddapura Estate Bidare Post, *via* Sangameshwarapet, Chikmagalur District on the points noted below.

AND, WHEREAS the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable refer the dispute for adjudication ;

NOW, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Mangalore.

Points of Dispute

1. Are the management of Siddapura Estate, Bidare Post *via* Sangameshwarapet-Post, Chikmagalur District justified in refusing work to Sri B. M. Devaiah, Staff Member, with effect from 13th September 1976 ?
2. If not, to what relief the said workman is entitled ?

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. V. MASTHOLIMATH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department

3791—S. O. 864

COMMERCE AND INDUSTRIES SECRETARIAT

Notification No. CI 13 EMO 75.
Bangalore, dated 27th March 1978.

In pursuance of Section 8 (2) of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act 1957 and after obtaining approval of the Government of India *vide* their letter No. 7/85/75-MV, dated 22nd February 1978, the Government of Karnataka hereby renew the Mining Lease held by M/s. Libbs Mines (P) Ltd., referred to in the schedule hereunder for a period of 10 (Ten) years with effect from 21st October 1975 subject to usual terms and conditions of the lease.

No. and date of sanctioning the mining lease	Ore	Extent and area
G.O. No. C & D 1402 (6/GEO 8-54 4 dated 30th June 1954.	Manganese and Iron	50 acres in Thimmappanagudi village, Sandur Taluk, Bellary District.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

Y. B. CHINNAPPA REDDY,
I/c. *Under Secretary to Government,*
Commerce and Industries Department

3779—S.O. 865

Notification No. CI 12 EPO 78

Bangalore, dated 27th March 1978.

In exercise of the powers conferred under Rule 15(1) of the Mineral Concession Rules 1960, the Government of Karnataka hereby revoke the orders issued in Government Notification No. CI 108 EPO 77 dated 12th August 1977 sanctioning a Prospecting Licence for Green Quartz in respect of the area of 33 acres (13.25 hectares) of land in Narasettyhalli village, Channagiri Taluk, Shimoga District in favour of M/s. Sudarshan Traders as the grantee failed to pay the security deposit and execute the deed within the prescribed time limit.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka.

Y. B. CHINNAPPA REDDY,
Under Secretary to Government,
Commerce and Industries Department.

2778—S. O. 866

Notification No. CI 32 EPO 78

Bangalore, dated 27th March 1978

In exercise of the powers conferred under Rule 15(1) of the Mineral Concession Rules 1960 the Government of Karnataka hereby revoke the orders issued in Government Notification No. CI 226 EPO 77 dated 15th October 1977 sanctioning a Prospecting Licence for Kyanite and Sillimanite in respect of the area of 98 acres (39 66 hectares) of land in Yelachiguppi Ramapura village, Magadi Taluk, Bangalore District in favour of Shri M. P. Somaiah as the grantee failed to pay the security deposit and execute the deed within the prescribed time limit.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

Y. B. CHINNAPPA REDDY,
Under Secretary to Government, I/c
Commerce and Industries Department.

2780—S. O. 867

Notification No. CI 93 EPO 78

Bangalore, dated 27th March 1978.

In exercise of the powers conferred under Rule 15 (1) of the Mineral Concession Rules, 1960, the Government of Karnataka hereby revoke the orders issued in Government Notification No. CI 270 EPO 77, dated 11th November 1977, sanctioning a Prospecting Liconce for Mica in respect of the area of 29 acres (11.73 hectares) of land in

Vadsamudra Village, Pandavapura Taluk, Mandya District in favour of Shri J. Pukhraj, as the grantee failed to pay the security deposit and execute the deed within the prescribed time limit.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

Y. B. CHINNAPPA REDDY,
Under Secretary to Government I/c,
Commerce and Industries Department.

2783—S.O. 868

HOME SECRETARIAT

Notification No. HD 238 EDC 77.
Bangalore, dated 28th March 1978.

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-clause (ii) of clause(a) of Section 67 of the Karnataka Excise Act, 1965 (Karnataka Act 21 of 1966), the Government of Karnataka hereby reduces the excise duty leviable under section 23 of the said Act on Rectified Spirit of 10,000 (Ten thousand only) bulk litres per month sold to M/s. Commercial Chemical Industries, Gulbarga from the month of February 1978 for the manufacture of Ethyl Acetate to twenty paise per bulk litre.

This notification shall remain in force upto the end of June 1973 unless it is rescinded.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

M. P. JAYASHANKARA ARADHYA,
Under Secretary to Government,
Home Department.

2777— S. O. 869

REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Notification No. RD 24 EST 78
Bangalore, dated 25th March 1978

In exercise of the powers conferred by section 78 of the Registration Act 1908 (Central Act XVI of 1908) and in partial modification of Notification No. RD 214 EST 77, dated 16th December 1977, the Government of Karnataka hereby makes the following further amendments to the table of fees notified in Notification No. RD 48 EST 72, dated 15th March 1973 *viz*. in the table of fees annexed to the said Notification in Art, XXXIV after item 46 the following shall be added:—

"47 in respect of mortgage deeds to be executed in favour of Government by the Karnataka Football Association for drawing loansof Rs. 35 lakhs (Rupees thirty-five lakhs) from Government. "Nil"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

A. S. PATIL,
Under Secretary to Government, I/c
Revenue Department.

2768—S. O. 870

Order No. RD 42 EST 78

Bangalore, dated 25th March 1978.

In exercise of the powers conferred by Clause (a) of Sub section (1) of Section 9 of the Karnataka Stamp Act 1957 (Karnataka Act No. 34 of 1957), and in partial modification of the G.O. No. RD 214 EST 77 dated 16th December 1977, the Government of Karnataka being of the opinion, that it is necessary in the public interest so to do hereby remits the duties chargeable under the said Act in respect of mortgage deeds to be executed in favour of Government by the Karnataka Football Association for drawing loans of Rs. 35 lakhs (Rupees thirty five lakhs) from Government.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

A. S. PATIL,
Under Secretary to Government, I/c Revenue Department.

2768(a)—S. O. 871

HOME SECRETARIAT

Notification No. HD 73 TMA 78

Bangalore, dated, 25th March 1978.

In exercise of the powers conferred by Rule 316 of the Karnataka Motor Vehicles Rules 1963, the Government of Karnataka hereby exempts the vehicles belonging to M/s. Bharat Earth Movers Ltd., Bangalore described in the Schedule below from the provisions of Rule 202 of the said Rules for being transported to Gujarat State from the Factory at Kolar Gold Fields upto State Border in Belgaum District *via* Bangarapet, Kolar, Bangalore, Tumkur, Chitradurga, Hubli, Belgaum and Nippani subject to the following conditions:

1. Permission is valid for the portion of the route from the factory at KGF, Bangarpet, Kolar, Bangalore, Tumkur, Chitradurga, Hubli Belgaum, and Nippani on National Highway No. 4 upto Karnataka State Border.

2. The speed of the vehicles should not exceed 16 Kms. per hour on roads and 8 Kms. per hour on bridges and culverts.

3. The Bharat 35 ton dumpers will have to be run by the BEML at their own risk and should be driven between 6 am to 6 p.m.

4. No damages should be caused to the pedestrians, other vehicles moving on roads, Bridges and culverts.

5. Government shall not be responsible for any damages that may be sustained by the vehicles or its contents or occupants consequent upon the movement of the vehicles.

6. The strengthening of weak culverts and bridges if any, required for passing the machine, shall be done at the cost of the party and damages caused to roads, bridges and culverts should be made good by the BEML as per assessment of the Executive Engineers of the concerned Public Works Department Divisions/National Highways.

7. The local Executive Engineers of Public Works Department Kolar and Executive Engineers of concerned National Highways Divisions of Bangalore, Tumkur, Chitradurga, Dharwar Belgaum shall be informed in advance the date of movement of the Bharat dumpers on the road.

8. As the existing bridges aross Tunghabhadra River near Haribar is weak, the vehicle should be moved over the new bridge by using Shimoga-Haribar Road and the old bridge should not to used.

9. The red flags must be exhibited at either extremities-length and breadthwise.

10. The vehicles should come to a stop at the approaches of all culverts and bridges and then proceed at a speed not exceeding 16 km. per hour over the structures. The vehicles must be brought to a stop when there are vehicles from the rear and front while crossing bridges and culverts.

11. Empty vehicles should be transported on the route indicated above and they should not carry any load over and above their self weight.

SCHEDULE

Bharat Haulpak Trucks Rear Dump model 35.

BEML No.	CHASSIS No.	ENGINE No.	TRANSMISSION No.
715	LCF 11:18 CFA 15 AS	25112583	16699
716	11119	25112576	16558
718	11121	25112578	16619
721	11124	25112703	15985
722	11125	25112705	16620
723	11126	25112708	15288
725	11147	25112582	10201
729	11151	25112504	16206
730	11152	25112581	16859
731	11153	25112574	13910
732	11154	25112577	13950
733	11155	25112783	11481

1	2	3	4
734	11156	25108695	16202
735	11157	25112788	15957
736	11158	25112386	18885
737	11159	25112787	16622
738	11160	25112785	18884
740	11172	25112105	18879
745	11177	25113106	18800
684	11036	25108814	16201
685	11037	25108815F	13960
686	11038	25104812	15237
687	11039	25109009F	15236
688	11040	25108891F	15239
726	11148	25112707	16203
739	11161	25113109	18882
741	11173	25112709	18795
742	11174	25112579	18720
743	11175	25113111	18801
744	11176	25113113	18802
752	11199	25113239	19541
753	11200	25113247	16130
758	11205	25113348	19536
760	11262	25113244	19548
761	11263	25113243	19540
762	11264	25113350	19549

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

K. P. RAMAKRISHNA,
Under Secretary to Government,
Home Department.

2795—S.O. 872

COMMERCE AND INDUSTRIES SECRETARIAT

Notification No. CI 97 EPO 78.

Bangalore, dated 27th March 1978.

In exercise of the powers conferred under Rule 15 (1) of the Mineral Concession Rules 1960, the Government of Karnataka hereby revoke the orders issued in Government Notification No. CI 274 EPO 77 dated 8th November 1977 sanctioning a Prospecting Licence for Asbestos in respect of the area of 60 acres (24.28 hectares) of land in Kallurangangahalli village, Mysore Taluk, Mysore

District. in favour of Shri. C. Harkchand Jain as the grantee failed to pay the security deposit and execute the deed within the prescribed time limit.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

.....

I/c., *Under Secretary to Government,*
Commerce and Industries Department.

2774—S. O. 873

Notification No. CI 83 EPO 78,

Bangalore dated 27th March 1978

In exercise of the powers conferred under Rule 15 (1) of the Mineral Concession Rules 1960, the Government of Karnataka hereby revoke the orders issued in Government Notification No. CI 324 EPO 77 dated 7th November 1977 sanctioning a Prospecting Licence for Yellow and Red ochre and clay in respect of the area of 20 acres of land in Mastanehalli village, Chintamani Taluk, Kolar District in favour of Shri K. Sanaulla as the grantee failed to pay the security deposit and execute the deed within the prescribed time limit.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka

.....

I/c., *Under Secretary to Government,*
Commerce and Industries Department.

2781—S. O. 874

Notification No. CI 89 EPO 78.

Bangalore dated 27th March 1978.

In exercise of the powers conferred under rule 15(1) of the Mineral Concession Rules 1960, the Government of Karnataka hereby revoke the orders issued in Government Notification No. CI 294 EPO 77 dated 16th November 1977 sanctioning a Prospecting Licence Corrundum in respect of the area of 130 acres (52.61 hectares) of land in Raghupathi Kopp I and Ramanathapura Villages, Arkalgud Taluk, Hasean District, in favour of Shri Shafi Ahamed as the grantee failed to pay the security deposit and execute the deed within the prescribed time limit.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

.....

I/c., *Under Secretary to Government,*
Commerce and Industries Department.

2782—S. O. 875

COMMERCE AND INDUSTRIES SECRETARIAT

Notification No. CI 80 EPO 78

Bangalore, dated 30st March 1978

In pursuance of sub-section (1) of Section 5 of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957, the Government of Karnataka hereby sanctions a Prospecting Licence in favour of Shri H. Ebrahim for a period of 2 (Two) years for Kyanite over an area of 40 (16.19 hectares) of land in Shankarapura Village, Koppa taluk, Chickagalur District, as per sketch furnished by the Director of Mines and Geology, Bangalore.

The grant of the above Prospecting Licence is subject to the terms and conditions appended hereunto.

Terms and Conditions

1. The licence shall be operative for a period of two years with effect from the date of execution. The option to renew the licence for a further period shall be reserved to Government;

2. The licensee shall pay to Government a Prospecting fee of Rs. 2-50 per hectare of the land covered by the licence for each year or portion of a year;

3. The quantity of Ore to be removed under this licence, both on payment of royalty and free of royalty, shall be in accordance with the limits prescribed under Rule 14 (ii) and (iii) and under Schedule III respectively of the Mineral Concession Rules, 1960. The royalty payable on the minerals shall be in accordance with the rates prescribed in Second Schedule to the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957 and as amended from time to time;

4. The licensee shall make available to the Government of India beryl or any other 'substance prescribed' under section 3 of the Atomic Energy Act No. XXIX of 1948 if they occur in the property under licence;

5. The licensee shall be subject to the rules issued under Sections 13 and 18 of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957 (No. 67 of 1957);

6. If any other mineral is traced during the course of prospecting, the licensee shall report about the same to the Director of Mines and Geology and shall not work such minerals without obtaining prior permission of Government;

7. The licensee shall furnish an accurate final map of areas covered by the licence together with a description, giving the situation and boundaries of the areas duly attested by the licensee The Director of Mines and Geology is authorised to get the accurate final map with survey numbers, etc., prepared and demarcation done

before executing the licence if the licensee so desired and to recover the cost of the same from the licensee ;

8. The licensee shall, before the deposit is returned to him submit confidentially to the Director of Mines and Geology from time to time or wherever required a full report of the work done by him and disclose all information acquired in the course of the operations carried on under the licence regarding the geology and mineral resources of the area covered by the licence ;

9. The licensee shall allow any officer authorised by the Central or the State Government to inspect at any time the area covered by the Prospecting Licence as also any accounts, plans and records maintained by him ;

10. The licensee shall before the licence is issued to him deposit as security a sum of Rs. 200 in respect of every square kilometer or part thereof for which the licence is granted for the due observance of the terms and conditions of the licence;

11. The licensee shall engage a qualified geologist or a mining engineer to conduct the Prospecting methodically ;

12. The licensee shall not give any ore-raising contract during the prospecting stage ;

13. The above mentioned area is subject to verification after actual survey ; and

14. Local and other Cesses as prevalent in Chickmagalur District.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

Y. B. CHINNAPPA REDDY,
I/c Under Secretary to Government,
Commerce and Industries Department.

9—S. G. 879

PUBLIC WORKS AND ELECTRICITY SECRETARIAT

Notification No. PWD 158 EEB 76.

Bangalore, dated 29th March 1978.

The Draft of the following rules which the Government of Karnataka proposes to make in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 7 of the Karnataka Electricity Board (Recovery of Dues) Act, 1976 (Karnataka Act 51 of 1976) hereby published as required by the said sub-section (1), for the information of all persons likely to be affected thereby and Notice is hereby given that the said draft will be taken into consideration on or after 8th May 1978

Any objections or suggestions which may be received from any person with respect to the said draft rules by the State Government before the date specified above will be considered by the Government. Objections or Suggestions may be addressed to the Commissioner and Secretary to Government, Public Works and Electricity Department, Vidhana Soudha, Bangalore.

DRAFT RULES

1. **Title and Commencement** —(1) These rules may be called the Karnataka Electricity Board (Recovery of Dues) Rules, 1978.

(2) They shall come into force at once.

2. **Definition.**—In these rules, unless the context otherwise requires,—

(a) "Act" means, the Karnataka Electricity Board (Recovery of Dues) Act, 1976 (Karnataka Act No. 51 of 1976)

(b) "Form" means, a form appended to these rules.

(c) "Section" means section of the Act.

3. **Bill for dues.**—(1) very bill for dues payable to the Board by a debtor shall be in Form–A ;

(2) If the debtor fails to pay the dues on or before the date specified in the bill he shall be liable to pay, in addition to the amount specified therein, a penalty of 20 percent of such dues.

4. **Notice of demand.**—(1) The notice of demand under sub-section (1) of section 4 shall be in Form–B.

(2) Every such notice of demand shall be served on the debtor either in person or by sending it by registered post or if the debtor is not available on any adult member of the family of the debtor.

5. **Certificate under Section 6.**—The certificate to be issued to the Deputy Commissioner under sub–section (2) of section 6 shall be in Form- C.

FORM 'A'

(*See* rule 3)

To

Name.....

Registered Address } ... .

(a) RR No.....

(b) L. F. No.....

(c) Premises where the installation is situated ... ...

(d) Nature of installation/Industry .....

....

(e) Tariff applicable..... .....

BILL (INCLUDING ARREARS)

Particulars	Amount Rs. Ps.

Amount due towards power supply and miscellaneous charge as below:

1. Arrears of electricity and other charges outstanding to the end of ....19..... (details *vide* Annexure- I)...
2. Arrears of Electricity tax outstanding to the end of... ..... 19... .. (details *vide* Annexure I)
3. Amount of:
   (a) Electrical consumption bill of the latest month excluding tax ...
4. Others (items mentioned in clauses (ii) and (iii) of sub-section (2) of section 2 to be spesified)
   (a)..... ....
   (b)..... ... ...
   (c)..... ... ...
   (d)..... ....

Total (in words)..... .....

THE LAST DATE FOR PAYMENT OF THE ABOVE DUES IS..... ....

Place :
Date :

Executive Engineer (Elecl.)
Karnataka Electricity Board.

FORM 'B'

(*See* rule 4)

NOTICE OF DEMAN

To

Name..... .....

Address..... ....

Registered Installation No.....

WHEREAS the Bill No..... .... dated.....has been issued to you for payment of a sum of Rs .....due to the Karnataka Electricity Board on or before.....and whereas you have not paid the said dues within the prescribed time. Therefore you are liable to pay a penalty of.....percent on the amount due under sub-section (2) of section 3 of the Karnataka Electricity Board (Recovery of Dues) Act, 1976 read with sub-rule (2) of rule 3 of the Karnataka Electricity Board (Recovery of Dues) Rules, 1978.

Hence you are hereby called upon by this notice of demand to pay a sum of Rs.....as detailed below:—

		Rs.	Ps.
(a)	Bill amount	.....	
(b)	Penalty at 20 percent	.....	
(c)	Costs of recovery	.....	
	Total Amount	.....	

You are hereby further informed that, in case you failed to deposit or pay the entire amount stated above *i e*., Rs ..... within three months from the date of service of this notice you will be deemed to be a defaulter in respect of such amount and the same will be recovered as an arrear of land revenue.

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER (Elecl.)

FORM–'C'

(*See* rule 5)

Sri..... son of .... residing at .... being a consumer of electrical energy supplied by the Karnataka Electricity Board, is due a total sum of Rs..... ...The said debtor has not paid the said sum inspite of a notice of demand issued to him (*vide* No.....dated.....)

Therefore I hereby certify that the above said Sri..... .....is a defaulter in respect of the said amount and is recoverable as an arrear of land revenue under sub-section (2) of section 6 of the Karnataka Electricity Board (Recovery of Dues) Act, 1976.

Executive Engineer (Elecl.)

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

W. C. SHADAKSHARA DEV,
Under Secretary to Government,
Public Works and Electricity Dept.

2791—S. O. 880

COMMERCE AND INDUSTRIES SECRETARIAT

Notification No. CI 36 EMO 78.

Bangalore, dated 31st March 1978.

In pursuance of sub-section (1) of Section 5 of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957, the Government of Karnataka hereby sanctions a Mining lease in favour of M/s. The Mysore Stone Ware Pipes and Potteries Limited for a period of 20 (twenty) years for Lithomarge over 188 acrers (76.08 hectares) of land in Soladevanahalli and Chikbanavar villages, Bangalore North Taluk, Bangalore District, as per sketch furnished by the Director of Mines and Geology, Bangalore.

The grant of the above Mining Lease is subject to the terms and conditions appended hereunto:

Terms and Conditions

(a) Mining Lease should be in respect of Lithomarge only. If other Minerals are found in association with Lithomarge they should be brought to the notice of Government and if the lessee desires to mine these minerals alongwith Lithomarge they should do so only after the consent of Government is obtained in writing ;

(b) Royalty at the rates prescribed in the Second Schedule to the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957 as amended from time to time or the dead rent at the following rate in respect of each mineral per hectare per annum, whichever is greater, shall be charged provided that dead rent shall not be payable for the first year of the lease ;

1st Year of the lease	...	Nil
2nd to 5th year of the lease	...	Rs. 12.50
6th to 10th year of the lease	...	Rs. 25.00
11th year and onwards of the lease	...	Rs. 37.50

(c) Surface rent at the rate of Rs. 2.50 per hectare per annum on the actual area used by the lessee for mining purposes shall be charged ;

(d) If beryl or any other "substance prescribed" under section 3 of the Atomic Energy Act No. XXIX of 1948 is found to occur in the property under the lease, the lessee shall make available such mineral to the Government of India ;

(e) The lease shall be subject to the provisions of Rules in Chapter IV of the Mineral Concession Rules, 1960 ;

(f) The lease shall also be subject to the rules issued under section 18 of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957;

(g) The lessee shall pay to the Director of Mines and Geology in Karnataka, Bangalore, necessary security deposit for due observance of the terms and conditions of the lease in accordance with Rules 32 of lease is issued to him;

(h) The lessee shall submit confidentially from time to time or when required, progress reports to the Director of Mines and Geology along with analysis and representative samples of the ores collected during the mining operations;

(i) The lessee shall be governed by all conditions that may be incorporated in the lease deed to be executed;

(j) The lessee shall abide by the Rules contained in the Karnataka State Forest Manual. if the leased areas covers any forest land;

(k) The total area held by the lessee under Mining leases including the present shall not exceed 10 Sq. Kilometers;

(l) Government shall have the right of purchasing the ore at current market rates;

(m) The lease would be determined if the lessee fails to commence effective mining operations within one year from the date of execution of lease deed;

(n) The area mentioned above is subject to verification after actual survey;

(o) Local and other cesses as prevalent in Bangalore District.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

Y. B. CHINNAPPA REDDY,
Under Secretary to Government, I/c.
Commerce and Industries Department.

HOME SECRETARIAT

Notification No. HD 18(b) TRC 78

Bangalore, dated 28th March 1978.

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2) of Section of the Road Transport Corporations Act, 1950 (Central Act 64 of 1950) the Government of Karnataka hereby appoint Shri B. S. Muddappa IAS an official member of Karnataka State Road Transport Corporation as the Vice-Chairman of the said Corporation with immediate effect *vice* Shri Samual Appaji, IAS.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

K. P. RAMAKRISHNA,

Under Secretary to Government,
Home Department.

2776 S. O. 882

COMMERCE AND INDUSTRIES SECRETARIAT

Notification No. CI 21 EMI 75

Bangalore, dated 27/29th March 1978.

In pursuance of Section 8(2) of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act 1967 and after obtaining approval of the Government of India *vide* their letter No. 7/92/75-MV dated 22nd February 1978 the Goverament of Karnataka hereby renew the Mining Lease held by M/s. Magnore Mining Company referred to in Schedule hereunder for a period of(10) Ten years with effect from 21st June 1975 subject to usual terms and conditions of the lease and also with the special condition that the lessee undertakes to rectify the deficiancies pointed out by Indian Bureau of Mines within one year from the date of renewal

No. and date of sanctioning the mining lease.	Ore	Extent and area
No. MNL/555/54696-M dt. 10-5-1955 of erstwhile Govt. of Bombay.	Iron and Manganese ores.	110 Acres in village Anmode Taluk Supa North Kanara Dist.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

Y. B. CHINNAPPA REDDY,

Under Secretary to Government,
Commerce and Industries Department

2788 S. O. 883

HOME SECRETARIAT

Notification No HD 109 PEG 78

Bangalore, dated 3rd April 1978

In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 6 of the Delhi Special Police Establishment Act, 1946 (Central Act No. 25 of 1946) read with Section 21 of the General Clauses Act, 1897 (Central Act 10 of 1897) and all other powers vesting in it, the Government of Karnataka hereby rescind and withdraw the consent under Section 6 of the Delhi Special Police Establishment Act 1946. conveyed by Government Letters No. HA 141/Pol (G. I). dated 7th December 1956, HD 30 EST 57, dated 2nd March, 1957 and HD 30 EST 57 dated 27th November 1957.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

R. A NAIK,
Commissioner for Home Affairs and
Secretary to Government of Karnataka,
Home Department.

15-S O 884

HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT SECRETARIAT

Notification No. HUD 87 MNM 78

Bangalore, dated 3rd April 1978.

In exercise of the powers conferred by Articles 25 (1) and 27 of the Association of Karnataka Urban Development Corporation Limited, the Government of Karnataka hereby appoints Sri H. C. Srikantaiah, Minister for Municipal Administration as Director, Karnataka Urban Development Corporation Limited in place of the Commissioner and Secretary to Government, Housing and Urban Development Department until further orders

2. Sri H. C Srikantaiah. Minister for Municipal Administration is also appointed as the Chairman of the Karnataka Urban Development Corporation Limited in place of the Commissioner and Secretary to Government, Housing and Urban Development Department, until further orders.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

M. KRISHNA RAO,
Under Secretary to Government,
Housing and Urban Development Department.

16—S O. 885

Finance Secretariat

Notification No. FD 56 RFC 76.

Bangalore, dated 6th March 1978.

In exercise of the powers conferred by Clause (2) of Article 283 of the Constitution of India, the Governor of Karnataka is pleased to make the following rules further to amend the Karnataka Financial Code, 1958, Volume-I, *viz.*,—

1. **Title and commencement**:—(1) These rules may be called the Karnataka Financial Code, Volume-I (1st Amendment) Rules, 1978.

(2) They shall come into force with immediate effect.

2. **Amendment to Article 250 (2) (Page 298)** -

Insert the following as clause (P) under Article 250 (2):

"(P): **Life Insurance Corporation**: Remittance Transfer Receipts may be issued in favour of the Life Insurance Corporation in respect of Life Insurance Corporation Premia Collections".

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

N. H. Nayar,
Under Secretary to Government,
Finance Department.

17—S O. 886

Home Secretariat

Notification No. HD 270 EDC 77

Bangalore, dated 3rd April 1978

In exercise of powers conferred by sub-clause (ii) of Clause (a) of Section 67 of the Karnataka Excise Act 1965 (Karnataka Act 21 of 1966) the Government of Karnataka hereby reduce the excise duty leviable under section 22 of the said Act on Rectified Spirit of 25 000 (Twentyfive thousand) bulk litres per month sold to Messrs. Aruna Chemicals, Bangalore, from the month of February 1978 for the manufacture of Ethyl Acetate to twenty paise per bulk litre.

2 This notification shall remain in force upto the end of June 1978 unless it is rescinded.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

M. P. Jayashankara Aradhya,
Under Secretary to Government,
Home Department.

18—S. O. 887

REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Notification No. RD 163 LRA 77.

Bangalore, dated 31st March/1st April 1978

In exercise of the powers conferred by Clause (1) of sub section (1) of Section 48 of the Karnataka Land Reforms Act, 1961 (Karnataka Act 10 of 1962) the Government of Karnataka hereby notify the Special Land Acquisition Officer (Defence) to be the Chairman of the Tribunal, Magadi taluk, constituted under Section 48 of the said Act in place of the Special Land Acquisition Officer (Railways), Bangalore.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

P. VASUDEVA RAO,
I/c Asst Spl. Officer for L. R. & Ex-officio
Under Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

19—S. O. 888

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR DEPARTMENT

Order No. SWL 145 LLD 78.

Bangalore, dated 30th March 1978.

WHEREAS the Government of Karnataka are of opinion than at Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of Khadi and Village Industries Commission, No. 6, Dr. D. V. Gundappa Road, Bangalore-4. On the Points noted below :

AND, WHEREAS the Government of Karnataka considered it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication.

NOW, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Bangalore.

Points of Dispute

"I. Are the management of Khadi and Village Industries Commission No. 6, Dr D. V. Gundappa Road, Bangalore-4, justified in terminating Sri Gurkha Bahadur, Watchman from Service with effect from 4th November 1976 ?

"II. If not, to what relief the workman is entitled.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka.

V. V. MASTHIHOLIMATH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

23—S. O. 889

EDUCATION AND YOUTH SERVICES SECRETARIAT

Notification No. ED 41 DCE 77.

Bangalore, dated 1st April 1978.

In supersession of Government Notification No. ED 138 DCE 76 dated 19th January, 1977, Smt. M A Chingamani, Lecturer in Sociology, Maharani's Arts College for Women, Bangalore, is sanctioned leave as detailed below :

(1) Half-pay leave for 66 days from 17 7-76 to 20-9-76;
(2) Leave without allowance from 21-9-76 to 30-3-77.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

B. JANAKIRAMAN,
Under Secretary to Government,
Education and Youth Services Department.

24—S.O. 890

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA

Labour Dispute :—Industrial dispute between the workmen and the Management of M/s Anand and Kirloskar partner M/s. Anand Works P. O. Hindalga, Belgaum.

Award of the Presiding Officer; Labour Court, Hubli, Published.

Read the following Papers :—

(1) Letter No. LCH/2134/77-78 dated 27th February 1978 from Shri P. L. Kumarswamy, Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Hubli.

BEFORE THE LABOUR COURT AT HUBLI

Reference No. 242/1977

Present :

SRI P. L. KUMARSWAMY, B.Sc., B L.

Presiding Officer

Dated 27th January 1978.

Claimant :—

Sri Mallappa Gundu Kalkhambkar, 138 Bramha Dev Galli, Sulage Taluk and District Belgaum.

Vs.

Respondent :—

The Management of M/s Anand and Kirloskar, Partner M/s Anand Works, P. O. Hindalga, Belgaum.

G. O. No. SWL 390 LLD 77, dated 25th June 1977

Judgement and Award

I have been required to adjudicate whether the Respondent was justified in dismissing the services of the claimant with effect from 28th July 1976.

2. The claimant contends that he has served the Respondent from the date of his apprenticeship and has served it continuously for 14 years and was holding the post of a supervisor and was drawing a salary of Rs. 300/- p.m. and that during these 14 years there was no blemish. He contends that the Management some how wanted to remove the claimant from the service and therefore they made an allegation of stealing a cage-nut and bucket and selling it to one Sri Ganapat Mandelkar and held a farce of enquiry and terminated his services He claims that the enquiry is bad in law because he was not allowed to cross examine the witnesses and because the necessary documents were not provided to him and because he was denied the help of a co-worker to defend himself. He contends that he knew only Marathi and without the help of next friend he was unable to answer question put to him through a translator. He submits that the cage-nut work was executed by him and a sum of Rs. 5/- received had been handed over to the proprietor. He prays for reinstatement with full back wages and continuity of service.

3. The Respondent admitting that the claimant was working with them denies the allegation that a farce of enquiry was conducted. They urge that a fair and proper enquiry has been conducted after observing all principles of natural justice. They further assert that the proceedings were all in Marathi the mother tongue of the claimant and that the claimant has also signed on every page of the proceedings. They have also denied that he was not allowed to cross-examine the witnesses for the Management and that he was not given opportunity to defend himself. The Respondent contends that he is not entitled to reinstatement or other benefits.

4. In this case only the claimant has been examined. The Respondent had not led any oral evidence. Many documents are marked by consent It is an admitted fact that the claimant has received Rs. 5/- from one Ganapat Mandelkar in respect of work relating to cage-nut and same so received was not given to the partner of the Respondent on the day it was received, and that it has been paid 2 days after the receipt. As per the chargesheet Ex. W-4 the said amount has been received on 8th May 1976. The claimant's evidence that he paid that this Rs. 5/- 2 days thereafter remains unrebutted. Therefore it means that he has paid Rs. 5/- to the Management on 10th May 1975. On

on 13th May 1976 a notice Ex W-1 has been issued by the Respondent to the claimant. This is in Marathi. True translation of it has been furnished by the claimant's counsel which has set out the facts leading to the receipt of this Rs. 5 and concludes to demote the claiment from the duties of a supervisor to that of a Turner and calls upon him to give his willingness to work as Turner within 4 days. The claimant has sent a reply as per Ex. W-2 which is in English, wherein he has admitted. he repair of cage nut and receiving Rs. 5 and the same being with him for 2 days and the same being handed over to the management a little later. In Ex. W-2 the claimant has stated that he is not willing to be degrated as a Turner and has asserted that he must be given his original post as a Supervisory It is only thereafter the Respondent issued another letter. In Ex. W-2 he demanded an opportuniny to demonstrate his integrity thereby suggesting an enquiry.

5 It is only thereafter the Respondent issued Ex W-3 informed him that one Balasahab Kulkarni is appointed to hold an enquiry and on that day itself it passed an order treating the services of the claimant as having suspended w. e. f. the date of Ex. W-1. Then the Management appears to have changed its mind and appointed one Govend as an enquiry Officer and issued a charge sheet Ex. W-4. Ex. W-5 is a reply to the charge sheet Ex. W-4. Wherein he has stated that this amount was retained for 2 days and it has been repaid. There also he contended that he should not be degraded and that he should be continued as a superviser. Thereafter an enquiry appears to have been held because the claimant in his evidence has admitted that an enquiry has been held because he says that he told the real fact to the enquiry officer. But the evidence recorded by the enquiry officer is not marked as exhibit in this case because that enquiry office has not got examined in teis case. The enquiry report is marked as Ex. W-7 in this case. On the basis of this Ex. W-7 the Respondent has issued notice Ex, W-6 calling upon the claimant to show cause why he should not be dismissed. The claimant has sent a reply Ex. W-8 which is in Marathi and a ture translation has been furnished to this Court. In Ex W-8 he has contended that the enquiry officer has joined hands with the Management to do injustice to him and therefore he is not accepting the verdict of the enquiry Officer and assered that he had never incurred any loss to the Company After the receipt of Ex W-8 the Respondent has passed an order of dismissal as per Ex. W-10. Thereafter the claimant has approched the Labour Officer as per Ex. W-9 and has also got Ex. W-11 issued to the Management to which the management has sent a reply Ex. W-13 Ex. W-14 is the factual report sent to the Government by the Labour Officer.

6 I see no force in the contention of the claimant that he was not allowed to crossexamine the witnesses. In the chief examination itself. the claimant had stated that he did not examine the witnesses

as there is no necessity to ask the question and since he had given the true facts before the enbuiry officer. This answer suggests that an apportunity was, given to the claimant by the management and the claimant has not utilised that opporutnits to cross examine the witnesses. Therefore it would be futile to contend that he was not given scope to crossexamine the witnesses. On that score the claimant cannot contend that the enquiry is bad in law.

7. The main grievence of the claimant is that he was not given assistance of a co-worker to defend him. In this case the proceedings of the enquiry Officer is not marked. In this Case the enquiry Officer has also not been examined to say that he had given option to the claiment to have the services of the co-worker to defend him and that the claiment declined to accept that offer. In the absence of any such evidence, the Court has to presume that the assistance of a co-worker has been denied to the olaimant. Therefore that would definitely mean that principles of natural justice has been violated to that extent.

8. I see no force in the contention that the proceedings being in English has vitiated the enquiry. The claimant to a Court question has stated that the enquiry proceedings were not held in English. He has further told the Court that this was translated to him in Marathi which language is known to him. The Management's contention is that the proceedings is in Marathi and that every page of it is signed by the claiment. That proceedings is not marked in this case. Therefore it is not known whether the proceedings was in Marathi. Even if the evidence of the claimant is accepted it means that the proceedings which were in English has been translated to him in Marathi language known to him. Therefore no prejudice is caused to the claimant by proceedings being in English. Hence that can not be a good ground to hold that the enquiry being in English has prejudiced the claimant.

9. I feel that the Management has not placed any material before this Court to find out the findings of he enquiry Officer is based on valid evidence. A reading of the enquiry Officer's findings shows that one Yalgurkar has been examined by the enquiry Officer and he has not been crossexamined by the claimant. In the absence of the evidence of Yalgurkar it is not possible to know whether the said Yelgurkar is one of the partner with the Respondent. The enquiry findings do not even show that one Mandolkar has been examined in that case. If he had been examined the Court would have been in a position to find out whether the work relating to the cagenut and bucket was not of repair of that work or whether selling the cage nut and bucket to Ganapath Mandolkar. In the absence of any such evidence, I have to believe the evidence of the claimant that the work relate to the repair of the cage nut of the Ganpath Rao.

Mandolkar. Any way the receipt of Rs. 5 is admitted by the claimant. He has stated that within 2 days it has been repaid to the partner of the Respondent. The answer the claiment has given to the cross examination in this Court's question shows that this amount was paid by the claimant after two days on his own accord to the Proprietor and not after the same was detucted by the Management and questioned. That shows that there was no dishonesty at all. The claimant has given explaination that he could not pay this amount since he did not meet the partner of the Respondent. That would be a good ground to explain for the retention of Rs. 5/- for 2 days. The enquiry Officer has not bestowed his attention on this aspect of the case particularly because in the claiments explaination he has contended so. Therefore I feel that the findings of the enquiry Officer is perverse.

10. I feel that the enquiry Officer is a most a puppet in the hands of the Management. In fact he contends so in Ex. W. 8. If the enquiry Officer had been examined the Court would have in aposition to find out whether all these documents and the enquiry Officeres findings had been furnished to the claimant. Even the claimaut has not stated in his evidence that the documents has been furnished and the enquiry report was not given to him. But it is stated so in the claim statement. Since the enquiry Officer is not examined in this case, the Court will have to think that these documents may not have been produced or furnished to the claimant. To that extent also I feel that the principles of natural justice have not been met with.

11. I find that the attitude of the Management is to punish the claimant from the beginning. They appear to have pre-judged the issued because in Ex. W-1 even without the receipts of any explaination from the claimant, thay come to the conclusion that it is unpardenable misconduct which warrants demotion. Subsequently they have suspended him with retrospeetive effect. That shows that the Management some how wanted to hold him guilty to get rid of the claimant. Even when the Management passed the order of dismissal in Ex W-10, the explanation given by him viz the retaining of Rs. 5 for 2 days by inadvertance for not meeting the partner, has not been appreciated by the authorities imposing punishment. If only he had considered that aspect, the punishment met out would not be disproportionate to the gravity of the misconduct committed. Any way I find that since no material is placed before this Court as to what is the nature of the evidence placed against the claimant and since he has been denied the assistance of a co-worker I feel that the enquiry is bad in law and that the findings is also perverse for the reasons stated earlier. Hence the domestic enquiry held against the claimant cannot be held be proper. When the domestic enquiry is not proper, the punish-

ment imposed on the basis of invalid enquiry will have to be struck down. Therefore it follows that the termination of the services of the claimant is illegal and unjustified and that is my finding on the 1st point of dispute referred to this Court. In view of this finding, the claimant would be entitled to reinstatement with back wages and continuity of service. No. cost.

(Dictated to the Stenographer, transcribed and typed by him and corrected by me)

Sd

Hubli

P. L. KUMARASWAMY,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court ,Hubli.

27-1-1978

Appendix

List of witnesses and documents marked on behalf of Management :Nil

List of witnesses examined on behalf of Claiment

(1) WW-1 The claimant

List of documents marked on behalf of Claimant.

(1) Ex. W-1 Notice of the Respondent dated 13th May 1976

(2) Ex. W-2 explanation of the claimant to Ex. W-1 dated 20th May 1976

(3) Ex. W-3 Notice of enquiry dated 26th May 1976

(4) Ex. W-4 Charge sheet and order of enquiry dated 1st July 1976

(5) Ex. W-5 Letter to the Respondent by claimant dated 10th July 1976

(6) Ex. W-6 Show cause notice dated 28th July 1976

(7) Ex. W-7 Findings of the enquiry Officer

(8) Ex. W-8 Reply of the claiment to show cause notice

(9) Ex W-9 Complaint given to Labour office by claimant dated 2nd August 1976

(10) Ex. W-10 Dismissal order dated 16th August 1976

(11) Ex. W-11 Demand notice issued to Management dated 27th August 1976

(12) Ex.W-12 Application to Labour Officer dated 13th September 1976

(13) Ex. W-13 Reply of the Management to Demand notice dated 5th September 1976

(14) Ex. W-14 Factual report of Labour officer
(15) Ex. W-15 Letter sent to Labour Court date- 21st July 1977
(16) Ex. W-16 Findings of the enquiry Officer

P. L. KUMARASWAMY,
Presiding Officer,
Labour court, Hubli.

TRUE COPY
P. L. KUMARASWAMY,
Presiding Officer
Labour Court Hubli

Order No. SWL 63 LLA 78

Bangalore, dated 21st/25th March 1978.

Whereas by State Government order No. SWL 390 LLD 77, dated 25th June 1977 the Industrial dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s. Anand and Kirloskar Partner M/s. Anand Works P.O. Hindalga Belgaum, was referred to the Labour Court, Hubli for adjudication.

And, whereas the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Hubli has submitted to the State Government its award in respectof the said Industrial Dispute.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka, are pleased to direct the publication of the said award as above, in the *Karnataka Gazette.*

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. V. MASTHIHOLIMATH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

2771—S.O. 891

RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND CO-OPERATION SECRETARIAT

Notification No. RDC 47 MMD 78.

Bangalore, dated 3rd April 1978.

Under sub-section (2) of Section 10 of the Karnataka Agricultural Produce Marketing (Regulation) Act, 1966, Government of Karnataka hereby nominates (1) Sri H. B. Jangali, as Chairman, Agricultural Produce Market Committee, Haveri and (2) Sri S. S. Hosamani, as Vice-Chairman of the Agricultural Produce Market Committee, Haveri.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

G. S. JOSHI,
Under Secretary to Government,
Rural Development and Co-operation Dept.

36—S. O. 892

Printed by the Director of Printing, Sty. & Publications, at the Govt. Press, B'lore.

PART IV

Section —2-C (iii)

STATUTORY ORDERS ISSUED BY THE STATE GOVERNMENT UNDER THE CENTRAL ACTS AND STATE ACTS.

COMMERCE AND INDUSTRIES SECRETARIAT

Notification No. CI 98 EMI 77

Bangalore, dated 31st March 1978:

In exercise of the powers conferred under Rule 15 (1)/31(1) of the Mineral Concession Rules 1960, the Government of Karnataka hereby revoke the orders issued in Government Notification No. CI 4 EMI 75 dated 21st January 1975 sanctioning a Mining Lease for Manganese Ore in respect of the area of 85 acres (34.39 hectares) of land in Dabguli village, Yellapur taluk, North Kanara District in favour of Messrs. Mysore Minerals Limited, since surrendered.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

Y. B. CHINNAPPA REDDY,
I/c Under Secretary to Government,
Commerce and Industries Department.

13—S.O. 901

Corrigendum No. CI 109 EMO 76

Bangalore, dated 31st March 1978.

For the word "Masanikere" appearing in Govt. Notification No. 109 EMO 76 dated 18th August 1977 the word "Masanikere Kaval" may be substituted.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

Y. B. CHINNAPPA REDDY,
Under Secretary to Government I/c,
Com. and Ind. Dept.

14—S.O. 902

FOOD AND FOREST SECRETARIAT

Notification No. FFD 42 FGL 78

Bangalore, dated 1st April 1978.

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 28 of the Karnataka Forest Act 1963 (Karnataka Act 6 of 1964), The Government of Karnataka hereby directs that the land specified in Schedule below which forms a portion of the Reserved Forests Constituted Government Notification No AFD 216 FAF 63, dated 6th March 1964 and Government Notification No. AFD 76 FAF 66, dated 29th June 1966 issued by the Government of Karnataka shall with effect from 15th of April 1978 cease to be a part of the said Reserved Forests.

SCHEDULE

District	Taluk	Village	F.S. No.	Area in Acres / Hrs.	Boundaries
2		3	4	5	6
Dharwar	Kalghatgi	Aleesa-vankop	11P	17-11-0 6-99	North : Sigigatti limit South: Sy No 12 to 29 East : Sigigatti V. Limit West : Sy. No. 7 and 12 to 14
"	"	"	67P	79 39-00 34-36	North : Sy. No 67P South : Galigikatti. V.B. East : Neiharvi V.B West : Harasrambi V.B.
"	"	"	69P	23 00-00 9-31	North : Sy.No. 73 South : " 690 East : " 70 to 72 West : Harasambi V B.
"	"	"	73	136 12-0 55-16	North : Karikon V.B South : Sy No 69 East : Sy No 74. 93 and Shigigatti V.B.

1	2	3	4
			West : Hosarambi V.B.
83P	36 13 00		North : Sy No. 74
			South : 83P
	14 70		East : Sy No. 83P 79.
			West : Sigisatti B.
74P	64-9-0		North : Sigisatti
			South : Sy. No 83
	2 -99		East : ,, 74P
			West: ,, 73P
	Total...257 4 00 Acres		
	144-51 Htrs.		

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H MUNIRAM,
Under Secretary to Government,
Food and Forest Department.

1—S.O. 93

COMMERCE AND INDUSTRIES SECRETARIAT

Notification No. CI 94 EPO 78.

Bangalore, dated 31st March 1978.

In exercise of the powers conferred under Rule 15(1) of the Mineral Concession Rules 1960, the Government of Karnataka hereby revoke the orders issued in Government Notification No CI 265 EPO 77 dt. 8th November 77 sanctioning a Prospecting Licence for Feldspar in respect of the area of 15 acres (38.41 hectares) of land in Giddadahonehalli village, Bangalore North taluk, Bangalore District in favour of Mrs. Hari Enterprises as the grantee failed to pay the security deposit and execute the deed within the prescribed time limit.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

Y B CHINNAPPA REDDY,
Under Secretary to Government, I/C.
Commerce and Industries Department.

11—S. O. 904

Notification No. CI 81 EPO 78

Bangalore, dated 3rd April 78

In exercise of the powers conferred under Rule 15(1) of the Mineral Concession Rules, 1960, the Government of Karnataka hereby revoke the Orders issued in Government Notification No. CI 224 EPO 77 dated 27th October 77 sanctioning a Prospecting Licence Kyanite in respect of the area of 120 acres (48.56 hectares) of land in Narashettihally village, Holenarasipur Taluk Hassan District in favour of Shri Mohammed Shariff as the grantee failed to pay the security Deposit and execute the deed within the prescribed time limit.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

Y. B. CHINNAPPA REDDY,
Under Secretary to Government,
Commerce and Industries Department.

28—S.O. 905

EDUCATION AND YOUTH SERVICES SECRETARIAT

Notification No. ED 41 DCE 77.

Bangalore, dated 1st April 1978.

Smt. M A. Chingamani, Lecturer in Sociology, Maharani' Arts College for Women, Bangalore, is sanctioned leave without allowance for a period of three (3) years with effect from 15th June 1977. for prosecuting Doctoral Degree at Dr. S. Radhakrishnan. Institute of Advanced Study in Philosophy, Madras.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

B. JANAKIRAMAN.
Under Secretary to Government,
Education and Youth Services Department.

25—S O 90 :

COMMERCE AND INDUSTRIES SECRETARIAT

Notification No. CI 11 EPO 78.

Bangalore, dated 3rd April 1978.

In exercise of the powers conferred under Rule 15 (1) of the Mineral Concession Rules 1960, the Government of Karnataka hereby revoke the orders issued in Government Notification No. CI 209 EPO 77, dated 9th August 1977 sanctioning a Prospecting Licence for soapstone and Rock crystal in respect of the area of 98 acres (39.66 hectares) of land in Suddakunte village, Madhugiri Taluk, Tumkur

District in favour of Shri. Mohammed Shariff as the grantee failed to pay the security deposit and execute the deed within the prescribed time limit.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

Y. B. CHINNAPPA REDDY,

29—S.O. 907 *I/c Under Secretary to Government Commerce and Industries Department.*

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Order No. SWL 156 LLD 78.

Bangalore, dated 31st March 1978.

WHEREAS the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that Industrial dispute exists between the workmen and the management of City Municipality, Mangalore on the points noted below;

NOW, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Additional Industrial Tribunal at Bangalore.

Points of Dispute

1. "Are the management of Mangalore City Municipality Mangalore justified in stopping payment of HRA and CCA to the following workmen, with effect from 1st July 1977 ?

1. Sri. Janardhana
2. Sri. Venkappa
3. Sri. Krishnappa
4. Sri Gopala Moolya
5. Sri Mana Gatti

2. Are the management of Mangalore City Municipality, Mangalore justified in ordring the recovery of the HRA and CCA already paid to the said workmen ?
3. If not, to what relief are the said workmen entitled ?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka

V.V. MASTIHOLIMATH,

35—S. O. 908 *Under Secretary to Government, Social Welfare and Labour Department.*

COMMERCE AND INDUSTRIES SECRETARIAT

Notification No. CI 92 EPO 78

Bangalore, dated 3rd April 1978.

In exercise of the powers conferred under Rule 15 (1) of the Mineral Concession Rules 1960, the Government of Karnataka hereby revoke the orders issued in Government Notification No CI 301 EPO 77 dated 16th November 1977, sanctioning a Prospecting licence for Boulder Corrundum in respect of the area of 93 acres (37.63 hectares) of land in Yalalahalli village, Maddur taluk, Mandya District in favour of Sri S. A Khan as the grantee failed to pay the security deposit and execute the deed within the prescribed time limit.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

Y. B. CHINNAPPA REDDY,

I/c Under Secretary to Government,
Commerce and Industries Department.

80—S. O. 909

Notification No. CI 73 EMI 76

Bangalore, dated 3rd April 1978.

In exercise of the powers conferred under Rule 31 (1) of the Mineral Concession Rules 1960, the Government of Karnataka hereby revoke the orders issued in Government Notification No. CI 4h EMI 76 dated 6th August 1976 sanctioning a mining Lease for Moulding Sand in respect of the area of 150 acres (60 70 hectares) of land in Naganahalli village, Ranibennur Taluk, Dharwar District in favour of Shri H. B Patil as per the revisional orders passed by the Government of India, *vide* their final order No. 576/77 dated 30th May 1977.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka

Y B. CHINNAPPA REDDY,

I/c. Under Secretary to Government
Commerce & Industries Department.

84—S. O. 910

Notification No. CI 53 EPI 78.

Bangalore, dated 4th April 1978.

In pursuance of sub-section (1) of Section 5 of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957, the Government of Karnataka hereby sanctions a Prospecting Licence in favour of Messrs. Lokeswar Minerals for a period of 2 (two) years for Lime stone over an area of 186 acres (75.27 hectares) of land in Chowdapur village, Mudhol taluk, Bijapur District, as per sketch furnished by the Director of Mines and Geology, Bangalore.

The grant of the above Prospecting Licence is subject to the terms and conditions appended hereunto.

Terms and Conditions

1. The licence shall be operative for a period of Two years with effect from the date of execution. The option to renew the licence for a further period shall be reserved to Government.

2. The licensee shall pay to Government a Prospecting fee of Rs. 2-50 per hectare of the land covered by the licence for each year or portion of a year.

3 The quantity of ore to be removed under this licence, both on payment of royalty and free of royalty, shall be in accordance with the limits prescribed under Rule 14 (ii) and (iii) and under Schedule III respectively of the Mineral Concession Rules, 1960. The royalty payable on the minerals shall be in accordance with the rates prescribed in Second Schedule to the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957 and as amended from time to time.

4. The licensee shall make available to the Government of India beryl or any other 'substance prescribed' under Section 3 of the Atomic Energy Act No. XXIX of 1948 if they occur in the property under licence.

5. The licensee shall be subject to the rules issued under Sections 13 and 18 of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957 (No 67 of 1957).

6. If any other mineral is traced during the course of prospecting, the licensee shall report about the same to the Director of Mines and Geology and shall not work such minerals without obtaining prior permission of Government.

7. The licensee shall furnish an accurate final map of areas covered by the licence together with a description, giving the situation and boundaries of the areas duly attested by the licensee. The Director of Mines and Geology is authorised to get the accurate final map with survey numbers etc., prepared and demarcation done before executing the licence if the licensee so desired and to recover the cost of the same from the licensee.

8. The licensee shall, before the deposit is returned to him submit confidentially to the Director of Mines and Geology from time to time or wherever required a full report of the work done by him and disclose all information acquired in the course of the operations carried on under the licence regarding the geology and mineral resources of the area covered by the licence.

9. The licensee shall allow any officer authorised by the Central or the State Government to inspect at any time the area covered by the Prospecting licence as also any accounts, plans and records maintained by him.

10. The licensee shall before the licence is issued to him deposit as security a sum of Rs. 200 in respect of every square kilometre or part thereof for which the licence is granted for the due observance of the terms and conditions of the licence.

11. The licensee shall engage a qualified geologist or a mining engineer to conduct the prospecting methodically.

12. The licensee shall not give any ore-raising contract during the prospecting stage.

13. The above mentioned area is subject to verification after actual survey; and

14. Local and other Cesses as prevalent in Bijapur District.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

Y. R. CHINNAPPA REDDY,
Under Secretary to Government I/c.
Commerce and Industries Department.

42—S.O. 911

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Order No. SWL 26 LLA 78

Bangalore, dated 16th/22nd February 1978.

WHEREAS, before the Industrial Tribunal, Bangalore a complaint was made under Section 33-A of the Industrial Disputes Act 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), by Sri A. Rangaiah C/o Mysore Cement Employees Association, Ammasandra, Tumkur District.

AND, WHEREAS, the said Tribunal adjudicated upon the said complaint and submitted its award to the State Government as required under Section 15 read with Section 33 A of the said Act.

NOW, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947) the Government of Karnataka hereby directs the publication of the said Award in the *Karnataka Gazette*.

By Order and in the name of the President of India,

B. MANAMOHAN,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

2635—S.O. 912

BEFORE THE INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL IN KARNATAKA, BANGALORE.

Dated 31st January 1978.

Present:

Sri F. L. F. Alvares, B.A., B.L., ... Presiding Officer.

Complaint No. 2 of 1976.
in I. D. No. 29 of 73.

Complainant.		*Opposite Party.*
Sri A. Rangaiah C/o Mysore Cements Employees Association, Ammasandra, Tumkur District.	*Vs.*	Management of Mysore Cements Ltd., by the President, Mysore Cements Ltd., Ammasandra.

Appearances.

For the Complainant.—Sri M. C. Narasimhan, Advocate, Bangalore.
For the Opposite Party.—Sri R. Gururajan, Advocate, Bangalore.

AWARD.

This is a Complaint under Section 33A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

2. The Complainant states that he joined service in the Opposite Party-Company as a Crane Operator in the year 1961 and that on the charge of habitual absence, an enquiry has been held and he has been dismissed from service as per an order dated 10th July 1974. The charge was not established. The Enquiry Officer's findings are perverse. The Enquiry Officer has ignored material circumstances. The Complainant had made applications for leave. He was working in an area where there was lot of cement and clinker dust. Working in such a dusty atmosphere often leads to sickness. There is no E. S. I. service. As such, he had to take treatment outside, on his own. This is an extenuating circumstance. The punishment is discriminatory. There are a large number of workmen who had been guilty of absenteeism to a far greater extent than the complainant, but have not been punished in the same manner. He could not let in any evidence in the enquiry as he was under the impression that he would never be dismissed for such a petty offence. He made several representations to the Government for action. He also filed a writ petition praying for a direction to be issued to the Government for final orders on his application dated 12th February 1975. When the petition came up for hearing in the High Court, the Government Advocate submitted to the High Court that an endorsement had been issued to the effect that the complainant should file a complaint under Section 33A. At the time of his dismissal, the Reference in I. D. No. 29 of 1973 was pending. He is a workman concerned in I. D. No. 29 of 1973. The Management ought to have complied with the provisions of Section 33 (2) (B) of the Industrial Disputes Act. The Opposite Party has neither filed an application for approval nor paid one month's wages. He, therefore, prays that the dismissal order dated 10th July 1974 may be set aside and that he be reinstated in employment with continuity of service and back wages, etc.

3. The Opposite Party contended that the complainant is in no way connected with I.D. No. 29 of 1973 and that the dispute in I.D. No. 29/73 is not of a general nature involving the complainant's interest. The complainant is, therefore, not concerned workman. There is no contravention of Section (A) of the I. D Act. The enquiry held against the complainant was in accordance with the Standing Orders and afforded all reasonable opportunity to the complainant The complainant's misconduct deserves the extreme punishment of dismissal. The Opposite Party's action is valid and legal. In spite of several opportunities given to improve his attendance, the complainant continued to remain absent without leave. thereby forcing the Management to take the extreme step of dismissal. It is not true that the charge was not established in the enquiry. In a Cement Industry, in general, there is bound to be dust . There is possibility of occupational disease. The complainant cannot make any grievance on this ground. The workmen who are exposed to dust are supplied with sufficient protective and other equipments for avoiding dust and its ill-effects. The Opposite Party is not responsible for non-extension of E S.I. There is no discrimination. The complainant alone is to be blamed if he has not led any evidence at the enquiry It is learnt that the complainant is gainfully employed elsewhere. He is, therefore, not entitled for reinstatement or back wages.

4 The following issues were framed :

1) Whether the complainant is a concerned workman in I. D. No. 29 of 1973 ?

2) Whether there is violation of section 33 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 ?

3) Whether the Opposite Party-Management is justified in terminating the services of the complainant with effect from 10th July 1974 ?

4) To what relief, if any, is complainant entitled to ?

5 Issues Nos 1 and 2 : The contention that the complainant is not a workman concerned in I. D. No 29/73 was not pressed by the Opposite Party during the arguments and hence, these two issues may be held in favour of the complainant and this complaint becomes maintainable.

6. Issue No. 3 : In the petition no serious instances of breach of principles of natural justice during the conduct of the domestic enquiry have been cited. During the arguments, the complainant's counsel has accepted the position that reasonable opportunity was afforded to the complainant during the domestic enquiry. It is also seen that the complainant fully participated in the domestic enquiry and that he was also given the assistance of a co-worker. Therefore this complaint has to be considered on the basis that the enquiry held is in accordance with the principles of natural justice and that

there are no circumstances vitiating the domestic enquiry on any of such grounds.

7. But it is urged by the counsel appearing for the complainant that though in the Show Cause Notice, which contains the charge on the basis of which the enquiry was held it is merely stated that he was absent on the following days

In the month of October 1973—5 days,
—do— November 1973 - 5 days,
—do— December 1973—4 days,
—do— January 1974—7 days, (without pay)

Without permission during the enquiry evidence was brought in to prove his absence from as long back as January 1973, and that the misconduct falls under Section E II(8) of the Standing Order which reads as follows :—

"Frequent late coming frequent absence without permission or absence without permission or overstaying the sanctioned leave without sufficient grounds and proper and satisfactory explanation." and that thus the Enquiry Officer could not have found the complainant guilty of the misconduct of habitual absence and that, at any rate, the Enquiry Officer ought to have found as to whether such absence was without sufficient ground and proper and satisfactory explanation. It was further submitted by the complainant's counsel that whenever the complainant went on leave, he was always submitting leave applications through his co workers, like DW-1 V. Chandrasekharan Nair and DW-2 N. Shivanna who were examined during the enquiry, and that there being no system of passing acknowledgement for leave applications received nor any evidence to show that the leave applications received were being correctly dealt with the Enquiry Officer ought to have held that the misconduct in Item 8 extracted above is not established.

8. I have considered the above submission and I find that there is hardly any substance in any of them. The preamble to the Show Cause Notice dated 11th January 1974 specifically refers to the habitually unsatisfactory attendance from January 1973 and the action that was taken against the complainant as well as the assurance that was given by the complainant on 2nd October 1973 saying that he will not absent himself from duty in future. Therefore, the complainant cannot raise any objection that during the enquiry evidence relating to extraneous matters was introduced. The evidence of MW-1 A. N Ramachandran, Head Timekeeper, clearly shows that the complainant was absent without permission for as many as 99 days during 1973. No doubt, on a further search, some of the leave applications, which that complainant had submitted, were traced and produced, but the fact remains that the complainant has been freque-

ntly absenting himself. It is the not the complainant's case that he was obtaining permission, which always means, prior permission. It follows that the misconduct of 'frequent absence without permission' under Item 8 is established. The question of sufficient grounds and proper and satisfactory explanation arises only under the latter part of Item 8 which deals with 'overstaying the sanctioned leave'. Therefore, the complainant is not entitled to say that on account of occupational disease incurred due to the cement dust in the atmosphere around the Cement Factory prevented him from attending his duty. Even about this aspect of the case, except a general submission that the atmosphere around the Factory is polluted with dust inhaling of which is injurious to health. There is no evidence that the complainant was suffering from any of such diseases caused by dust inhalation. Except 3 Medical Certificates, one dated 6th March 1973 which shows that the complainant was advised to take rest for 4 days from 4th March 1973 and the other dated 14th January 1974 which shows that the complainant was under the treatment for Acute Bronchities for 3 days starting from 12th January 1974 to 14th January 1974 and the 3rd dated 26th Janurary 1974 saying that the complainant was under treatment on 24th January 1974 and 25th January 1974, there is no proper evidence to show that the complainant was suffering off and on due to ill health. Most of the leave applications mentioned the reason for the leave as urgent work in the house or else where. Therefore. it must be held that the misconduct alleged has been established.

9. Then, it was urged that the past record was not taken into consideration as required under Section E II Item (37) (latter part) of the Standing Orders. In this connection, the decision in 1974 II LLJ 184 has been cited. This submission is not warranted when the Disciplinary Authority's Order is examined properly.

10. Then, it was urged that some latitude, was given in the case of Chickkarangappa, should have been given by way of extenuating circumstance taking into consideration the risk of falling ill due to inhalling of cement dust. But when it does not appear that the complainant's illness, as seen from the Medical Certificates, was due to inhaling of cement dust, this submission would not have much aorce. Further, it is not denied by the complainant that action fainst Chickkarangappa has been taken. The fact that action against Chickkarangappa was taken after this complaint was filed guld not make much difference. Therefore, I hold that there is no victimisation involved.

11. Issue No. 4. It follows from the foregoing findings that the complainant is not entitled to any relief.

12. In the result, this complaint is dismissed,

(Dictated to the Stenographer, transcribed by him and corrected by me).

Sd. F. L. F. ALVARES,
Presiding Officer.
Industrial Tribunal,
Bangalore.

"True COPY"

Manager.
Industrial Tribunal.
Bangalore.

GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Labour Dispute: Industrial dispute between the workmen and the management of Mysore State Electricity Board, Bangalore.

Award of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Bangalore published.

Read the following papers:

(1) Letter No. ID. 971/77-78, dated 28th January 1978, from Shri F. L. F. Alvares, Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Bangalore.

BEFORE THE INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL IN KARNATAKA, BANGALORE

Dated 24th January 1978.

PRESENT:

Sri F. L. F. Alvares, B.A., B.L.,
Presiding Officer

I.D No 6 of 1970

I Party:—Workmen represented by the Secretary, M. S. E. B. Employes' Union Local Committee, Hubli Circle, Hubli.

-Vs-

II Party:—The Management of Mysore State Electricity Board, Bangalore.

APPEARANCES

For the I Party:—Sri K. Subb Rao, Advocate, Bangalore.

For the II Party:—Sri B. C. Prabhakar, Joint Secretary, Mysore State Employers' Association, Bangalore-4.

REFERENCE

(Government Order No. FCL 839 LLD 68, dated 1st May 1970)

AWARD

By Government Order No FCL 839 LLD 68. dated 1st May 1970 issued in exercise of its powers under Section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the State Government has referred the following points of dispute for adjudication to this Tribunal :

I. Are the workmen of Mysore State Electricity Board, Bangalore justified in demanding that the undermentioned post of the erstwhile Bombay Electricity Board be equated as under with effect from 1st April 1957 :—

(a) Junior Clerks and Bill-Collectors cum-Cashiers to posts of II Grade Clerks in grade Rs 55-120.

(b) Senior Clerks, Store-keepers-cum-Clerks, Senior Accounts-Clerks and Senior Audit Clerks to posts of II grade Clerks in the grade Rs. 80-200.

II. If not, to what reliefs are the workmen entitled

2. The I Party states as follows :

After the Re organisation of States on 1st April 1957, all the employees, working in the Hubli Division of the erstwhile Bombay State Electricity Board which was carrying on the generation, distribution of electricity supply in the State of Bombay, were transfered to the Electrical Department of the Government of Mysore, which was carrying on a similar activity in the old State of Mysore. Subsequently, in exercise of its powers vested in it under Section 5 of the Electricity Supply Act, the Government of Mysore, has constituted the State Electricity Board (M.S.E.B. in short) with effect from 30th September 1957 and in pursuance of that order, all the employees who are employed in the erstwhile Electricity Department of the Government of Mysore and their services were kept under the administrative control of the M.S.E.B. Subsequently, on their exercising the option, the services of these employees have been absorbed in the M.S.E.B. Consequent on the Re-organisation of the States, the Government of Mysore equated the different posts of the employees of the erstwhile Bombay Electricity Board whose services were transferred to the Electrical Department of the Government of Mysore with effect from 1st April 1957. The equation of the posts of Clerks, Bill Clerks-cum-Cashiers, Graduate Audit Clerks, Senior Clerks and Stores Keepers-cum-Clerks of the erstwhile Bombay State Electricity Board as finally ordered by the Mysore State Electricity Board as per its Order No. A I 911/68-69 dated 21 t August 1963 is wholly untenable and is not in accordance with the principles to be followed under the States Reorganisation Act, viz.. "(a) The nature and duties of the

ports, (b) The responsibilities and powers exercised by the officers holding the posts, (c) The extent of territorial jurisdiction or any other charge held by the incombent or the responsibilities devolving on the holder of the posts (d) Minimum qualification if any prescribed for recruitment to the posts and (e) The salary of the posts. Considering these principles the Junior Clerks and Bill Clerks-cum-Cashiers in the grade of Rs. 46-3-85-4 105 are entitled to be equated to Second Division Clerks in the grade of Rs. 55-3 70-4-110-5-1:0. Similarly, the Senior Clerks, Store Keepers-cum-Clerks in the grade of Rs. 92-8-140 and Senior Accounts Clerks, Store keepers-cum-Clerks in the grade of Rs. 100-8-140-10-170 should have been equated as First Grade Clerks of the erstwhile Electrical Department of the Government of Mysore in the grade of Rs. 80-:00. The I party workmen are also entitled to consequential benefits like arrears of wages, promotion, seniority, etc.,

3. In its Objection Statement, the II party states as follows :—

There were 4 categories in the clerical cadre of the M.S.E.B. which was formed on 30th September 1957 and they are—

(1) First Division Clerks,
(2) Second Division Clerks,
(3) First Grade Accounts Clerks,
(4) Second Grade Accounts Clerks.

Categories (3) and (4) consisted of only employees deputed from the State Accounts Department and their pay scales were slightly different from those of the First and Second Division Clerks. When the services of these employees were taken over by the M.S.E.B., the difference in pay scales as between First Division and First Grade Clerks and as between Second Division and Second Grade Clerks remained. When the services of the employees of the Bombay State Electricity Board were taken over on States Re-organisation by the Electricity Department of the Government of Mysore and later by the Mysore State Electricity Board, the Junior Clerks and Bill Collectors-*cum*-Cashiers were equated to Second Division Clerks and Senior Clerks, Store Keepers-*cum*-Clerks, Senior Accounts Clerks and Senior Audit Clerks were equated to First Division Clerks. Subsequently, in 1970 the four categories, have been amalgamated into only two categories, namely, First Division Clerks and Second Division Clerks. Such equation was fully justified and reasonable. The II party has not been able to find any basis for the I party's claim, beyond making bare assertions during the conciliation proceedings. In the absence of the requisite proof, the II party's equation is quite justified. The I party is not entitled to any relief.

3. Subsequently, the II party filed Additional Counter Statement contending that on 12th January 1970 a settlement was effected during the course of conciliation under Section 12 of the Industria

Disputes Act between the M.S.E B. and the Union of all its employees regarding all disputes covering categorisation, fitment and revision of pay scales and that therefore, the Reference made subsequently on 1st May 1970 is invalid. Further, the question relating to the absorption from Bombay Electricity Board service to Mysore Government service, such as, their equation or fitment, is not a matter which they could raise in dispute with the M S.E B , as they were, in the first instance, absorbed as employees of the Mysore State Govrnment and they came to the Board service only as employees of State Government on their own option subject to the conditions of service under the Board that, therefore, they are not entitled to raise any dispute regarding their position prior to the date of their joining the Board's service and that, finally, the Reference also does not deal with the conditions of service between the employer, namely, the M.S.E.B. and its employees.

5. The I Party filed a Rejoinder Statement.

6. In addition to the points of dispute scheduled in the Order of Reference, the following issues arrising out of the pleadings were framed :—

1. Does II Party-management prove that this Reference is invalid because of the existance of the Settlement dated 12th January 1970 ?

2. Does the Management further prove that this reference is liable to be rejected on the grounds mentioned in para 2 of their Additional Counter Statement filed before this Tribunal on 13th December 1972 ?

3. Does II Party-Management further prove that the I Party-workmen cannot raise the dispute with the Management of M.S.E.B. ?

4. Can the I Party raise the question of equation or fitment when they have opted to the service of the II Party after they had been absorbed with the Mysore Government Electrical Department

5. Do I party-workmen prove that the equation now made by the II party-Management is wholly un-justified and that they are entitled to equation as per their demand in the Annexure to their statement and that they are also entitled to get the consequential benefits ?

6. To what reliefs, if any, are the parties entitled ?

7. What award ?

7. This is a very old reference received as long back as on 4th May 1970 The proceedings have undergone countless number of adjournments, mostly, as the Order-sheet shows, on the request of the I party, on whom the burden lies and who has to adduce evidence in the first instance. The order-sheet shows that at the request of the I party, the case was adjourned from 25th July 1977 to 2nd September 1977 and then again from 24th November 1977 to 14th December 1977. On 14th December 1977 the counsels for both the parties were absent and the case was posted finally to 13th January

1978. On 18th January 1978, the I party's proxy again prayed for time and the case was again adjourned to this day, as a last chance. To-day also, when the case was taken up, the I party's proxy again prayed for time so that a registered notice could be given to the I party before reporting no instruction and withdrawing. No other grounds have been put forward in support of the request for adjournment. There is nothing to show that any effort has been made in the direction of the progress of the proceedings since the last more than one year by the I party. The case is a very old once and relates to the year 1970. I do not find any sufficient grounds to justify such an attitude on the part of the I party. More than sufficient time has been granted to the I party and yet, the I party has not bestowed any interest. The II party's counsel, who is present, has also opposed the grant of further adjournment to the I party. Under these circumstances, the case will have to be directed to be proceeded with, in the absence of the I party.

8. As per the order of Reference the burden lies on the I party to prove its claim. There being no evidence adduced by the I party, the issue covered under the points of dispute has to be answered in the negative and against the I party. The additional issues which have been framed on the basis of the additional objections filed by the II party do not survive for consideration.

9. In the result, an award is passed holding that the I party-workmen are not justified in putting forward their claims.

(Dictated to the Stenographer, transcribed by him and corrected by me).

F. L. F. Alvares,
Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal, Bangalore.
24-1-1978.

Order No. SWL 38 LLA 78

Bangalore, dated 16th/22nd February 1978.

Whereas by State Government Order No. FCL 239 LLD 68, dated 1st May 1970 the Industrial Dispute between the workmen and the management of Mysore State Electricity Board, Bangalore was referred to the Industrial Tribunal, Bangalore for adjudication;

And, whereas, the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal Bangalore, has submitted to the State Government its award in respect of the said Industrial Dispute;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka are pleased to direct the publication of the said award as above, in the *Karnataka Gazette*.

By Order and in the name of the President of India,

B. MANAMOHAN,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR DEPARTMENT

Labour Dispute :—Industrial dispute between the workmen and the Management of Huvinakadu Estate, Kutta Post, Kodagu District, Kodagu.

Award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Kodagu, Madikeri published.

Read the following papers :

(1) Letter No. 311/78, D. J. dated 31st January 1978 from Shri N.M. Llngaraju,, Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Kodagu, Madikeri.

BEFORE THE PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, MADIKERI KODAGU DISTRICT.

Present :

Sri N. M. Llngaraju, M.A ,B.L.,

This 30th day of January, 1978

Reference No. 88/77

I Party (Workmen) :		*II Party (Employer)* :
Y. Verghees, Labour Surervisor, Huvinakadu Estate, Kutta Post, Kodagu Dist.,	*Vs.*	Manager, Huvinakadu Estate, Kutta Post, Kodagu Dist.

JUDGMENT

This reference is referred to this Court under Section 10(1) of the Industrial Dispute Act regarding the dispute between workmen Verghees and the Management of the Huvinakadu Estate, Kodagu. After the receipt of the reference, notices were issued to the parties to be returnable by 5th November 1977. On 5th November 1977, the Assistant Secretary, Kodagu District Plantation and General Labour Union appeared for the first Party workmen. The Second party Management though served through Assistant Manager failed to appear before the Court. Then it was posted to 26th November 1977 to file statement. On 26th Novemter 1977, a claim statement was filed and again it was posted to file counter statement on 24th December 1977. From 24th December 1977, it was adjourned to 21st January 1978. On 21st January 1978, the second party was absent. Counter statement was not filed and the second party was placed *ex-parte*. On 21st January 1978, it was adjourned to 21st August 1978, on the day, the workmen, Verghees was examined.

2. He stated in evidence that he is working as Labour Supervisor in the Huvinakadu Estate since 1975. He is a Provident fund

Holder. He took active part in Trade Union activities, so the Management transferred him from Huvinakadu Estate to Bilikere, Mysore. Ex. P-1 is the Transfer order. He could not go to the new place. Afterwards management did not provide him employment from 20th December 1976. He has been transferred without notice or enquiry and he prays for re-instatement with back wages and other reliefs.

3. The points for dispute are :—

(i) Whether the Management of Anaparai Estate (Kutta) is justified in transferring Sri. Verghees, Labour Supervisor from Huvinakadu Estate to Manneel Agriculatural Farm at Bilikere in Mysore District as contained in their order dated 19th December 1976 ?

(ii) If not, is the employee entitled to be re-instated at Huvinakadu Estate with back-wages and continuity of services or to any other relief ?

4. As I have already observed, the Management has remained absent inspite of the service of notice of the proceedings and they have not come forwards to contest this reference. We have got the evidence of only the first party workmen Sri Verghees, and he says that he took active part in Trade Union activities, so he was transferred. His evidence is not at all challenged or rebutted. Just because a person takes active part in Trade Union activities, it is not a just ground to transfer the workmen from one establishment to another without proper grounds that too from one district to another district. Hence the Management was not justified in transferring Sri Verghees, Labour Supervisor, from Huvinakadu Estate to Manmeel Agricultural Farm at Bilikere, Mysore District in their order dated 19th December 1976. In the result, the workmen is entitled to be re-instated at Huvinakadu Estate with back wages and continuity of service or to any other relief he is entitled under law.

5. The reference is allowed in the above terms and I pass the order accordingly. Intimate the Government.

Dictated to the Stenographer, transcript revised and pronounced by me in open Court on this 30th day of January 1978.

N. M. LINGARAJU,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Kodagu, Madikeri.

ORDER No. SWL 19 LLA 78,

BANGALORE, DATED THE 27TH MARCH 1978.

Whereas by State Government Order No. SWL 677 LLD 77, dated 14th September 1977, the Industrial Dispute between the workmen and the management of Huvinakadu Estate, Kutta Post, Kodagu District was referred to the Labour Court, Kodagu, Madikeri for adjudication ;

And whereas the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Kodagu, Madikeri has submitted to the State Government its award in respect of the said Industrial Dispute;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka are pleased to direct the publication of the said award as above, in the *Karnataka Gazette*.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. V. MASTHOLIMATH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

2785 S. O. 914

REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Notification No. RDN 48 TRM 77. (A)
Bangalore, dated 8/18th February 1978.

Whereas there is failure of crops in the villages specified in column (2) of the schedule below, owing to inadequate rainfall and the average cropwise yield per acre is less than Twenty five per cent in the said villages ;

And whereas, the Government of Karnataka consider it necessary to remit the whole of the land revenue payable for the year 1976-77 in respect of the lands in the said villages ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by item (ii) of sub-section (1) of Section 194 of the Karnataka Land Revenue Act 1964 (Karnataka Act 12 of 1964), read with Rule 147-C (i) of the Karnataka Land Revenue Rules 1966 the Government of Karnataka hereby remits the whole of the land revenue of Rs 1,66,266-80 payable for the year 1976-77 in respect of the lands in 356 villages specified in column (2) of the schedule below situated in Arasikere taluk of Hassan district :

SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Villages	Land Revenue
1	2	3
	Kasaba Hobli	
		Rs. Ps.
1	Arasikere	2,247-47
2	Jajoor	631-32

1	2	3
		Rs. Ps.
3	Nagathiballi	277-50
4	Hanjagondanahalli	231-37
5	Doddanaballi	638 08
6	Muladavihalli	370-22
7	Jadagatta	335-47
8	Devarayapatna	195 22
9	Shankaranahalli	1,223-66
10	Dasihalli	802- 7
11	Somenahalli	338-37
12	Karalahalli	185-27
13	Pura	145-25
14	Aggunde	783-50
15	Surekere	815 30
16	Laxmidevarahalli	265 30
17	Bandihalli	164-78
18	Chandalapura	51-29
19	Bommenahalli	786 73
20	Thirupathi	303 82
21	Kareballi	308-18
22	Dummonahalli	469 53
23	Haralakatta	344-71
24	Kenchanaballi	268 01
25	Kellanaere	1,058-38
26	Ajjanahalli	259-42
27	Kodiballi	234-51
28	Honnenahalli	172 54
29	Challapura	421-88
30	Haranahalli	1,795-97
31	Mylanahalli	611-90
32	Annenahalli	390 91
33	Salparahalli	430-01
34	Adiballi	589-18
35	Ponnasamudra	654-32
36	Hunasekatta	206-51
37	Honnasettihalli	255-37
38	Talur	1,312-26
39	Habbanagatta Kaval	990-38
40	Narayanagattahalli	80-71
41	Kunagattahalli	129-39
42	Virtalapura	259-57
43	T. Kodiballi	675-34
44	Habbanaghatta	1,214-90
45	Annapura	297-26
46	Talalathore	836 20
47	Jyothi Mallapura	145-77
48	Chikkur	855-27

2		3
		Rs. Ps.
49	Kallanayakanahalli	737-91
50	Lylapura	138-17
51	Katikere	519-98
52	Sankodanahalli	620-08
53	Devagondanahalli	286-27
54	Murundi	1,241-41
55	Yadapura	297-58
56	Bommanahalli	136-62
	Kasaba Hobli	
57	Javanahelli	128-21
58	Annayakanahalli	988-42
59	Yelavare	835-75
60	Manmakanahalli	206-88
61	Guttinakere	529-77
62	Relavathahalli	542-32
63	Hebbaranahalli	250-00
64	S. M. kanahalli	355-00
65	Gijihalli	420-00
66	Muidenahalli	221-25
67	Maddaranganhalli	101-00
68	Gundakanahlli	249-00
69	Thirupathihalli	161-68
70	Belagumba	1,213-80
71	Kalkere	134-08
72	Thimmalapura	221-17
73	Thippanahalli	173-28
74	Sankalapura	530-84
75	Rangapura Kaval I	112-95
76	Rangapura Kaval II	1,201-78
	Javagal Hobli	
77	Javagal	1,491-60
78	Doddagatta	520-29
79	Attigudda Kaval	600-69
80	Bommagodanahalli	317-32
81	Gopagodanahalli	379-28
82	Dhananjayapura	92-96
83	Kolihalli	254-38
84	Nandralu	892-25
85	Kurubarahalli	377-14
86	Lingalapura	235 05
87	Bandur	775-99
88	Neelagiri Kaval	1,460-71
89	Undiganalu	599-59

1	2	3
		Rs. Ps.
90	Chikkalinganahalli	247-10
91	Singatagere	292-67
92	Devihalli	49-70
93	Kalyadi	993-37
94	Hale Kalyadi	476-90
95	Haralahalli	546-64
96	Kamalapura	168-28
97	Nagenahalli	581-61
98	Vrundavanahalli	164-75
99	Nayakanakere Kaval	527-15
100	Rangapura Kaval	565 89
101	Rangenahalli	72-82
102	Deshani	366-37
103	Matada Hosahalli	387-34
104	Kuradahalli	365-28
105	Moodanahalli	497-18
106	K. Diggenahalli	177-31
107	D. Kallahalli	140-41
108	Arakere	1,137-70
109	Tavarehalli	224 14
110	Chikkajjikattehalli	397-74
111	Chikkalinganahalli	153-87
112	Maragodanahalli	273-37
113	Melinahosahalli	382-56
114	Keliginahosahalli	377-44
115	Bommenahalli	84-69
116	Kolagunda	1,096-17
117	Narasipura	455-22
118	Mosale	1,089-80
119	Byrapura	10 -24
120	Belavalli	553-09
121	Thimmalapura	191-96
122	Mavinahanahalli	502-49
123	Madanahalli	506-97
124	Singanahalli	399-23
125	Thirupathihalli	2 6-60
126	Konganahalli	181-09
127	Upparkalenahalli	114-18
128	Sankihalli	571-15
129	Maladevihalli	164-54
130	S. Diggenahalli	625-52
131	Savanathanahalli	751-47
132	Sompura	258-63
133	Thimmanahalli	292-51

1	2	3
		Rs. Ps.
134	Ne-lige	1,382-43
135	S Kallahalli	547-27
136	Kan enahalli	525 24
137	Poochenaha likaval	1,197-05
138	Gud enahalli	634-55
139	Dyamenahalli	442-22
	Banavara Hobli	
140	Banavara village	786-02
141	Mallapura	237-19
142	B gilagatta	43-49
143	Uppinahalli	352 31
144	Agrahara	779-22
145	Chennapura	227 31
146	Kachigat a	361-70
147	Doddenahalli	215 23
148	K nchenahalli	785-86
149	K ndilinganahalli	181 79
150	Revinahalli	483-21
151	Medarahalli	145 31
152	Mayagodanahalli	129-80
153	Suladimmanahalli	28-86
154	Voderahalli	71-25
155	Korenahalli	545-96
156	Kolkerehalli	335-36
157	Shanegere	497-10
158	Thip agatta	335-50
159	Gangigere	479 76
160	Thoudiganahalli	710-51
161	Bararanahalli	147-71
162	Hanumanhalli	150-43
163	Thimmanahalli	111-30
164	Doddenahalli	243-01
165	Chaluvanahalli	806-21
166	Ramapura	214-80
167	Mastihalli	288-80
168	Silapura	270-41
169	Byregondanahalli	756-92
170	Yerehalli	291-54
171	Chikka Banavara	217 46
172	Karadihalli	630 76
173	Nagasamudra	534-90
174	Arehalli	557-56

1	2		3
			Rs. Ps.
175	Pura	...	206-31
176	Madanipura	...	189-24
177	Hosahalli	...	355-41
178	Manakathur	...	850-63
179	Byrapura	...	124-89
180	Basavanahalli	...	164-99
181	Haruvanahalli	...	107-32
182	Venkatapura	...	142-46
183	Chikkarehalli	...	205-87
184	Hiriyur	...	668-13
185	Thimmesettihalli	...	211-59
186	Thimmappaiahnahalli	...	92-93
187	Hariharapura	...	284-65
188	Kanihalli	...	95-40
189	Bettadapura	...	171-25
190	Bisalehalli	...	291-15
191	Byrambudhi	...	591-15
192	Maratagere	...	905-66
193	Byadarahalli	...	416-33
194	Chikkammanahalli	...	292-51
195	Lingodanahalli	...	126-55
196	Anandanahalli	...	62-92
197	Maralusiddanahalli	...	93-32
198	Kuravanak	...	893-36
199	K. G. Agrahara	...	485-55
200	Kommaragatta	...	79-38
201	Rangapura	...	166-10
202	Kurubarahalli	...	385-19
203	Sunkadahalli	...	126-25
204	Kondavagilu	...	507-18
205	Mylanahalli	...	182-43
206	Puralehalli	...	265-86
207	Venkatapura	...	511-64
208	Harathanahalli	...	649-64
209	Siddapura	...	39-75
210	Kempasagara	...	185-00
211	Bendekere	...	1,231-20
212	Shanthanahalli	...	403-35
213	Sydarahalli	...	293-35
214	Basavarajapura	...	332-89
	Gandsi Hobli		
215	Gandsi	...	915-88
216	Chikka Gandsi	...	991-76
217	Mangalapura	...	339-01
218	Honnenahalli	...	

1	2	3
		Rs. Ps.
219	Nagarahalli ...	688-77
220	Mahadevarahalli ...	616-02
221	Chennapura ...	281-00
222	Bommachihalli ...	234-05
223	Singenahalli ...	192-71
224	Jakkanahalli ...	458-66
225	Honnakumaranahalli ...	189-92
226	Bageshpura ...	2,027-55
227	Nagathihalli ...	443-49
228	Hosahalli ...	245-29
229	Jinakarahalli ...	515-68
230	Adihalli ...	646-04
231	Appenahalli ...	196-95
232	Bilenahalli ...	184-97
233	Nandihalli ...	174-13
234	Chittanahalli ...	498-86
235	Kannenahalli ...	105-04
236	Isuvanahalli ...	112-26
237	Joganahalli ...	134-27
238	Rangapurakaval ...	335-71
239	Neeragunda ...	990-12
240	Hosahalli ...	287-15
241	Kommaragatta ...	254-82
242	Kadehalli ...	478-11
243	Ramjihally ...	426-03
244	Rangapura ...	460-09
245	Muthikere ...	665-09
246	Rangenahally ...	89-25
247	Hullekere ...	1,038-06
248	Marasettyhally ...	631-34
249	Channayakanahally ...	440-10
250	Narammanahally ...	303-23
251	Guddenahally ...	174-23
252	Heggatta ...	686-64
253	Gundakanahally ...	319-07
254	Hurigilavadi ...	709-02
255	Ugaranahally ...	110-39
256	Baguranahally ...	211-69
257	Jeekanahally ...	584-66
258	Jobagenahally ...	220-07
259	Bachenahally ...	276-35
260	Yadavanahally ...	12-672

1	2		3
			Rs. Ps.
261	Somenahally	...	626-60
262	Jalagaranahally	...	181-06
263	Sannenahally	...	485-26
264	Shivapura	...	236-30
265	Mallenahally	...	288-72
266	Bagivalu	...	900-62
267	Karimaranahally	...	185-88
268	Kondenadu	...	732-12
269	Bandihally	...	78-81
270	Mangalapura	...	279-08
271	Aladahally	...	573-08
272	Lingapura	...	169-01
273	Lalanakere	...	917-61
274	Vadagarahally	...	219-16
275	Honnenahally	...	226-56
276	Mudadi	...	1,061-03
277	Cheelanahalli	...	537-22
278	Gollarahalli	...	435-40
279	Dasenahalli	...	220-81
280	Kammaraghatta	...	181-42
281	Borenahalli	...	376-38
282	Halagenahalli	...	124-42
283	Bisalehalli	...	392-03
284	Kenkere	...	1,682-21
285	Mallapura	...	229-43
286	Bidare A. M. Kaval	...	1,663-06
287	Chagachagere	...	971-89
288	Kudakundi	...	996-07
289	Manjenahalli	...	313-97
290	Manjenahalljkaval	...	1,018-63
291	Yeraganalu	...	721-78
292	Dodda Yeraganalu	...	372-25
293	Hiriyalu	...	353-82
294	Hirisamudra	...	190-48
295	Basavanapura	...	279-28
296	Thimmalapura	...	178-02
	Kanakatte Hobli.		
297	Kanakatte	...	2,316-60
298	Kalgundi	...	695-78
299	Shankaranahalli	...	319-28
300	Kallusadarahalli	...	458-10
301	Valehalli	...	354-54
302	Byrapura	...	379-93
303	Battihalli	...	237-03

1	2		3
			Rs. Ps.
304	Karadihalli	...	522-05
305	Ahmedpura	...	367-49
306	Giri Bommanahalli	...	144-47
307	Halli Thimmanahalli	...	139-82
308	Hirisadarahaili	...	360-45
309	Honnakatta	...	523-64
310	Kyathanahalli	...	408-78
311	Haralakatte	...	756-20
312	Soppinahalli	...	682-21
313	Chikkakaradihalli	...	422-02
314	Nagavedi	...	614-95
315	Doddaghatta	...	429-25
316	Byrapura	...	293-74
317	Mallapura	...	527-69
318	Ramapura	...	689-12
319	Gollarahalli	...	332-25
320	Thumbapura	...	75-04
321	Yerigenahalli	...	215-36
322	Melenahalli	...	719-20
323	Basavanahalli	...	129-24
324	Kamasamudra	...	843-73
325	Ramenahalli	...	478-40
326	Paduvanahalli	...	301-07
327	Mallenahalli	...	246-62
328	Thudikenahalli	...	118-43
329	Singenahalli	...	227-81
330	Kasuvanahalli	...	346-09
331	D. M. Kurke	...	1,161-06
332	Chikka Metikurke	...	215-18
333	Sasivala	...	995-89
334	Holalkere	...	1,254-60
335	Kurubarahalli	...	143-31
336	Kittanakere	...	1,013-14
337	Yarehalli	...	863-10
338	Kadalamagge	...	370-60
339	Hosahalli	...	283-85
340	Kumbaragatta	...	360-54
341	Madalu	...	1,280-03
342	Dibbur	...	766-81
343	Yachagodanahalli	...	203-21
344	Donanakatte	...	256-00
345	Shankaranahalli	...	819-00
346	Maddarahalli	...	426-00
347	Bommasamudra	...	715-00
348	Hampanakatte	...	230-00

1	2	3	
349	Bennigundihalli	...	319-00
350	Sathanagere	...	745-87
351	Magenahalli	...	447-13
352	Kasuvanahalli	...	276-63
353	Somasettyhalli	...	537-19
354	Narasipura	...	798-46
355	Gollarahalli	...	455-89
356	Jannavara	...	441-62
		Grand Total :	Rs. 1,66,266-80

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

T. L. KRISHNAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

2793.—S.O 915

HOME SECRETARIAT

Notification No. HD 87 PCR 77.
Bangalore, dated 31st March 1978

Orders issued in Government Notification No. HD 87 PCR 77 dated 8th March 1978 authorising Pastor D. R. Satur Gospel, Praver Hall, Bangalore to granting Certificate of Marriage to Indian Christians under the section 9 of the Indian Christian Marriages Act 1872 (Central Act, 15 of 1872) in Karnataka State are hereby Cancelled.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

M. P. JAYASHANKARA ARADHYA,
Under Secretary to Government,
Home Department.

2—S. O. 936

Notification No. HD 87 PCR 77.
Bangalore, dated 1st April 1978.

Orders issued in Government Notification No. HD 87 PCR 77 dated 8th March 1978 granting licence to pastor D. R. Satur Gospal Prayer hall, Bangalore to Solemnise Marriages under the Section 6 of the Indian Christian Marriages Act, 1872 (Central Act 15 of 1872) in Karnataka State are hereby cancelled.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

M. P. JAYASHANKARA ARADHYA,
Under Secretary to Government,
Home Department.

3—S.O. 937

RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND CO-OPERATION SECRETARIAT

Notification No. RDC 33 CMM 78
Bangalore dated 1st April 1978.

In exercise of the powers conferred under Section 121 of the K.C.S. Act, 1959, Government of Karnataka hereby permit the Karnataka Industrial Cooperative Bank Ltd., Bangalore to hold the Annual General Body Meeting for the years 1973-74, 1974-75, 1975-76 and 1976-77 in the moth of April or May 1978.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. R. SHANKARANARAYANA RAO,
Under Secretary to Government,
5—S.O. 938 *Rural Development and Cooperation Department.*

COMMERCE AND INDUSTRIES SECRETARIAT

Notification No. CI 10 EPO 78-
Bangalore, Dated 31st March 1978

In exercise of the powers conferred under Rule 15(1)/ of the Miniral Concession Rules 1960, the Government of Karnataka hereby revoke the orders issued in Government Notification No. CI 137 EPO 77 dated 9th August 1977 sanctioning a prospecting Licence for Coloured Quartz in respect of the area of 65 acres (26.20 hectares) of land in Navule and othar villages, Shimoga Taluk, Shimoga District in favaur of Shri K. S. Prabhakara Reddy as the grantee failed to pay the security deposit and execute the deed within the prescribed time limit.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

Y. B. CHINNAPPA REDDY
Under Secretary to Government.
S.O. 939 *Commerce and Industries Department.*

Notification No. CI 96 EPO 78
Bangalore, dated 31st March 1978.

In exercise of the powers conferred under Rule 15(1) of the Mineral Concession Rules 1960. the Government of Karnataka hereby revoke the orders issued in Government Notification No. CI 267 EPO 77 dated 28th October 1977 sanctioning a prospecting Licence for Asbestos in respect of the area of 190 acres (76,89 hectares) of land

in Doddahalli village, K.R. Nagar, Taluk, Mysore District in favour of Shri J. Pukraj as the grantee failed to furnish his reply within the prescribed time limit.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

Y .B. CHINNAPPA REDDY
Under Secretary to Government.
Commerce and Industries Department.

12 S.O. 940

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Order No. SWL 131 LLD 78.

Bangalore, dated 30th March 1978.

WHEREAS the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workman and the Management of Super Dry Clearners, Irwin Road, Mysore-570 001. On the points noted below;

AND, WHEREAS the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

NOW, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Mysore.

Points of Dispute

"I. Are the management of Super Dry Clearners, Irwin Road, Mysore-570001, justified in terminating the services of Sri T. K. Puttaswamy, with effect from 19th July 1977?

II. If not, is the said workman entitled for reinstatement with back wages and continuity of service or to any other relief?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. V. MASTHIHOLIMATH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department,

20—S.O. 941

Order No. SWL 45 LLD 78.

Bangalore, dated 30th March 1978.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial dispute exists between the workmen and the management of the Karnataka State Forest Industries Corporation Limited, Murkal, on the points noted below;

And, whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Mysore.

Points of Dispute

I. 1. Whether the Management of Karnataka State Forest Industries Corporation Ltd., Saw Mills, Murkal post, Coorg District is justified in refusing employment to the following four timber handlers with effect from 23rd September 1977 ?
1. Ramakrishna 2. Andrew 3. Ramachandra and 4. Saidu

2. If not, are the workers entitled to reinstatement with back wages and continuity of service or to any other relief ?

II. Whether the management of Karnataka State Forest Industries Corporation Ltd., Saw Mill, Murkal post, Coorg District is justified in declaring Lockout of the carpentary section of the saw mills with effect from 26th September 1977 ?

2. If not to what relief are the worker of the carpentary section entitled to ?

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. V. MASTHIHOLIMATH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

21—S. O. 942

Order No. SWL 148 LLD 78.
Bangalore, dated 30th March 1978.

WHEREAS the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of Rukmini Steel Industries (P) Limited, Kadugodi Post, Bangalore-37, on the points noted below.

AND, WHEREAS the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication ;

NOW, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Bangalore.

POINTS OF DISPUTE

I. "Are the management of Rukmani Steel Industries Private Limited, Kadugodi Post, near Whitefield Railway Station, Bangalare-37, Justified in refusing work to Shri Murari with effect from 2nd November 1976 ?

II. If not, to what relief the workman is entitled ?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. V. MASTHIHOLIMATH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

22—S. O. 943

COMMERCE AND INDUSTRIES SECRETARIAT

Notification No. CI 77 EPO 78,
Bangalore, dated 4th April 1978.

In pursuance of sub-section (1) of Section 5 of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957, the Government of Karnataka hereby sanctions a Prospecting Licence in favour of Shri B. N. Venkatachalapathy for a period of 2 (Two) years for Ruby Corrundum over an area of 140 acres (56.66 hectares) of land in Akkalavadi village, Arakalagud taluk, Hassan District as per sketch furnished by the Director of Mines and Geology, Bangalore.

The grant of the above Prospecting Licence is subject to the terms and conditions appended hereunto.

Terms and Conditions

1. The licence shall be operative for a period of two years with effect from the date of execution. The option to renew the licence for a further period shall be reserved to Government.

2. The licensee shall pay to Government a Prospecting fee of Rs. 2.50 per hectare of the land covered by the licence for each year or portion of a year.

3. The quantity of ore to be removed under this licence both on payment of royalty and free of royalty, shall be in accordance with the limits prescribed under Rule 14 (ii) and (iii) and under schedule III respectively of the Mineral Concession Rules, 1960. "The royalty payable on the Minerals shall be in accordance with the rates prescribed in Second Schedule to the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957 and as amended from time to time.

4. The licensee shall make available to the Government of India beryl or any other 'substance prescribed' under Section 3 of the Atomic Energy Act No. XXIX of 1948 if they occur in the property under licence ;

5. The licensee shall be subject to the rules issued under Section 13 and 18 of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957 (No. 67 of 1957).

6. If any other mineral is traced during the course of prospecting the licensee shall report about the same to the Director of Mines and Geology and shall not work such minerals without obtaining prior permission of Government ;

7. The licensee shall furnish an accurate final map of areas covered by the licence together with a discription, giving the situation and boundaries of the areas duly attested by the licensee. The Director of Mines and Geology is authorised to get the accurate final map with survey numbers etc , prepared and demarcation do e before executing the licence if the licensee so desired and to recover the cost of the same from the licensee ;

8. The licensee shall, before the deposit is returned to him submit confidentially to the Director of Mines and Geology from time to time or-wherever required a full report of the work done by him and disclose all information acquired in the course of the operations carried on under the licence regarding the geology and mineral resources of the area covered by the licence ;

9. The licensee shall allow any officer authorised by the Central or the State Government to inspect at any time the area covered by the Prospecting Licence as also any accounts, plans and records maintained by him ;

10. The licensee shall before the licence is issued to him deposit as security a sum of Rs. 200 in respect of every Square Kilometre or part thereof for which the licence is granted for the due observance of the terms and conditions of the licence.

11. The licensee shall engage a qualified geologist or a mining engineer to conduct the prospecting methodically ;

12. The licensee shall not give any ore-raising contract during the prospecting stage ;

13. The above mentioned area is subject to verification after actual survey ; and

14. Local and other Cesses as prevalent in Hassan District.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

Y. B. CHINNAPPA REDDY,
I/c Under Secretary to Government,
Commerce and Industries Department.

45—S.O. 944

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Order No. SWL 133 LLD 78

Bangalore, dated 30th March 1978.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial dispute exists between the workmen and the management of K.S.R.T.C., Mysore Division, Mysore on the points noted below;

And, Whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Mysore

POINTS OF DISPUTE

I. "Are the 43 badali Conductors of Karnataka State Road Transport Corparation, Mysore Division, Mysore, whose names are shown in the Annexure justified in demanding regularisation of their services against the posts in which they are working ?

II, If not, to what relief the said workmen are entitled?"

ANNEXURE

K S R.T.C. MYSORE DIVISION MYSORE

Sl.No.	Name	Badge No.	Depot
1	2	3	4
1	B. S Aiyappa	4001	City
2	K. R. Prabhu	539	do
3	D. Rajashekara	894	do
4	P. Lakshminarasimha Setty	884	do
5	G. Mallappa	5089	do
6	Kumaraswamy	801	do
7	H. Maruthi	3839	do
8	M. Nagaraju	824	do
9	K. Dinamani	930	do

1	2	3	4
10	Nanjegowda	1199	do
11	L N. Narasimhaiah	4021	do
12	Sundar Setty	957	do
13	Syed Munavar	696	do
14	A. Gangadarappa	18	do
15	H. B. Prakash	874	do
16	S. H. Bankar	4060	do
17	T. Venkatesh	3608	do
18	N. S. Vijayasarathi	670	do
19	K. S. Aswathanarayanasetty	715	Depot–I
20	M. D. Yusuff	798	do
21	Mallappa	1114	do
22	M. D. Hafeezulla	626	do
23	Lakshminarayanasetty	926	Depot II
24	H. M. Puttaswamy	3994	do
25	S. N. Gangadharappa	65	do
26	K. Hutchaiah	4386	do
27	B. G. Danajaiah	4698	do
28	B. T. Gurumalleshu	810	do
29	B. Mahadevappa	890	do
30	Syed Nazeer	4174	do
31	Ramakrishnaiah	4534	do
32	D. K. Narayana	...	do
33	N. Nanjunda	722	do
34	C. S. Lingegowda	...	do
35	K. M. Prabhudeva	4087	K.R. Nagar
36	S. Sajjan Rao Kadam	555	do
37	M. T. Rangaswamy	254	do
38	K. Puttaraj	...	do
39	Sreenivasachari	737	do
40	N. Siddappa	19	Mercara
41	C. K. Sampathaiah	4731	do
42	Sirajuddin Khan	476	do
43	Amanulla Khan	...	K.R. Nagar

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. V. MASTHIHOLIMATH,

Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

52—S. O. 945

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Order No. SWL 113 (ii) LLD 78
Bangalore, dated 30th March 1978

Whereas the the Government of Karnataka are of opinion thatan Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of Vignyan Industries Ltd., Tarikere, on the points noted below ;

NOW, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal at Bangalore.

Points of Dispute

"1. Are the workers of Vignyan Industries Ltd.; Tarikere, Chikmagalur District, justified in demanding a minimum basic pay of Rs. 300/- p.m. with effect from 9th April 1977 ?

"2. If not, to what other relief are the workmen entitled ?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. V. MASTHIHOLIMATH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Dept

51—S. O. 952

Order No. SWL 107 LLD 78.
Bangalore, dated 30th March 1978.

WHEREAS the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of Wasally Estate, Pollibetta, Kodagu District on this points noted below ;

AND, WHEREAS the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication ;

NOW, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Mysore.

Points of Dispute

"I. Whether the action of the management of Wasally Estate, Pollibetta, Coorg, owned and managed by M/s. Consolidated Coffee Ltd., in terminating the services of Sri Balan and his wife Smt. Sharada, workmen with effect from 4th June 1977 is justified?

II. If not, are the workmen entitled to be reinstatement with back wages and continuity of service or to any other relief?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. V. MASTHIHOLIMATH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department

50—S.O. 953

ಗ್ರಾಮೀಣ ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿ ಮತ್ತು ಸಹಕಾರ ಸಚಿವಾಲಯ

ಅಧಿಸೂಚನೆ

ಕ್ರಮಾಂಕ ಆರ್‌ಡಿಸಿ ೬೬ ಎಂಎಂಡಿ ೭೭, ದಿನಾಂಕ ೧ನೇ ಏಪ್ರಿಲ್ ೧೯೭೮.

ಮುಂಬಯಿ ವ್ಯವಸಾಯೋತ್ಪನ್ನ ಮಾರುಕಟ್ಟೆ ಅಧಿನಿಯಮ, ೧೯೬೯ (೧೯೬೯ರ ಮುಂಬಯಿ ಅಧಿನಿಯಮ XXII)ರ ೪ನೇ ಪ್ರಕರಣದ (೧)ನೇ ಉಪ-ಪ್ರಕರಣದ ಮೇರೆಗೆ ಹೊರಡಿಸಲಾದ ಸರಕಾರಿ ಅಧಿಸೂಚನೆ ಕ್ರಮಾಂಕ ಎ ಮತ್ತು ಎಫ್ ೨೪ ಇಆರ್‌ಎಂ ದಿನಾಂಕ ೧೪ನೇ ಅಕ್ಟೋಬರ್ ೧೯೫೮ರ ಮೇರೆಗೆ ಮೈಸೂರು ಸರ್ಕಾರವು ಕುಮಟಾ, ಹೊನ್ನಾವರ, ಅಂಕೋಲ ಮತ್ತು ಭಟ್ಕಳ್ ತಾಲೂಕುಗಳ ಪ್ರದೇಶವು ಅಡಿಕೆ, ಮೆಣಸು, ತೆಂಗು ಮತ್ತು ಭತ್ತ (ಹೊಟ್ಟು ತೆಗೆದ ಮತ್ತು ತೆಗೆಯದ) ಗಳ ಸಂಬಂಧದಲ್ಲಿ ಸದರಿ ಅಧಿನಿಯಮದ ಉದ್ದೇಶಗಳ ಸಲುವಾಗಿ (ಇನ್ನು ಮುಂದೆ ಸದರಿ ಮಾರುಕಟ್ಟೆ ಎಂದು ಉಲ್ಲೇಖಿತವಾಗುವ) ಮಾರುಕಟ್ಟೆ ಪ್ರದೇಶವಾಗಿರತಕ್ಕುದೆಂದು ಘೋಷಿಸಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ;

ಮತ್ತು, ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ವ್ಯವಸಾಯೋತ್ಪನ್ನ ಮಾರುಕಟ್ಟೆ (ವಿನಿಯಮನ) ಅಧಿನಿಯಮ, ೧೯೬೬ (೧೯೬೬ರ ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಅಧಿನಿಯಮ ೨೭)ರ ೫ನೇ ಪ್ರಕರಣದ ಮೇರೆಗೆ ಹೊರಡಿಸಲಾದ ಸರಕಾರಿ ಅಧಿಸೂಚನೆ ಕ್ರಮಾಂಕ ಡಿಪಿಸಿ ೪೨೭ ಸಿಎಂಡಿ ೭೧ ದಿನಾಂಕ ೧೧/೨೩ನೇ ಡಿಸೆಂಬರ್ ೧೯೭೨ರ ಮೇರೆಗೆ ಸದರಿ ಮಾರುಕಟ್ಟೆ ಪ್ರದೇಶದಲ್ಲಿ ಭತ್ತದ ಕ್ರಯವಿಕ್ರಯದ ವಿನಿಯಮವು ಮುಂಕ್ತಾಯ ಆಗತಕ್ಕುದು ಎಂದು ಘೋಷಿಸಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಮತ್ತು ಸದರಿ ಮಾರುಕಟ್ಟೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಈಗಾಗಲೇ ವಿನಿಯಮಿತವಾಗಿರುವ ವ್ಯವಸಾಯೋತ್ಪನ್ನಕ್ಕೆ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿಗೆಯಾಗಿ ನೆಲಗಡಲೆ (ಸಿಪ್ಪೆ ತೆಗೆದ ಮತ್ತು ತೆಗೆಯದ)ಯು ಕ್ರಯ ವಿಕ್ರಯವು ವಿನಿಯಮಿತವಾಗತಕ್ಕುದೆಂದು ಘೋಷಿಸಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ;

ಮತ್ತು ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ವ್ಯವಸಾಯೋತ್ಪನ್ನ ಮಾರುಕಟ್ಟೆ (ವಿನಿಯಮನ) ಅಧಿನಿಯಮ ೧೯೬೬ (೧೯೬೬ರ ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಅಧಿನಿಯಮ ೨೭)ರ ೧೪ನೇ ಪ್ರಕರಣದ (೧)ನೇ ಉಪ-ಪ್ರಕರಣದ ಮೇರೆಗೆ ಹೊರಡಿಸಲಾದ ಮತ್ತು ದಿನಾಂಕ ೧೯ನೇ ಜೂನ್ ೧೯೭೫ರ ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ರಾಜ್ಯಪತ್ರದ ಭಾಗ IV ಎ ಸಿ(ii)ರಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಕಟವಾದ ಸರಕಾರಿ ಅಧಿಸೂಚನೆ ಕ್ರಮಾಂಕ ಎಸ್‌ಓ ೧೬೭೮ (ಆರ್‌ಡಿಸಿ ೩೧೯ ಸಿಎಂಡಿ ೭೪(೧) ದಿನಾಂಕ ೧೮ನೇ ಜೂನ್ ೧೯೭೫ರ ಮೇರೆಗೆ ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸರ್ಕಾರವು ವ್ಯವಸಾಯೋತ್ಪನ್ನ ಮಾರುಕಟ್ಟೆ ಸಮಿತಿ, ಕುಮಟಾ, ಇದರ ಮಾರುಕ ಕ್ಷೇತ್ರವನ್ನು ಈ ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಅನುಸೂಚಿಯ (೧) ಮತ್ತು (೨)ನೇ ಅಂಕಣಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ನಿರ್ದಿಷ್ಟಪಡಿಸಲಾದಂತೆ, ೭ನೇ ಜುಲೈ ೧೯೭೫ರಿಂದ ಜಾರಿಗೆ ಬರುವಂತೆ ಎರಡು ಪ್ರತ್ಯೇಕ ಮಾರುಕಟ್ಟೆ ಪ್ರದೇಶಗಳಾಗಿ ವಿಂಗಡಿಸಿರುವುದರಿಂದ

ಅನುಸೂಚಿ

ಕುಮಟಾ ಮಾರುಕಟ್ಟೆ ಪ್ರದೇಶ ; ಉತ್ತರ ಕನ್ನಡ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯ ಕುಮಟಾ ಮತ್ತು ಅಂಕೋಲಾ ತಾಲೂಕುಗಳನ್ನೊಳಗೊಂಡು	ಹೊನ್ನಾವರ ಮಾರುಕಟ್ಟೆ ಪ್ರದೇಶ ಉತ್ತರ ಕನ್ನಡ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯ ಹೊನ್ನಾವರ ಮತ್ತು ಭಟಕಳ್ ತಾಲೂಕುಗಳನ್ನೊಳಗೊಂಡು

ಮತ್ತು ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ವ್ಯವಸಾಯೋತ್ಪನ್ನ ಮಾರುಕಟ್ಟೆ (ವಿನಿಯಮನ) ಅಧಿನಿಯಮ ೧೯೬೬ (೧೯೬೬ರ ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಅಧಿನಿಯಮ ೨೭)ರ ೫ನೇ ಪ್ರಕರಣದೊಂದಿಗೆ ಓದಲಾದ ೫ನೇ ಪ್ರಕರಣದ ಮೇರೆಗೆ ಹೊರಡಿಸಲಾದ ಮತ್ತು ದಿನಾಂಕ ೨೫ನೇ ಜೂನ್ ೧೯೭೭ರ ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ರಾಜ್ಯಪತ್ರದ ಭಾಗ IV೨ಸಿ(ii)ರಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಕಟವಾದ ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಅಧಿಸೂಚನೆ ಕ್ರಮಾಂಕ ಎಸ್‌ಓ ೧೫೦೨ (ಆರ್‌ಡಿಸಿ ೬೬ ಎಂಎಂಡಿ ೭೭ ದಿನಾಂಕ ೧೦ನೇ ಜೂನ್ ೧೯೭೭)ರ ಮೂಲಕ ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸರ್ಕಾರವು ಉತ್ತರ ಕನ್ನಡ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯ ಕುಮಟಾ ಮತ್ತು ಅಂಕೋಲಾ ತಾಲೂಕುಗಳನ್ನೊಳಗೊಂಡ ವ್ಯವಸಾಯೋತ್ಪನ್ನ ಮಾರುಕಟ್ಟೆ ಸಮಿತಿ, ಕುಮಟಾ ಇವರ ಮಾರುಕಟ್ಟೆ ಪ್ರದೇಶದಲ್ಲಿ ಈಗಾಗಲೇ ವಿನಿಯಮಿತವಾದ ವ್ಯವಸಾಯೋತ್ಪನ್ನಗಳಿಗೆ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿಗೆಯಾಗಿ (ಇಲ್ಲಿಯವರೆಗೆ ವಿನಿಯಮಿತವಾಗದಿರುವ) ಮೀನಿನ ಕ್ರಯ ವಿಕ್ರಯವನ್ನು ವಿನಿಯಮಿಸುವ ತನ್ನ ಉದ್ದೇಶವನ್ನು ಈ ಮೂಲಕ ಘೋಷಿಸಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ;

ಸದರಿ ಅಧಿಸೂಚನೆ ಸಂಬಂಧವಾಗಿ ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದ ಆಕ್ಷೇಪಣೆ ಅಥವಾ ಸಲಹೆಗಳನ್ನು ರಾಜ್ಯ ಸರ್ಕಾರವು ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ;

೧೯೬೬ರ ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ವ್ಯವಸಾಯೋತ್ಪನ್ನ ಮಾರುಕಟ್ಟೆ ವಿನಿಯಮನ ಅಧಿನಿಯಮ (೧೯೬೬ರ ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಅಧಿನಿಯಮ ೨೭)ರ ೪ನೇ ಪ್ರಕರಣದೊಂದಿಗೆ ಓದಲಾದ ೫ನೇ ಪ್ರಕರಣದ ಮೇರೆಗೆ ಪ್ರದತ್ತವಾದ ಅಧಿಕಾರಗಳನ್ನು ಚಲಾಯಿಸಿ ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸರ್ಕಾರವು ಈ ಅಧಿಸೂಚನೆಯನ್ನು ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ರಾಜ್ಯ ಪತ್ರದಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಕಟವಾದ ದಿನಾಂಕದಿಂದ ಜಾರಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಬರುವಂತೆ ಸದರಿ ಮಾರುಕಟ್ಟೆ ಪ್ರದೇಶದಲ್ಲಿ ಈಗಾಗಲೇ ವಿನಿಯಮಿತವಾಗಿರುವ ವ್ಯವಸಾಯೋತ್ಪನ್ನಗಳಿಗೆ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿಗೆಯಾಗಿ (ಇಲ್ಲಿಯವರೆಗೆ ವಿನಿಯಮಿತವಾಗದಿರುವ) ಮೀನಿನ ಕ್ರಯ ವಿಕ್ರಯವು ವಿನಿಯಮಿತವಾಗತಕ್ಕದ್ದೆಂದು ಈ ಮೂಲಕ ಘೋಷಿಸುತ್ತದೆ.

ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ರಾಜ್ಯಪಾಲರ ಆದೇಶಾನುಸಾರ ಮತ್ತು ಅವರ ಹೆಸರಿನಲ್ಲಿ,

ಜೆ. ಎಸ್. ಜೋಶಿ,
ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಅಧೀನ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿ,
ಗ್ರಾಮೀಣ ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿ ಮತ್ತು ಸಹಕಾರ ಇಲಾಖೆ.

ಎಸ್.ಓ. ನಂ.—954.
೪೦

RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND CO-OPERATION SECRETARIAT

Notification No. RDC 81 COF 78

Bangalore, dated the 1st April 1978.

In exercise of powers conferred under section 53-A of the Karnataka Co-operative Societies Act, 1959 (Karnataka Act 11 of 1959), the Government of Karnataka hereby nominate the following persons on the Board of Directors of the Pandavapura Sahakari Sakkare Karkhane, Limited, Pandavapura with immediate effect and until further orders :

1. Shri C. B. Marigowda,
   Ex-President, Taluk Development Board,
   Pandavapura.

2. Shri A. Rehman,
   Rahmat Poultry Farm, Ganjam,
   Srirangapatna.

3. Shri C. L. Govindaraju,
   s/o Shri Lakshmaiah,
   Kodiyala, Srirangapatna Taluk.

4. Shri K. Ujjinilingeswara,
   s/o Sri K. H. Kale Gowda,
   Kadahemmige, Anegola Post,
   K. R. Pet Taluk.

5. Shri M. Ramu,
   s/o Maligaiah,
   Beerasettahalli
   Pandavapura Town.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. R. SHANKARANARAYANA RAO,

Under Secretary to Government,
Rural Development and Co-operation Department.

GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Labour Dispute:—Industrial dispute between the Workmen and the Management of Viswanatha Picture House (Shivananda Talkies) Channapatna.

Award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court. Bangalore published.

Read the following papers :

(1) Letter No. 1647/77-78, dated 2nd January 1978 from Shri H. Shanmukhappa, Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Bangalore.

BEFORE THE PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, BANGALORE.

Dated this 2nd day of January 1978.

Present :

Shri H. Shanmukhappa, B.A., B.L.,

Presiding Officer.

Reference No. 158 of 1975.

First Party.—

Workmen represented by the President, Karnataka Cinema Naukarara Sangha, Channapatna.

Vs.

Second Party —

The Management of Viswanatha Picture House (Shivananda Talkies), Channapatna.

Reference:—Government Order No. SWL 922 LLD 75, dated 29th November 1975.

Appearances :

For First Party :—

Sri R. Gururajan, Advocate.

For Second Party :—

Sri N. S. Rajaram of L.L.P.M. Consultants.

AWARD.

1 The Government of Karnataka in their order No. SWL 922 LLD 75 dated 29th November 1975, have referred an industrial dispute existing between the above named parties in this Court for adjudication on the points of dispute noted below :—

"Are the management of Viswanatha Picture House (Shivananda Talkies), Channapatna, justified in refusing

employment to the following workmen with effect from 24 August 1975:—

Srijuths: 1. Venkatagiri (Chief Operator)
2. Abdul Azeez (Operator)
3. Chikkanna
4. C. M. Krishnappa (Booking Clerks)
5. Nagaraj (Office Boy)
6. S. Chidananda Murthy (Board Boy)
7. Kaveri (Sweeper)
8. Appaji (Clerk)

If not, to what relief are the said workmen entitled ?"

2, The First Party in their claim statement have stated in short as follows:—They were the employees of the II Party; the Second Party without any valid reason abruptly refused to take the workmen on duty. The First Party workmen have raised an industrial dispute which as ultimately ended in this reference. It is further stated that the I Party workmen are all active members of the Karnataka Cinema Naukarara Sangha, Channapatna, which was not liked by the II Party. The management without any provication, illegally terminated the services of Sri Kaveri, a Sweeper. The other workmen approached and requested the management to reconsider the decision. The management refused to consider the issue and started using filthy language and with the help of the police prevented illegally for no fault of them from attending to their duties. They informed the Labour Inspector. The management did not issue any Show-cause notice or charge sheet or hold any enquiry. Regarding workman Sri Venkatagiriyappa it is stated that he was working as a Chief Operator for the past 13 years without any remarks. He is an active Trade Union member. When he was on leave from 21st August 1975 to 23rd August 1975 and when he reported for duty on 24th August 1975 he was refused work for the reasons best known to the management. Regarding workman Sri Aziz Khan, it is stated that he was working as an Assistant Operator for the past 10 years without any remarks. From 21st August 1975 to 23rd August 1975 he was asked to perform the duty of Chief Operator who was on leave and he had acted accordingly. On 24th August 1975 when the management illegally refused work to Sri Kaveri, he brought to the notice of the management that such an action is unjustified. Therefore he was asked not to attend to his duties and they forcibly took the keys from him and refused to allow him to perform his duties Regarding workman Sri Chikkanna, it is stated that he was working as a Booking Clerk for about years without any complaint or remarks. Though he was a booking clerk, he was paid only the salary of a gate-keeper. He had complained to the Labour Inspector and then only the management conceded with his request. However after that settlement, the

management had an ill-will against him. He was subsequently asked to work as a Watchman. He agitated to that. The management issued a notice of termination and in that it was made clear that he was to answer that letter. He had suitably replied to that letter. The management did not consider this explanation nor waited for completion of one month and suddenly they refused work to him. Regarding workman Sri C. M. Krishnappa, it is stated that he was working as a Booking Clerk for about 8 years without any complaint. He was given Gate-keeper salary. Therefore he had complained to the Labour Inspector and then the management settled the matter, Because of this the management bore ill-will against him. On 24th August 1975 he was refused work as he pleaded with the management that their action in removing Sri Kaveri and Appaji without any reason was incorrect. Regarding workman, Sri Nagaraj, It is stated that he was working as a Watchman for about 9 years without any complaint. Though he was designated as a Watchman he was asked to work as Gate-keeper and Assistant Operator, but was paid only the salary of a ware man. He was refused work for no fault of his. Regarding workman Sri Chidanandha Murthy, it is stated that he was working as a Gate-keeper and his sercice was good. On an earlier occasion he was terminated from service without any notice, but was reinstated. He was given only Rs 104 instead of Rs. 128 He lodged a complaint against this act of the management On 18th August 1975 he was given a notice and was asked to explain and that notice gave him a month's time. But before the expiry of one month he was refused work. Regarding workman, Sri Kaveri, it is stated that he was working as a Sweeper or about 9 years. His work was good and he was the only sweeper in the theatre. He brought to the notice of the management that the work in the theatre is more one more addition The management become angry. He was asked to work on all three shows and he was always abused by the management. On 24th August 1975 he was refused work without any valid reason. Regarding the workman, Sri Appaji, it is stated that he was working as a Chief Booking Clerk for about 9 years. The Management issued a notice and he replied to the same. Without considering his expanation he was asked to get out of the theatre When other workmen pointed out the management of their high-handed action the management started abusing and refused work. Therefore the I Party workmen submit that the management is guilty of unfair labour practice, violation of principales of natural justice. The management is also not keeping the correct register showing the length of service to avoid legal claims like provident fund. They pray for their reinstatement with continuty of service with full back wages.

3. Then II Party filed their counter-statemen saying that though the I Party workmen were their employees, it is not correct that the II Party refused to take the workmen on duty. Their contention is that they had issued one months's notice on 24th July

1975 to one Sri Appaji, Booking Clerk for unsatisfactory services. On the expiry of the said notice on 24th August 1975 Sri Appaji was allowed for work in accordance with the notice given to him. All other employees were on work on 24th August 1975 in their respective places. Abruptly, the other employees without any valid or justiriable reason refused to do their normal work and struck work; the second party persuaded them to resume their normal work and advised them that if they have any grievance against the action taken by the II Party management against Appaji they can as well go to the concerned authorities and get redressal of their grievance, However, the employees on filmay grounds adamantly refused to go to do their normal werk and that 24th August 1975 being a Sunday the management pressurised and harrased by these employees by their sudden stoppage of work. The I Party had lodged a complaint to the authorities in regard to the wrongful stoppage of work by the employees. The Chief Operator and the Assistant Operator also refnsed to do the work and joined with the other employees Under these comelling circumstances, to exhigt the film show on Sunday 24th August 1975, the II Party made seme alternative arrangements and brought one temporary operator from Ranganagaram. But they found the Projector had been damaged and therefore that day shows were suspended. On 25th August 1975 they got the Projector repaired from M/s Photophone, Bangalore who had charged them Rs. 1102-50. Hence the allegation of the I Party that they were refused employment is unfounded, fales and is denied. It is the first party workmen, who struk work and subsequently stayed away from work continuously without any valid or justifiable reason and accordingly they have lost their lien on the job. Even afte the interference of the conciliation officer, the I Party failed to resume work. The II Party denied the allegation of the I Party that they (II Party) ware violating the provisions of labour laws and that the I Party wo kmen were victinised for their union activities. The II Party also denied that the I Party workmen were loyal The II Party has also contended that that it had not refused employment to any other employee except Sri Appaji. In regard to the allegations of the I Party that the management did not issue any show cause notice or charge-sheet or held any inquiry, the II Party management has stated that the workers themselves stayed away from duty and immediately taken up the matter with the concilia ion officer in conciliation. With regard to the facts about the individual workers, the II Party has stated as follows: The II Party denied that Sri Venkatagiriyappa was working as Chief Operator for the past 13 year but alleged that he joined other workers in staying away from work. The II Party denied that Sri Azez Khan was working as Assistant Operator. The II Party also further denied that Mr. Chikk nna and C.M. Krishnan were working as Booking clerks. They denied that Sri Nagaraj was working as a Watchman. They also denied that

Chidanand Murthy as a gate keeper. With regard to Kaveri, the II Party has stated that his work was unsatisfactory and that he was warned. The II Party further denied that Sri Appaji was working as Chief Booking Clerk. Therefore, the II Party prayed for the rejection of the reference. The II Party have filed a statement showing the date of entry into service of I Party workmen.

4. On behalf of the II Party three witnesses been examined. They are M/s. Mahboob Khan (as M.W. 1), T Devaraja (as M W. 2) and C A. Murth Martin (as M.W. 3). On the other hand, all the 8 I Party workmen got themselves examined as W.W 1 to W W 8. In addition, one R. Krishnamurthy, Secretary of the Cinema Naukarara Sangha, Chennapatna and Booking Clerk of Paravathi Talkies has been examined as W.W. 9.

5. The question that has been referred for adjudication to this Court is whether the II Party management is justified in refusing employment to the 8 I Party workmen with effect from 24th August 1975.

6. The contention of the II Party is that they never refused work to the I Party workmen. It is the I Party workmen themselves who stayed away from work with effect from 24th August 1975 because the II Party has served notice of termination on one of the I Party workmen, Sri Appaji (8th I Party workman). In other words the contention of the II Party is that the II Party management had issued a notice of termination to Sri Appaji on 24th July 1975 giving him one month's notice and accordingly on 24th August 1975 Sri Appaji was not allowed to work. Resented by this, the I Party workmen struck work from 4th August 1975 and in fact had caused damage to the projector of the theatre The II Party further contended that by striking the work and absenting themselves from work continuously they had lost lien on their job and therefore, the I Party workmen are not entitled for any relief as prayed for by them in this Reference.

7. On the other hand, the contention of the I Party workmen is that all of a sudden the management had terminated the services of one Sri Kaveri, Sweeper (and who is the 7th I Party workman in this Reference). Therefore, the I Party workmen approached and requested the management to reconsider the decision and the management used foul language against them and with the help of Police prevented them from attending to their normal duties with effect from 24th August 1975 They had asked work but the management had refused to give them work. The I Party also contended that the management has not issued any show cause notice or notice of termination to them before refusing work and therefore, the action of the management is illegal and unjust.

8. Let me consider these rival contentions in the light of the evidence let in before this Court by the parties.

9. All the I Party workmen, 8 in all, in their evedence as W. W. 1 to W. W. 8 have categorically stated when Kaveri, a Sweeper and 7th man in the list of I Party workman, was terminated without any reason on 24th August 1975 they had approached the management and pleaded for taking back Kaveri. The management said that they were supporting weaker and backward section and if they do they too will be terminated and ultimately on the same day with the help of police forcibly removed them. They had complained about this action to their Union as per Ex W. 4 Ex. W. 3 is the complaint given to the Labour Inspector. Ex. W. 5 is the complaint give to the Labour Officer. They have also stated that on 24th August 1974, the management has told Kaveri and Appaji not to come for work and therefore, they had approached the management to reconsider the case. But they were also prevented from attending to their work and ultimately all of them were refused work. Appaji, in his evidence as W W. 6, has stated that he had been issued a notice as per Ex W. 6 and he had replied as per Ex. W. 7. On 24th August 1975 he had gone to duty. The management was asking Kaveri not to come for work. Therefore he had requested the management not to terminate the services of Kaveri. The management got angry and had terminated him. In his evidence as W. W. 4, Kaveri has stated that he was the only scavenger in the theatre. He was doing cleaning work thrice a day. He told Mr. Devaraj, Proprietor, that work was more and one more scavenger is necessary. The Proprietor scolded him for that and on 24th August 1975 after he did the cleaning work in the morning, he was called by the Proprietor and was told that no longer his services are required. He belongs to cobbler community. The I Party workmen have also stated that they had went for work even on 26th August 1977 but with the help of police they were driven out. All of them have also spoken that they are members of the I Party union.

10 W. W. 9 Mr. R. Krishnamurthy has stated that he is the Secretary of the I Party Union affiliated to Cinema Employees Association, Bangalore. All the I Party workers are members of their union. There was a settlement between their union and the management as per Ex. W. 1 which was the result of a complaint by the Labour Officer against the management before the Magistrate's court at Ramanagar. Upon the complaint as per Ex W 3, he took up the matter with the Labour and Conciliation Officer as per Ex W 5. On 15th August 1975 he rote a letter to the local M. L. A. as per Ex. W. 8. His representation to Assistant Labour Commissioner is as per Ex. W. 9. The management did not listen to the advice of the Labour Officer.

11. M W. 1 is Mahboob Khan, Manager, Shivananda Talkies. His evidence is to the effect that in July 1975 he was working as an Acting Manager at the II Party Theatre. The Management issued a notice to a Appaji in the month of July, 1975. That notice was 30 days notice for terminating the services of Appaji. That notice period expired on 24th August 1975. The Proprietor on 24th August 1975 told him to ask Appaji not to come to work as the period of notice expired. Therefore, when Appaji came to work and he told him what the Proprietor had asked him to tell Appaji and it was about 2 p. m. The afternoon show commences at 2.30 p. m. By 2.00 p. m itself the workers had come for duty. The Chief Operator and Assistant Operator were rewinding at the cabin. When he told Appaji not to come for work, the other workers told that they would be attending to their duties only if Appaji was taken to duty or else, not. At that time the Chief Operator was down-stairs. He had informed the Proprietor over Phone about that the workers told him. The Proprietor also told the workers to attend to their duties, but they refused. The Chief Operator and the Assistant Operator had also handed-over the keys of the Cabin room saying that they would work provided Appaji was taken to duty and otherwise, not. At that time, the audience had already entered the theatre. Therefore, the Proprietor himself had entered the Cabin room along with one Martin, but they could not start the picture as there was some trouble in the projector. That day shows could not be held and they got it repaired next day. He has further told on 24th August 1975 Kaveri, one of the I Party members, also came for work He swept on that day. He says that Proprietor on that did not tell Kaveri not to come for work. On 25th August 1975 the Labour Inspector who came to the theatre asked the workers to attend to work, but the workers did not attend. After that I Party members did not report for work.

12. M. W. 12 is Mr. T. Devaraja, Proprietor of the II Party theatre. He has told that on 24th July 1975 Appaji, Bock ng Clerk was given one month's notice as he was working in two places. 30 days after the notice, Appaji was asked not to come for duty on 24th August 1975.

When they told Appaji not to come for duty on 24th August 1975, his co-workers insisted that Appaji should be taken to duty or else they too would not attend to their work. Ex. M. 1 is the copy of notice issued to Appaji. When the Manager (M. W. 1) told him over phone about the I party worker's plan to not to work on 24th August 1975, he went to the theatre and tried to persuade the I Party workmen but they did not listen to him. He himself went to the cabin to commence the show with one Martin and one Head Constable. He tried to start the machine. but it did not work. He got it repaired the next day. The Labour Inspector visited the spot on 25th August 1975 and made enquiries.

13. M. W. 3 is one C. A. Lurth Martin. His evidence is to the effect that he is working as a Gatekeeper in the II party and on 24th August 1975 the proprietor sent him and a constable to the Cabin room for laying records Then M W 2 came and he had put the reels correctly and started the projector but there was no sound and film could not be exhibited. He says in his cross-examination he was learning the work of operating the Projector and had not applied for any licence. He also admits that he did not tell the Proprietor about the reason for the defect of the Projector.

14. From the above discussion of the evidence, two propositions emerge. They are: (1) that the workers struck work on 24th August 1975 after-noon because the management has not taken Appaji to duty and this proposition emerges from the evidence of II party: (2) that the II Party management refused work to the Party workmen because they had protested against the termination of Kaveri orally on 24th August 1977 and this poposition emerges from the evidence of the I Party workmen. But the main question for consideration in this Reference is whether the II Party management was justified in refusing employment to the I Party workmen with effect from 24th August 1975. The burden of proving this was upon the II Party. But the management has taken the stand that they never refused employment to the I Party workmen and it is they who have left their services and therefore, they have lost their lien on the Job and therefore, the I Party workmen are not entitled for any relief This stand they tried to establish M. W 1 to M M. 3. Even for assuming argument sake that the I Party workmen had lost the services of the I Party workmen and therefore they had lost the lien on their jobs, one has to decide when such loss of lien would amount to automatic termination of service. When it appears that the workmen had left the services of he Theatre, then unless a written order is passed that the workers had left the services and therefore they have lost lien on their jobs, it cannot be said that the posts have been vacated and therefore, the management is absolved from passing any order. Even if it is a case of automatic termination of service, it should have been proved that the names were actually struck off on a particular date and by whose orders. In the present case, there are no absolutely no materials to prove that the management have complied with these above requirements. If really the II Party management had considered that the workers had left the job, then they should have passed the requisite order. When the II Party management has admittedly not passed any requisite orders to that effect, they now cannot contend that the I Party workmen have forfeited their right to come to work:

15 Further, when an inference of abandonment of service can be drawn ? If a worker remains absent for a particular length of time, he will be presumed to have left his services. In such cases the

inference of abandonmen. of services can be drawn if the workman remains absent for the stated period. Such an inference can be drawn only if the complainant neither turns up for work nor he informs the company as to why he remained absent In the instant case, the evidence on record makes it clear there are no such sequences to hold that the I Party workmen had abandoned their services. In fact, it is evident from the materials on record that there was some sort of dispute between the workers the management with regard to taking back of one worker Appaji (this as contended by the management) or Kaveri (this as contended by the workers) to duty and this dispute had ultimately ended in this reference. It is, however, true that under common law an inference of relinquishment of service is not easily drawn unless from the length of absence and from other surrounding circumstances an inference to that effect can be legitimately drawn and it can be assumed that an employee intended to abandon service. This normally requires adequate evidence in support of this contention. In the Instant case, from the materials available on record, I am of the opinion that the II Party has failed to prove that the I Party workman have left their services voluntarily, in as much as the II Party has failed to notify or publish the cessation of employer-employee relationship between them and the I Party workmen.

16. On the other hand, it is contended on behalf of the I Party workmen that they were poor workers and when they demanded the management that they should not terminate Kaveri, a Sweeper, the management did not accede to their demand and ultimately did not permit them to do their jobs and they were driven out. They represented to their union and the union has taken up the matter in conciliation and ultimately the dispute ended in this Reference W.W.9, I Party Union Secretary, also corroborates this version of the I party There is considerable force in this argument in view of the circumstances in which the I party workmen were placed When the II Party management had not passed any relevant written orders putting an end to the relationship of employer-employee if the I Party workmen had stayed away from work, I am constrained to hold that the I Party workmen had not stayed away from work.

17. For the foregoing reasons, I am of the opinion that the II party management was not justified in refusing employment to the I Party workmen. It therefore follows that the I Party workmen are entitled to the relief of reinstatement and continuity of service. With regard to back wages, taking all the facts and circumstances of the case into consideration, I am of the opinion that 25% of the back wages would meet the ends of justice. Hence my Award.

AWARD

18 In the result, the Reference is answered as follows :--The Management of Viswanath Picture House (Shivananda Talkies), Channapatna. were not justified in refusing employment to the following workmen with effect from 24-8-1975 :--

Sriyuths :

1. Venkatagiri (Chief Operator)
2. Abdul Azeez (Operator)
3. Chikkanna
4. C. M. Krishnappa (Booking Clerk)
5. Nagaraj (Office boy)
6. S Chidananda Murthy (Board Boy)
7. Kaveri (Sweeper)
8. Appaji (Clerk)

The above 8 First Party workmen are entitled to the relief of reinstatement with continuity of service and 25% of their back wages. No order as to costs.

H. SHANMUKHAPPA,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Bangalore.

"COPY"

H. SHANMUKHAPPA,
Presiding Officer.
Labour Court. Bangalore.

ORDER No SWL 18 LLA 78.

Bangalore, dated 10th/13th February 1978.

WHEREAS by State Government Order No. SWL 922 LLD 75 dated 29th November 1975 the Industrial dispute between the workmen and the Management of Viswanatha Picture House (Shivananda Talkies). Channapatna was referred to the Labour Court, Bangalore for adjudication ;

AND WHEREAS the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Bangalore has submitted to the State Government its award in respect of the said Industrial Dispute.

NOW, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka are pleased to direct the publication o the said award as above, in the *Karnataka Gazette*

By Order and in the name of the President of India

B. MANAMOHAN,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department

2564—S.O. 979

Printed by the Director of Printing, Sty. & Publications, at the Govt. Press, B'lore

PART IV

Section—2-C (iii)

STATUTORY ORDERS ISSUED BY THE STATE GOVERNMENT UNDER THE CENTRAL ACTS AND STATE ACTS

EDUCATION AND YOUTH SERVICES SECRETARIAT

Notification No. ED 241 UAC 77.

Bangalore, dated 1st April 1978.

In exercise of the powers conferred under sub-section (5) of section 53 of the Karnataka State Universities Act 1976 (Karnataka Act No. 28 of 1976) the Government of Karnataka are pleased to sanction affiliation of the M. G. C. Arts College, Siddapur to the Karnaraka University, for teaching the following courses for a period of one year from June 1977.

CONTINUATION OF AFFILIATION

	Basic	*Major*	*Minor*
B. A. Part I	English, Kannada, Sanskrit, Hindi	English, Sanskrit, Economics Pol. Science History.	Kannada, Hindi, Sanskrit, History, Political Science, Economics, Maths & Applied Statistics
B. A. Part II	do	Sanskrit, Economics, History, Pol. Science, English.	do
B. A. Part III	do	do	do

EXTENSION OF AFFILIATION

	Compulsory Subjects	*Optional Subjects*
B.Com. Part I	English, M.I.L. Kannada or Hindi.	(1) Economics Theory I (2) Accountancy I (3) Secretarial Practice.	Marketing or Mathematics

subject to the conditions laid down by the Local Inquiry Committee and the Karnataka University.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

B. S. MUDDAPUR,

Under Secretary to Government,
Education & Youth Services Department.

COMMERCE AND INDUSTRIES SECRETARIAT

Notification No. CI 91 EPO 78.
Bangalore, dated 31st March 1978.

In exercise of the powers conferred under rule 15 (1) of the Mineral Concession Rules 1960, the Government of Karnataka hereby revoke the orders issued in Government Notification No. CI 271 EPO 77 dated 8th November 1977 sanctioning Prospecting Licence for Green Quartz in respect of the area of 58 acres (23.57 hectares) of land in Kakallur, Dodanna Kallur, Chickamasakallur Villages, Magadi Taluk, Bangalore District in favour of Shri C. P. Mallaiah, as the grantee failed to pay the security deposit and execute the deed within the prescribed time limit.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

Y. B. CHINNAPPA REDDY,
I/c. Under Secretary to Government,
Commerce and Industries Department.

10—S.O. 1041

Notification No. CI 61 EMO 78.
Bangalore, dated 5th April 1978.

In exercise of the powers conferred under Rule 31 (1) of the Mineral Concession Rules 1960, the Government of Karnataka hereby revoke the orders issued in Government Notification No. CI 190 EMO 76 dated 2nd September 77 sanctioning a Mining Lease for Fireclay and Quartz in respect of the area of 60 acres (24.28 hectares) of land in Kamblipur village Kosakote Taluk, Bangalore District in favour of of M/s. Industrial Mineral Processing works as the grantees have not accepted for survey and Demarcation as the area required by them does not fall within the sanctioned area.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka

Y. B. CHINNAPPA REDDY,
Under Secretary to Government, I/c.,
Commerce and Industries Department.

60- S.O 1042

Notification No. CI 76 EMI 76.
Bangalore, dated 3rd April 1978.

In pursuance of sub-section (1) of Section 5 of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957 and after obtaining the approval of the Government of India, *vide* their letter No. 4/3/77-M5, dated 6th February 1978, the Government of Karnataka hereby sanctions a Mining Lease in favour of M/s. Nagargali Cement Company Ltd., for Limestone and clay for a period of 20 (twenty) years over 1575 acres of land in villages Nagargali and Kirpuli, Khanapur Taluk, Belgaum District as per sketch furnished by the Director of Mines and Geology, Bangalore.

The grant of the above Mining Lease is subject to the terms and conditions appended hereunto.

Terms and Conditions

(a) Mining Lease should be in respect of Limestone and clay only. If other Minerals are found in association with Limestone and clay they should be brought to the notice of Government and if the lessee desires to mine these minerals along with Limestone and clay they should do so only after the consent of Government is obtained in writing ;

(b) Royalty at the rates prescribed in the Second Schedule to the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957 as amended from time to time or the dead rent at the following rate in respect of each mineral per hectare per annum, whichever is greater, shall be charged provided that dead rent shall not be payable for the first year of the lease ;

1st Year of the lease	...	Nil
2nd to 5th year of the lease	...	Rs. 12.50
6th to 10th year of the lease	...	Rs. 25.00
11th year and onwards of the lease	...	Rs. 37.50

(c) Surface rent at the rate of Rs. 2.50 per hectare per annum on the actual area used by the lessee for mining purposes shall be charged ;

(d) If beryl or any other 'Substance Prescribed' under section 3 of the Atomic Energy Act No. XXIX of 1948 is found to occur in the property under the lease, the lessee shall make available such mineral to the Government of India ;

(e) The lease shall be subject to the provisions of Rules in Chapter IV of the Mineral Concession Rules, 1960 ;

(f) The lease shall also be subject to the rules issued under section 18 of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957 ;

(g) The lessee shall pay to the Director of Mines and Geology in Karnataka, Bangalore, necessary security deposit for due observance of the terms and conditions of the lease in accordance with Rules 32 of lease is issued to him ;

(h) The lessee shall submit confidentially from time to time or when required, progress reports to the Director of Mines and Geology along with analysis and representative samples of the ores collected during the mining operations ;

(i) The lessee shall be governed by all conditions that may be incorporated in the lease deed to be executed ;

(j) The lessee shall abide by the Rules contained in the Karnataka State Forest Manual, if the leased areas covers any forest land ;

(k) The total area held by the lessee under Mining leases including the present shall not exceed 10 Sq. Kilometers ;

(l) Government shall have the right of purchasing the ore at current market rates ;

(m) The lease would be determined if the lessee fails to commence effective mining operations within one year from the date of execution of lease deed ;

(n) The area mentioned above is subject to verification after actual survey ;

(o) Local and other cesses as prevalent in Belgaum District.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

Y. B. CHINNAPPA REDDY,
I/c. *Under Secretary to Government,*
Commerce and Industries Department.

27—S.O 1043

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Notification No. SWL 39 LLE 78.
Bangalore, dated 31st March 1978.

Whereas in Notification No. SWL 291 LLE 77 dated 15th October 1977 the Cement Industries has been declared as Public Utility Service in the State of Karnataka for a period of six months from 18th October 1977 ;

And, whereas in the opinion of the Government of Karnataka, public interest requires that the period should be extended further ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by the proviso to sub-clause (vi) of clause (n) of section 2 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby extends the period and declares the Cement Industry as Public Utility Service in the State of Karnataka for a further period of six months from 18th April 1978.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. V. MASTHIHOLIMATH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

46—S. O. 1044

HOME SECRETARIAT

Order No. HD 262 EDC 75
Bangalore, dated 4th April 1978.

In pursuance of sub-clause (viii) of clause (c) of sub-rule (1) of rule 2 of the Karnataka Excise (Denatured Spirit and Denatured Spirituous Preparations) Rules, 1937 read with section 21 of the Karnataka General Clauses Act, 1899 (Karnataka Act III of 1899), the Government of Karnataka hereby rescinds the Order No. S. O. 1506 (HD 16 EFL 68) dated 26th June 1968 published in part IV-2C (ii) of the *Karnataka Gazette* dated 18th July 1968.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

M. P. JAYASHANKARA ARADHYA,
Under Secretary to Government,
Home Department.

38—S. O. 1045

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Order No. SWL 105 LLD 78.
Bangalore, dated 30th March 1978.

WHEREAS the Government of Karnataka are of openion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the Management of Seethara Estate, Mallandur Post, Chickmagalur District, on the points noted below.

AND, WHEREAS, the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

NOW, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Dispute Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Mangalore.

Points of Dispute

"1. Are the management of Seethara Estate, Mallandur Post, Chickmagalur District justified in refusing employment to Sri K. Abdul Razak, Writer-In-Charge with effect from 10-9-77?
2. If not, to what relief the said worker is entitled?".

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,
V. V. MASTHIHOLIMATH,
Under Secretary to Government,
48—S. O. 1046 *Social Welfare and Labour Department*

Order No. SWL 106 LLD 78.
Bangalore, dated 30th March 1978.

WHEREAS the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial dispute exists between the workman and the management of Shigode Estate, Balehonnur post, on the points noted below;

AND, WHEREAS the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

NOW, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Mangalore.

Points of Dispute

"1. Is the management of Shigode Estate, Balehonnur Post, Chickmagalur District, justified in dismissing the services of Shri B. Lobo, with effect from 30-5-1977?
2. If not, to what relief, the said workman is entitled?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,
V. V. MASTHIHOLIMATH,
Under Secretary to Government,
49—S. O. 1047 *Social Welfare and Labour Department*

Order No. SWL 146 LLD 78
Bangalore. dated 31st March 1978.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of Ramachandra Pulverisers and Industries, Bangalore-2 on the points noted below.

And, whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Bangalore.

POINTS OF DISPUTE

"1. Are the Management of M/s. Ramachandra Pulverisers and Industries, Bangalore-2 justified in terminating the services of Sri H Puttaswamy, Driver, with effect from 27th October 1976 ?

2 If not, to what relief the said workman is entitled"?

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. V. MASTHIHOLIMATH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department

53—S. O. 1048

Order No. SWL 147 LLD 78
Bangalore, dated 31st March 1978.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of Karnataka State Road Transport Corporation, Bangalore-27 on the points noted below.

And, whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore. in exercise of the powers conferred by Clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947). the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Bangalore.

POINTS OF DISPUTE

"1. Are the Management of Karnataka State Road Transport Corporation, Bangalore-27, justified in terminating the services of Sri B. Narasimhaiah, Conductor, Bangalore Dn with effect from 6th January 1976" ?

2. If not, to what relief the said workman is entitled ?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. V. MASTHIHOLIMATH,
Under Secretary to Government,
S. W. and Labour Department

54—S. O. 1049

Order No. SWL 163 LLD 78.
Bangalore, dated 31st March 1978.

WHEREAS the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the Management of Kirthi Transport (P) Ltd., No. 2 New Mission Road, Bangalore-27 on the points noted below :

AND, WHEREAS the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication.

NOW, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Bangalore,

POINTS OF DISPUTE

1. "Are the Management of M/s Kirthi Transport (P) Ltd., No. 2, New Mission Road, Bangalore-27, justified in terminating Sri K. Gopinath from service with effect from 1st November 1976.
2. If not, to what relief the workmen is entitled ?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka

V. V. MASTHIHOLIMATH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

57—S.O. 1050

RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND CO-OPERATION SECRETARIAT

Notification No. RDC 81 CLS 78
Bangalore dated 5th April 1978.

In exercise of the powers vested under sub-section (1) of Section 29 of the Karnataka Cooperative Societies Act, 1959 (Karnataka Act 11 of 1959), Government of Karnataka hereby nominate the following three persons on the Board of Directors of the Primary Cooperative Land Development Bank, Limited, Turuvekere, Tumkur District with immediate effect and until further orders.

1. Sri C. J. Ranganath S/o Javaregowda, Tandaga Post, Chandrapura, Turuvekere Taluk.
2. Sri M. P. Vajranabhaiah S/o Padmarajaiah, Mayasandra Post, Mayasandra, Turuvekere Taluk.
3. Sri Range Gowda S/o Kempe Gowda, Kondajji post, Kondajji, Turuvekere Taluk.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

A. PARAMESWARAPPA,
Under Secretary to Government,
RDC Department.

70—S. O. 1051

Notification No. RDC 14 CPS 78
Bangalore dated 5th April 1978

In exercise of the powers conferred under section 53-A of the Karnataka Co-operative Societies, (Amendment) Act, 1975 (Karnataka Act, No. 39 of 1975) Government are pleased to nominate the following persons on the Board of Directors of the Karnataka Seeds Co-operative Federation limited, Bangalore as Government nominees with immediate effect and until further orders.

Sriyuths :

1. R. H. Patil, B. Sc., (Agri) L. L. B.,
   Hampiholi village, Ramadurg Taluk,
   Belgaum district.
2. Veeraiah, B. A. LL. B., S/o Shri Mahalingaiah
   Swamy, Miryan Progressive Farmer,
   Chircholi, Gulbarga District.
3. B Nanjesha Gowda, Progressive Farmer,
   Bidar Village, Bagur Hobli, Channarayapatna Taluk.
   Hassan District.
4. C. Venkateshaiah, S/o Chinnappa, Chikballapur

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,
H. R. SHANKARANARAYANA RAO,
Under Secretary to Government,
69—S. O. 1052 *Rural Devt. and Co-opn. Department.*

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Order No. SWL 93 LLD 78.
Bangalore, dated 5th/10th April 1978.

WHEREAS the Government of Karnataka are of opinion than an Industrial Dispute exists between the workman and the management of M/s. Kalasa Tea and Produce Company, Hirebyle post, Chikmagalur on the Points noted below :

AND, WHEREAS, the Government of Karnataka considered it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

NOW, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at, Mangalore.

Points of Dispute

"I. Are the management of the Kalasa Tea and Produce Co. Ltd., Hirebail P. O., Mudgere Taluk, Chikmagalur District justified in dismissing from Service of Sri Shekbara with effect from 3rd December 1976 ?

"II. If not, to what relief the said workman is entitled"?

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,
V. V. MASTHOLIMATH,
Under Secretary to Government,
77—S. O. 1053 *Social Welfare and Labour Department.*

GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Labour Dispute: Industrial dispute between the workmen and the Management of Vignan Industries Ltd. Hal yur B. H. Road, Tarikere P.O., Chikmagalur District

Award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Chikmagalur published.

Read the following papers:

1. Letter No. 1135/78, dated 23rd March 1978 from Shri S. N. Kenchanna Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Chickmagalur

BEFORE THE PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT. CHICKMAGALUR

Dated this the 20th day of February 1978

Present

Shri.S.N. Kenchanna B. A., B L.
Presiding Officer

Reference Industrial Dispute No. 8/1976

First Party:—

1 B. S. Shekara Setty
2 A Revanna Siddappa
3 H Venkatesha Bhat
4 M. V. Karunakara
5 B. Lobo
6 Sikandar Khaz
6 Sikandar Khan
7 Umar K.
8 T. R. Devaraj
9 T. G. Ramaiah
10 Marulaiah
11 T. D. Puttaswamy
12 Srinivasa Murthy

} Workmen of Vignan Industries Ltd. Hallyur, B.H. Road, Tarikere P.O., Chikmagalur District

vs.

Second Party:—

The Management, Vignan Industries Ltd., Haliyur, B. H. Road, Tarikeré P.O., Chikmagalur District.

JUDGMENT – AWARD

1. This reference is made under Section 10 sub-section 1 Clause (c) of the Industrial Dispute Act, 1947, by the Government of Mysore

in letter No. FCL 553 DND 71 dated 7th June 1971 for adjudication of the following point of dispute.

"Are the management of the Vignan Industries Ltd., Holiyur, B H. Road, Tarikere, are justified in terminating the services of Sriyuths ;-

	Name of the embloyees		Date of termination
1	B.S. Shekarasetty	...	1 11-1970
	A. Revanna Siddappa	....	9-11-1970
	H. Verkatesha Bhat	...	9 11-1970
	M. V: Karunakara	...	9-11-1970
	B. Lobo	...	27-11-1970
6	Sikandar Khan	...	20-11-1970
7	Umar K.	...	26 11-1970
8	T. R. Devaraj	...	30 10-1970
9	T. G Ramaiah	...	6-2-1970
10	Marulaiah	...	30-7-1970
11	T. D. Puttaswamy	..	27-11-1970
12	Srinivasa Murthy	...	24 4-1970

with effect from the dates mentioned against their names ?

Out of 12 workmen involved in the disputed, only the following four persons (a) M. V. Karunakara, (2) A. Revanna Siddappa (3) H. Venkatesha Bhat and (4) B. S. Shekar Shetty, have filed the claim statement on 10th November 1971.

Subsequently, on 16th November 1977 Sri B S. Halappa, appearing for the first party, has filed a memo stating that except Revanna Siddappa, the other three claimant out of 12, who have preferred claim statement, have secured employment elsewhere and, therefore he does not press the claim o the said persons.

According to the clam statement, Sri A Revanna Siddappa Electrical Supervisor , joined services on 16th October 1968 on a salary of Rs. 175/- per month, which was raised to Rs. 250/- by the time of the termination of the services on 9th November 1970 The case of the claimant was that no reason has been given for the termination of his services; that he has put in a service of more than a year; that as per the provisions of the Industrial Dispute Act, no citizen of India, could be removed from service except as per the provisions of Chapter V of the Industrial Dispute Act *i. e.*, retrenchment ; that therefore, the termination of the services of the claimant is illegal in law, and therefore, he is entitled to be reinstated with back wages.

2. The second party has resisted the dispute contending that the joint application filed by the four persons is not maintainable in law.

The second party has contended that the services of the person in question were terminated as his services was no longer required that the company is manufacturing steel and alloy steel castings ; that the employee was employed during the construction of the factory and the erection of the plant purely on supervisory capacity ; that the Polish Engineers, who erected and commissioned the plant, opined that the service of the above employee was no longer necessary and he cannot be fitted in any of the post in the factory since he had neither any knowledge of steel making and foundry practice nor any practical experience in steel making and foundry practice; that the second party has no confidence in the employee, and, therefore, the company had to discharge him from the services of the company and the terminatio can be charectarised only as the termination simpliciter; that Revanna Siddappa was paid two months salary and he has accepted the same ; that the termination was *bona fide* discharge for want of suitable posts and positions, and hence the question of reinstatement of the employee does not arise and the reforence be dismissed.

3. On 28th Janurary 1972 the following issues have been raised in reference No. 9/1971 :

( ) Are the management of Vignan Industries Ltd., Haliyur B. H. Road, Tarikere, justified in terminating the services of the petitioners (a) M. V. Karunakara. (2)A. Revanna Siddappa, (3) H. Venkatesha Bhatta and (4) B. H. Shekara Shetty, with effe t from dates noted in para 2 of the claim statement ?

(2) If not, to what relief are the petitioners entitled ?

Sri B. S. Halappa examined A. Revannasiddappa as WW 1 and relied upon Ex. W-1 to W-11. The Management has examined MW-1 Puttaswamy, Personal Officer and M.W-2 Krishna Murthy, Accountant and relied upon Ex. M. W-1 and M. W-1 (a) to (f), and closed the case.

ISSUE No. 1 :—

4 Under this issue, the question whether the management of Vignan Industries Ltd. Haliyur, was justified in terminating the service of A. Revanna Siddappa, with effect from 9th November 1970 alone will be considered as the other three employees have filed a memo to the effect that they have secured employment elsewhere, and, therefore, they do not press the claim.

It is undisputed that Sri A. Revanna Siddappa was appointed as a probationer on a consolidated salary of Rs. 175 per month under Ex. W-2 dated 8th October 1968. A reading of Ex. W-2 clearly indicates that Revanna Siddappa was to be a probationer for a period of six months, and he would be confirmed in a suitable grade after satisfactory completion of the probationery period. Ex. W 3 dated 29th August

1969 clearly indicates that Revanna Siddappa has been confirmed on a consolidated salary of Rs 250 in grand Rs. 250-25-500 with effect from First of August, 1969. From the evidence of W. W-1 Revanna Siddappa it is clear that he worked for two years and 23 days and he was discharged under Ex. W-1 dated 9th November 1970 with effect from the afternoon of that day. According to W. W-1 there was no allegation against him during the period he was in service and his appointment order does not contain the specific period during which he will be continued in service ; that the management has not assigned any reason to terminate the services ; that there has been no enquiry held against him, and, therefore his termination is illegal. As against this contention, the management has pleaded that the termination of the service of the employee is a termination simpliciter that the second party had no confidence with the employee and the service of the emplyee were not required, and therefore, the same has been terminated and the termination was *benafide* discharge for want of suitable post and positions. In the decision L, Michael and another V. M/s Johnson Pumps Ltd., A.I.R 1975 Supreme Court, 661, it is laid down :

"The Tribunal has the power and indeed, the duty to X-Ray the order and discover its turn nature, if the object and effect, if the attendent circumstances and the ulterior purpose be to dismiss the employee because he is an evil to be eliminated. But if the management to cover up the inability to establish by an enquiry illagitimately but ingeniously pases an innocsent looking order of termination simpliciter, such action is bad and is liable to be set aside. Loss of confidence is no new armour for the management otherwise security of tenure, ensured by the new industrial jurisprudence and authenticated by a catues of cases of Supreme Court, can be subverted by this non formula.

Loss of confidence is often a subjective feeling or individual reaction to an objective set of facts and motivations. The court is concerned with the latter and not with the former, although circumstances may exist which justify a genuine exercise of the power of simple termination. In a reasonable case of a confidential or responsible post being misused or a sensitive or stratagic position being abused, it may be high risk to keep the employee one suspicion has started and a disciplinary enquiry cannot be forced on the matter, here a termination simpliciter may be *bonafids* , not coleurable and loss of confidence may be evidentiary of good faith of the employer-

An employer who believes or suspects that his employee. particularly one holding a position of confidence, has betrayed that confidence can, if the conditions and terms of the employment permit, terminate is employment and discharge. But such belief or suspicion of the employer should not be a more whim or fancy. It should be *bona fide* an reasonable. It must rest on some tangible basis and the power

has to be exercised by the employer objectively, in good f ith, which means, honestly with due care and produce . If the exercise of such power is challenged on the ground of being colorable or *mala fides* or an act of victimisation or unfair labour practice, the employer must disclose to the Court the grounds of his impuned action so that the same may be tested judicially."

Again in the decision The Gujarat Mineral Development Corporation Vs. P. H. Brahmbhatt A. I. R. 1974 Supreme Court. 137, it is laid down ;

"An order of discharge simpliciter is not conclusive and when an Industrial Dispute is raised, the Tribunal adjudicating such dispute can examine the substance of the matter and determine whether the termination is in fact discharge simpliciter or dismissal. If it is satisfied that the order is punitive or *mala fide* or is made to victimise the workman or amounts to unfair labour practice, it is competent to set it aside. The test is whether the act of the employer is bonafide or not. It is not and is a colourable exercise of the power under the contract of service or standing orders, the Tribunal can discard it and is a proper case direct reinstatement".

Bearing in mind the principles enunciated in the above decisions it is necessary to consider whether the act of the employer in terminating the services of the employee stating that it has lost confidence in the employee and that the termination of the services is termination simpliciter is *bona fide* or not. In the cast on hand. Ex. W-2 does not prescribe the period for which and the condition on which the employee in question shall be continued in the services of the employer. Under Ex. W-2 and W-3, the management has not reserved the right of termination of the services of the employee without assigning any reasons. On the other hand, Ex.-2 clearly mentions that after the completion of the probationary period of six months, the employee will be confirm in a suitable grade. Ex. W-3 clearly indicates that the employee has been confirmed on a consolidated salary of Rs. 250 in the grade Rs. 250-25-500 with effect from 1st August 1969. Ex W-3 does not also state that the employee shall be in the services of the management till the installation of the machinery which was being done by the Polish Exports was completed or till the period of the commencee ment of the production of special steel and alloy stell castings by the management which required special technical qualifications. In the absence of the appointment order and the confirmation order, mentioning about the service conditions or the period for which the employee shall be in the services of the employer, the employee shall be entitled to be in the services till he is found to be unfit on account of the allegations of his-conduct or any other allegation which will be a disqualification for the employee to continue in the services of the employer. In the instant case, admittedly, there is no allega-

tion of any misconduct against the employee during the period of the tenure of his office. Apart from the say of M.W.-1 Putta Swamy that Revanna Siddappa was appointed temporarily as supervisers there is not even a whisper in the sworn statements of M.W-1 Putta swamy and M.W-2 Krishnamurthy that there was any allegations against Revanna Siddappa which renders him unfit to be the services of the Second Party, and that there was any occupation for the management to loss confidence in the employee and to discharge him from services terming it has a termination simpliciter. In the decision Management of Panitola Tea Estate Vs. The workmen A.I.R 197 C.2171, it is laid down :

"If on the facts it is not possible to find cogent material on which the establishment can be genuine be considered to have lost confidence in the integrity of the workman he is entitled to be reinstated. If dismissal was wrongful then merely because proceedings for adjudication of the industrial Dispute have taken a long time, is by itself no reason for not directing his reinstatement if it is otherwise justified being in accordance with normal rule."

Therefore, merely because the management has claimed in the objection statement that the employee lost the confidence ; that the termination was simpliciter ; that it was *bonafide*, it not possible to hold that the termination of the services of Revanna Siddappa by the management was justified - namely because the Management contends that the termination is simplisiter. The action on the part of the management in terminating the services of Revanna Siddappa is bad and is liable to be set aside. In this view of the matter. I am constrained to held that the termination of the services of Revauna Siddappa by the second party is not justified.

ISSUE No. 2 :

5. In view of my finding on the first issue and as W. W-1 has testified that eversince his services were terminated, he has been searching for a job, but he has not been able to secure any job and he been unemployed. I hold that the employee A. Revanna Siddappa is entitled to reinstatement with backwages on his old pay from 10th November 1970 the date from which his services were illegally terminated.

Hence the following :

AWARD

Therefore, for reasons stated above, I order that A. Revanna Siddappa shall be reinstated by the management of Vignan Industries Haliyur, B H. Road, Tarikere, with effect from 10th November 1970 and all his back wages shall be paid to him from that date to the date of reinstatement. The reference in respect of 11 other employees

mentioned in Government letter No. FCL 553 LND 71 dated 7th June 1971 is rejected as not pressed

Dictated to the Stenographer, transcribed by him, corrected and then pronounced by me in the Open Court this the 20th Day of February 1978.

S. N. KENCHANNA.
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Chikmagalore

Witnesses examined for the First Party :
W. W-1 Revanna Siddappa : 16-11-1977

List of documents exhibited for First Party.

Ex; W-	Particulars :
1.	Discharge notice dated 9th November 1970
2	Appointment Order dated 8th October 1968
3	Memo issued to Sri Revanna Siddappa confirming his appointment.
4	Discharge notice of one Sri Venkatesha Bhatta dated 9th November 1970.
5	Memo dated 29th August 1970 addressed to H. Venkatesha Bhatta
6	Appointment Order dated 31st October 1968 in respect of H. Venkatesha Bhatta.
7	Discharge memo dated 30th October 1970 of B. Shekara Shetty
8	Memo dated 29th August 1968 addressed to do
9	Appointment order dated 22nd August 1967 of B. Shekara Setty.
10	Requisition dated 28th November 1970.
11	Reply dated 2nd December 1970 in reply to Ex W-10.

Witnenssses examined for the Management :
M. W. No. 1 Puttaswamy 27th Feburary 1974
M. W. No. 2 Krishna Murthy 22nd August 1974

List of Documents exhibited for the management.

Ex. M.	
1	Acquittance roll starting from October 1970
1 (a)	Relevant entry of Revanna Siddappa
1 (b)	do do
1 (c)	do Venkatesha Bhat
1 (d)	do do
1 (e	do B. Shekara Setty
1 (f)	do do

S. N. KENCHANNA
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Chikmagalore

Order No. SWL 62 LLA 78.

Bangalore, dated 23rd/25th March 1978

WHEREAS by State Government Order No. FCL 553 LLD 71 dated 7th June 1971, the Industrial dispute between the workmen and the management of Vignan Industries Ltd. Haliyur, B H. Road, Tarikere, P O. Chikmagalur District was referred to the Labour Court, Chikmagalur for adjudication.

AND WHEREAS the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Chikmagalur has submitted to the State Government its award in respect of the said Industrial Dispute.

NOW, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka are pleased to direct the publication of the said award as above, in the *Karnataka Gazette*.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. V. MASTHOLIMATH,
Under Secretary to Government
Social Welfare and Labour Department

2770—S.O. 1054

REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Notification No. RD 44 MET 78

Bangalore, dated 6th/10th April 1978

In exercise of Powers conferred by Section 8 of the Madras Hindu Religious and Charitable Endowment Act. 1951 as in force in Madras area and in Supersession of Notification No. RD 39 MET 77 dated 7th April 77, the Government of Karnataka hereby appoint Sri K. Jayachar, Special Deputy Commissioner Dakshina Kannada District as Deputy Commissioner Hindu Religious and Charitable Endowment, Dakshina Kannada District, Mangalore to perform the duties and functions under the said Act.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnatak.

A. S. PATIL,
I/c *Under Secretary to Governmen.*
Revenue Deptt.

103—S. O. 1055

COMMERCE AND INDUSTRIES SECRETARIAT

Notification No. CI 52 EMO 78.

Bangalore, dated 3rd April 1978.

In pursuance of sub-section (1) of Section 5 of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957, the Government of Karnataka hereby sanctions a Mining Lease in favour of M/s. Mysore Minerals Limited for a period of 20 (Twenty) years for Asbastos over an area of 130 acres (52.61 hectares) of land in Averahalli village, Mandya Taluk, Mandya District, as per sketch furnished by the Director of Mines and Geology, Bangalore.

The grant of the above Mining Lease is subject to the terms and conditions appended hereunto.

Terms and Conditions

(a) Mining Lease should be in respect of Asbestos only. If other Minerals are found in association with Asbestos, they should be brought to the notice of Government and if the lessee desires to mine these minerals along with Asbestos, they should do so only after the consent of Government is obtained in writing;

(b) Royalty at the rates prescribed in the Second Schedule to the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957 as amended from time to time or the dead rent at the following rate in respect of each mineral per hectare per annum, whichever is greater, shall be charged provided that dead rent shall not be payable for the first year of the lease;

1st Year of the lease	...	Nil
2nd to 5th year of the lease	...	Rs. 12.50
6th to 10th year of the lease	...	Rs. 25.00
11th year and onwards of the lease	...	Rs. 37.50

(c) Surface rent at the rate of Rs. 2.50 per hectare per annum on the actual area used by the lessee for mining purposes shall be charged;

(d) If beryl or any other 'Substance Prescribed' under Section 3 of the Atomic Energy Act No. XXIX of 1948 is found to occur in the property under the lease, the lessee shall make available such mineral to the Government of India;

(e) The lease shall be subject to the provision of Rules in chapter IV of the Mineral Concession Rules, 1960;

(f) The lease shall also be subject to the rules issued under Section 18 of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957;

(g) The lessee shall pay to the Director of Mines and Geology in Karnataka, Bangalore, necessary security deposit for due observance of the terms and conditions of the lease in accordance with Rules 32 of lease is issued to him ;

(h) The lessee shall submit confidentially from time to time or when required, progress reports to the Director of Mines and Geology along with analysis and representative samples of the ores collected during the mining operations ;

(i) The lessee shall be governed by all conditions that may be incorporated in the lease deed to be executed ;

(j) The lessee shall abide by the Rules contained in the Karnataka State Forest Manual, if the leased area covers any forest land ;

(k) The total area held by the lessee under mining leases including the present shall not exceed 10.00 sq. kilometers ;

(l) Government shall have the right of purchasing the ore at current market rates ;

(m) The lease would be determined if the lessee failes to commence effective mining operations within one year from the date of execution of lease deed.

(n) The area mentioned above is subject to verification after actual survey ; and

(o) Local and other cesses as prevalent in Mandya District.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

Y. B. CHINNAPPA REDDY,

Under Secretary to Government, I/c

32—S.O. 1057 *Commerce and Industries Department.*

Notification No. CI 102 EPO 78.

Bangalore, dated 3rd April 1978.

In pursuance of sub-section (1) of Section 5 of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957, the Government of Karnataka hereby sanctions a Prospecting Licence in favour of Shri R. V. Naganna for a period of 2 (Two) years for green quartz over an area of 100 acres (40.47 hectares) of land in Haralakatte village, Gubbi Taluk, Tumkur District, as per sketch furnished by the Director of Mines and Geology, Bangalore.

The grant of the above Prospecting Licence is subject to the terms and conditions appended hereunto.

Terms and Conditions

1. The licence shall be operative for a period of two years with effect from the date of execution. The option to renew the licence for a further period shall be reserved to Government;

2. The licensee shall pay to Government a Prospecting fee of Rs. 2-50 per hectare of the land covered by the licence for each year or portion of a year;

3. The quantity of Ore to be removed under this licence, both on payment of royalty and free of royalty, shall be in accordance with the limits prescribed under Rule 14 (ii) and (iii) and under Schedule III respectively of the Mineral Concession Rules, 1960. The royalty payable on the minerals shall be in accordance with the rates prescribed in Second Schedule to the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957 and as amended from time to time;

4. The licensee shall make available to the Government of India beryl or any other 'substance prescribed' under Section of the Atomic Energy Act No. XXIX of 1948 if they occur in the property under licence ;

5. The licensee shall be subject to the rules issued under Sections 13 and 18 of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957 (No. 67 of 1957);

6. If any other mineral is traced during the course of prospecting, the licensee shall report about the same to the Director of Mines and Geology and shall not work such minerals without obtaining prior permission of Government ;

7. The licensee shall furnish an accurate final map of areas covered by the licence together with a description, giving the situation and boundaries of the areas duly attested by the licensee. The Director of Mines and Geology is authorised to get the accurate final map with survey numbers, etc., prepared and demarcation done before executing the licence if the licensee so desired and to recover the cost of the same from the licensee ;

8. The licensee shall, before the deposit is returned to him submit confidentially to the Director of Mines and Geology from time to time or wherever required a full report of the work done by him and disclose all information acquired in the course of the operations carried on under the licence regarding the geology and mineral resources of the area covered by the licence ;

9. The licensee shall allow any officer authorised by the Central or the State Government to inspect at any time the area covered by the Prospecting Licence as also any accounts, plans and records maintained by him ;

10. The licensee shall before the licence is issued to him deposit as security a sum of Rs. 200 in respect of every square kilometer or

part thereof for which the licence is granted for the due observance of the terms and conditions of the licence;

11. The licensee shall engage a qualified geologist or a mining engineer to conduct the Prospecting methodically;

12. The licensee shall not give any ore-raising contract during the prospecting stage;

13. The above mentioned area is subject to verification after actual survey; and

14. Local and other Cesses as prevalent in Tumkur District

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka.

Y. B. CHINNAPPA REDDY,
Under Secretary to Government I/c
Commerce and Industries Department.

31—S. O. 1058

Notification No. CI 73 EMI 76.(A)
Bangalore, dated 3rd April 1978.

In exercise of the powers conferred under rule 31(1) of the Mineral Concession Rules 1960, the Government of Karnataka hereby revoke the orders issued in Government Notification No. CI 46 EMI 76 (A) dated 6th August 1976 sanctioning a Mining Lease for Moulding Sand in respect of the area of 245 acres(99.14 hectares)of land in Kothihala, Analeri and Mudenur Villages Ranibennur and Dharwar Taluks, Dharwar and Chitradurga District in favour of Shri S. M. Heggappanavar as per the revisional orders passed by the Government of India *vide* their final Order No. 578/77 dated 30th May 1977.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka.

Y. B. CHINNAPPA REDDY,
I/c Under Secretary to Government,
Commerce and Industries Department.

33—S. O.1059

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Order No. SWL 709 LLD 77.
Bangalore, dated 30th March 1978.

WHEREAS the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the Management of Tiffany's Bangalore-1, on the points noted below;

AND, WHEREAS the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

NOW, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Bangalore.

Points of Dispute

"I. Are the management of Tiffany,s Bangalore-1, justified in dismissing Sri K. C. Thomas, from services with effect from 29th July 1976 ?

II. If not, to what other reliefs he is entitled to ?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. V. MASTHIHOLIMATH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department,

59—S.O. 1060

Order No. SWL 111 LLD 78.

Bangalore, dated 29th March/10th April 1978.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial dispute exists between the workmen and the management of Veena Estate, Boikere post, on the points noted below ;

And, whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Mysore.

Points of Dispute

"I. Whether the action of the Management of Veena Estate, Boikere post Coorg District in terminating the services of Sri K. K. Bopaiah-staff member with effect from 21st May 1977 is justified ?

II. If not, is the employee entitled to reinstatement with back wages and continuity of service or any other relief?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. V. MASTHIHOLIMATH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

79—S. O. 1061

Order No SWL 164 LLD 78.

Bangalore, dated 28th/31st March 1978.

WHEREAS the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of Karnataka State Road Transport Corporation, Bangalore on the points noted below :—

AND, WHEREAS the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication ;

NOW, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Bangalore.

Points of Dispute.

"I. Are the Management of Karnataka State Road Transport Corporation, Bangalore-27, justified in refusing to correct the date of entry into service of Sri S. T. Galappa as on 12th December 1950 instead of 1st October 1955 as now noted therein and consequently in not placing him at his appropriate place in the seniority list ?

II. If not, what is the relief to which the said workman entitled ?

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. V. MASTHIHOLIMATH,
Under Secretary to Government,
58—S. O. 1062 *Social Welfare and Labour Department.*

Order No. SWL 149 LLD 78

Bangalore, dated 31st March 1978,

WHEREAS the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of Karnataka State Road Transport Corporation, Bangalore-27, on the points noted below :—

AND, WHEREAS the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication ;

NOW, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Bangalore.

Points of Dispute

"1. Are the Management of Karnataka State Road Transport Corporation, Bangalore-27, justified in dismissing Sri V. Muthachari, Helper 'A' from service with effect from 28th January 1974?

2. If not, to what relief is the said workman entitled?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka

V. V. MASTHIHOLIMATH,
Under Secretary to Government,
55—S.O. 1063 *Social Welfare and Labour Department.*

Order No. SWL 162 LLD 78
Bangalore, dated 31st March 1978.

WHEREAS the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of Hotel Janatha, Gundopanth Street, Bangalore-2, on the points noted below.

AND, WHEREAS the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication.

NOW, THEREFORE in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Bangalore.

Points of Dispute

"1. Are the Management of Hotel Janatha, Gundopanth Street Bangalore-2, justified in declaring the lockout with effect from 3rd October 1977?

2. If not, to what relief are the workmen employed therein entitled"?

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V.V. MASTHIHOLIMATH,
Under Secretary to Government,
56—S. O. 1064 *Social Welfare and Labour Dept.*

REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Notification No: RD 695 LRM 76
Bangalore, dated 13th /15th April 1978

In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 109 of the K.L.R. Act 1961 (Karnataka Act 10 of 1962), (the Government of Karnataka hereby exempt from the provisions of section 80, the

lands in S. Nos. 56/1A, 56/1B, 56/2A+2B/1, 56/2B2, 57/1 and 57/2 in all measuring 12 acres 11 guntas af Gadag, Dharwar District proposed to be purchased and used for a non-agricultural purpose viz., development of market yard by the Taluk Agricultural Produce Market Committee, Gadag.

By Order, and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka

P. VASUDEVA RAO,

I/c Asst. Spl. Officer for L.R. and Ex-officio
Under Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

119—S. O. 1065

HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT SECRETARIAT

Notification No. HUD 47 MNE 78.
Bangalore, dated 6th April 1978

In pursuance of Public Works and Electricity Department Notification No. PWD 222 SAG 78 dated 31st March 1978, the services of the following Registrars of Public Works and Electricity Department are placed at the disposal of the Karnataka Urban Water Supply and Drainage Board, Bangalore for being appointed as Registrars.

(1) Shri. A. N. Maggavi.
(2) Shri. D. S. Joshi.
(3) Shri G. S. Hiremath

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

M. KRISHNA RAO,

Under Secretary to Government
Housing and Urban Development Department.

67—S. O. 1066

HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE SECRETARIAT

Notification No. HFW 48 PTD 78.
Bangalore, dated 6th April 1978

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-rule (2) of rule 154 of the Drugs and Cosmetics Rules 1945 the Government of Karnataka hereby approves Dr. K. R. Srikanta Murthy, Principal, Taranath College, Bellary as expert in Ayurvedic (including Siddha) systems of medicine for the purpose of consultation by the Licensing authority as required by the said rule with effect from 17th November 1977.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

B. NAGAIAH,

I/c. Under Secretary to Government,
Health and Family Welfare Department.

68—S. O. 1067

COMMERCE AND INDUSTRIES SECRETARIAT

Notification No. CI 106 EPO 78

Bangalore, dated 12th April 1978.

In exercise of the powers conferred under Rule 15(1) of the Mineral Concession Rules 1960, the Government of Karnataka hereby revoke the orders issued in Government Notification No CI 266 EPO 77 dated 8th November 1977 sanctioning Prospecting Licence for Asbestos in respect of the area of 95 acres (38.44 hectares) of land in Yachur Village, Periyapatna Taluk, Mysore District in favour of Shri J. Pukraj, as the grantee failed to pay the security deposit and execute the deed within the prescribed time limit.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka

Y. B. CHINNAPPA REDDY,
Under Secretary to Government I/c,
Commerce and Industries Department.

118—S. O. 1068

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Order No. SWL 43 LLE 78

Bangalore, dated 31st March 1978.

Whereas the Industrial Disputes specified in the Annexure appended to this Order are pending before the Labour Court at Hassan for adjudication:

And Whereas the Government of Karnataka have abolished the said Labour Court.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section 33-B of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby withdraw the proceedings pending before the Labour Court at Hassan relating to the said Industrial Disputes and transfer the said proceedings to the Labour Court at Mysore.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka

V. V. MASTHOLIMATH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

24 (ii)—3

ANNEXURE.

TABLE—I.

Sl. No.	G.O. No. & Date	Registered Industrial Dispute No.	Name of the Parties	
			I Party	II Party
1	G.O. No. SWL 816 LLD 77, dated 8th November 1977.	R.I.D. 79/77	Bashir Ahmed Khan and 16 others, C/o Secretary, Mysore Dn. Employees Gen. Trade Union, Mysore.	The Management of Shiva Confectionery Works No. 415, Mosque Road, Nazarbad, Mysore-570010.
2	G.O. No. SWL 383 LLD 77, dated 12th December 1977.	R.I.D 80/77	Ganesha, Workmen, Marigudi Street, Devaraja Mohalla, Kumbara Koppal, Mysore-570002.	M/s. Sunanda Aromatic Industries, Mysore, K.R.S. Road, Metagalli P. O. Mysore-570006.
3	G.O. No. SWL 488 LLD 77, dated 12th December 1977.	R.I.D. 81/77	Sri Iqbal & 6 other C/o President, Mysore Dn. Gen. Labour Union, Clock Tower Square, Mysore-570001.	The management of Peer Beedi Factory, Mission Hospital Road, Mandi Mohalla, Mysore.

TABLE—II.

No.	Application No.	Name of the Parties		
1	L.C.H 83/77	Ideal Jawa (I) Pvt. Ltd., Mysore.	-Vs-	Sri Siddaramaiah, T. No. 1131 Door No. 2530, II Cross, Hosabandikeri, K. R. Mohalla, Mysore.
	do 86/77	A. G. Kamath, Workmen KSRTC, Divisional Workshop, Hassan Dn. Hassan.	-Vs-	Management of K.S.R.T.C. Mysore Dn. Mysore.
	do 87/77	do		do
4	do 88/77	Ideal Jawa (I) Pvt. Ltd., Yadavagiri, Mysore-2.		Sri Iqbal Pasha, Token No. 1477, C/o A. R Sabu, D. No. 1925, Mission Hospital Road, Mysore.
5	L.C.H. 1/78	Ideal Jawa (I) Pvt. Ltd., Yadavagiri, Mysore-2.		A-Muthu, Token No. 216, Nirmala Convent Road, III Cross, V. V. Mohalla, Mysore.
6	do 2/78	do		V. Narasinga Rao, T. No. 2348, employed in Security Department of the applicant residing at Mysore.

V. V. MASTHIHOLIMATH,

Under Secretary to Government.
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

COMMERCE AND INDUSTRIES SECRETARIAT

Notification No. CI 340 EPO 77,

Bangalore, dated 17th April 1978.

In pursuance of sub-section (1) of Section 5 of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957., the Government of Karnataka hereby sanctions a Prospecting Licence in favour of Messrs Industrial Minerals Processing works for a period of 2 (Two) years for Abrasive Corrundum & Limestone over an area of 186 acres (75.27 hectares) of land in Sirenahalli village, Hunsur taluk Mysore District, as per sketch furnished by the Director of Mines and Geology, Bangalore.

The grant of the above Prospecting Licence is subject to the terms and conditions appended hereunto.

Terms and Conditions

1. The licence shall be operative for a period of two years with effect from the date of execution. The option to renew the licence for a further period shall be reserved to Government.

2. The licensee shall pay to Government a Prospecting fee of Rs. 2.50 per hectare of the land covered by the licence for each year or portion of a year.

3. The quantity of ore to be removed under this licence both on payment of royalty and free of royalty, shall be in accordance with the limits prescribed under Rule 14 (ii) and (iii) and under schedule III respectively of the Mineral Concession Rules, 1960. "The royalty payable on the Minerals shall be in accordance with the rates prescribed in Second Schedule to the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957 and as amended from time to time.

4. The licensee shall make available to the Government of India beryl or any other 'substance prescribed' under Section 3 of the Atomic Energy Act No. XXIX of 1948 if they occur in the property under licence ;

5. The licensee shall be subject to the rules issued under Section 13 and 18 of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957 (No. 67 of 1957).

6. If any other mineral is traced during the course of prospecting/the licensee shall report about the same to the Director of Mines and Geology and shall not work such minerals without obtaining prior permission of Government ;

7. The licensee shall furnish an accurate final map of areas covered by the licence together with a discription, giving the situation and boundaries of the areas duly attested by the licensee.

The Director of Mines and Geology is authorised to get the accurate final map with survey numbers etc , prepared and demarcation done before executing the licence if the licensee so desired and to recover the cost of the same from the licensee ;

8. The licensee shall, before the deposit is returned to him submit confidentially to the Director of Mines and Geology from time to time or wherever required a full report of the work done by him and disclose all information acquired in the course of the operations carried on under the licence regarding the geology and mineral resources of the area covered by the licence ;

9. The licensee shall allow any officer authorised by the Central or the State Government to inspect at any time the area covered by the Prospecting Licence as also any accounts, plans and records maintained by him ;

10. The licensee shall before the licence is issued to him deposit as security a sum of Rs. 200 in respect of every Square Kilometre or part thereof for which the licence is granted for the due observance of the terms and conditions of the licence.

11. The licensee shall engage a qualified geologist or a mining engineer to conduct the prospecting methodically ;

12. The licensee shall not give any ore-raising contract during the prospecting stage ;

13. The above mentioned area is subject to verification after actual survey ; and

14. Local and other Cesses as prevalent in Mysore District.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

Y. B. CHINNAPPA REDDY,
Under Secretary to Government, I/c Commerce and Industries Department.

121—S.O. 1071

FINANCE SECRETARIAT

Notification No. FD 170 CEX 77.

Bangalore, dated 31st March 1978.

The draft of the following rules further to amend the Karnataka Entertainments Tax Rules, 1959, which the Government of Karnataka proposes to make in exercise of the powers conferred by section 18 of the Karnataka Entertainments Tax Act, 1958 (Karnataka Act No. 30 of 1958) is hereby published as required by sub-section (1) of the said section for the information of all persons likely to be affected thereby and notice is hereby given that the said draft will be taken into consideration on or after 25th April 1978.

Any objection or suggestion which may be received from any person with respect to the said draft by the State Government will be considered by the Government. Objections or suggestions may be addressed to the Financial Commissioner and Secretary to Government, Finance Department, Post Bag No. 5342, Vidhana Soudha, Bangalore-1.

Draft Rules.

1. Title and Commencement.—(1) These rules may be called the Karnataka Entertainments Tax (First Amendment) Rules, 1978.

(2) They shall come into force at once.

2. Insertion of new Part VIA:—After the entries relating to Part VI of the Karnataka Entertainments Tax Rules 1959 (hereinafter referred to as the said rules) the following part and entries shall inserted, namely,

"*Part VI-A,*

Payment of Tax under section 4A"

41-A. Every Proprietor of a cinema theatre liable to pay tax on cinematograph shows under section 4A shall seven days prior to the date on which he commences to exhibit cinematograph submit an application in Form VIA for a permit in Form VIB to the Entertainment Tax Officer of the area concerned. Unless and until a valid permit in Form VIB is granted to him by the Entertainment Tax Officer, no proprietor shall exhibit cinematograph shows in places to which section 4A is applicable:

Provided that in the case of cinema theatres already exhibiting cinematograph shows in such places prior to the date of commencement of section 4A, the proprietor shall make an application within seven days from the commencement of the said section and the Proprietor may continue to exhibit cinematograph shows in such places till a valid permit in Form VIB is granted to him by the Entertainments Tax Officer. The Proprietor shall pay the tax for the period from the commencement of section 4A, till the date of issue or permit in accordance with section 4A within three days from the issue of said permit.

41-B(1). On receipt of the application specified under rule 41A, the Entertainments Tax Officer of the area shall fix the amount and nature of the security to be furnished by the Proprietor and the time within which such security should be furnished. When the security is furnished, the Entertainments Tax Officer shall grant the Proprietor a permit in Form VIB specifying therein the gross collection capacity of the theatre and the amount of tax on cinematograph shows payable by the Proprietor in respect of every show held by him.

(2) The amount of security fixed by the Entertainments Tax officer under sub-rule (1) shall be an amount equivalent to the amount of tax on cinematograph shows payable by the Proprietor for one fortnight.

(3) The security furnished under sub-rule (2) shall be maintained in full, so long as the permit continues to be in force and shall be liable to forfeiture if the returns are not furnished on the due date along with the Treasury receipt for the tax or if the returns are found, after due enquiry, to be inaccurate. The security shall also, in the event of default be liable to adjustment towards the tax on cinematograph shows at any time without previous intimation.

(4) If the security amount is adjusted towards the tax on cinematograph shows or is forfeited, the Entertainments Tax Officer may require the Propritor to furnish fresh security for the original amount fixed under sub-rule (2) and if no such security is furnished within ten days from the date of such demand, the Entertainments Tax Officer may cancel the permit issued to the Proprietor.

41C. (1)-Every Proprietor of a cinima theatre to which the provisions of section 4A are applicable, shall submit to the Entertainments Tax Officer, in respect of every month two returns in Form XA showing the particulars regarding the number of shows conducted on each day to cover the periods from the first to fifteenth of the month and from sixteenth to the end of that month respectively. The return for the period from first to fifteenth of a month shall be submitted on or before twentieth of that month. The return for the period from sixteenth to the end of that month shall be submitted on or before fifth of the following month. The returns shall be accompanied by a Treasury receipt or a crossed cheque in favour of the Entertainments Tax Officer for the full amount of the tax on cinematograph shows payble for the period to which the returns relates.

(2) On receipt of the return and the Treasury receipt or the crossed cheque referred to in sub-rule (1), the Entertainments Tax Officer shall, after making such enquiry as he considers necessary and after satisfying himself that the return is correct and complete and that the correct amount of tax on cinematograph shows has been paid, accept the return.

(3) If no return is submitted by the Proprietor in respect of any fortnight, on or before the due date, or if the return submitted appears to the Entertainments Tax Officer to be incorrect or incomplete, or is not accompanied by the Treasury receipt or the crossed cheque for the full amount of tax payable, the Entertainments Tax Officer shall, after making such enquiries as he considers necessary, pass an order assessing to the best of his judgement the tax payable under section 4A. The Entertainments Tax Officer shall

(2) The amount of security fixed by the Entertainments Tax officer under sub-rule (1) shall be an amount equivalent to the amount of tax on cinematograph shows payable by the Proprietor for one fortnight.

(3) The security furnished under sub-rule (2) shall be maintained in full, so long as the permit continues to be in force and shall be liable to forfeiture if the returns are not furnished on the due date along with the Treasury receipt for the tax or if the returns are found, after due enquiry, to be inaccurate. The security shall also, in the event of default be liable to adjustment towards the tax on cinematograph shows at any time without previous intimation.

(4) If the security amount is adjusted towards the tax on cinematograph shows or is forfeited, the Entertainments Tax Officer may require the Propritor to furnish fresh security for the original amount fixed under sub-rule (2) and if no such security is furnished within ten days from the date of such demand, the Entertainments Tax Officer may cancel the permit issued to the Proprietor.

41C. (1)-Every Proprietor of a cinima theatre to which the provisions of section 4A are applicable, shall submit to the Entertainments Tax Officer, in respect of every month two returns in Form XA showing the particulars regarding the number of shows conducted on each day to cover the periods from the first to fifteenth of the month and from sixteenth to the end of that month respectively. The return for the period from first to fifteenth of a month shall be submitted on or before twentieth of that month. The return for the period from sixteenth to the end of that month shall be submitted on or before fifth of the following month. The return shall be accompanied by a Treasury receipt or a crossed cheque in favour of the Entertainments Tax Officer for the full amount of the tax on cinematograph shows payble for the period to which the returns relates.

(2) On receipt of the return and the Treasury receipt or the crossed cheque referred to in sub-rule (1), the Entertainments Tax Officer shall, after making such enquiry as he considers necessary and after satisfying himself that the return is correct and complete and that the correct amount of tax on cinematograph shows has been paid, accept the return.

(3) If no return is submitted by the Proprietor in respect of any fortnight, on or before the due date, or if the return submitted appears to the Entertainments Tax Officer to be incorrect or incomplete, or is not accompanied by the Treasury receipt or the crossed cheque for the full amount of tax payable, the Entertainments Tax Officer shall, after making such enquiries as he considers necessary, pass an order assessing to the best of his judgement the tax payable under section 4A. The Entertainments Tax Officer shall

thereupon serve a notice on the Proprietor in Form XI calling upon him to pay the said tax within a week from the date of service of the said notice. If the said tax is not paid within the time specified, it shall be recovered as provided in section 9 of the Act:

Provided that whenever the Entertainments Tax Officer passes an order assessing the tax on cinematograph shows payable at a figure higher than the amount shown in the return under sub-rule (1) as due he shall briefly record his reasons therefor in writing and shall furnish the Proprietor with a copy of such Order".

3. Amendment of rule 54. In rule 54 of the said rules,—

(1) after the figure "VI", the word, figure and letter "or VI B" shall be inserted; and

(2) after the figure "41", the figures and letters "41A, 41B, 41C" shall be inserted.

4. Insertion of new Forms VI-A and VI-B.—After Form VI to the said rules, the following Forms shall be inserted, namely—

"FORM VI-A

(See rule 41-A)

Application for grant of permit for the payment of tax on cinematograph show.

To

The Entertainment Tax Officer.

Sir,

I beg to apply for grant of a permit to pay the tax on cinematograph shows under section 4A of the Karnataka Entertainment Tax Act, 1958.

The particulars of the place and the details of the seating capacity etc., in the cinema theatre in which the shows will be held are furnished below :

1. Name of the applicant (Proprietor)

2. Residential address :

3. Place (Village) where the theatre is located :

4. Population as per the latest census :

5.	Nature of the theatre :	Permanent/Semi—permanent Touring (Tent).
6.	Accommodation with Rates of admission for each class provided in the theatre.	*Class Admission rate No. of seats*
7.	No. of shows proposed to be exhibited for each day.	

I agree to furnish the security demanded for the payment of the tax on cinemato graph show due from me and to furnish the returns as prescribed in the rules.

I agree to pay the tax on cinematograph shows due in respect of each show before the return is due to be sent and shall pay any excess found due within seven days after it is demanded.

I agree to and shall abide by all the conditions of the permit.

If I fail to submit true and correct returns it is open to the Entertainment Tax Officer to forfeit the security furnished and also to recover the tax on cinematograph show due from me.

Yours faithfully,

Station :

Date :

FORM VI-B

(See rules 41A, 41B (1))

Permit for the payment of tax on cinematograph shows under section 4A.

Read :

Application from..... (Proprietor) dated

.....Proprietor is hereby permitted to pay the tax on cinematograph shows under section 4A of the Karnataka Entertainments Tax Act 1958 in respect of the cinema shows exhibited by him. This permission is subject to the following conditions :

1. This permission may be revoked at any time without reason being assigned and no compensation shall be payable by Government on account of any loss caused to the Proprietor by reason of such revocation.

2. It is subject to the observance of the provisions of the Karnataka Entertainments Tax Act, 1958, the rules framed there-

under and the conditions of this permit and such other conditions as may from time to time be specified.

3. This permit shall be exhibited at a conspicuous place. The Proprietor shall also display or cause to be displayed at conspicuous places, viz., at the main entrance to the premises and at each of the gates for admission into the auditorium, particulars regarding the classes of accommodation, the total number of seats in each such class provided by him and the rates of admission to such classes.

4. The Proprietor shall not vary or modify either the rates of admission or the number of seats in any class or any of the classes themselves, except with previous permission of the undersigned.

5. The Proprietor shall not admit or cause to be admitted to any class in the auditorum, more number of persons than the number of seats provided for.

6. The gross collection capacity and the amount of tax on cinematograph shows per show payable by the holder of this permit are shown below:

Class	Rate of admission	No. of seats	Total value of all seats in the class
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
	Total (Gross collection capacity)		
	Amount of tax on cinematograph shows payable per show.		

7. The Proprietor shall submit a return in Form XA along with the Treasury receipt for the full amount of tax on cinematograph shows payable by him as provided in rule 41C.

8. This permit shall be valid from.....

Place : Entertainments Tax Officer."

Date :

5. Insertion of new Form X-A.—After Form X to the said rules, the following Form shall be inserted, namely—

"FORM X-A

[*See* rule 41C(1)]

Form of return to be submitted by Proprietors paying tax on cinematograph shows under Section 4A of the Karnataka Entertainments Tax Act, 1958.

To

The Entertainments Tax Officer,

.....

Sir,

I, ...Proprietor of.....(Cinema Theatre) submit below a statement showing the number of cinema shows exhibited by me during the fortnight ended..... 19 .....

The gross collection capacity of my theatre is..... and the amount of tax on cinematograph shows payable by me show is Rs.....

STATEMENT.

Date	No. of cinema shows exhibited.	Tax on cinematograph shows payable under Section 4A on the number of shows specified in Col. 2.	Remarks
1	2	3	4

Total :—

Declaration.

I declare that to the best of my knowledge and belief the information furnished in the above statement is true and complete and that it relates to the period from.....to.....

Place : Signature of Proprietor"
Date :

6. Amendment of Form XI.—In Form XI to the said rules,

(1) for the word and figures and brackets "Rules 41 (3)", he words figures, letter and brackets "Rules 41 (3) and 41C ( )" hall be substituted ; and

(2) for the word and figure "Section 4" the words, and figures and letter "Section 4 or section 4A" shall be substituted.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka

L. S. JAGIRDAR,
Under Secretary to Government,
Finance Department.

39—S. O

HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT SECRETARIAT

Notification No. HUD 4 CUZ (Part) 77.

Bangalore, dated 30th March 1978.

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-sections (3) and (4) of Section 11 of the Urban Land (Ceiling and Regulation) Act, 1976 (Central Act 33 of 1976) the Government of Karnataka hereby divides the Mangalore Urban Agglomeration into different zones as specified in column 2 of the schedule below, consisting of the areas specified in column 3 thereof and fixes the rates per square metre of vacant land in each zone as specified in the corresponding column 4 thereof ;

SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Zones	Name of the area	Rate per sq. metre.
1	2	3	4
	Zone I	Navayath Ward No. 9 Bunder Ward No. 10	Rs. 5

1	2	3	4
3		Central Ward No. 11	"
4		Dongerkeri Ward No. 12	"
5		Market Ward No. 13	"
6		Milagres Ward No. 18	"
7		Contonment Ward No. 19	"
8		Fort Ward No 20	"
1	Zone II	Kodialbail Ward No. 4	Rs. 4
2		Kambala Ward No 5	"
3		Kudroli Ward No. 8	"
4		Court Ward No. 14	"
5		Balmatta Ward No. 16	"
6		Falnir Ward No. 17	"
7		Hoige Bazar Ward No. 21	"
8		Mangaladevi Ward No. 23	"
9		Attavara Ward No. 24	"
10		Lands lying within 100 metres from West Coast National High way (N H. 17 and Mangalore - Bangalore- (N.H. 48) roads of Ward Nos. 1 2 3, 15 and 25, of Mangalore City and Boloor, Derebail Kadri, Maroli, Kankanady, Jappinamogaru, Peramannur village.	"
11		Lands lying within 50 metres of Mangalore-Agumbe Road in Ward No. 3 of Mangalore City.	"
1	Zone III	Derebail Ward No. 1	Rs. 3
2		Bejai Ward No 2	"
3		Kadri Ward No. 3	"
4		Mannagudda Ward No. 6	"
5		Boloor Ward No. 7	"
6		Bunder Ward No 15	"
7		Bolar Ward No. 22	"
8		Jeppu Ward No 25	"
9		All the lands in the Revenue villages of Maroli Kankanady, Jeppinamogaru, Ullal, Kadri Derebail, Permannur and Boloor of Mangalore Taluk.	"
10		Lands lying within 100 metres from West Coast National Highway (N.H. 17) and Mangalore - Bangalore (N.H. 48) roads in Padukodi, Bangrakulur, Someswar and Alape villages.	"
11		Lands lying within 50 metres of Mangalore Agumbe Road in Padavu village.	"

1	Zone IV	All the lands in the Revenue villages of Someshwara, Padavu, Bangrakulur, Padukodi, Pangimogaru, Alape, Neermarga and Marakada villages.	Rs. 2
		Lands lying within 100 metres from Mangalore-Bangaloro (N. H. 48), Roads in Kannur village	
3		Lands lying within 50 metres of Mangalore-Agumbe Road, in Kudupu and Pachanady villages.	
1	Zone V	All the lands in the revenue villages of Thannirbhavi, Kavcor, Kudupu, Kannur, Bajal, and Pachanadi of Mangalore Taluk.	Rs. 1

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

MUDDUMALLAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Housing and Urban Development Department.

4—S. O. 1073

COMMERCE AND INDUSTRIES SECRETARIAT

Notification No. CI 26 EPO 78,
Bangalore, dated 17th April 1978.

In pursuance of sub-section (1) of Section 5 of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957, the Government of Karnataka hereby sanctions a Prospecting Licence in favour of Shri Khamtheiah for a period of 2 (two) years for Ruby Corrundum over an area of 610 acres (246.86 hectares) of land in Muddonahalli village, Madhugiri Taluk, Tumkur District, as per sketch furnished by the Director of Mines and Geology, Bangalore.

The grant of the above said Prospecting Licence is subject to the terms and conditions appended herounto.

Terms and Conditions

1. The licence shall be operative for a period of two years with effect from the date of execution. The option to renew the licence for a further period shall be reserved to Government.

2. The licensee shall pay to Government a Prospecting fee of Rs. 2.50 per hectare of the land covered by the licence for each year or portion of a year.

3. The quantity of ore to be removed under this licence both on payment of royalty and free of royalty, shall be in accordance with the limits prescribed under Rule 14 (ii) and (iii) and under Schedule III respectively of the Mineral Concession Rules, 1960. "The royalty payable on the Minerals shall be in accordance with the rates prescribed in Second Schedule to the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957 and as amended from time to time.

4. The licensee shall make available to the Government of India beryl or any other 'substance prescribed' under Section 3 of the Atomic Energy Act No. XXIX of 1948 if they occur in the property under licence ;

5. The licencee shall be subject to the rules issued under Sections 13 and 18 of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957 (No. 67 of 1957).

6. If any other mineral is traced during the course of prospecting, the licensee shall report about the same to the Director of Mines and Geology and shall not work such minerals without obtaining prior permission of Government ;

7. The licensee shall furnish an accurate final map of areas covered by the licence together with a description, giving the situation and boundaries of the areas duly attested by the licensee. The Director of Mines and Geology is authorised to get the accurate final map with survey numbers etc., prepared and demarcation done before executing the licence if the licensee so desired and to recover the cost of the same from the licensee ;

8. The licensee shall, before the deposit is returned to him submit confidentially to the Director of Mines and Geology from time to time or whenever required a full report of the work done by him and disclose all information acquired in the course of the operations carried on under the licence regarding the geology and mineral resources of the area covered by the licence ;

9. The licensee shall allow any officer authorised by the Central or the State Government to inspect at any time the area covered by the Prospecting licence as also any accounts, plans and records maintained by him ;

10. The licensee shall before the licence is issued to him deposit as security a sum of Rs. 200 in respect of every square kilometre or part thereof for which the licence is granted for the due observance of the terms and conditions of the licence.

11. The licensee shall engage a qualified Geologist or a Mining engineer to conduct the prospecting methodically ;

12. The licensee shall not give any ore-raising contract during the prospecting stage;

13. The above mentioned area is subject to verification after actual survey; and

14. Local and other cesses as prevalent in Tumkur District.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

Y. B. CHINNAPPA REDDY,

I/c. Under Secretary to Government,
Commerce and Industries Department.

122—S.O. 1074

Printed by the Director of Printing, Sty. & Publications, at the Govt. Press, B'lore.

PART IV

Section—2-C(iii)

STATUTORY ORDERS ISSUED BY THE STATE GOVERNMENT UNDER THE CENTRAL ACTS AND STATE ACTS.

HOME SECRETARIAT

Notification No. HD 392 SST 76

Bangalore, dated 15th April 1978

Whereas, the Government of Karnataka is satisfied that in respect of the place specified in column 2 of the schedule below which is used for purposes of public character, the information with respect thereto or the destruction or obstruction thereof or interference therewith, would be useful to an enemy;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-clause (d) of Clause (8) of Section 2 of the Indian Official Secrets Act, 1923 (Central Act 9 of 1923) read with Notification No. 21/20/62 poll (i) dated the 4th May 1963 of the Government of India, Ministry of Home Affairs the Government of Karnataka hereby declares the following place to be a prohibited place for the purposes of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Name of the place	Boundary
1	2	3
1.	Main Receiving Station, Shimoga.	Area bounded by B H. Road on the North, Shimoga-Bhadra Road on west, Chilakadarihalli on South and M.R.S. Colony on East.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

M. G. KRISHNA SINGH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Home Department.

155—S. O. 1104

RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND CO-OPERATION SECRETARIAT

Order No. RDC 17 CLM 78.

Bangalore, dated 13th April 1978.

In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 121 of the Karnataka Co-operative Societies Act, 1959 (Karnataka Act 11 of 1959) the Government of Karnataka hereby directs that section 30 of the said Act shall apply to Ryots Agricultural Produce Co-operative Marketing Society Ltd, Mandya with the following modifications namely :—

In said Section 30,

(1) in sub-section (1),—

(i) the words "in accordance with the provisions of sub-section (2)" shall be deleted ;

(ii) for the words "four years" the words "Six years" shall be substituted ;

(2) sub-section (2) shall be deleted.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

A. PARAMESWARAPPA.

Under Secretary to Government,
Rural Development & Co-operation Department,

104—S.O. 1105

HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT SECRETARIAT

Notification No. HUD 119 KHB 76.

Bangalore, dated 7/12th April 1978.

Whereas the draft of the rules further to amend the Karnataka Housing Board Rules, 1964 was published as required by sub-section (1) of section 74 of the Karnataka Housing Board Act, 1962 (Karnataka Act No. 10 of 1963) in Notification No. HUD 119 KHB 76 dated the 28th January/1st February 1978 in the *Karnataka Gazette*, Part IV, Section 2-C (i) dated the 9th February 1978 inviting objections and suggestions from all persons likely to be affected thereby on or before the 27th February 1978 ;

And Whereas the said Gazette was made available to the public on the 9th February 1978 ;

And whereas no objections or suggestions have been received on the said draft by the State Government ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 74 of the Karnataka Housing Board Act, 1962 (Karnataka Act No. 10 of 1963) the Government of Karnataka hereby makes the following rules namely :

1. **Title and Commencement**.—(1) These rules may be called the Karnataka Housing Board (Amendment) Rules, 1978.

(2) They shall come into force at once,

2. **Insertion of new rule 4A** :—After rule 4 of the Karnataka Housing Board Rules, 1964, the following rule shall be inserted, namely :—

"4-A. **Appointment of officers and servants of the Board**.—It shall not be necessary to consult the Karnataka Public Service Commission before making appointment to the following posts under the Board, namely :—

1	Shroffs ;	7	Bill Collectors ;
2	Peons ;	8	Watchman ;
3	Drivers ;	9	Gardners ;
4	Dalayats ;	10	Sweepers, and
5	Typists ;	11	Appointment of Government Servants on deputation.
6	Stenographers ;		

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

U. ANANTHAPADMANABHA RAO,
Under Secretary to Government.
Housing and Urban Development Department.

98 S.O.—1106

COMMERCE AND INDUSTRIES SECRETARIAT

Notification No. CI 35 ESD 78

Bangalore, dated 10th April 1978.

Under Bye-law No. 13. 1(iii) of the Bye-laws of the Karnataka State Silk Co-operative Marketing Federation Limited, Bangalore, Government hereby nominate Sri. K. Veeraiah, Joint Director of Sericulture, Bangalore, as a Director on the Board of Directors and also appoint him as the Managing Director of the said Federation with immediate effect and until further orders.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. S. KADAM,
Under Secretary to Government;
Commerce and Industries Department.

94—S.O. 1107

REVENUE SECRETARIAT

CORRIGENDUM No. RD 18 AQW 78.
Bangalore, dated 11th April 1978.

In para 2 of Government Order No. RD 148 AQW 77 dated 2nd November 1977 and also in column 3 against item No. 5 of Notification No. RD 158, AQW 77 dated 16th February 1978, the name "Bagewadi" shall be read as "Basavana-Bagewadi".

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

M. SARMADUDDIN,
Under Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

97—S. O. 1108

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Order No. SWL 717 LLD 77,
Bangalore, dated 10th April 1978.

WHEREAS the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of Mysore Sugar Company, Limited., Mandya, on the Points noted below;

AND, whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication.

NOW, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947) the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Mysore.

Points of Dispute

"I. Is the Management of Mysore Sugar Company Ltd., Mandya Justified in terminating the services of Sri Shivalingaiah (under transfer of Destillery) with effect from 23rd March 1976 ?

II. If not, what relief is the said workman entitled to ?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. V. MASTHIHOLIMATH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare & Labour Department.

93—S. O, 1109

Order No. SWL 170 LLD 78.
Bangalore, dated 28th March/10th April 1978.

WHEREAS the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workmen and the management, Janatha Hotel, No. 497, Avenue Road, Bangalore,

On the points noted below : —

AND, Whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication ;

NOW, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Bangalore.

Points of Dispute

I. "Are the management of Janatha Hotel, No. 497, Avenue Road, Bangalore-2, justified in declaring the lock-out of the establishment with effect from 10th September 1977?

II. If not, to what relief are the workmen entitled?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. V. MASTHIHOLIMATH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

90—S. O.1110

Notification No. SWL 14 LLE 78,
Bangalore, dated 7th April 1978.

In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 65 of the Factories Act, 1948 (Central Act No. 63 of 1948), the Government of Karnataka hereby exempts the Indian Telephone Industries Ltd., Dooravani Nagar, Bangalore-560016, from the provisions of section 51, 52, 54 and 56 subject to the conditions specified under Sub Section (3) of Section 65 of the said Act for a period of three months from 8th April 1978.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. V. MASTHIHOLIMATH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

72—S. O.1111

Notification No. SWL 56 LLE 78
Bangalore, dated 14th April 1978

WHEREAS in Notification No. SWL 292 LLE 77, dated 15th October 1977 Passenger Transport Service has been declared as Public Utility Service in the State of Karnataka for a period of six months from 18th October 1977;

AND, WHEREAS in the opinion of the Government of Karnataka public interest requires that the period should be extended further.

NOW, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by the proviso to sub-clause (vi) of clause (n) of Section 2 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby extends the period and declares the Passenger Transport Service as Public Utility Service in the State of Karnataka for a further period of six months from 18th April 1978.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka.

V. V. MASTHIHOLIMATH,
Under Secretary to Government.
S. W. and Labour Department.

106—S.O.1112

Order No. SWL 663 LLD 77

Bangalore dated 15th April 1978,

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Workmen and the Management of Karnataka Agro-Industries Corporation Ltd., Bangalore on the foints noted below:—

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal at Bangalore.

POINTS OF DISPUTE

I. "Is the mangement of Karnataka Agro-Industries Corporation Limited, Hebbal, Bangalore-24, justified in withdrawing the Medical Allowance of Rs. 20 paid to its employees as proposed in their notice of change No. ADM/ESI/D-027 73-74, dated 9th July 1974 ?"

II If not what relief are the workers entitled to ?

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V.V. MASTHIHOLIMATH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Dept.

151 S.O.1113

Order No. SWL 172 LLD 78

Bangalore dated 10th April 1978.

WHEREAS the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of Hotel Mayura, Bangalore Road, Bellary on the points noted below ;

AND, whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication.

Now, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by Clause (c) of sub section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Bangalore.

POINTS OF DISPUTE

"1. (a) Is the Management of Hotel Mayura, Bellary, justified in declaring Lockout of the establishment with effect from 11th February 78 ?

(b) If not, to what relief the workmen are entitled?"

"2. (a) Is the Management justified in terminating the services of Sri. Nagaraj, with effect from 11th February 78?

(b) If not, to what relief the workman is entitled?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. V. MASTHIHOLIMATH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

91—S. O. 1114.

ORDER No. SWL 172 LLD 78 (1)
Bangalore, dated 10th April 1978.

WHEREAS the Government of Karnataka in exercise of the powers conferred by Clause (c) of Sub Section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947) have referred the dispute between the Workmen and the Management of Hotel Mayura, Bangalore Road, Bellary, to the Labour Court at Bangalore, for adjudication;

AND, WHEREAS a lockout in connection with the aforesaid dispute was in existence on the date of the said reference and is being continued.

NOW, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by Sub Section (3) of Section 10 of the Industrial Dispute Act 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947) the Government of Karnataka hereby prohibit the continuance of the lockout by the Mangement of Hotel Mayura, Bangalore Road, Bellary.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. V. MASTHIHOLIMATH,
Under Secretary to Government,
S. W. & L. Deapertment.

92—S. O. 1115

Order No. SWL 153 LLD 78.
Bangalore, dated 1st/12th April 1978.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workman and the management of Sidramappa Khuba, Food Grain Merchant and Commission Agent, Nehrugunj, Gulbarga.
On the peints noted below :—

And, Whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Hubli.

Points of Dispute

I. "Are the management of Sidramappa Khuba, food grain Merchant and Commission Agent, Nehru Gunj, Gulbarga, justified in terminating the services of Sri. Sidramyya Swami with effect from 19th October 1977 ?

II. If not, is the said workman entitled for reinstatement and continuity of service or to any other relief."

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. V. MASTHIHOLIMATH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare & Labour Department.

99—S. O 1116

Order No. SWL 154 LLD 78.
Bangalore, dated 4/12th April 1978.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of Pallakere-Estate, Siddapur, on the points noted below;

And, whereas, the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Mysore.

Points of Dispute

1 "Whether the management of Pallakere 'A' Estate, Siddapur, Coorg is justified in terminating the services of the following workmen with effect from 13th January 1977 ?

1. Basava
2. Chenni
3. Sundara
4. Kamala
5. Venkappa
6. Seethamma
7. Chomi
8. Ammanni
9. Simon
10. Gabril
11. Nathalia
12. Theraja
13. Jakine
14. Sannappa

2. If not, are the workmen entitled to reinstatement with continuity of service or to any other relief ?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V V. MASTHIHOLIMATH,
Under Secretary to Government
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

100—S. O.1117

Order No. SWL 134 LLD 78
Bangalore, dated 29th March/10th April 1978.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial dispute exists between the workman and the management of The Mysore Match Industries, Lalitha Mahal Road, Mysore-570010 on the points noted below;

And, Whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Mysore.

POINTS OF DISPUTE

I. "Are the management of the Mysore Match Industries; Lalithamahal Road, Mysore-570010, justified in terminating the services of Sri A. P. Sangappa, Office Assistant, with effect from 1st March 1977 ?

II. If not, is the said worker entitled for reinstatement with back wages and continuity of service or to any other relief ?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka

V. V. MASTHIHOLIMATH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Dept

86—S. O. 1118

Order No. SWL 130 LLD 78.
Bangalore, dated the 29th March/10th April 1978.

WHEREAS the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workman and the management of Government Silk Weaving Factory, Mysore-8 on thie points noted below ;

AND, WHEREAS the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication ;

NOW, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Mysore.

Points of Dispute

I. "Are the management of Government Silk Weaving Factory. Mysore justified in placing Sri Papaiah, Token No. 200 of Weaving section, under suspension from 3rd May 1975 to 4th December 1976 and in terminating his services from 4th December 1976.

II. If not, whether the said workman is entitled to reinstatement with wages and continuity of service or to any other relief ?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. V. MASTHIHOLIMATH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

85—S.O. 1119

Order No. SWL 152 LLD 78.
Bangalore, dated 15th April 1978.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of Srl Sidramappa Khuba, Food Grain Merchant and Commission Agent Nehru Gunj, Gulbarga, on the points noted below ;

AND WHEREAS the Government of Karnataka considre it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication.

NOW, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Hubli.

Points of Dispute

"1. Are the management of Sidramappa Khuba, Foodgrain Merchant and Commission Agent, Nehru Gunj, Gulbarga, justified in terminating the services of Sri Sangayya s/o Shivbasayya Swami with effect from 19th October 1977 ?

"2. If not, is the said workman entitled for reinstatement with continuity of service and or to any other relief ?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. V. MASTHIHOLIMATH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

152—S O.1120

GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Labour dispute : Industrial dispute between the workmen and the management of Hotel Brindavan, Kalasipalyam, Bus Stand, Bangalore.

Award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Bangalore published.

Read the following papers.

(1) Letter No. 2233/77-78, dated 15th March 1978 from Shri H. Shanmukhappa, Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Bangalore.

BEFORE THE PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOURCOURT' BANGALORE.

Dated, this 15th day of March 1978.

Present :

Shri H. Shanmukhappa, B.A., B L.,
Presiding Officer.

Reference No. 24 of 1975.

First Party.—

Workmen represented by the Secretary, District Hotel and Canteen Workers Union, A. S. Char Road, Bangalore-2A.

Vs.

Second Party.—

The Management of Hotel Brindavan, Kalasipalyam, Bus Stand, Bangalore.

Reference.—

Government Order No. SWL 1054 LLD 74, dated 22nd February 1975.

AWARD

15-3-1978 :— I Party :- } Present
II Party :- }

Both parties have filed a Joint Memo of Settlement and have prayed for an Award in terms of the Joint Memo. I have perused the terms of Joint Memo and found them to be fair and reasonable. Accordingly, an Award is passed in terms of the Joint Memo, which shall form part of the Award. No- costs.

Sd/-
H. SHANMUKHAPPA,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Bangalore.

"True Copy"
H SHANMUKHAPPA,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Bangalore.

BEFORE THE PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, BANGALORE

Ref No : 24/75

Party.—

Workmen, Bangalore District Hotel and Canteen Workers Union, Arcot Srinivas Achar Street, Bangalore-2.

Vs.

II Party.—

Management of Hotel Brindavan, Kalasipalyam, Bangalore-2

Joint Memo

The parties above named submit that they have arrived at an amicable settlement of all the matters in dispute between them.

The Second Party management has agreed to pay to the Workmen an amount of Rs. 300-00 (Rupees Three hundred only) in full and final settlement of all the claims including reinstatement, Bonus and Service Compensation backwages, retrenchment, compensation etc.

The First Party Workmen has agreed to receive the said amount of Rs. 300-00 (Rupees Three hundred only) in full and Final settlement of all their claims under this reference including claim for reinstatement, bonus and service compensation.

The terms are in full and final settlement of all the claims of the I party workmen against the II party Management.

Wherefore, both the parties pray that this Honourable Court pleased to pass an Award in terms of this joint Memo.

.....
I Party
Secretary
Bangalore
Dated : 15-3-1978

.....
II Party.

ORDER No. SWL 92 LLA 78,

Bangalore, dated 17th April 1978.

Whereas by State Government Order No. SWL 1054 LLD 74, dated 22nd February 1975 the industrial dispute between the workmen and the Management of Hotel Brindavan Kalasipalyam Bus Stand, Bangalore, was referred to the Labour Court, Bangalore for adjudiciation.

And, whereas the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Bangalore has submitted to the State Government its award in respect of the said Industrial dispute.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka are pleased to direct the publication of the said award as above in the *Karnataka Gazette*.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka.

V. V MASTHIHOLIMATH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department

147 S O.—1121

Order No. SWL 135 LLD 77
Bangalore, dated 29th March/10th April 1978.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opnion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workman and the management of The Mysore Match Industries, P. B. No. 63, Lalitha Mahal Road, Mysore on the points noted below ;

And whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Mysore.

POINTS OF DIPUTE

I "Are the management of the Mysore Match Industries, Lalitha-mahal Road, Mysore-570010, justified in terminating the services of Sri M. Mohammed, with effect from 12th April 1976?

II If not, is the said worker entitled for reinstatement with full back wages and continuity of service or to any other relief?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. V. MASTHIHOLIMYTH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

87—S.O. 1124

Order No. SWL 138 LLD 78
Bangalore, dated 29th March/10th April 1978.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workman and the management of M/s. Desai Muniswamy Setty, Rice and Flour Mill, Bidikotte, Bangarpet Taluk, Kolar District on the points noted below ;

And, whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication.

New, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Bangalore.

POINTS OF DISPUTE

I "Is the Management of M/s. Desai Muniswamy Setty Rice & Flour Mill, Budikote, Bangarpet Taluk, Kolar District justified in terminating the services of Sri Nanne Sab, Driver with effect from 19th November 1976?

II If not, to what relief is the said workman entitled?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. V. MASTHIHOLIMATH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Deptt.

88—S.O. 1125

Order No. SWL 168 LLD 78

Bangalore, dated 28th March/10th April 1978.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of Premier Auto Electric Limited, No. 21, K. H. Road, Bangalore-27. on the points noted below,

And, whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Clause (c) of Sub-Section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947) the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Bangalore.

POINTS OF DISPUTE

I "Whether the workmen of Premier Auto Electric Ltd. 21, K.H. Road, Bangalore-27 are justified in demanding 20% of bonus for the year 1975-76.

II If not, to what other relief the workmen are entitled?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka

V. V MASTHIHOLIMATH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Deptt.

89—S.O. 1126

COMMERCE AND INDUSTRIES SECRETARIAT

Notification No. CI 76 EPI 78.

Bangalore, dated 12th April 1978.

In pursuance of sub-section (1) of Section 5 of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957, the Government of Karnataka hereby sanctions a Prospecting Licence in favour of Shri J. V. Pitre for a period of 2 (Two) years for Sand over an area of 15 acres (6.07 hectares) of land in Kapoli village, Khanapur taluk Belgaum District, as per sketch furnished by the Director of Mines and Geology, Bangalore.

The grant of the above Prospecting Licence is subject to the terms and conditions appended hereunto.

Terms and Conditions

1. The licence shall be operative for a period of Two years with effect from the date of execution. The option to renew the licence for a further period shall be reserved to Government.

2. The licensee shall pay to Government a Prospecting fee of Rs. 2-50 per hectare of the land covered by the licence for each year or portion of a year.

3 The quantity of ore to be removed under this licence both on payment of royalty and free of royalty, shall be in accordance with the limits prescribed under Rule 14 (ii) and (iii) and under Schedule III respectively of the Mineral Concession Rules, 1960. The royalty payable on the minerals shall be in accordance with the rates prescribed in Second Schedule to the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957 and as amended from time to time.

4. The licensee shall make available to the Government of India beryl or any other 'substance prescribed' under Section 3 of the Atomic Energy Act No. XXIX of 1948 if they occur in the property under licence ;

5. The licensee shall be subject to the rules issued under Sections 13 and 18 of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957 (No. 67 of 1957).

6. If any other mineral is traced during the course of prospecting the licensee shall report about the same to the Director of Mines and Geology and shall not work such minerals without obtaining prior permission of Government ;

7. The licensee shall furnish an accurate final map of areas covered by the licence together with a description, giving the situation and boundaries of the areas duly attested by the licensee. The Director of Mines and Geology is authorised to get the accurate final map with survey numbers etc., prepared and demarcation done before executing the licence if the licensee so desired and to recover the cost of the same from the licensee ;

8. The licensee shall, before the deposit is returned to him submit confidentially to the Director of Mines and Geology from time to time or wherever required a full report of the work done by him and disclose all information acquired in the course of the operations carried on under the licence regarding the geology and mineral resources of the area covered by the licence.

9. The licensee shall allow any officer authorised by the Central or the State Government to inspect at any time the area covered by the Prospecting licence as also any accounts, plans and records maintained by him ;

10. The licensee shall before the licence is issued to him deposit as security a sum of Rs. 200 in respect of every square kilometre or part thereof for which the licence is granted for the due observance of the terms and conditions of the licence.

11. The licensee shall engage a qualified geologist or a mining engineer to conduct the prospecting methodically ;

12. The licensee shall not give any ore-raising contract during the prospecting stage ;

13. The above mentioned area is subject to verification after actual survey ; and

14. Local and other Cesses as prevalent in Belgaum District

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka
Y. B. CHINNAPPA REDDY,
Under Secretary to Government I/c.
Commerce and Industries Department.

115—S.O. 1127

AGRICULTURE AND ANIMAL HUSBANDRY SECRETARIAT

Notification No. AAH 193 VAD 74.

Bangalore, dated 19th April 1978.

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2) of section 12, of the Karnataka Veterinary Practioners Act, 1963 (Karnataka Act 18 of 1964), and in partial modification of Government Notification No. AAH 81 VAD 75. dated 1st/3rd April 1975, the Government of Karnataka hereby appoints 31st May 1978 as the date on or before which all the Veterinary Practitioners shall make application for registration for the purpose of preparation of the first register of Veterinary Practitioners in the State. Application may be made to Dr. M. Narayanaswamy, Director Red Dane Project, Hessarghatta, Bangalore, who has been appointed as Registration Officer in Notification No. AAH 81 VAD 75, dated 1st/3rd April 1975.

Requests received after the above said date will be liable for rejection.

The Veterinary Practitioners who have already made applications in reply to the abovesaid Notification dated 1st/3rd April 1975 need not make fresh applications.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,
V. N. BHAGWAT,
Under Secretary to Government,
Agri. & Animal Husb. Department.

175—S.O. 1128

PERSONNEL AND ADMINISTRATIVE REFORMS SECRETARIAT

Corrigendum No. DPAR 1 SSO 77
Bangalore, dated 12th April 1978

In Government Notifications numbers GAD 22 SSR 75 (II) dated 24th June, 1975 (Published in *Karnataka Gazette* dated 10th July, 1975) GAD 13 SSR 75, dated 10th February, 1976 (Published in *Karnataka Gazette* dated 4th March 1976), DPAR 62 SSR 76 (I), dated 29th April, 1977 (Published in *Karnataka Gazette* dated 12th May 1977) and DPAR 1 SSO 77 (III) dated 23th January, 1978 (published in *Karnataka Gazette*, dated 2nd February, 1978) the number of the notifications "S.O. 573" may be corrected as "S. O. 261".

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

B. B BAJENTRI,
Under Secretary to Government,
Dept. of Personnel & Admve., Reforms.
(Service Rules).

153 S.O.1137

HOME SECRETARIAT

Notification No. HD 96 TMA 78
Bangalore, dated 14th April 1978.

In exercise of the powers conferred by rule 316 of the Karnataka Motor Vehicles Rules 1963, the Government Karnataka hereby exempts the vehicles belonging to M/s Bharat Earth Movers Limited, Bangalore described in the schadule below from the provisions of Rule 200 and 202 of the said Rules for being transported from the Factory at Kolar Gold Fields to Dorimnlai Iron Ore Project, in Bellary District via Bangarpet, Kolar, Bangalore, Tumkur, Chitradurga on NH 4 and Hospet on NH 13, subject to the following conditions :

1 Permission is valid for the portion of the route from the factory at K. G. F., Bangarpet, Kolar, Bangalore, Tumkur, Chitradurga on National Highway No. 4 and Hospet on National Highway No. 13.

2 The speed of the vehicles should not exceed 16 Km per hour on roads and 8 kms per hour on bridges and culverts.

3 The Bharat 35 Ton dumpers will have to be run by the BEML at their own risk and should be driven between 6 am to 6 pm.

4 No damages should be caused to the pedestrains, other vehicles moving on roads, bridges and culverts.

5 Government shall not be responsible for any damages that may be sustained by the vehicles or its contents or occupants consequent upon the movement of the vehicles.

6 The strengthening of week culverts and bridges if any, required for passing the machine, shall be done at the cost of the party and damages caused to roads bridges and culverts should be made good by the BEML as per assessment of the Executive Engineers of the concerned public works department/Divisions/National Highways

7 The local Executive Engineers of Public Works Department Kolar and Executive Engineers of concerned National Highways Divisions of Bangalore, Tumkur, Chitradurga, Bellary shall be informed in advance the date of the movement of the Bharat Dumpers on the road.

8 The red flags must be exhibited at either extremities length and breadthwise.

9 The vehicle should come to a stop at the approaches of all culverts and bridges and then proceed at a speed not exceeding 10 Km per hour over the structures. The vehicles must be brought to a stop when there are vehicles from the rear and front while crossing bridges and culverts.

10 Empty vehicles should be transported on the route indicated above and they should not carry any load over and above their self weight.

SCHEDULE

Bharat Haulpak Trucks Rear Dump Model 35 :

BEML No.	Chassis No.	Engine No.	Transmission No.
684	LCF 11036 CFA 15-AS	25108814	16201
685	11037	25 08815	13960

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

M. VENKATASWAMY.

154 G. O. 1138 *I/c Under Secretary to Government, Home Dept.*

PUBLIC WORKS AND ELECTRICITY SECRETARIAT

Notification No. PWD 39 EEB 78,

Bangalore, dated 17th April 1978.

In exercise of the powers conferred under Rule 5 of the Karnataka State Electricity (supply) Rules 1957 the Government of Karnataka hereby accepts the resignation of Sri R Anandakrishna to the membership of the Karnataka Electricity Board with immediate effect.

In exercise of the powers conferred by Sub-section (2) of Section 5 of the Electricity (supply) Act, 1948 (Central Act LIV of 1948), the Government of Karnataka hereby appoints Sri T. V. Narayana Shastry, M/s. Pearlite Industrial Estate and Bharat Founders, Shimoga, as a member of the Karnataka Electricity Board with immediate effect, *vice* Sri R. Anandakrishna.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

W. C. SHADAKSHARA DEV,
Under Secretary to Government,
Public Works and Electricity Dept.

157 —S. O. 1139

LAW AND PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS SECRETARIAT

Notification No. LAW 22 LCL 76.

Bangalore, dated 15th April 1978.

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 57 of the Provincial Insolvency Act, 1920 (Central Act 5 of 1920) as extended by the Provincial Insolvency (Karnataka Extension and Amendment) Act, 1962, the Government of Karnataka hereby appoint Sri Mohamed Khaloom, Advocate, Raichur as Official Receiver, Raichur for the period ending with 31-12-1980.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka

B. NAGESHA RAO,
Under Secretary to Government,
Department Law and Parliamentary Affairs.

156 —S. O. 1140

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Order No. SWL 173 LLD 78

Bangalore dated 7th/14th April 1978.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of Karnataka State Road Transport Corporation, Bangalore-27 on the points noted below;

And, whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore in exercise of he powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Bangalore.

POINTS OF DISPUTE

1. "Are the Management of Karnataka State Road Transport Corporation, Bangalore-27 justified in dismissing Sri. Nanjappa, Conductor No. 1268 from service with effect from 21-9-1976?

2. If not, to what relief the said workman is entitled?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka

V. V. MASTHIHOLIMATH,
Under Secy. to Govt.
S. W. & L. Dept.

107 S.O.1141

Order No. SWL 174 LLD 78

Bangalore dated 7th/14th April 1978

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of Sri Umapathy Silk Throwing Factory, No. 23, VI Cross, Magadi Road, Bangalore-23 on the points noted below.

And, whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication:

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Bangalore.

POINTS OF DISPUTE

I "Are the management of M/s Umanathi Silk Throwing Factory, No 23, VI Cross Magadi Road, Bangalore-23, justified in removing the following workmen from the dates mentioned against each of them?

1.	Shri Prabhakara	with effect from	23-5-77
2.	,, Krishna	,,	15-5-77
3.	,, Rama	,,	27-5-77
4.	,, Doddalinga	,,	23-5-77
5.	,, Vasu	,,	15-5-77
6.	,, Devaraja	,,	20-5-77

II If not, to what relief the workmen are entitled?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka.

V. V. MASTHIHOLIMATH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Deptt.

108 S.O.— 1142

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Order No. SWL 79 LLA 78
Bangalore, dated 15th April 1978.

Whereas by State Government Order No. SWL 3 LLD 74, dated 6th February 1974 the Industrial Dispute between the workmen and the management of Oorgaum Dairy, Bharath Gold Mines Limited, Oorgaum, P. O. Kolar Gold Field, was referred to the Labour Court, Bangalore for adjudication;

And, whereas, the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Bangalore, has submitted to the State Government its award in respect of the said Industrial Dispute;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka are pleased to direct the publication of the said award as above, in the *Karnataka Gazette*.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. V. MASTHIHOLIMATH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

137—S.D. 1143.

GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA

Labour Dispute: Industrial dispute between the workmen and the management of Oorgaum Dairy, Bharat Gold Mines Limited, Oorgaum, Kolar Gold Field.

Award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Bangalore published.

Read the following papers:—

(1) Letter No. 213/77-78, dated 2nd March 1978 from Shri H. Shanmukappa Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Bangalore.

BEFORE THE PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, BANGALORE.

Dated 28th day of February 1978.

Present

Sri H. Shanmukhappa B.A., B.L.,

Presiding Officer.

Reference No. 16 of 1978

First Party :—Anandaraj, S/o Chinnappa, Lakshmisagara, G. Madamangala, Anderstnpet Post, K.G.F.

Vs

Second Party :—The Management of Oorgaum Dairy, Bharat Gold Mines Limited, Oorgaum Post, K. G. F.

Reference :--Government order No. SWL 3 LLD 74 dated 6th Feburary 1974.

AWARD

28-2-1978 Both parties are present
KGF Camp.

Sri K J. Shetty has filed Vakalat for the II Party. The first party workman has made an Application for rejection of the Reference stating that the dispute between the parties has been settled and further stated that he has been taken back, The Second party admits the taking back of the I party workman.

Hence, in view of the settlement the Reference is rejected and an Award is accordingly passed. No costs.

H. SHANMUKHAPPA,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Bangalore.

"Copy"

H. SHANMUKAPPA,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Bangalore.

Order No SWL 117 LLD 78.
Bangalore, dated the 29th March/10th April 1978.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial dispute exists between the workman and the management of M/s. Sudarshan Service Station, Ratnagiri Road, Chickmagalur on the points noted below;

And, whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Mangalore.

Points of Dispute

I. "Are the management of Sudarshan Services Station, Chickmagalur justified in refusing work to Sri M. Dastagir, Salesman with effect from 4th January 1977 ?

II. If not, to what relief the said workman is entitled ?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. V. MASTHIHOLLIMATH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Order No. SWL 126 LLD 78.

Bangalore, dated the 29th March/10th April 1978.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of M/s. D. S. Veerabhadrappa, Coffee Planter, Kibbetta village, Somwarpet, on the points noted below;

And, whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Mysore.

Points of Dispute

I. "Whether Sri D. S. Veerabhadrappa, Coffee Planter, Kibbetta Village, Somwarpet post, Coorg is justified in refusing work in his Estate from 31st May 1977 to the following three workmen?

1. Sri B. K. Annu 2. Smt. Veda 3. Gulabi

II. If not, are the workmen entitled to be reinstated with back wages and continuity of service or to any other relief?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. V. MASTHOLIMATH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

83—S. O. 1145

Notification No. SWL 45 LLE 78.

Bangalore, dated 14th April 1978.

Whereas in Notification No. SWL 316 LLE 77, dated 9th November 1977, the Engineering Industry (Machine Tool Manufacturing) has been declared as Public Utility Service in the State of Karnataka for a period of six months from 9th November 1977.

And, Whereas in the opinion of the Government of Karnataka, public interest requires that the period should be extended further.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by the proviso to sub-clause (vi) of clause (n) of Section 2 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby extends the period and declares the Engineering Industry (Machine Tool Manufacturing) as Public Utility Service in the State of Karnataka for a further period of six months from 9th May 1978.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. V. MASTHOLIMATH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

105—S. O. 1146

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Order No. SWL 144 LLD 77.

Bangalore, dated 7th/14th April 1978.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of Sri Ganapathi Exhibitors, Prasanna Theatre Building, Bangalore-23, on the Points noted below :

And, whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication :—

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Bangalore.

Points of Dispute.

"I. Are the management of M/s. Ganapati Exhibitors, Prasanna Theatre Building, Magadi Road, Bangalore-23 justified in terminating the service of Sri K. C. Mahadeva with effect from 3rd March 1976 ?

II. If not, to what relief the workman is entitled ?

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. V. MASTHIHOLIMATH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Departmen.

109 — . D. 1147

EDUCATION AND YOUTH SERVICES SECRETARIAT

Notification No. ED 243 UAC 77

Bangalore dated 1st April 1978.

In exercise of the powers conferred under sub-section (5) of section 53 of the Karnataka State Universities Act 1976 (Karnataka Act No. 28 of 1976) the Government of Karnataka are pleased to sanction affiliation of the L. V D. College, Raichur to the Karnatak University, for teaching the following courses for a period of one year from June 1977.

Continuation of Affiliation

	Basic	*Major*	*Minor*
B.A. Part I, II & III	English, Kannada, Hindi Urdu & Telugu	Economics, Pol. Science, & Kannada.	Pol. Science, Sociology, History, Hindi, Kannada, and Urdu.
B.Sc. Part I	do	Physics, Chemistry, Botany and Zoology.	Physics, Chemistry, Botany, Zoology and Mathematics.
B.Sc. Part II & III	do	do	do

subject to the conditions laid down by the Local Inquiry Committee and the Karnatak University.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

B. S. MUDDAPUR,
Under Secretary to Government,
Education and Youth Services Department.

63—S.O. 1154

RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND CO-OPERATION SECRETARIAT

Notification No. RDC 22 CLM 78.

Bangalore, dated 24th April 1978.

The draft of the following rules further to amend the Karnataka Co-operative Societies Rules 1960, which the Government of Karnataka proposes to make in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 129 of the Karnataka Co-operative Societies Act, 1959 (Karnataka Act 11 of 1959). is hereby published as required by the said act for the information of all persons likely to be affected thereby and notice is hereby given that the said draft will be taken into consideration on or after 8th May 1978.

Any objection or suggestion which may be received from any person with respect to the said draft by the State Government before the date specified above will be considered by the Government. Objections or suggestions may be addressed to the Commissioner for Special Economic Programmes and Secretary to Government, Rural Development and Co-operation Department, Vidhana Soudha, Bangalore.

DRAFT RULES

1. Title and Commencement.—These rules may be called the Karnataka Co-operative Societies (2nd Amendment) Rules, 1978.

(2) It shall come into force at once.

2. Amendment of rules 17A.—In rule 17A of the Karnataka Co-operative Societies Rules, 1960.

(1) In the heading, after the word "recruitment" the words "training etc.," shall be inserted;

(2) after sub-rule (3), the following sub-rule shall be inserted, namely –

'(4) (a) Notwithstanding anything in sub-rules (1), (2) and (3), the State Government may constitute an authority consisting of the following persons for the recruitment, training and disciplinary control of all classes of employees of the Land Development Banks in the State, namely :—

1.	Registrar of Co-operative Societies in Karnataka, Bangalore.	... Chairman
2.	President, Karnataka State Co-operative Land Development Bank, Bangalore	— Member
3.	Joint Registrar of Co-operative Societies (Credit) Bangalore	... Member
4.	Three Presidents of the Primary Co-operative Land Development Banks to be nominated by Government every year by rotation	... Member
5.	A representative of the Finance Department	... Member
6.	Managing Director, Karnataka State Co-operative Land Development Bank Ltd., Bangalore	... Member Secretary

(b) The said Authority shall frame regulations regarding recruitment emoluments, training terms and conditions of service including disciplinary control of all classes of employees of the Land Development Banks.

(c) On the coming into force of the regulations framed by the said Authority, the provisions of rules 17 and 18 shall to the extent they are inconsistent with the regulations cease to have effect in respect of the employees of the Land Development Banks."

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

A. PARAMESWARAPPA,
Under Secy. to Govt.,
RDC Department.

176.—S O 1155

COMMERCE AND INDUSTRIES SECRETARIAT

Notification No. CI 19 EMI 78.

Bangalore, dated 20th April 1978.

In pursuance of sub-section (1) of Section 5 of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957, the Government of Karnataka hereby sanctions a Mining Lease in favour of Messrs. Kay and Kay Enterprises for a period of 20 (Twenty) years for Dolomite and Limestone over an area of 500 acres (202.34 hectares) of land in Kashegeri village, Badami Taluk Bijapur District, as per sketch furnished by the Director of Mines and Geology, Bangalore.

The grant of the above Mining Lease is subject to the terms and conditions appended hereunto.

Terms and Conditions

(a) Mining Lease should be in respect of Lime stone only. If other Minerals are found in association with Lime stone they should be brought to the notice of Government and if the lessee desires mine these minerals along with Lime stone he/they should do so only after the consent of Government is obtained in writing;

(b) Royalty at the rates prescribed in the Second Schedule the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957 as amended from time to time or the dead rent at the following rate in respect of each mineral per hectare per annum, whichever is greater, shall be charged provided that dead rent shall not be payable for the first year of the lease;

1st Year of the lease	...	Nil
2nd to 5th year of the lease	...	Rs. 12.50
6th to 10th year of the lease	...	Rs. 25.00
11th year and onwards of the lease	...	Rs. 37.50

(c) Surface rent at the rate of Rs. 2.50 per hectare per annum on the actual area used by the lessee for mining purposes shall be charged;

(d) If beryl or any other 'Substance Prescribed' under Section 3 of the Atomic Energy Act No. XXIX of 1948 is found to occur in the property under the lease, the lessee shall make available such mineral to the Government of India ;

(e) The lease shall be subject to the provision of Rules in chapter IV of the Mineral Concession Rules, 1960 ;

(f) The lease shall also be subject to the rules issued under Section 18 of the Mines Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957 ;

(g) The lessee shall pay to the Director of Mines and Geology in Karnataka, Bangalore, necessary security deposit for due observance of the terms and conditions of the lease in accordance with Rules 32 of lease is issued to him ;

(h) The lessee shall submit confidentially from time to time or when required, progress reports to the Director of Mines and Geology along with analysis and representative samples of the ores collected during the mining operations ;

(i) The lessee shall be governed by all conditions that may be incorporated in the lease deed to be executed ;

(j) The lessee shall abide by the Rules contained in the Karnataka State Forest Manual, if the leased area covers any forest land ;

(k) The total area held by the lessee under mining leases including the present shall not exceed 10.00 sq. kilometers ;

(l) Government shall have the right of purchasing the ore at current market rates ;

(m) The lease would be determined if the lessee failes to commence effective mining operations within one year from the date of execution of lease deed.

(n) The area mentioned above is subject to verification after actual survey ; and

(o) Local and other cesses as prevalent in Bijapur District.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

Y. B. CHINNAPPA REDDY,

Under Secretary to Government, I/c Commerce and Industries Department.

70—S.O. 1156

HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT SECRETARIAT

Notification No. HUD 216 MLR 78
Bangalore, dated 19th April 1978.

In exercise of the powers conferred by section 316 of the Karnataka Municipalities Act, 1964, the Government of Karnataka is hereby appoints Sri D. V. Kishna Kumar. Tahsildar, Huneur as Administrator, Town Municipal Council, Hunsur in addition to his own duties with immediate effect until further orders, *vice* Sri H. B. Nanjunda Ardhya repatriated.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

D. SUGANTHA PHILOMENA,
I/c Under Secretary to Government,
Housing and Urban Development Department

165—S.G. 1157

REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Notification No. RD 15 LRE 78
Bangalore, dated 17/19th April 1978.

In exercise of the powers conferred by Clause (1) of Sub-section (1) of Section 48 of Karnataka Land Reforms Act, 1961 (Karnataka Act 10 of 1962) the Government of Karnataka hereby notif the Special Land Acquisition Officer, H. R. P. Holenarasipur to be the Chairman of the tribunal Holenarasipur taluk, constituted under Section 48 of the said Act in place of the Food Assistant to the Deputy Commissioner. Hassan District—Notified in RD 45 LRE 77 dated 2nd November 1977.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka

P. VASUDEVA RAO,
I/c Asst Spl. Officer for L R & Ex officio
Under Secretary to Government Revenue Dept

163 S.O — 1158

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Notification No. SWL 19 LFB 78.
Bangalore, dated 18th April 1978.

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2) of Section 65 of the Factories Act, 1948 (Central Act 63 of 1948). the Government of Karnataka exempts the Karnataka State Road Transport Corporation Printing Press, Bangalore from the provisions of Sections 51, 52 54 and 56 for a period of three months from the date of issue of this notification, subject to the conditions specified in sub-section (3) of Section 65 of the said Act.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. V. MASTHIHOLIMATH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

158—S. O. 1159

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Order No. SWL 611 LLD 76.
Bangalore, dated 1st April 1978

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workman and the management of the Bangalore Dairy, Bangalore-27. On the points noted below;

And Whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, Therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No 14 of 1947) the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Bangalore.

Points of Dispute

I. "Is the Management of Bangalore Dairy, Bangalore-27 justified in terminating the services of Sri J. Thomas, Van Sardff. with effect from 31st May 1975?

II. "If not to what relief is the said workman entitled?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. V. VASTHIHOLIMATH,
Under Secretary to Government.
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

112 S. O. 1160

Order No. SWL 267 LLD 78.
Bangalore, dated 20th April 1978.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of Mysore Spinning and Manufacturing Mills, P B. No 303, 2 South End Road Malleshwaram Bangalore-3 on the points noted below;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Additional Industrial Tribunal, at Bangalore.

POINTS OF DISPUTE

I. "Are the management of Mysore Spining and Mannfacturing Mills, P B. No 303, 2, Southend Road Malleswaram, Bangalore-560003. justified in terminating the services of Sri Ramchegowda (T.N.B B. 15), on the grounds of superannuation with effect from 1st October 1976?

II. If not to what relief (s) the said workman is entitled"?

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. V VASTHIHOLIMATH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Deptt.

168—S. O. 1161

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Order No. SWL 151 LLD 78.

Bangalore, dated 4th April 1978

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workman and he management of M/s K.R.T Kamath and Sou's K.S.R Cross Road, langalo e-1: On the points noted below :

And, wh reas the Govern ment of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication.

Now, theref re in exercise of the powers conferred by cl use (c) of su section (1) of Secti n 10 of the Industrial Disp tes Act, 1947 (Cen r l A t No. 14 of 1 47) the G vernment o aar at ka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Mangalore.

Points of dispute

"I. Is the Management of M/s K.R.T. Kamath and Sons K.S.R Cross Road, Mangalore-57 0 1, justified in terminating the services of Sri qubal H with effect from 3rd June 1977?

II. If not, to what relief the workman is entitled" ?

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. V. MASTHIHOLIMATH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

110—S.O. 1163

Order No. SWL 114 LLD 78

Bangalore, dated 29th March/10th April 1978.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workman and the management of Raj Tyre Trading Co., Gunj Road, Gulbarga, on the points noted below.—

And, whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Hubli.

Points of Dispute

I "Whether the management of Raj Tyre Trading Company, Gunj Road, Gulbarga, is justified in terminating the services of Sri Fakeer Hussain, with effect from 15th January 1977 ?

II If not, to what relief is the workman entitled to"?

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. V. MASTHIHOLIMATH,
Under Secretary to Government,
S. W. and Labour Department.

80—S. O. 1164

EDUCATION AND YOUTH SERVICES SECRETARIAT

Notification No. ED 246 UAC 77.

Bangalore, dated 29th March 1978.

In exercise of the powers conferred under sub-section (5) of section 53 of the Karnataka State Universities Act, 1976 (Karnataka Act No. 28 of 1976) the Government of Karnataka are pleased to sanction affiliation of the S. D. M. College of Arts and Science, Honnavar to the Karnataka University, for teaching the following courses for a period of one year from June 1977 :

CONTINUATION OF AFFILIATION

		Under Continuation	
	Basic	*Major*	*Minor*
B A. Part I, II and III	1. English 2. Kannada 3 Hindi 4. Sanskrit 5. Addl Engl.	1. History 2. Kannada 3. Economics	1. Kannada 2. Hindi 3. Sanskrit 4. History 5. Geography 6. Pol. Science 7. Psychology 8. Music (Hindustani)
B.Sc. Part I	1. English 2. Kannada	Chemistry	1. Physics 2. Botany 3. Mathematics
B sc. Part II & III	...	do	1. Physics 2. Botany 3. Mathematics
	Extension of Affiliation		
B.A. I			1. Philosophy 2. Logic

B.Sc. I	Addl. English	
	Compulsory subjects	*Optional*
B.Com. I	1. English, 1. Economic Theory I	Marketing
	2. Kannada 2. Accountancy I	
	3. Addl. Engl. 3. Secretarial Practice	

subject to the conditions laid down by the Local Inquiry Committee and the Karnatak University.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

B.S. MUDDAPUR,
Under Secretary to Government,
61—S.O. 1165 *Education and Youth Services Department.*

COMMERCE AND INDUSTRIES SECRETARIAT

Notification No. CI 3 FDB 77 (ii)
Bangalore, dated 15th December 1977.

In exercise of the powers conferred by section 3 of the Karnataka Industrial Areas Development Act, 1966 (Karnataka Act 18 of 1966) the Government of Karnataka hereby declare the area comprised in S. Nos. mentioned in column (2) of the Schedule below, to the extent specified in column (3), situated in Tiptur village, Kasaba Hobli, Tiptur Taluk, Tumkur District, to be an Industrial Area for the purpose of the said Act, and defines the limits of the said area as specified in the corresponding entries in column (4) thereof :—

SCHEDULE

Name of the village	Sy. Nos.	Appropx. Area A.G.	Limits of the area
1	2	3	4
Tiptur (Kasaba)	129/1	1-34	East : 128 West : Remaining land in S. No. 129/1 and card track. North : B. H. Road South : 129/2

(ii)—

1	2	3	4
	129/2	1-38	East : 128 West : Remaining land in S.No. 129/2 and Carttrack North : 129/1 South : 129/3
	129/3	0-23	East : 129/2 West : Remaining land in S. No. 129/3 and Cart track North 129/2 South : Board of Bangalore Poona Railway line
	130/2	2-22	East : 131/1 West : Edenahalli Border North : Remaining land in S. No. 130/2 South : B. H. Road
Tiptur Kasaba	131/1	0-38	East : 131/2 West. 131/2 North : Remaining land in S. No. 131/1 South : B. H. Road
	131/2	0-38	East : 134/1B West : 131/1 North : Remaining land in S. No. 131/2 South : B. H. Road
	134/1B	1-02	East : 134/1A CH Halli Road West : 131/2 North : Remaining land in S. No. 134/1B South : B. H. Road
Edenahalli	191/5	0-2	East : 130/2 West : Old Tile factory North : Remaining Land in S. No. 191/5 South : B. H. Road.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

Sd/- V. S. NADKARNI,

Under Secretary to Government,

Commerce and Industries Department.

73—S. O. 1166

COMMERCE AND INDUSTRIES SECRETARIAT

Notification No. CI 107 EPO 77,

Bangalore, dated 12th April 1978.

In pursuance of sub-section (1) of Section 5 of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957 the Government of Karnataka hereby sanctions a Prospecting Licence in favour of Shri Lakshmi and Company for a period of 2 (Two) years for Yellow and Red Ochre, Clay, Limestone, Dolomite over an area of 150 acres (60.70 hectares) of land in Chikkakittadahalli & Kenkere Villages, Hosadurga Taluk, Chitradurga District, as per sketch furnished by the Director of Mines and Geology, Bangalore.

The grant of the above Prospecting Licence is subject to the terms and conditions appended hereunto.

Terms and Conditions

1. The licence shall be operative for a period of two years with effect from the date of execution. The option to renew the licence for a further period shall be reserved to Government.

2. The licensee shall pay to Government a Prospecting fee of Rs. 2.50 per hectare of the land covered by the licence for each year or portion of a year.

3. The quantity of ore to be removed under this licence both on payment of royalty and free of royalty, shall be in accordance with the limits prescribed under Rule 14 (ii) and (iii) and under schedule III respectively of the Mineral Concession Rules, 1960. "The royalty payable on the Minerals shall be in accordance with the rates prescribed in Second Schedule to the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957 and as amended from time to time.

4. The licensee shall make available to the Government of India beryl or any other 'substance prescribed' under Section 3 of the Atomic Energy Act No. XXIX of 1948 if they occur in the property under licence ;

5. The licensee shall be subject to the rules issued under Section 13 and 18 of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957 (No. 67 of 1957).

6. If any other mineral is traced during the course of prospecting/the licensee shall report about the same to the Director of Mines and Geology and shall not work such minerals without obtaining prior permission of Government ;

7. The licensee shall furnish an accurate final map of areas covered by the licence together with a discription, giving the situation and boundaries of the areas duly attested by the licensee. The Director of Mines and Geology is authorised to get the accurate final map with survey numbers etc , prepared and demarcation done before executing the licence if the licensee so desired and to recover the cost of the same from the licensee;

8. The licensee shall, before the deposit is returned to him submit confidentially to the Director of Mines and Geology from time to time or wherever required a full report of the work done by him and disclose all information acquired in the course of the operations carried on under the licence regarding the geology and mineral resources of the area covered by the licence;

9. The licensee shall allow any officer authorised by the Central or the State Goverhment to inspect at any time the area covered by the Prospecting Licence as also any accounts, plans and records maintained by him;

10. The licensee shall before the licence is issued to him deposit as security a sum of Rs. 200 in respect of every Square Kilometre or part thereof for which the licence is granted for the due observance of the terms and conditions of the licence.

11. The licensee shall engage a qualified geologist or a mining engineer to conduct the prospecting methodically;

12. The licensee shall not give any ore-raising contract during the prospecting stage;

13. The above mentioned area is subject to verification after actual survey; and

14. Local and other Cesses as prevalent in Chitradurga District.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

Y. B. CHINNAPPA REDDY,
Under Secretary to Government, I/c
Commerce and Industries Department.

116--S.O. 1167

Printed by the Director of Printing, Sty. & Publns. at the Govt. Press B'lore